

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: May 16, 2018

D. Kumar
Cisco
M. Wang
Q. Wu, Ed.
Huawei
R. Rahman
S. Raghavan
Cisco

November 12, 2017

Retrieval Methods YANG Data Model for the Management of Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM) Protocols that use Connectionless Communications

[***draft-ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam-methods-13***](#)

Abstract

This document presents a retrieval method YANG Data model for connectionless OAM protocols. It provides technology-independent RPC operations for OAM protocols that use connectionless communication. The retrieval methods model herein presented can be extended to include technology specific details. There are two key benefits of this approach: First, it leads to uniformity between OAM protocols. And second, it support both nested OAM workflows (i.e., performing OAM functions at different or same levels through a unified interface) as well as interactive OAM workflows (i.e., performing OAM functions at same levels through a unified interface).

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 16, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction	2
2. Conventions used in this document	3
2.1. Terminology	4
2.2. Tree Diagrams	4
3. Overview of the Connectionless OAM retrieval methods Model	5
3.1. RPC operation definitions	5
3.2. OAM Retrieval Methods Hierarchy	8
4. OAM Retrieval Methods YANG Module	15
5. Security Considerations	23
6. IANA Considerations	23
7. Acknowledgements	24
8. References	24
8.1. Normative References	24
8.2. Informative References	25
Appendix A. Appendix A.1 Extending Connectionless OAM Method Module Example	26
Appendix B. Appendix A.2 Example of new retrieval procedures Model	26
Authors' Addresses	36

1. Introduction

Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM) are important networking functions that allow operators to:

1. Monitor network communications (i.e., Reachability Verification, Continuity Check)
2. Troubleshoot failures (i.e., Fault verification and Localization)

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 2]

3. Monitor service-level agreements and performance (i.e., Performance Management)

An overview of OAM tools is presented at [[RFC7276](#)].

Ping and Traceroute [[RFC792](#)] [[RFC4443](#)], as well as BFD [[RFC5880](#)] are well-known fault verification and isolation tools, respectively, for IP networks. Over the years, different technologies have developed similar toolsets for equivalent purposes.

This document presents an on-demand retrieval method YANG Data model for OAM protocols that use connectionless communication. This model provides technology-independent RPC operations for OAM protocols that use connectionless communication (i.e., connectionless oam). It is separated from the generic YANG model for connectionless OAM [[I-D.ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam](#)] and can avoid mixing the models for the retrieved-data from the retrieval procedures. It is expected that retrieval procedures would evolve faster than the data model [[I-D.ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam](#)] and will allow new procedures to be defined for retrieval of the same data defined by the generic YANG data model for connectionless OAM.

[**2. Conventions used in this document**](#)

The following terms are defined in [[RFC6241](#)] and are used in this document:

- o client
- o configuration data
- o server
- o state data

The following terms are defined in [[RFC6020](#)] and are used in this document:

- o augment
- o data model
- o data node

The terminology for describing YANG data models is found in [[RFC6020](#)].

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 3]

2.1. Terminology

TP - Test Point

MAC - Media Access Control

RPC - Remote Procedure Call

RPC Operation - A specific Remote Procedure Call

2.2. Tree Diagrams

A simplified graphical representation of the data model is used in this document. The meaning of the symbols in these diagrams is as follows:

Each node is printed as:

<status> <flags> <name> <opts> <type>

<status> is one of:
+ for current

<flags> is one of:

rw for configuration data
ro for non-configuration data
-x for rpcs
-n for notifications

<name> is the name of the node

If the node is augmented into the tree from another module, its name is printed as <prefix>:<name>.

<opts> is one of:

? for an optional leaf or choice
! for a presence container
* for a leaf-list or list
[<keys>] for a list's keys

<type> is the name of the type for leafs and leaf-lists

3. Overview of the Connectionless OAM retrieval methods Model

This document describes an On-demand retrieval method YANG Data model for OAM protocols that use connectionless communication. This model provides technology-independent retrieval procedures (RPC operations) for connectionless OAM protocols. It provides a flexible way to retrieve the data which defined by the "ietf-connectionless-oam.yang" module [[I-D.ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam](#)].

3.1. RPC operation definitions

The RPC model facilitates issuing commands to a NETCONF server (in this case to the device that need to execute the OAM command) and obtaining a response.

Under 'connectionless-oam-methods' module, we summarize common OAM functions and define two generic RPC operations: 'continuity-check' and 'path-discovery'. In practice, these RPC operations are activated on-demand and supported by corresponding technology-specific OAM tools [[RFC7276](#)]. For example, for the IP OAM model, the continuity-check RPC corresponds to the IP Ping [[RFC792](#)] [[RFC4443](#)], while the path-discovery RPC operation corresponds to IP Traceroute [[RFC792](#)] [[RFC4443](#)].

Note that the RPC operation presented in this document is the base building block, which is used to derive a model for a technology-specific OAM (i.e., ICMP Ping [[RFC792](#)] [[RFC4443](#)], and LSP Ping [[RFC8029](#)]). This base building block should be extended with corresponding technology specific parameters. To facilitate this for future enhancements to data retrieval methods, the RPCs are captured under a separate module.

The generic 'tp-address' grouping is used as data input from different RPCs described in this document. The generic 'path-discovery-data' and 'continuity-check-data' groupings defined by the "ietf-connectionless-oam.yang" module [[I-D.ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam](#)] are used as data outputs from different RPCs described in this document. Similar methods including other RPCs can retrieve the data using the same data model (i.e., the "ietf-connectionless-oam.yang" module).

```
rpc continuity-check {
    if-feature cl-oam:continuity-check;
    description
        "Continuity-check RPC operation as per RFC7276.";
    input {
        uses rpc-input-parameters;
    }
}
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 5]

```
        }
    output {
        container response-info {
            leaf protocol-id {
                type identityref {
                    base protocol-id;
                }
                mandatory true;
                description
                    "Protocol used in CC. ";
            }
            leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
                type identityref {
                    base protocol-id-meta-data;
                }
                description
                    "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.";
            }
            leaf status-code {
                type identityref{
                    base status-code;
                }
                mandatory true;
                description
                    "Status code for Continuity Check RPC operation.";
            }
            leaf status-sub-code {
                type identityref{
                    base status-sub-code;
                }
                mandatory true;
                description
                    "Status Sub code for Continuity Check RPC operation.";
            }
            description
                "Status Code and Status Sub Code for continuity check RPC
operation.";
        }
        uses cl-oam:continuity-check-data;
    }
}

rpc path-discovery {
    description
        "path discovery RPC operation as per RFC7276.";
    input {
        uses rpc-input-parameters;
        ....
```

}

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 6]

```
output {
    list response-list {
        key "response-index";
        description
            "Path discovery response list.";
        leaf response-index {
            type uint32;
            mandatory true;
            description
                "Response index.";
        }
        leaf protocol-id {
            type identityref {
                base protocol-id;
            }
            mandatory true;
            description
                "Protocol used in PD. ";
        }
        leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
            type identityref {
                base protocol-id-meta-data;
            }
            description
                "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.";
        }
        leaf status-code {
            type identityref{
                base status-code;
            }
            mandatory true;
            description
                "Status code for Path Discovery RPC operation. ";
        }
        leaf status-sub-code {
            type identityref{
                base status-sub-code;
            }
            mandatory true;
            description
                "Status Sub code for Path Discovery RPC operation. ";
        }
    }
    uses cl-oam:path-discovery-data;
}
```

Snippet of data hierarchy related to RPC operations

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 7]

[3.2. OAM Retrieval Methods Hierarchy](#)

The complete data hierarchy related to the Connectionless OAM Retrieval Methods YANG model is presented below.

```
module: ietf-connectionless-oam-methods
rpcs:
  +---x continuity-check {cl-oam:continuity-check}?
    | +---w input
    | | +---w destination-tp
    | | | +---w tp-location-type identityref
    | | | +---w mac-address
    | | | | +---w mac-address yang:mac-address
    | | | +---w ipv4-address
    | | | | +---w ipv4-address inet:ipv4-address
    | | | +---w ipv6-address
    | | | | +---w ipv6-address inet:ipv6-address
    | | +---w tp-attribute
    | | | +---w tp-attribute-type? address-attribute-type
    | | | +---w (tp-attribute-value)?
    | | | | +---:(ip-prefix)
    | | | | | +---w ip-prefix? inet:ip-prefix
    | | | | +---:(bgp)
    | | | | | +---w bgp? inet:ip-prefix
    | | | | +---:(tunnel)
    | | | | | +---w tunnel-interface? uint32
    | | | | +---:(pw)
    | | | | | +---w remote-pe-address? inet:ip-address
    | | | | | +---w pw-id? uint32
    | | | | +---:(vpls)
    | | | | | +---w route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
    | | | | | +---w sender-ve-id? uint16
    | | | | | +---w receiver-ve-id? uint16
    | | | | +---:(mpls-mldp)
    | | | | | +---w (root-address)?
    | | | | | | +---:(ip-address)
    | | | | | | | +---w source-address? inet:ip-address
    | | | | | | | +---w group-ip-address? inet:ip-address
    | | | | | +---:(vpn)
    | | | | | | +---w as-number? inet:as-number
    | | | | | +---:(global-id)
    | | | | | | +---w lsp-id? string
    | | +---w system-info
    | | | +---w router-id? rt:router-id
    | | +---w source-interface if:interface-ref
    | | +---w outbound-interface if:interface-ref
    | | +---w vrf? cl-oam:routing-instance-ref
    | | +---w session-type? enumeration
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 8]

```
| | +---w count?          uint32
| | +---w ttl?           uint8
| | +---w packet-size?   uint32
+--ro output
  +-ro response-info
    | +-ro protocol-id      identityref
    | +-ro protocol-id-meta-data? identityref
    | +-ro status-code       identityref
    | +-ro status-sub-code   identityref
  +-ro src-test-point
    | +-ro ni?              routing-instance-ref
    | +-ro tp-location-type  identityref
    +-ro mac-address
      | +-ro mac-address     yang:mac-address
    +-ro ipv4-address
      | +-ro ipv4-address    inet:ipv4-address
    +-ro ipv6-address
      | +-ro ipv6-address    inet:ipv6-address
    +-ro tp-attribute
      | +-ro tp-attribute-type? address-attribute-type
      | +-ro (tp-attribute-value)?
        | ---:(ip-prefix)
          | | +-ro ip-prefix?      inet:ip-prefix
        | ---:(bgp)
          | | +-ro bgp?           inet:ip-prefix
        | ---:(tunnel)
          | | +-ro tunnel-interface? uint32
        | ---:(pw)
          | | +-ro remote-pe-address? inet:ip-address
          | | +-ro pw-id?         uint32
        | ---:(vpls)
          | | +-ro route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
          | | +-ro sender-ve-id?    uint16
          | | +-ro receiver-ve-id?   uint16
        | ---:(mpls-mldp)
          | | +-ro (root-address)?
            | | | ---:(ip-address)
              | | | | +-ro source-address?   inet:ip-address
              | | | | +-ro group-ip-address?  inet:ip-address
            | | | ---:(vpn)
              | | | | +-ro as-number?      inet:as-number
            | | | ---:(global-id)
              | | | | +-ro lsp-id?        string
  +-ro system-info
    | | +-ro router-id?      rt:router-id
    | | +-ro egress-intf-name? if:interface-ref
+-ro dest-test-point
  | | +-ro ni?             routing-instance-ref
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 9]

```
|   |   +-+ro tp-location-type      identityref
|   |   +-+ro mac-address
|   |   |   +-+ro mac-address      yang:mac-address
|   |   +-+ro ipv4-address
|   |   |   +-+ro ipv4-address      inet:ipv4-address
|   |   +-+ro ipv6-address
|   |   |   +-+ro ipv6-address      inet:ipv6-address
|   |   +-+ro tp-attribute
|   |   |   +-+ro tp-attribute-type?    address-attribute-type
|   |   +-+ro (tp-attribute-value)?
|   |   |   +-:(ip-prefix)
|   |   |   |   +-+ro ip-prefix?          inet:ip-prefix
|   |   |   +-:(bgp)
|   |   |   |   +-+ro bgp?              inet:ip-prefix
|   |   |   +-:(tunnel)
|   |   |   |   +-+ro tunnel-interface?  uint32
|   |   |   +-:(pw)
|   |   |   |   +-+ro remote-pe-address?  inet:ip-address
|   |   |   |   +-+ro pw-id?            uint32
|   |   |   +-:(vpls)
|   |   |   |   +-+ro route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
|   |   |   |   +-+ro sender-ve-id?     uint16
|   |   |   |   +-+ro receiver-ve-id?   uint16
|   |   |   +-:(mpls-mldp)
|   |   |   |   +-+ro (root-address)?
|   |   |   |   |   +-:(ip-address)
|   |   |   |   |   |   +-+ro source-address?  inet:ip-address
|   |   |   |   |   |   +-+ro group-ip-address?  inet:ip-address
|   |   |   |   +-:(vpn)
|   |   |   |   |   +-+ro as-number?       inet:as-number
|   |   |   |   +-:(global-id)
|   |   |   |   |   +-+ro lsp-id?         string
|   +-+ro system-info
|   |   +-+ro router-id?    rt:router-id
|   +-+ro ingress-intf-name?  if:interface-ref
+-+ro sequence-number?        uint64
+-+ro hop-cnt?               uint8
+-+ro session-packet-statistics
|   +-+ro rx-packet-count?    uint32
|   +-+ro tx-packet-count?    uint32
|   +-+ro rx-bad-packet?      uint32
|   +-+ro tx-packet-failed?   uint32
+-+ro session-error-statistics
|   +-+ro packet-loss-count?   uint32
|   +-+ro loss-ratio?          percentage
|   +-+ro packet-reorder-count? uint32
|   +-+ro packets-out-of-seq-count? uint32
|   +-+ro packets-dup-count?   uint32
```



```
|   +-+ro session-delay-statistics
|   |   +-+ro time-unit-value?      identityref
|   |   +-+ro min-delay-value?    uint32
|   |   +-+ro max-delay-value?    uint32
|   |   +-+ro average-delay-value? uint32
|   +-+ro session-jitter-statistics
|   |   +-+ro unit-value?          identityref
|   |   +-+ro min-jitter-value?    uint32
|   |   +-+ro max-jitter-value?    uint32
|   |   +-+ro average-jitter-value? uint32
+---x path-discovery {cl-oam:path-discovery}?
  +---w input
    +---w destination-tp
      +---w tp-location-type  identityref
      +---w mac-address
        +---w mac-address  yang:mac-address
      +---w ipv4-address
        +---w ipv4-address  inet:ipv4-address
      +---w ipv6-address
        +---w ipv6-address  inet:ipv6-address
      +---w tp-attribute
        +---w tp-attribute-type? address-attribute-type
        +---w (tp-attribute-value)?
          +---:(ip-prefix)
            +---w ip-prefix?      inet:ip-prefix
          +---:(bgp)
            +---w bgp?           inet:ip-prefix
          +---:(tunnel)
            +---w tunnel-interface? uint32
          +---:(pw)
            +---w remote-pe-address?  inet:ip-address
            +---w pw-id?           uint32
          +---:(vpls)
            +---w route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
            +---w sender-ve-id?     uint16
            +---w receiver-ve-id?    uint16
          +---:(mpls-mldp)
            +---w (root-address)?
              +---:(ip-address)
                +---w source-address?  inet:ip-address
                +---w group-ip-address?  inet:ip-address
              +---:(vpn)
                +---w as-number?       inet:as-number
              +---:(global-id)
                +---w lsp-id?         string
  +---w system-info
    +---w router-id?  rt:router-id
  +---w source-interface  if:interface-ref
```



```
|   +---w outbound-interface      if:interface-ref
|   +---w vrf?                  cl-oam:routing-instance-ref
|   +---w session-type?         enumeration
|   +---w max-ttl?              uint8
+--ro output
  +-ro response-list* [response-index]
    |   +-ro response-index          uint32
    |   +-ro protocol-id            identityref
    |   +-ro protocol-id-meta-data? identityref
    |   +-ro status-code             identityref
    |   +-ro status-sub-code         identityref
+-ro src-test-point
  +-ro ni?                      routing-instance-ref
  +-ro tp-location-type         identityref
  +-ro mac-address
    |   +-ro mac-address           yang:mac-address
  +-ro ipv4-address
    |   +-ro ipv4-address          inet:ipv4-address
  +-ro ipv6-address
    |   +-ro ipv6-address          inet:ipv6-address
  +-ro tp-attribute
    |   +-ro tp-attribute-type?    address-attribute-type
    |   +-ro (tp-attribute-value)?
      +---:(ip-prefix)
        |   +-ro ip-prefix?          inet:ip-prefix
      +---:(bgp)
        |   +-ro bgp?                inet:ip-prefix
      +---:(tunnel)
        |   +-ro tunnel-interface?   uint32
      +---:(pw)
        |   +-ro remote-pe-address?  inet:ip-address
        |   +-ro pw-id?              uint32
      +---:(vpls)
        |   +-ro route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
        |   +-ro sender-ve-id?       uint16
        |   +-ro receiver-ve-id?     uint16
      +---:(mpls-mldp)
        +-ro (root-address)?
          +---:(ip-address)
            |   +-ro source-address?    inet:ip-address
            |   +-ro group-ip-address?  inet:ip-address
          +---:(vpn)
            |   +-ro as-number?         inet:as-number
          +---:(global-id)
            +-ro lsp-id?             string
+-ro system-info
  +-ro router-id?   rt:router-id
+-ro dest-test-point
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 12]

```
|   +-+ro ni?           routing-instance-ref
|   +-+ro tp-location-type identityref
|   +-+ro mac-address
|     |   +-+ro mac-address    yang:mac-address
|   +-+ro ipv4-address
|     |   +-+ro ipv4-address    inet:ipv4-address
|   +-+ro ipv6-address
|     |   +-+ro ipv6-address    inet:ipv6-address
|   +-+ro tp-attribute
|     |   +-+ro tp-attribute-type?  address-attribute-type
|     |   +-+ro (tp-attribute-value)?
|       |   +-+:(ip-prefix)
|         |   +-+ro ip-prefix?      inet:ip-prefix
|       |   +-+:(bgp)
|         |   +-+ro bgp?          inet:ip-prefix
|       |   +-+:(tunnel)
|         |   +-+ro tunnel-interface?  uint32
|       |   +-+:(pw)
|         |   +-+ro remote-pe-address?  inet:ip-address
|         |   +-+ro pw-id?          uint32
|       |   +-+:(vpls)
|         |   +-+ro route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
|         |   +-+ro sender-ve-id?    uint16
|         |   +-+ro receiver-ve-id?  uint16
|       |   +-+:(mpls-mldp)
|         |   +-+ro (root-address)?
|           |   +-+:(ip-address)
|             |   +-+ro source-address?    inet:ip-address
|             |   +-+ro group-ip-address?  inet:ip-address
|           |   +-+:(vpn)
|             |   +-+ro as-number?        inet:as-number
|           |   +-+:(global-id)
|             |   +-+ro lsp-id?          string
|   +-+ro system-info
|     |   +-+ro router-id?    rt:router-id
+-+ro sequence-number?          uint64
+-+ro hop-cnt?                uint8
+-+ro session-packet-statistics
|   +-+ro rx-packet-count?    uint32
|   +-+ro tx-packet-count?    uint32
|   +-+ro rx-bad-packet?     uint32
|   +-+ro tx-packet-failed?  uint32
+-+ro session-error-statistics
|   +-+ro packet-loss-count?  uint32
|   +-+ro loss-ratio?         percentage
|   +-+ro packet-reorder-count? uint32
|   +-+ro packets-out-of-seq-count? uint32
|   +-+ro packets-dup-count?  uint32
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 13]

```
+--ro session-delay-statistics
|   +-+ro time-unit-value?       identityref
|   +-+ro min-delay-value?     uint32
|   +-+ro max-delay-value?     uint32
|   +-+ro average-delay-value? uint32
+--ro session-jitter-statistics
|   +-+ro unit-value?          identityref
|   +-+ro min-jitter-value?    uint32
|   +-+ro max-jitter-value?    uint32
|   +-+ro average-jitter-value? uint32
+-+ro path-verification
|   +-+ro flow-info?           string
|   +-+ro session-path-verification-statistics
|       +-+ro verified-count?  uint32
|       +-+ro failed-count?   uint32
+--ro path-trace-info
    +-+ro path-trace-info-list* [index]
        +-+ro index                 uint32
        +-+ro ni?                  routing-instance-ref
        +-+ro tp-location-type     identityref
        +-+ro mac-address
            +-+ro mac-address      yang:mac-address
        +-+ro ipv4-address
            +-+ro ipv4-address      inet:ipv4-address
        +-+ro ipv6-address
            +-+ro ipv6-address      inet:ipv6-address
        +-+ro tp-attribute
            +-+ro tp-attribute-type? address-attribute-type
            +-+ro (tp-attribute-value)?
                +-+:(ip-prefix)
                    +-+ro ip-prefix?      inet:ip-prefix
                +-+:(bgp)
                    +-+ro bgp?          inet:ip-prefix
                +-+:(tunnel)
                    +-+ro tunnel-interface? uint32
                +-+:(pw)
                    +-+ro remote-pe-address? inet:ip-address
                    +-+ro pw-id?          uint32
                +-+:(vpls)
                    +-+ro route-distinguisher? rt:route-distinguisher
                    +-+ro sender-ve-id?   uint16
                    +-+ro receiver-ve-id? uint16
                +-+:(mpls-mldp)
                    +-+ro (root-address)?
                        +-+:(ip-address)
                            +-+ro source-address?   inet:ip-address
                            +-+ro group-ip-address?  inet:ip-address
                        +-+:(vpn)
```



```
|           |   +-+ro as-number?                 inet:as-number
|           |   +-+:(global-id)
|           |   +-+ro lsp-id?                   string
+-+ro system-info
|   +-+ro router-id?     rt:router-id
+-+ro timestamp-type?      identityref
+-+ro timestamp-64bit
|   +-+ro timestamp-sec?     uint32
|   +-+ro timestamp-nanosec?   uint32
+-+ro timestamp-80bit {ptp-long-format}?
|   +-+ro timestamp-sec?     uint64
|   +-+ro timestamp-nanosec?   uint32
+-+ro ntp-timestamp-32bit {ntp-short-format}?
|   +-+ro timestamp-sec?     uint16
|   +-+ro timestamp-nanosec?   uint16
+-+ro icmp-timestamp-32bit {icmp-timestamp}?
|   +-+ro timestamp-millisec?   uint32
+-+ro ingress-intf-name?      if:interface-ref
+-+ro egress-intf-name?      if:interface-ref
+-+ro queue-depth?           uint32
+-+ro transit-delay?          uint32
+-+ro app-meta-data?         uint64
```

data hierarchy of OAM Retrieval Methods

4. OAM Retrieval Methods YANG Module

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-connectionless-oam-methods@2017-09-06.yang"
```

```
module ietf-connectionless-oam-methods {
namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-connectionless-oam-methods";
prefix cloam-methods;
import ietf-interfaces {
  prefix if;
}
import ietf-connectionless-oam {
  prefix cl-oam;
}
organization
  "IETF LIME Working Group";
contact
  "Deepak Kumar dekumar@cisco.com
  Qin Wu bill.wu@huawei.com
  S Raghavan srihari@cisco.com
  Zitao Wang wangzitao@huawei.com
  R Rahman rrahman@cisco.com";
description
  "This YANG module defines the RPC operations for
```


connectionless OAM to be used within IETF
in a protocol Independent manner.
It is assumed that each protocol maps
corresponding abstracts to its native format.
Each protocol may extend the YANG model defined
here to include protocol specific extensions";

```
revision 2017-09-06{
  description
    "08 version";
  reference "draft-ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam-methods";
}
identity protocol-id {
  description
    "This is base identity for a generic protocol ID. The protocol
     registry can be found in https://www.iana.org/protocols.";
}
identity protocol-id-internet {
  base protocol-id;
  description
    "Identity for Internet Protocols.";
}
identity protocol-id-proprietary {
  base protocol-id;
  description
    "Identity for proprietary protocol (e.g., IP SLA).";
}
identity protocol-id-sfc {
  base protocol-id;
  description
    "Identity for Service Function Chaining.";
}
identity protocol-id-mpls {
  base protocol-id;
  description
    "MPLS protocol.";
}
identity protocol-id-mpls-tp {
  base protocol-id;
  description
    "MPLS-TP protocol.";
}
identity protocol-id-twamp {
  base protocol-id;
  description
    "TWAMP protocol.";
}
identity protocol-id-bier {
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 16]

```
base protocol-id;
description
  "BIER protocol.";
}
identity status-code {
  description
  "This is Base Identity for status code.";
}
identity success-reach {
  base status-code;
  description
  "Indicate that the destination being verified
   is reachable (See RFC7276).";
}
identity fail-reach {
  base status-code;
  description
  "Indicate that the destination being verified
   is not reachable (See RFC7276).";
}
identity success-path-verification {
  base status-code;
  description
  "Indicate that the path verification is performed
   successfully (See RFC7276).";
}
identity fail-path-verification {
  base status-code;
  description
  "Indicate that the path verification fails (See RFC7276).";
}
identity status-sub-code {
  description
  "IdentityBase status sub code.";
}
  identity invalid-cc {
    base status-sub-code;
    description
    "Indicates that the Continuity check message is invalid (See RFC7276).";
}
  identity invalid-pd {
    base status-sub-code;
    description
    "Indicates that the path discovery message is invalid (See RFC7276).";
}
  identity protocol-id-meta-data {
    description
    "This is base identity for meta-data corresponding
```



```
        to protocol ID.";  
    }  
    identity protocol-internet-number {  
        base protocol-id-meta-data;  
        description  
            "Internet Protocol Number for standard  
            Internet Protocols (IANA assigned Internet  
            Protocol numbers) to help in protocol processing.  
            The protocol IDs registry can be found in  
            https://www.iana.org/assignments/protocol-numbers/protocol-numbers.xhtml.";  
    }  
  
grouping rpc-input-parameters {  
    container destination-tp {  
        uses cl-oam:tp-address;  
        description  
            "Destination test point.";  
    }  
    leaf source-interface {  
        type if:interface-ref;  
        mandatory true;  
        description  
            "Source interface.";  
    }  
    leaf outbound-interface {  
        type if:interface-ref;  
        mandatory true;  
        description  
            "Outbound interface.";  
    }  
    leaf vrf {  
        type cl-oam:routing-instance-ref;  
        description  
            "VRF instance.";  
    }  
    description  
        "Grouping for RPC input parameters";  
}  
rpc continuity-check {  
    if-feature "cl-oam:continuity-check";  
    description  
        "Continuity-check RPC operation as per RFC7276.";  
    input {  
        uses rpc-input-parameters;  
        uses cl-oam:session-type {  
            description  
                "If session-type is specified, then session-type
```



```
        must be set to on-demand";
    }
    leaf count {
      type uint32 {
        range 0..4294967295 {
          description
            "The overall number of packet to be transmitted
             by the sender. The value of count will be set
             to zero (0) on creation and will thereafter increase
             monotonically until it reaches a maximum value of 2^32-1
             (4294967295 decimal), when it wraps around and starts
             increasing again from zero.";
        }
      }
      default "5";
      description
        "Specifies the number of
         packets that will be sent. By
         default, the packet number is
         set to 5.";
    }
    leaf ttl {
      type uint8;
      default "255";
      description
        "Time to live (TTL) used to limit lifetime
         of data packet transmitted in the network
         and prevent looping. The TTL value is decremented
         for every hop which the packet traverses. If the
         TTL is zero, the data packet will be discarded.";
    }
    leaf packet-size {
      type uint32 {
        range "64..10000";
      }
      default "64";
      description
        "Packet size of continuity-check message, in octets.
         By default, the packet size is set to 64 octets.";
    }
  }
  output {
    container response-info {
      leaf protocol-id {
        type identityref {
          base protocol-id;
        }
        mandatory true;
```



```
        description
        "Protocol used in continuity check message.
        This could be a standard protocol (e.g.,
        TCP/IP protocols, MPLS etc.,) or a proprietary
        protocol as identified by this field.";
    }
leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
    type identityref {
        base protocol-id-meta-data;
    }
    description
    "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.
    For e.g., this could be the Internet Protocol number
    for standard Internet Protocols for help in protocol
    processing.";
}
leaf status-code {
    type identityref{
    base status-code;
}
mandatory true;
description
    "Status code for continuity check RPC operation.
    This could be a basic status code (e.g., destination
    is reachable or destination is not reachable (See RFC7276))
    or some customized status code as identified by this field.";
}
leaf status-sub-code {
    type identityref{
    base status-sub-code;
}
mandatory true;
description
    "An optional status sub code for continuity check
    RPC operation. If the basic status code is destination
    reachable, this status-sub-code doesn't need to be specified.
    If the basic status code is destination unreachable, the
    status-sub-code can be used to specify the detailed reasons.
    This could be a basic sub-status-code (such as invalid cc) or
    other error codes specific to the protocol under use for CC
    For example if ICMP is the protocol under use, the error codes
    defined in [RFC4443] can be used to specify the reasons specific to
    ICMP. These technology specific status-sub-code can be defined
    in technology specific models.";
}
description
    "Status Code and Status sub code for continuity check RPC operation.";
```



```
    uses cl-oam:continuity-check-data;
}
}

rpc path-discovery {
    if-feature "cl-oam:path-discovery";
    description
        "Path discovery RPC operation as per RFC7276.";
    input {
        uses rpc-input-parameters;
        uses cl-oam:session-type {
            description
                "If session-type is specified, then session-type
                 must be set to on-demand";
        }
        leaf max-ttl {
            type uint8;
            default "255";
            description
                "Maximum TTL indicates the maixmum number of hops that
                 a packet is permitted to travel before being discarded
                 by a router. By default, the maximum TTL is set to 255.";
        }
    }
    output {
        list response-list {
            key "response-index";
            description
                "Path discovery response list.";
            leaf response-index {
                type uint32;
                mandatory true;
                description
                    "Response index.";
            }
            leaf protocol-id {
                type identityref {
                    base protocol-id;
                }
                mandatory true;
                description
                    "Protocol used in PD. This could be a standard
                     protocol (e.g., TCP/IP protocols, MPLS etc.,)
                     or a proprietary protocol as identified by
                     this field.";
            }
            leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
                type identityref {
```



```
    base protocol-id-meta-data;
}
description
"An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.
For e.g., this could be the Internet Protocol number
for standard Internet Protocols for help in protocol
processing.";
```

```
}
```

```
leaf status-code {
    type identityref{
        base status-code;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Status code for continuity check RPC operation.
        This could be a basic status code (e.g., destination
        is reachable or destination is not reachable) or some
        customized status code as identified by this field.";
```

```
}
```

```
leaf status-sub-code {
    type identityref{
        base status-sub-code;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "An optional status sub code for continuity check
        RPC operation. If the basic status code is destination
        reachable, this status-sub-code doesn't need to be specified.
        If the basic status code is destination unreachable, the
        status-sub-code can be used to specify the detailed reasons.
        This could be a basic sub-status-code (such as invalid cc) or
        other error codes specific to the protocol under use for CC
        For example if ICMP is the protocol under use, the error codes
        defined in [RFC4443] can be used to specify the reasons specific to
        ICMP. These technology specific status-sub-code can be defined
        in technology specific models.";
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

```
uses cl-oam:path-discovery-data;
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

```
}
```

<CODE ENDS>

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 22]

5. Security Considerations

The YANG module defined in this document is designed to be accessed via network management protocols such as NETCONF [[RFC6241](#)] or RESTCONF [[RFC8040](#)]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [[RFC6242](#)]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [[RFC5246](#)].

The NETCONF access control model [[RFC6536](#)] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content.

Some of the RPC operations in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control access to these operations. These are the operations and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

- o continuity-check: Generates continuity check.
- o path-discovery: Generates path discovery.

These operations are used to retrieve the data from the device that need to execute the OAM command. Unauthorized source access to some sensitive information in the above data may be used for network reconnaissance or lead to Denial-of-Service attack on both the local device and the network.

6. IANA Considerations

This document registers a URI in the IETF XML registry [[RFC3688](#)]. Following the format in [[RFC3688](#)], the following registration is requested to be made:

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-connectionless-oam-methods

Registrant Contact: The IESG.

XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers a YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry [[RFC6020](#)].

name: ietf-connectionless-oam-methods

namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-connectionless-oam-methods

prefix: cloam-methods

reference: RFC XXXX

7. Acknowledgements

The authors of this document would like to thank Elwyn Davies, Alia Atlas, Brian E Carpenter, Greg Mirsky, Adam Roach, Alissa Cooper, Eric Rescorla, Ben Campbell, Benoit Claise, Kathleen Moriarty, Carlos Pignataro, Benjamin Kaduk, and others for their substantive review, comments, and proposals to improve the document.

8. References

8.1. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam]

Kumar, D., Wang, Z., Wu, Q., Rahman, R., and S. Raghavan, "Generic YANG Data Model for the Management of Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM) Protocols that use Connectionless Communications", [draft-ietf-lime-yang-connectionless-oam-16](#) (work in progress), October 2017.

[RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", [BCP 81](#), [RFC 3688](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3688, January 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3688>>.

[RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", [RFC 5246](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5246, August 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5246>>.

[RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [RFC 6020](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6020, October 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020>>.

[RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", [RFC 6241](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>>.

[RFC6242] Wasserman, M., "Using the NETCONF Protocol over Secure Shell (SSH)", [RFC 6242](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6242, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6242>>.

- [RFC6536] Bierman, A. and M. Bjorklund, "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF) Access Control Model", [RFC 6536](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6536, March 2012, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6536>>.
- [RFC7011] Claise, B., Ed., Trammell, B., Ed., and P. Aitken, "Specification of the IP Flow Information Export (IPFIX) Protocol for the Exchange of Flow Information", STD 77, [RFC 7011](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7011, September 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7011>>.
- [RFC792] Postel, J., "Internet Control Message Protocol", [RFC 792](#), September 1981.
- [RFC8040] Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", [RFC 8040](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8040, January 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040>>.

8.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push]
Clemm, A., Voit, E., Prieto, A., Tripathy, A., Nilsen-Nygaard, E., Bierman, A., and B. Lengyel, "YANG Datastore Subscription", [draft-ietf-netconf-yang-push-11](#) (work in progress), October 2017.
- [RFC4443] Conta, A., Deering, S., and M. Gupta, Ed., "Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMPv6) for the Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", STD 89, [RFC 4443](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4443, March 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4443>>.
- [RFC5880] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)", [RFC 5880](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5880, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5880>>.
- [RFC6087] Bierman, A., "Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of YANG Data Model Documents", [RFC 6087](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6087, January 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6087>>.
- [RFC7276] Mizrahi, T., Sprecher, N., Bellagamba, E., and Y. Weingarten, "An Overview of Operations, Administration, and Maintenance (OAM) Tools", [RFC 7276](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7276, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7276>>.

[RFC8029] Kompella, K., Swallow, G., Pignataro, C., Ed., Kumar, N., Aldrin, S., and M. Chen, "Detecting Multiprotocol Label Switched (MPLS) Data-Plane Failures", [RFC 8029](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8029, March 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8029>>.

Appendix A. **Apppendix A.1 Extending Connectionless OAM Method Module Example**

The following is an example of extensions possible to "ietf-connectionless-oam-methods" YANG model defined in this document.

The snippet below depicts an example of augmenting the "ietf-connectionless-oam-methods" YANG model with ICMP ping attributes:

```
augment "/cloam-methods:continuity-check"
+="/cloam-methods:output"{
  container session-rtt-statistics{
    leaf min-rtt{
      type uint32;
      description
      "This minimum ping round-trip-time (RTT) received.";
    }
    leaf max-rtt{
      type uint32;
      description
      "This maximum ping round-trip-time (RTT) received.";
    }
    leaf avg-rtt{
      type uint32;
      description
      "The current average ping round-trip-time (RTT)";
    }
    description
    "This container presents the ping round-trip-time statistics.";
  }
}
```

Appendix B. **Appendix A.2 Example of new retrieval procedures Model**

As discussed in the Introduction section of this document, the new retrieval procedures can be defined for retrieval of the same data defined by base YANG Data model for connectionless OAM protocols.

This appendix demonstrates how the base connectionless OAM data model can be extended to support persistent data retrieval besides on demand retrieval procedures defined in [section 3](#), i.e., first retrieve a persistent-id based on the destination test point location information and then retrieve the export details based on persistent-

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 26]

id. Internet Protocol Flow Information Export (IPFIX) [[RFC7011](#)] or YANG-push [[I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push](#)] are currently outlined here as data export options. Additional export options can be added in future.

The YANG module "example-cl-oam-persistent-methods" shown below is intended as an illustration rather than a real definition of a RPC operation model for persistent data retrieval. For the sake of brevity, this module does not obey all the guidelines specified in [[RFC6087](#)].

```
module example-cl-oam-persistent-methods {  
    namespace "http://example.com/cl-oam-persistent-methods";  
    prefix pcloam-methods;  
    import ietf-interfaces {  
        prefix if;  
    }  
    import ietf-connectionless-oam {  
        prefix cl-oam;  
    }  
    import ietf-yang-types {  
        prefix yang;  
    }  
    identity export-method {  
        description  
            "Base identity to represent a conceptual export-method.";  
    }  
    identity ipfix-export {  
        base export-method;  
        description  
            "IPFIX based export. Configuration provided separately.";  
    }  
    identity yang-push-export {  
        base export-method;  
        description  
            "Yang-push from draft-ietf-netconf-yang-push";  
    }  
    identity protocol-id {  
        description  
            "A generic protocol identifier.";  
    }  
    identity status-code {  
        description  
            "Base status code";  
    }  
    identity success-reach {  
        base status-code;  
        description
```



```
"Indicate that the destination being verified
is reachable";
}
identity fail-reach {
    base status-code;
    description
    "Indicate that the destination being verified
     is not reachable";
}
identity success-path-verification {
    base status-code;
    description
    "Indicate that the path verification is performed
     successfully.";
}
identity fail-path-verification {
    base status-code;
    description
    "Indicate that the path verification fails.";
}
identity status-sub-code {
    description
    "Base status sub code";
}
    identity invalid-cc {
        base status-sub-code;
        description
        "Indicates that the Continuity check message is invalid";
    }
    identity invalid-pd {
        base status-sub-code;
        description
        "Indicates that the path discovery message is invalid";
    }
typedef export-method {
    type identityref {
        base export-method;
    }
    description
    "Export method type.";
}
typedef change-type {
    type enumeration {
        enum "create" {
            description
            "Change due to a create.";
        }
        enum "delete" {
```



```
        description
          "Change due to a delete.";
    }
  enum "modify" {
    description
      "Change due to an update.";
  }
}
description
  "Different types of changes that may occur."
}

rpc cc-get-persistent-id {
  if-feature "cl-oam:continuity-check";
  description
    "Obtains continuity-check persistent identification given mapping
     parameters as input.";
  input {
    container destination-tp {
      uses cl-oam:tp-address;
      description
        "Destination test point.";
    }
    uses cl-oam:session-type;
    leaf source-interface {
      type if:interface-ref;
      description
        "Source interface.";
    }
    leaf outbound-interface {
      type if:interface-ref;
      description
        "Outbound interface.";
    }
    leaf vrf {
      type cl-oam:routing-instance-ref;
      description
        "VRF instance.";
    }
  }
  output {
    container error-code {
      leaf protocol-id {
        type identityref {
          base protocol-id;
        }
        mandatory true;
        description
          "Protocol identifier for the error code.";
```



```
    "Protocol used. This could be a standard
     protocol (e.g., TCP/IP protocols, MPLS etc.,)
     or a proprietary protocol as identified by
     this field.";
}
leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
    type uint64;
    description
        "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.
         For e.g., this could be the Internet Protocol number
         for standard Internet Protocols for help in protocol
         processing.";
}
leaf status-code {
    type identityref{
        base status-code;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Status code.";
}
leaf status-sub-code {
    type identityref{
        base status-sub-code;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Sub code for CC.";
}
description
    "Status code and Sub Code.";
}

leaf cc-persistent-id {
    type string;
    description
        "Id to act as a cookie.";
}
}
}

rpc cc-persistent-get-export-details {
    if-feature "cl-oam:continuity-check";
    description
        "Given the persistent id, gets the configuration
         options, details related to the configured data
         export.";
    input {
```



```
leaf cc-persistent-id {  
    type string;  
    description  
        "Persistent Id for use as a key in search.";  
}  
}  
output {  
    container error-code {  
        leaf protocol-id {  
            type identityref {  
                base protocol-id;  
            }  
            mandatory true;  
            description  
                "Protocol used. This could be a standard  
                protocol (e.g., TCP/IP protocols, MPLS etc.,)  
                or a proprietary protocol as identified by  
                this field.";  
        }  
        leaf protocol-id-meta-data {  
            type uint64;  
            description  
                "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.  
                For e.g., this could be the Internet Protocol number  
                for standard Internet Protocols for help in protocol  
                processing.";  
        }  
        leaf status-code {  
            type identityref{  
                base status-code;  
            }  
            mandatory true;  
            description  
                "Status code.";  
        }  
        leaf status-sub-code {  
            type identityref{  
                base status-sub-code;  
            }  
            mandatory true;  
            description  
                "Sub code for CC.";  
        }  
        description  
            "Status code and Sub Code.";  
    }  
    leaf data-export-method {
```



```
type export-method;
description
  "Type of export in use.";
}

choice cc-trigger {
description
  "Necessary conditions for
  periodic or on-change trigger.";
case periodic {
description
  "Periodic reports.";
leaf period {
  type yang:timeticks;
  description
    "Time interval between reports.";
}
leaf start-time {
  type yang:date-and-time;
  description
    "Timestamp from which reports were started.";
}
}
case on-change {
description
  "On-change trigger and not periodic.";
leaf all-data-on-start {
  type boolean;
  description
    "Full update done on start or not.";
}
leaf-list excluded-change {
  type change-type;
  description
    "Changes that will not trigger an update.";
}
}
}
}

rpc pd-get-persistent-id {
if-feature "cl-oam:path-discovery";
description
  "Obtains persistent path discovery identification.";

input {
  container destination-tp {
```



```
uses cl-oam:tp-address;
description
  "Destination test point.";
}
uses cl-oam:session-type;
leaf source-interface {
  type if:interface-ref;
  description
    "Source interface.";
}
leaf outbound-interface {
  type if:interface-ref;
  description
    "Outbound interface.";
}
leaf vrf {
  type cl-oam:routing-instance-ref;
  description
    "VRF";
}
}
output {
  list response-list {
    key "response-index";
    description
      "Path discovery response list.";
    leaf response-index {
      type uint32;
      mandatory true;
      description
        "Response index.";
    }
    leaf protocol-id {
      type identityref {
        base protocol-id;
      }
      mandatory true;
      description
        "Protocol used. This could be a standard
         protocol (e.g., TCP/IP protocols, MPLS etc.,)
         or a proprietary protocol as identified by
         this field.";
    }
    leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
      type uint64;
      description
        "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.
         For e.g., this could be the Internet Protocol number
```



```
        for standard Internet Protocols for help in protocol
        processing.";
    }
leaf status-code {
    type identityref {
        base status-code;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Status code for Persistent Path Discovery Information. ";
}
leaf status-sub-code {
    type identityref{
        base status-sub-code;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Sub code for Persistent Path Discovery Information. ";
}
leaf pd-persistent-id {
    type string;
    description
        "Id to act as a cookie.";
}
}
}
}

rpc pd-persistent-get-export-details {
    if-feature "cl-oam:path-discovery";
    description
        "Given the persistent id, gets the configuration
        options, details related to the configured data
        export.";
    input {
        leaf cc-persistent-id {
            type string;
            description
                "Persistent ID for use as a key in search.";
        }
    }
}

output {
    list response-list {
        key "response-index";
        description
            "Path discovery response list.";
        leaf response-index {
```

Kumar, et al.

Expires May 16, 2018

[Page 34]

```
type uint32;
mandatory true;
description
    "Response index.";
}
leaf protocol-id {
    type identityref {
        base protocol-id;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Protocol used. This could be a standard
        protocol (e.g., TCP/IP protocols, MPLS etc.,)
        or a proprietary protocol as identified by
        this field.";
}
leaf protocol-id-meta-data {
    type uint64;
    description
        "An optional meta-data related to the protocol ID.
        For e.g., this could be the Internet Protocol number
        for standard Internet Protocols for help in protocol
        processing.";
}
leaf status-code {
    type identityref{
        base status-code;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Status code for Persistent Path Discovery Creation. ";
}
leaf status-sub-code {
    type identityref{
        base status-sub-code;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Sub code for Persistent Path Discovery Creation. ";
}
leaf data-export-method {
    type export-method;
    description
        "Type of export.";
}
choice pd-trigger {
    description
        "Necessary conditions
```



```
        for periodic or on-change
        trigger.";
    case periodic {
        description
            "Periodic reports.";
        leaf period {
            type yang:timeticks;
            description
                "Time interval between reports.";
        }
        leaf start-time {
            type yang:date-and-time;
            description
                "Timestamp from which reports are started.";
        }
    }
    case on-change {
        description
            "On-change trigger and not periodic.";
        leaf all-data-on-start {
            type boolean;
            description
                "Full update done on start or not.";
        }
        leaf-list excluded-change {
            type change-type;
            description
                "Changes that will not trigger an update.";
        }
    }
}
}
}
}
}
```

Authors' Addresses

Deepak Kumar
CISCO Systems
510 McCarthy Blvd
Milpitas, CA 95035
USA

Email: dekumar@cisco.com

Michael Wang
Huawei Technologies, Co., Ltd
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District
Nanjing 210012
China

Email: wangzitao@huawei.com

Qin Wu (editor)
Huawei
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District
Nanjing, Jiangsu 210012
China

Email: bill.wu@huawei.com

Reshad Rahman
CISCO Systems
2000 Innovation Drive
Kanata, Ontario K2K 3E8
Canada

Email: rrahman@cisco.com

Srihari Raghavan
CISCO Systems
Tril Infopark Sez, Ramanujan IT City
Neville Block, 2nd floor, Old Mahabalipuram Road
Chennai, Tamil Nadu 600113
India

Email: srihari@cisco.com

