

Lemonade  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: December 3, 2006

S. Maes  
R. Cromwell  
Oracle Corporation  
June 2006

WITHIN Search extension to the IMAP Protocol  
draft-ietf-lemonade-search-within-03

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 3, 2006.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2006).

---

  
Internet-Draft

Search Within

June 2006

### Abstract

This document describes the WITHIN extension to IMAP SEARCH. IMAP SEARCH returns messages whose internal date is within or outside a specified interval. The mechanism described here, OLDER and YOUNGER, differs from SINCE in that the client specifies an interval, rather than a date. We expect WITHIN to be most useful for persistent searches from mobile devices.

### Conventions Used in this Document

In examples, "C:" and "S:" indicate lines sent by the client and server respectively.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [1].

When describing the general syntax, we omit some definitions as [RFC 3501](#) [2] defines them.

## 1. Introduction

This extension exposes two new search keys, OLDER and YOUNGER, each of which takes a non-zero integer argument corresponding to a time interval. The server calculates the time of interest by subtracting the time interval presented by the client, and either returning messages older or younger than the resultant time and date.

## [2.](#) Protocol Operation

An IMAP4 server that supports the capability described here **MUST** return "WITHIN" as one of the server supported capabilities in the CAPABILITY command.

For both of the OLDER and YOUNGER search keys, the server calculates a date and time by subtracting the interval on the current date and time of the server. Servers **MUST** maintain at least a precision of an hour in this calculation.

The interval specification is in seconds. The server honors the interval request if it has the precision to do so. If the server does not have the precision to honor the interval request, the server **MUST** select the closest precision possible. For example, if the client requests messages that are younger than 4020 (67 minutes), but the server only performs searches with hourly accuracy (as mandated above), the server performs the search as if the client requested a 60-minute interval.

The server then compares the resultant date and time against the INTERNALDATE of the message set in question, as specified in IMAP

[2]). For OLDER, messages match if the date and time is less recent than the INTERNALDATE. For YOUNGER, messages match if the date and time is more recent than the INTERNALDATE. If the date and time matches the INTERNALDATE precisely, both OLDER and YOUNGER will match the message.

### [3.](#) Formal Syntax

The following syntax specification uses the Augmented Backus-Naur Form (ABNF) notation. Elements not defined here can be found in the formal syntax of ABNF [\[1\]](#), IMAP [\[2\]](#), and IMAP Extended ABNF [\[3\]](#)

This document extends [RFC 3501](#) [\[2\]](#) with two new search keys: OLDER <interval> and YOUNGER <interval>.

```
search-key /= ( "OLDER" | "YOUNGER" ) SP nz-number
              ; search-key defined in RFC 3501
```

[4.](#) Example

C: a1 SEARCH UNSEEN YOUNGER 259200

S: a1 \* SEARCH 4 8 15 16 23 42

Search for all unseen messages within the past 3 days (72 hours) according to the server's current time.

## [5.](#) Security Considerations



The WITHIN extension does not raise any security considerations which are not present in the base protocol. Considerations are the same as for IMAP [\[2\]](#).

## 6. Normative References

- [1] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), [BCP 14](#), March 1997.
- [2] Crispin, M., "Internet Message Access Protocol - Version 4rev1", [RFC 3501](#), March 2003.
- [3] Melnikov, A. and C. Daboo, "Collected Extensions to IMAP4 ABNF", [RFC 4466](#), April 2006.

## [Appendix A](#). Acknowledgements

The authors want to thank all who have contributed key insight and extensively reviewed and discussed the concepts of LPSEARCH and the authors of its early introduction in P-IMAP.

We also want to give a special thanks to Alexey Melnikov, Arnt Gilbrandsen, Zoltan Ordogh, and Dave Cridland for their review and suggestions, as well as thanks to Eric Burger for reformatting and editing the document to meet IETF publication standards.

Internet-Draft

Search Within

June 2006

Authors' Addresses

Stephane H. Maes  
Oracle Corporation  
500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 40634  
Redwood Shores, CA 94065  
USA

Email: [stephane.maes@oracle.com](mailto:stephane.maes@oracle.com)

Ray Cromwell  
Oracle Corporation  
500 Oracle Parkway  
Redwood Shores, CA 94065  
USA

Email: [ray.cromwell@oracle.com](mailto:ray.cromwell@oracle.com)

#### Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2006).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

#### Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information

on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

#### Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).