

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: May 1, 2018

D. Kumar
Cisco
M. Wang
Q. Wu, Ed.
Huawei
R. Rahman
S. Raghavan
Cisco
October 28, 2017

**Retrieval Methods YANG Data Model for Connectionless Operations,
Administration, and Maintenance(OAM) protocols
draft-ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam-methods-12**

Abstract

This document presents a retrieval method YANG Data model for connectionless OAM protocols. It provides technology-independent RPC operations for connectionless OAM protocols. The retrieval methods model presented here can be extended to include technology specific details. This is leading to uniformity between OAM protocols and support both nested OAM workflows (i.e., performing OAM functions at different levels through a unified interface) and interacting OAM workflows (i.e., performing OAM functions at same levels through a unified interface).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 1, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Conventions used in this document	3
2.1. Terminology	4
2.2. Tree Diagrams	4
3. Overview of the Connectionless OAM retrieval methods Model	5
3.1. RPC operation definitions	5
3.2. OAM Retrieval Methods Hierarchy	8
4. OAM Retrieval Methods YANG Module	15
5. Security Considerations	23
6. IANA Considerations	23
7. Acknowledgements	24
8. References	24
8.1. Normative References	24
8.2. Informative References	25
Appendix A. Appendix A.1 Extending Connectionless OAM Method Module Example	26
Appendix B. Appendix A.2 Example of new retrieval procedures Model	27
Authors' Addresses	37

1. Introduction

Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM) are important networking functions that allow operators to:

1. Monitor reachability of destinations (Reachability Verification, Continuity Check).
2. Troubleshoot failures (Fault verification and localization).
3. Monitor Performance

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 2]

An overview of OAM tools is presented at [[RFC7276](#)].

Ping and Traceroute [[RFC792](#)], [[RFC4443](#)] and BFD [[RFC5880](#)] are well-known fault verification and isolation tools, respectively, for IP networks. Over the years, different technologies have developed similar tools for similar purposes.

In this document, we present an on-demand retrieval method YANG Data model for OAM protocols that use connectionless communication. This model provides technology-independent RPC operations for OAM protocols that use connectionless communication(i.e., connectionless oam). It is separated from the generic YANG model for connectionless OAM [[I-D.ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam](#)] and can avoid mixing the models for the retrieved-data from the retrieval procedures. It is expected that retrieval procedures would evolve faster than the data model [[I-D.ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam](#)] and will allow new procedures to be defined for retrieval of the same data defined by the generic YANG data model for connectionless OAM.

[2. Conventions used in this document](#)

The following terms are defined in [[RFC6241](#)] and are not redefined here:

- o client
- o configuration data
- o server
- o state data

The following terms are defined in [[RFC6020](#)] and are not redefined here:

- o augment
- o data model
- o data node

The terminology for describing YANG data models is found in [[RFC6020](#)].

2.1. Terminology

TP - Test Point

MAC - Media Access Control

RPC - A Remote Procedure Call

RPC operation - A specific Remote Procedure Call

2.2. Tree Diagrams

A simplified graphical representation of the data model is used in this document. The meaning of the symbols in these diagrams is as follows:

Each node is printed as:

<status> <flags> <name> <opts> <type>

<status> is one of:
+ for current

<flags> is one of:

rw for configuration data
ro for non-configuration data
-x for rpcs
-n for notifications

<name> is the name of the node

If the node is augmented into the tree from another module, its name is printed as <prefix>:<name>.

<opts> is one of:

? for an optional leaf or choice
! for a presence container
* for a leaf-list or list
[<keys>] for a list's keys

<type> is the name of the type for leafs and leaf-lists

3. Overview of the Connectionless OAM retrieval methods Model

In this document, we present an on-demand retrieval method YANG Data model for connectionless OAM protocols. This model provides technology-independent retrieval procedures (RPC operations) for connectionless OAM protocols. It provides a flexible way to retrieve the data which defined by the "ietf-connectionless-oam.yang" module[I-D.ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam].

3.1. RPC operation definitions

The RPC model facilitates issuing commands to a NETCONF server (in this case to the device that need to execute the OAM command) and obtaining a response.

Under 'connectionless-oam-methods' module, we summarize common OAM functions and define two generic RPC operations: 'continuity-check' and 'path-discovery'. In practice, these RPC operations are activated on-demand and supported by corresponding technology-specific OAM tools [RFC7276]. For example, for the IP OAM model, the continuity-check RPC corresponds to the IP Ping [RFC792] [RFC4443], while the path-discovery RPC operation corresponds to IP Traceroute [RFC792] [RFC4443].

Note that the RPC operation presented in this document is the base building block, which is used to derive a model for a technology-specific OAM (i.e., ICMP ping [RFC792] [RFC4443], LSP ping [RFC8029]), the base building block should be extended with corresponding technology specific parameters. To facilitate this for future enhancements to data retrieval methods, the RPCs are captured under a separate module.

The generic 'tp-address' grouping is used as data input from different RPCs described in this document. The generic 'path-discovery-data' and 'continuity-check-data' groupings defined by the "ietf-connectionless-oam.yang" module [I-D.ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam] are used as data outputs from different RPCs described in this document. Similar methods including other RPCs can retrieve the data using the same data model (i.e., the "ietf-connectionless-oam.yang" module).

```
rpc continuity-check {
    if-feature cl-oam:continuity-check;
    description
        "Continuity-check RPC operation as per RFC7276.";
    input {
        uses rpc-input-parameters;
    }
}
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 5]

```
        }
    output {
        list status-code-list {
            key "response-index";
            leaf response-index {
                type uint32;
                description
                    "Response index.";
            }
            leaf protocol-id {
                type identityref {
                    base protocol-id;
                }
                mandatory true;
                description
                    "Protocol used in CC. ";
            }
            leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
                type identityref {
                    base protocol-id-meta-data;
                }
                description
                    "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.";
            }
            leaf status-code {
                type identityref{
                    base status-code;
                }
                mandatory true;
                description
                    "Status code for CC.";
            }
            leaf status-sub-code {
                type identityref{
                    base status-sub-code;
                }
                mandatory true;
                description
                    "Status Sub code for CC.";
            }
            description
                "List of Status Code and Status Sub Code for CC.";
        }
        uses cl-oam:continuity-check-data;
    }
}

rpc path-discovery {
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 6]

```
description
  "path discovery RPC operation as per RFC7276.";
input {
  uses rpc-input-parameters;
  ....
}
output {
  list response-list {
    key "response-index";
    description
      "Path discovery response list.";
    leaf response-index {
      type uint32;
      mandatory true;
      description
        "Response index.";
    }
    leaf protocol-id {
      type identityref {
        base protocol-id;
      }
      mandatory true;
      description
        "Protocol used in PD. ";
    }
    leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
      type identityref {
        base protocol-id-meta-data;
      }
      description
        "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.";
    }
    leaf status-code {
      type identityref{
        base status-code;
      }
      mandatory true;
      description
        "Error code for Path Discovery. ";
    }
    leaf status-sub-code {
      type identityref{
        base status-sub-code;
      }
      mandatory true;
      description
        "Sub code for Path Discovery. ";
    }
}
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 7]

```

        }
        uses cl-oam:path-discovery-data;
    }
}

```

Snippet of data hierarchy related to RPC operations

3.2. OAM Retrieval Methods Hierarchy

The complete data hierarchy related to the Connectionless OAM Retrieval Methods YANG model is presented below.

```

module: ietf-connectionless-oam-methods
rpcs:
  +---x continuity-check {cl-oam:continuity-check}?
    | +---w input
    |   | +---w destination-tp
    |   |   | +---w tp-location-type    identityref
    |   |   | +---w mac-address
    |   |   |   | +---w mac-address    yang:mac-address
    |   |   | +---w ipv4-address
    |   |   |   | +---w ipv4-address    inet:ipv4-address
    |   |   | +---w ipv6-address
    |   |   |   | +---w ipv6-address    inet:ipv6-address
    |   |   +---w tp-attribute
    |   |   | +---w tp-attribute-type?    address-attribute-type
    |   |   | +---w (tp-attribute-value)?
    |   |   |   +---:(ip-prefix)
    |   |   |   | +---w ip-prefix?          inet:ip-prefix
    |   |   |   +---:(bgp)
    |   |   |   | +---w bgp?              inet:ip-prefix
    |   |   |   +---:(tunnel)
    |   |   |   | +---w tunnel-interface?  uint32
    |   |   |   +---:(pw)
    |   |   |   | +---w remote-pe-address?  inet:ip-address
    |   |   |   | +---w pw-id?            uint32
    |   |   |   +---:(vpls)
    |   |   |   | +---w route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
    |   |   |   | +---w sender-ve-id?     uint16
    |   |   |   | +---w receiver-ve-id?   uint16
    |   |   |   +---:(mpls-mldp)
    |   |   |   | +---w (root-address)?
    |   |   |   |   +---:(ip-address)
    |   |   |   |   | +---w source-address?  inet:ip-address
    |   |   |   |   | +---w group-ip-address?  inet:ip-address
    |   |   |   |   +---:(vpn)
    |   |   |   |   | +---w as-number?      inet:as-number
    |   |   |   |   +---:(global-id)

```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 8]

```
| | | | +---w lsp-id? string
| | | +---w system-info
| | | | +---w router-id? rt:router-id
| | | +---w source-interface if:interface-ref
| | | +---w outbound-interface if:interface-ref
| | | +---w vrf? cl-oam:routing-instance-ref
| | | +---w session-type? enumeration
| | | +---w count? uint32
| | | +---w ttl? uint8
| | +---w packet-size? uint32
+--ro output
  +---ro status-code-list* [response-index]
    +--ro response-index uint32
    +--ro protocol-id identityref
    +--ro protocol-id-meta-data? identityref
    +--ro status-code identityref
    +--ro status-sub-code identityref
+---ro src-test-point
  +--ro ni? routing-instance-ref
  +--ro tp-location-type identityref
  +--ro mac-address
    +--ro mac-address yang:mac-address
  +--ro ipv4-address
    +--ro ipv4-address inet:ipv4-address
  +--ro ipv6-address
    +--ro ipv6-address inet:ipv6-address
  +--ro tp-attribute
    +--ro tp-attribute-type? address-attribute-type
    +--ro (tp-attribute-value)?
      +--:(ip-prefix)
        +--ro ip-prefix? inet:ip-prefix
      +--:(bgp)
        +--ro bgp? inet:ip-prefix
      +--:(tunnel)
        +--ro tunnel-interface? uint32
      +--:(pw)
        +--ro remote-pe-address? inet:ip-address
        +--ro pw-id? uint32
      +--:(vpls)
        +--ro route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
        +--ro sender-ve-id? uint16
        +--ro receiver-ve-id? uint16
      +--:(mpls-mldp)
        +--ro (root-address)?
          +--:(ip-address)
            +--ro source-address? inet:ip-address
            +--ro group-ip-address? inet:ip-address
          +--:(vpn)
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 9]

```
| | | | | +--ro as-number?           inet:as-number
| | | | +---:(global-id)
| | | | | +--ro lsp-id?           string
| | | +--ro system-info
| | | | +--ro router-id?        rt:router-id
| | | +--ro egress-intf-name?   if:interface-ref
| +--ro dest-test-point
| | +--ro ni?                 routing-instance-ref
| | +--ro tp-location-type    identityref
| | +--ro mac-address
| | | +--ro mac-address       yang:mac-address
| | +--ro ipv4-address
| | | +--ro ipv4-address      inet:ipv4-address
| | +--ro ipv6-address
| | | +--ro ipv6-address      inet:ipv6-address
| | +--ro tp-attribute
| | | +--ro tp-attribute-type? address-attribute-type
| | | +--ro (tp-attribute-value)?
| | | | +---:(ip-prefix)
| | | | | +--ro ip-prefix?      inet:ip-prefix
| | | | +---:(bgp)
| | | | | +--ro bgp?           inet:ip-prefix
| | | | +---:(tunnel)
| | | | | +--ro tunnel-interface? uint32
| | | | +---:(pw)
| | | | | +--ro remote-pe-address?  inet:ip-address
| | | | | +--ro pw-id?          uint32
| | | | +---:(vpls)
| | | | | +--ro route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
| | | | | +--ro sender-ve-id?    uint16
| | | | | +--ro receiver-ve-id?  uint16
| | | | +---:(mpls-mldp)
| | | | | +--ro (root-address)?
| | | | | | +---:(ip-address)
| | | | | | | +--ro source-address?  inet:ip-address
| | | | | | | +--ro group-ip-address?  inet:ip-address
| | | | | +---:(vpn)
| | | | | | +--ro as-number?      inet:as-number
| | | | | +---:(global-id)
| | | | | | +--ro lsp-id?           string
| +--ro system-info
| | +--ro router-id?        rt:router-id
| | +--ro ingress-intf-name?  if:interface-ref
+--ro sequence-number?          uint64
+--ro hop-cnt?                uint8
+--ro session-packet-statistics
| +--ro rx-packet-count?     uint32
| +--ro tx-packet-count?     uint32
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 10]

```

|   +-+ro rx-bad-packet?      uint32
|   +-+ro tx-packet-failed?  uint32
+-+ro session-error-statistics
|   +-+ro packet-loss-count?  uint32
|   +-+ro loss-ratio?        percentage
|   +-+ro packet-reorder-count?  uint32
|   +-+ro packets-out-of-seq-count?  uint32
|   +-+ro packets-dup-count?  uint32
+-+ro session-delay-statistics
|   +-+ro time-unit-value?    identityref
|   +-+ro min-delay-value?   uint32
|   +-+ro max-delay-value?   uint32
|   +-+ro average-delay-value?  uint32
+-+ro session-jitter-statistics
|   +-+ro unit-value?        identityref
|   +-+ro min-jitter-value?  uint32
|   +-+ro max-jitter-value?  uint32
|   +-+ro average-jitter-value?  uint32
----x path-discovery {cl-oam:path-discovery}?
+---w input
|   +---w destination-tp
|   |   +---w tp-location-type  identityref
|   |   +---w mac-address
|   |   |   +---w mac-address  yang:mac-address
|   |   +---w ipv4-address
|   |   |   +---w ipv4-address  inet:ipv4-address
|   |   +---w ipv6-address
|   |   |   +---w ipv6-address  inet:ipv6-address
|   |   +---w tp-attribute
|   |   |   +---w tp-attribute-type?  address-attribute-type
|   |   |   +---w (tp-attribute-value)?
|   |   |   +---:(ip-prefix)
|   |   |   |   +---w ip-prefix?      inet:ip-prefix
|   |   |   +---:(bgp)
|   |   |   |   +---w bgp?        inet:ip-prefix
|   |   |   +---:(tunnel)
|   |   |   |   +---w tunnel-interface?  uint32
|   |   |   +---:(pw)
|   |   |   |   +---w remote-pe-address?  inet:ip-address
|   |   |   |   +---w pw-id?        uint32
|   |   |   +---:(vpls)
|   |   |   |   +---w route-distinguisher?  rt:route-distinguisher
|   |   |   |   +---w sender-ve-id?    uint16
|   |   |   |   +---w receiver-ve-id?  uint16
|   |   |   +---:(mpls-mldp)
|   |   |   |   +---w (root-address)?
|   |   |   |   +---:(ip-address)
|   |   |   |   |   +---w source-address?  inet:ip-address

```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 11]

```
| | |           | +---w group-ip-address?      inet:ip-address
| | |           +-:(vpn)
| | |           | +---w as-number?        inet:as-number
| | |           +-:(global-id)
| | |           +---w lsp-id?         string
| | +---w system-info
| |     +---w router-id?      rt:router-id
| +---w source-interface      if:interface-ref
| +---w outbound-interface    if:interface-ref
| +---w vrf?                 cl-oam:routing-instance-ref
| +---w session-type?       enumeration
| +---w max-ttl?            uint8
+--ro output
  +--ro response-list* [response-index]
    | +--ro response-index        uint32
    | +--ro protocol-id          identityref
    | +--ro protocol-id-meta-data? identityref
    | +--ro status-code          identityref
    | +--ro status-sub-code       identityref
  +--ro src-test-point
    | +--ro ni?                 routing-instance-ref
    | +--ro tp-location-type    identityref
    | +--ro mac-address
      | +--ro mac-address        yang:mac-address
    | +--ro ipv4-address        inet:ipv4-address
    | +--ro ipv6-address        inet:ipv6-address
    | +--ro tp-attribute
      | +--ro tp-attribute-type? address-attribute-type
      | +--ro (tp-attribute-value)?
        +-:(ip-prefix)
          | +--ro ip-prefix?        inet:ip-prefix
        +-:(bgp)
          | +--ro bgp?             inet:ip-prefix
        +-:(tunnel)
          | +--ro tunnel-interface? uint32
        +-:(pw)
          | +--ro remote-pe-address? inet:ip-address
          | +--ro pw-id?           uint32
        +-:(vpls)
          | +--ro route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
          | +--ro sender-ve-id?     uint16
          | +--ro receiver-ve-id?   uint16
        +-:(mpls-mldp)
          +--ro (root-address)?
            +-:(ip-address)
              | +--ro source-address?  inet:ip-address
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 12]

```
| | | | | +--ro group-ip-address?      inet:ip-address
| | | | +---:(vpn)
| | | | | +--ro as-number?          inet:as-number
| | | | +---:(global-id)
| | | | | +--ro lsp-id?           string
| | +--ro system-info
| | | +--ro router-id?        rt:router-id
+--ro dest-test-point
| | +--ro ni?                  routing-instance-ref
| | +--ro tp-location-type    identityref
| | +--ro mac-address
| | | +--ro mac-address       yang:mac-address
| | +--ro ipv4-address
| | | +--ro ipv4-address       inet:ipv4-address
| | +--ro ipv6-address
| | | +--ro ipv6-address       inet:ipv6-address
| | +--ro tp-attribute
| | | +--ro tp-attribute-type? address-attribute-type
| | | +--ro (tp-attribute-value)?
| | | | +---:(ip-prefix)
| | | | | +--ro ip-prefix?       inet:ip-prefix
| | | | +---:(bgp)
| | | | | +--ro bgp?            inet:ip-prefix
| | | | +---:(tunnel)
| | | | | +--ro tunnel-interface? uint32
| | | | +---:(pw)
| | | | | +--ro remote-pe-address?   inet:ip-address
| | | | | +--ro pw-id?           uint32
| | | | +---:(vpls)
| | | | | +--ro route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
| | | | | +--ro sender-ve-id?     uint16
| | | | | +--ro receiver-ve-id?   uint16
| | | | +---:(mpls-mldp)
| | | | | +--ro (root-address)?
| | | | | | +---:(ip-address)
| | | | | | | +--ro source-address?   inet:ip-address
| | | | | | | +--ro group-ip-address?   inet:ip-address
| | | | | +---:(vpn)
| | | | | | +--ro as-number?          inet:as-number
| | | | | +---:(global-id)
| | | | | | +--ro lsp-id?           string
| | +--ro system-info
| | | +--ro router-id?        rt:router-id
+--ro sequence-number?          uint64
+--ro hop-cnt?                uint8
+--ro session-packet-statistics
| | +--ro rx-packet-count?    uint32
| | +--ro tx-packet-count?    uint32
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 13]

```
|   +-+ro rx-bad-packet?      uint32
|   +-+ro tx-packet-failed?   uint32
+-+ro session-error-statistics
|   +-+ro packet-loss-count?   uint32
|   +-+ro loss-ratio?          percentage
|   +-+ro packet-reorder-count? uint32
|   +-+ro packets-out-of-seq-count? uint32
|   +-+ro packets-dup-count?   uint32
+-+ro session-delay-statistics
|   +-+ro time-unit-value?     identityref
|   +-+ro min-delay-value?    uint32
|   +-+ro max-delay-value?    uint32
|   +-+ro average-delay-value? uint32
+-+ro session-jitter-statistics
|   +-+ro unit-value?          identityref
|   +-+ro min-jitter-value?    uint32
|   +-+ro max-jitter-value?    uint32
|   +-+ro average-jitter-value? uint32
+-+ro path-verification
|   +-+ro flow-info?           string
|   +-+ro session-path-verification-statistics
|       +-+ro verified-count?  uint32
|       +-+ro failed-count?   uint32
+-+ro path-trace-info
    +-+ro path-trace-info-list* [index]
        +-+ro index              uint32
        +-+ro ni?                routing-instance-ref
        +-+ro tp-location-type    identityref
        +-+ro mac-address
            +-+ro mac-address    yang:mac-address
        +-+ro ipv4-address
            +-+ro ipv4-address    inet:ipv4-address
        +-+ro ipv6-address
            +-+ro ipv6-address    inet:ipv6-address
        +-+ro tp-attribute
            +-+ro tp-attribute-type? address-attribute-type
            +-+ro (tp-attribute-value)?
                +-+:(ip-prefix)
                    +-+ro ip-prefix?      inet:ip-prefix
                +-+:(bgp)
                    +-+ro bgp?          inet:ip-prefix
                +-+:(tunnel)
                    +-+ro tunnel-interface? uint32
                +-+:(pw)
                    +-+ro remote-pe-address? inet:ip-address
                    +-+ro pw-id?         uint32
                +-+:(vpls)
                    +-+ro route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 14]

```

|   |   +-+ro sender-ve-id?          uint16
|   |   +-+ro receiver-ve-id?        uint16
|   +-:(mpls-mldp)
|       +-+ro (root-address)?
|           +-:(ip-address)
|               |   +-+ro source-address?      inet:ip-address
|               |   +-+ro group-ip-address?    inet:ip-address
|           +-:(vpn)
|               |   +-+ro as-number?         inet:as-number
|               +-:(global-id)
|                   +-+ro lsp-id?          string
+-+ro system-info
|   +-+ro router-id?    rt:router-id
+-+ro timestamp-type?      identityref
+-+ro timestamp-64bit
|   +-+ro timestamp-sec?     uint32
|   +-+ro timestamp-nanosec?  uint32
+-+ro timestamp-80bit {ptp-long-format}?
|   +-+ro timestamp-sec?     uint64
|   +-+ro timestamp-nanosec?  uint32
+-+ro ntp-timestamp-32bit {ntp-short-format}?
|   +-+ro timestamp-sec?     uint16
|   +-+ro timestamp-nanosec?  uint16
+-+ro icmp-timestamp-32bit {icmp-timestamp}?
|   +-+ro timestamp-millisecond? uint32
+-+ro ingress-intf-name?    if:interface-ref
+-+ro egress-intf-name?    if:interface-ref
+-+ro queue-depth?          uint32
+-+ro transit-delay?        uint32
+-+ro app-meta-data?        uint64

```

data hierarchy of OAM Retrieval Methods

[4.](#) OAM Retrieval Methods YANG Module

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-connectionless-oam-methods@2017-09-06.yang"

```

module ietf-connectionless-oam-methods {
namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-connectionless-oam-methods";
prefix cloam-methods;
import ietf-interfaces {
  prefix if;
}
import ietf-connectionless-oam {
  prefix cl-oam;
}
organization
  "IETF LIME Working Group";

```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 15]

```
contact
  "Deepak Kumar dekumar@cisco.com
   Qin Wu bill.wu@huawei.com
   S Raghavan srihari@cisco.com
   Zitao Wang wangzitao@huawei.com
   R Rahman rrahman@cisco.com";
description
  "This YANG module defines the RPC operations for
   connectionless OAM to be used within IETF
   in a protocol Independent manner.
   It is assumed that each protocol maps
   corresponding abstracts to its native format.
   Each protocol may extend the YANG model defined
   here to include protocol specific extensions";

revision 2017-09-06{
  description
    "08 version";
  reference "draft-ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam-methods";
}
identity protocol-id {
  description
    "This is base identity for a generic protocol ID. The protocol
     registry can be found in https://www.iana.org/protocols.";
}
identity protocol-id-internet {
  base protocol-id;
  description
    "Identity for Internet Protocols.";
}
identity protocol-id-proprietary {
  base protocol-id;
  description
    "Identity for proprietary protocol (e.g., IP SLA).";
}
identity protocol-id-sfc {
  base protocol-id;
  description
    "Identity for Service Function Chaining.";
}
identity protocol-id-mpls {
  base protocol-id;
  description
    "MPLS protocol.";
}
identity protocol-id-mpls-tp {
  base protocol-id;
  description
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 16]

```
        "MPLS-TP protocol.";  
    }  
    identity protocol-id-twamp {  
        base protocol-id;  
        description  
            "TWAMP protocol.";  
    }  
    identity protocol-id-bier {  
        base protocol-id;  
        description  
            "BIER protocol.";  
    }  
    identity status-code {  
        description  
            "This is Base Identity for status code.";  
    }  
    identity success-reach {  
        base status-code;  
        description  
            "Indicate that the destination being verified  
            is reachable (See RFC7276).";  
    }  
    identity fail-reach {  
        base status-code;  
        description  
            "Indicate that the destination being verified  
            is not reachable (See RFC7276).";  
    }  
    identity success-path-verification {  
        base status-code;  
        description  
            "Indicate that the path verification is performed  
            successfully (See RFC7276).";  
    }  
    identity fail-path-verification {  
        base status-code;  
        description  
            "Indicate that the path verification fails (See RFC7276).";  
    }  
    identity status-sub-code {  
        description  
            "IdentityBase status sub code.";  
    }  
        identity invalid-cc {  
            base status-sub-code;  
            description  
                "Indicates that the Continuity check message is invalid (See RFC7276).";  
        }
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 17]

```
identity invalid-pd {
    base status-sub-code;
    description
        "Indicates that the path discovery message is invalid (See RFC7276).";
}
identity protocol-id-meta-data {
    description
        "This is base identity for meta-data corresponding
         to protocol ID.";
}
identity protocol-internet-number {
    base protocol-id-meta-data;
    description
        "Internet Protocol Number for standard
         Internet Protocols (IANA assigned Internet
         Protocol numbers) to help in protocol processing.
         The protocol IDs registry can be found in
         https://www.iana.org/assignments/protocol-numbers/protocol-numbers.xhtml.";
}
grouping rpc-input-parameters {
    container destination-tp {
        uses cl-oam:tp-address;
        description
            "Destination test point.";
    }
    leaf source-interface {
        type if:interface-ref;
        mandatory true;
        description
            "Source interface.";
    }
    leaf outbound-interface {
        type if:interface-ref;
        mandatory true;
        description
            "Outbound interface.";
    }
    leaf vrf {
        type cl-oam:routing-instance-ref;
        description
            "VRF instance.";
    }
    description
        "Grouping for RPC input parameters";
}
rpc continuity-check {
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 18]

```
if-feature "cl-oam:continuity-check";
description
"Continuity-check RPC operation as per RFC7276 .";
input {
    uses rpc-input-parameters;
    uses cl-oam:session-type {
        description
"If session-type is specified, then session-type
must be set to on-demand";
    }
    leaf count {
        type uint32 {
            range 0..4294967295 {
                description
"The overall number of packet to be transmitted
by the sender. The value of count will be set
to zero (0) on creation and will thereafter increase
monotonically until it reaches a maximum value of 2^32-1
(4294967295 decimal), when it wraps around and starts
increasing again from zero.";
            }
        }
    default "5";
    description
"Specifies the number of
packets that will be sent. By
default, the packet number is
set to 5.";
    }
    leaf ttl {
        type uint8;
    default "255";
    description
"Time to live (TTL) used to limit lifetime
of data packet transmitted in the network
and prevent looping. The TTL value is decremented
for every hop which the packet traverses. If the
TTL is zero, the data packet will be discarded.";
    }
    leaf packet-size {
        type uint32 {
            range "64..10000";
        }
    default "64";
    description
"Packet size of continuity-check message, in octets.
By default, the packet size is set to 64 octets.";
    }
}
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 19]

```
}
```

```
output {
```

```
list status-code-list {
```

```
    key "response-index";
```

```
    leaf response-index {
```

```
        type uint32;
```

```
        description
```

```
            "Response index.;"
```

```
}
```

```
    leaf protocol-id {
```

```
        type identityref {
```

```
            base protocol-id;
```

```
        }
```

```
        mandatory true;
```

```
        description
```

```
            "Protocol used in continuity check message.
```

```
            This could be a standard protocol (e.g.,
```

```
            TCP/IP protocols, MPLS etc.,) or a proprietary
```

```
            protocol as identified by this field.";
```

```
}
```

```
    leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
```

```
        type identityref {
```

```
            base protocol-id-meta-data;
```

```
        }
```

```
        description
```

```
            "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.
```

```
            For e.g., this could be the Internet Protocol number
```

```
            for standard Internet Protocols for help in protocol
```

```
            processing.";
```

```
}
```

```
    leaf status-code {
```

```
        type identityref{
```

```
            base status-code;
```

```
        }
```

```
        mandatory true;
```

```
        description
```

```
            "Status code for continuity check RPC operation.
```

```
            This could be a basic status code (e.g., destination
```

```
            is reachable or destination is not reachable (See RFC7276))
```

```
            or some customized status code as identified by this field.";
```

```
}
```

```
    leaf status-sub-code {
```

```
        type identityref{
```

```
            base status-sub-code;
```

```
        }
```

```
        mandatory true;
```

```
        description
```

```
            "An optional status sub code for continuity check
```



```
RPC operation. If the basic status code is destination
reachable, this status-sub-code doesn't need to be specified.
If the basic status code is destination unreachable, the
status-sub-code can be used to specify the detailed reasons.
This could be a basic sub-status-code(such as invalid cc) or
other error codes specific to the protocol under use for CC
For example if ICMP is the protocol under use, the error codes
defined in [RFC4443] can be used to specify the reasons specific to
ICMP. These technology specific status-sub-code can be defined
in technology specific models.";
}
description
"List of Status Code for continuity check RPC operation.";
}
uses cl-oam:continuity-check-data;
}
}

rpc path-discovery {
if-feature "cl-oam:path-discovery";
description
"Path discovery RPC operation as per RFC7276.";
input {
uses rpc-input-parameters;
uses cl-oam:session-type {
description
"If session-type is specified, then session-type
must be set to on-demand";
}
leaf max-ttl {
type uint8;
default "255";
description
"Maximum TTL indicates the maixmum number of hops that
a packet is permitted to travel before being discarded
by a router. By default, the maximum TTL is set to 255.";
}
}
output {
list response-list {
key "response-index";
description
"Path discovery response list.";
leaf response-index {
type uint32;
mandatory true;
description
"Response index.";
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 21]

```
}

leaf protocol-id {
    type identityref {
        base protocol-id;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Protocol used in PD. This could be a standard
         protocol (e.g., TCP/IP protocols, MPLS etc.,)
         or a proprietary protocol as identified by
         this field.";
}
leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
    type identityref {
        base protocol-id-meta-data;
    }
    description
        "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.
         For e.g., this could be the Internet Protocol number
         for standard Internet Protocols for help in protocol
         processing.";
}
leaf status-code {
    type identityref{
        base status-code;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Status code for continuity check RPC operation.
         This could be a basic status code (e.g., destination
         is reachable or destination is not reachable) or some
         customized status code as identified by this field.";
}
leaf status-sub-code {
    type identityref{
        base status-sub-code;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "An optional status sub code for continuity check
         RPC operation. If the basic status code is destination
         reachable, this status-sub-code doesn't need to be specified.
         If the basic status code is destination unreachable, the
         status-sub-code can be used to specify the detailed reasons.
         This could be a basic sub-status-code(such as invalid cc) or
         other error codes specific to the protocol under use for CC
         For example if ICMP is the protocol under use, the error codes
         defined in [RFC4443] can be used to specify the reasons specific to
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 22]

```
        ICMP. These technology specific status-sub-code can be defined
        in technology specific models.";
    }
}
uses cl-oam:path-discovery-data;
}
}
}

<CODE ENDS>
```

5. Security Considerations

The YANG module defined in this document is designed to be accessed via network management protocols such as NETCONF [[RFC6241](#)] or RESTCONF [[RFC8040](#)]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [[RFC6242](#)]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [[RFC5246](#)].

The NETCONF access control model [[RFC6536](#)] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content.

Some of the RPC operations in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control access to these operations. These are the operations and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

- o continuity-check: Generates continuity check.
- o path-discovery: Generates path discovery.

These operations are used to retrieve the data from the device that need to execute the OAM command. Unauthorized source access to some sensitive information in the above data may be used for network reconnaissance or lead to Denial-of-Service attack on both the local device and the network.

6. IANA Considerations

This document registers a URI in the IETF XML registry [[RFC3688](#)]. Following the format in [[RFC3688](#)], the following registration is requested to be made:

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-connectionless-oam-methods

Registrant Contact: The IESG.

XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers a YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry [[RFC6020](#)].

name: ietf-connectionless-oam-methods

namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-connectionless-oam-methods

prefix: cloam-methods

reference: RFC XXXX

[7. Acknowledgements](#)

The authors of this document would like to thank Elwyn Davies, Alia Atlas, Brian E Carpenter, Greg Mirsky, Adam Roach, Alissa Cooper, Eric Rescorla, Ben Campbell, Benoit Claise, Kathleen Moriarty, Benjamin Kaduk and others for their sustainable review and comments, proposals to improve and stabilize document.

[8. References](#)

[8.1. Normative References](#)

[I-D.ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam]

Kumar, D., Wang, Z., Wu, Q., Rahman, R., and S. Raghavan, "Generic YANG Data Model for the Management of Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM) Protocols that use Connectionless Communications", [draft-ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam-14](#) (work in progress), October 2017.

[RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", [BCP 81](#), [RFC 3688](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3688, January 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3688>>.

[RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", [RFC 5246](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5246, August 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5246>>.

[RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [RFC 6020](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6020, October 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020>>.

- [RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [RFC 6241](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>>.
- [RFC6242] Wasserman, M., "Using the NETCONF Protocol over Secure Shell (SSH)", [RFC 6242](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6242, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6242>>.
- [RFC6536] Bierman, A. and M. Bjorklund, "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF) Access Control Model", [RFC 6536](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6536, March 2012, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6536>>.
- [RFC7011] Claise, B., Ed., Trammell, B., Ed., and P. Aitken, "Specification of the IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) Protocol for the Exchange of Flow Information", STD 77, [RFC 7011](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7011, September 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7011>>.
- [RFC792] Postel, J., "Internet Control Message Protocol", [RFC 792](#), September 1981.
- [RFC8040] Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", [RFC 8040](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8040, January 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040>>.

[8.2. Informative References](#)

- [I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push]
Clemm, A., Voit, E., Prieto, A., Tripathy, A., Nilsen-Nygaard, E., Bierman, A., and B. Lengyel, "YANG Datastore Subscription", [draft-ietf-netconf-yang-push-11](#) (work in progress), October 2017.
- [RFC4443] Conta, A., Deering, S., and M. Gupta, Ed., "Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMPv6) for the Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", STD 89, [RFC 4443](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4443, March 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4443>>.
- [RFC5880] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)", [RFC 5880](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5880, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5880>>.
- [RFC6087] Bierman, A., "Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of YANG Data Model Documents", [RFC 6087](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6087, January 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6087>>.

- [RFC7276] Mizrahi, T., Sprecher, N., Bellagamba, E., and Y. Weingarten, "An Overview of Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM) Tools", [RFC 7276](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7276, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7276>>.
- [RFC8029] Kompella, K., Swallow, G., Pignataro, C., Ed., Kumar, N., Aldrin, S., and M. Chen, "Detecting Multiprotocol Label Switched (MPLS) Data-Plane Failures", [RFC 8029](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8029, March 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8029>>.

Appendix A. Appendix A.1 Extending Connectionless OAM Method Module Example

The following is an example of extensions possible to "ietf-connectionless-oam-methods" YANG model defined in this document.

The snippet below depicts an example of augmenting the "ietf-connectionless-oam-methods" YANG model with ICMP ping attributes:

```
augment "/cloam-methods:continuity-check"
+="/cloam-methods:output"{
    container session-rtt-statistics{
        leaf min-rtt{
            type uint32;
        description
        "This minimum ping round-trip-time(RTT) received.";
        }
        leaf max-rtt{
            type uint32;
        description
        "This maximum ping round-trip-time(RTT) received.";
        }
        leaf avg-rtt{
            type uint32;
        description
        "The current average ping round-trip-time(RTT)";
        }
        description
        "This container presents the ping round-trip-time statistics.";
    }
}
```


[Appendix B.](#) [Appendix A.2](#) Example of new retrieval procedures Model

As discussed in introduction section of this document, the new retrieval procedures can be defined for retrieval of the same data defined by base YANG Data model for connectionless OAM protocols. This appendix demonstrates how the base connectionless OAM data model can be extended to support persistent data retrieval besides on demand retrieval procedures defined in [section 3](#), i.e., first retrieve persistent-id based on destination test point location information and then retrieve export details based on persistent-id. Internet Protocol Flow Information Export (IPFIX) [[RFC7011](#)] or YANG-push [[I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push](#)]. are currently outlined here as data export options and more can be added in future.

The YANG module "example-cl-oam-persistent-methods" shown below is intended as an illustration rather than a real definition of a RPC operation model for persistent data retrieval. For the sake of brevity, this module does not obey all the guidelines specified in [[RFC6087](#)].

```
module example-cl-oam-persistent-methods {
namespace "http://example.com/cl-oam-persistent-methods";
prefix pcloam-methods;
import ietf-interfaces {
    prefix if;
}
import ietf-connectionless-oam {
    prefix cl-oam;
}
import ietf-yang-types {
    prefix yang;
}
identity export-method {
    description
        "Base identity to represent a conceptual export-method.";
}
identity ipfix-export {
    base export-method;
    description
        "IPFIX based export. Configuration provided separately.";
}
identity yang-push-export {
    base export-method;
    description
        "Yang-push from draft-ietf-netconf-yang-push;";
}
identity protocol-id {
    description
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 27]

```
        "A generic protocol identifier.";
    }
identity status-code {
  description
  "Base status code";
}
identity success-reach {
  base status-code;
  description
  "Indicate that the destination being verified
   is reachable";
}
identity fail-reach {
  base status-code;
  description
  "Indicate that the destination being verified
   is not reachable";
}
identity success-path-verification {
  base status-code;
  description
  "Indicate that the path verification is performed
   successfully.";
}
identity fail-path-verification {
  base status-code;
  description
  "Indicate that the path verification fails.";
}
identity status-sub-code {
  description
  "Base status sub code";
}
  identity invalid-cc {
  base status-sub-code;
  description
  "Indicates that the Continuity check message is invalid";
}
  identity invalid-pd {
  base status-sub-code;
  description
  "Indicates that the path discovery message is invalid";
}
typedef export-method {
  type identityref {
    base export-method;
  }
  description
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 28]

```
        "Export method type.";
    }
typedef change-type {
    type enumeration {
        enum "create" {
            description
                "Change due to a create.";
        }
        enum "delete" {
            description
                "Change due to a delete.";
        }
        enum "modify" {
            description
                "Change due to an update.";
        }
    }
    description
        "Different types of changes that may occur.";
}

rpc cc-get-persistent-id {
    if-feature "cl-oam:continuity-check";
    description
        "Obtains continuity-check persistent identification given mapping
         parameters as input.";
    input {
        container destination-tp {
            uses cl-oam:tp-address;
            description
                "Destination test point.";
        }
        uses cl-oam:session-type;
        leaf source-interface {
            type if:interface-ref;
            description
                "Source interface.";
        }
        leaf outbound-interface {
            type if:interface-ref;
            description
                "Outbound interface.";
        }
        leaf vrf {
            type cl-oam:routing-instance-ref;
            description
                "VRF instance.";
        }
    }
}
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 29]

```
}

output {
    container error-code {
        leaf protocol-id {
            type identityref {
                base protocol-id;
            }
            mandatory true;
            description
                "Protocol used. This could be a standard
                 protocol (e.g., TCP/IP protocols, MPLS etc.,)
                 or a proprietary protocol as identified by
                 this field.";
        }
        leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
            type uint64;
            description
                "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.
                 For e.g., this could be the Internet Protocol number
                 for standard Internet Protocols for help in protocol
                 processing.";
        }
        leaf status-code {
            type identityref{
                base status-code;
            }
            mandatory true;
            description
                "Error code.";
        }
        leaf status-sub-code {
            type identityref{
                base status-sub-code;
            }
            mandatory true;
            description
                "Sub code for CC.";
        }
        description
            "Error code and Sub Code.";
    }

    leaf cc-persistent-id {
        type string;
        description
            "Id to act as a cookie.";
    }
}
```



```
}
```

```
rpc cc-persistent-get-export-details {
    if-feature "cl-oam:continuity-check";
    description
        "Given the persistent id, gets the configuration
         options, details related to the configured data
         export.";
    input {
        leaf cc-persistent-id {
            type string;
            description
                "Persistent Id for use as a key in search.";
        }
    }
    output {
        container error-code {
            leaf protocol-id {
                type identityref {
                    base protocol-id;
                }
                mandatory true;
                description
                    "Protocol used. This could be a standard
                     protocol (e.g., TCP/IP protocols, MPLS etc.,)
                     or a proprietary protocol as identified by
                     this field.";
            }
            leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
                type uint64;
                description
                    "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.
                     For e.g., this could be the Internet Protocol number
                     for standard Internet Protocols for help in protocol
                     processing.";
            }
            leaf status-code {
                type identityref{
                    base status-code;
                }
                mandatory true;
                description
                    "Error code.";
            }
            leaf status-sub-code {
                type identityref{
                    base status-sub-code;
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```



```
mandatory true;
description
  "Sub code for CC.";
}
description
  "Error code and Sub Code.";
}

leaf data-export-method {
  type export-method;
  description
    "Type of export in use.";
}

choice cc-trigger {
  description
    "Necessary conditions for
    periodic or on-change trigger.";
  case periodic {
    description
      "Periodic reports.";
    leaf period {
      type yang:timeticks;
      description
        "Time interval between reports.";
    }
    leaf start-time {
      type yang:date-and-time;
      description
        "Timestamp from which reports were started.";
    }
  }
  case on-change {
    description
      "On-change trigger and not periodic.";
    leaf all-data-on-start {
      type boolean;
      description
        "Full update done on start or not.";
    }
    leaf-list excluded-change {
      type change-type;
      description
        "Changes that will not trigger an update.";
    }
  }
}
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 32]

```
}
```

```
rpc pd-get-persistent-id {
    if-feature "cl-oam:path-discovery";
    description
        "Obtains persistent path discovery identification.";

    input {
        container destination-tp {
            uses cl-oam:tp-address;
            description
                "Destination test point.";
        }
        uses cl-oam:session-type;
        leaf source-interface {
            type if:interface-ref;
            description
                "Source interface.";
        }
        leaf outbound-interface {
            type if:interface-ref;
            description
                "Outbound interface.";
        }
        leaf vrf {
            type cl-oam:routing-instance-ref;
            description
                "VRF";
        }
    }
    output {
        list response-list {
            key "response-index";
            description
                "Path discovery response list.";
            leaf response-index {
                type uint32;
                mandatory true;
                description
                    "Response index.";
            }
            leaf protocol-id {
                type identityref {
                    base protocol-id;
                }
                mandatory true;
                description
                    "Protocol used. This could be a standard"
            }
        }
    }
}
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 33]

```
    protocol (e.g., TCP/IP protocols, MPLS etc.,)
    or a proprietary protocol as identified by
    this field.";
}
leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
    type uint64;
    description
        "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.
        For e.g., this could be the Internet Protocol number
        for standard Internet Protocols for help in protocol
        processing.";
}
leaf status-code {
    type identityref {
        base status-code;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Error code for Persistent Path Discovery Information. ";
}
leaf status-sub-code {
    type identityref{
        base status-sub-code;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Sub code for Persistent Path Discovery Information. ";
}
leaf pd-persistent-id {
    type string;
    description
        "Id to act as a cookie.";
}
}
}
}

rpc pd-persistent-get-export-details {
    if-feature "cl-oam:path-discovery";
    description
        "Given the persistent id, gets the configuration
        options, details related to the configured data
        export.";
    input {
        leaf cc-persistent-id {
            type string;
            description
                "Persistent ID for use as a key in search.";
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 1, 2018

[Page 34]

```
        }
```

```
}
```

```
    }
```

```
    output {
```

```
        list response-list {
```

```
            key "response-index";
```

```
            description
```

```
                "Path discovery response list.";
```

```
            leaf response-index {
```

```
                type uint32;
```

```
                mandatory true;
```

```
                description
```

```
                    "Response index.;"
```

```
            }
```

```
            leaf protocol-id {
```

```
                type identityref {
```

```
                    base protocol-id;
```

```
                }
```

```
                mandatory true;
```

```
                description
```

```
                    "Protocol used. This could be a standard
```

```
                     protocol (e.g., TCP/IP protocols, MPLS etc.,)
```

```
                     or a proprietary protocol as identified by
```

```
                     this field.";
```

```
            }
```

```
            leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
```

```
                type uint64;
```

```
                description
```

```
                    "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.
```

```
                     For e.g., this could be the Internet Protocol number
```

```
                     for standard Internet Protocols for help in protocol
```

```
                     processing.";
```

```
            }
```

```
            leaf status-code {
```

```
                type identityref{
```

```
                    base status-code;
```

```
                }
```

```
                mandatory true;
```

```
                description
```

```
                    "Error code for Persistent Path Discovery Creation. ";
```

```
            }
```

```
            leaf status-sub-code {
```

```
                type identityref{
```

```
                    base status-sub-code;
```

```
                }
```

```
                mandatory true;
```

```
                description
```

```
                    "Sub code for Persistent Path Discovery Creation. ";
```



```
    }
    leaf data-export-method {
      type export-method;
      description
        "Type of export.";
    }
    choice pd-trigger {
      description
        "Necessary conditions
         for periodic or on-change
         trigger.";
      case periodic {
        description
          "Periodic reports.";
        leaf period {
          type yang:timeticks;
          description
            "Time interval between reports.";
        }
        leaf start-time {
          type yang:date-and-time;
          description
            "Timestamp from which reports are started.";
        }
      }
      case on-change {
        description
          "On-change trigger and not periodic.";
        leaf all-data-on-start {
          type boolean;
          description
            "Full update done on start or not.";
        }
        leaf-list excluded-change {
          type change-type;
          description
            "Changes that will not trigger an update.";
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```


Authors' Addresses

Deepak Kumar
CISCO Systems
510 McCarthy Blvd
Milpitas, CA 95035
USA

Email: dekumar@cisco.com

Michael Wang
Huawei Technologies, Co., Ltd
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District
Nanjing 210012
China

Email: wangzitao@huawei.com

Qin Wu (editor)
Huawei
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012
China

Email: bill.wu@huawei.com

Reshad Rahman
CISCO Systems
2000 Innovation Drive
Kanata, Ontario K2K 3E8
Canada

Email: rrahman@cisco.com

Srihari Raghavan
CISCO Systems
Tril Infopark Sez, Ramanujan IT City
Neville Block, 2nd floor, Old Mahabalipuram Road
Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600113
India

Email: srihari@cisco.com

