

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: August 18, 2014

L. Iannone
Telecom ParisTech
R. Jorgensen
Bredbandsfylket Troms
D. Conrad
Virtualized, LLC
February 14, 2014

LISP EID Block Management Guidelines
draft-ietf-lisp-eid-block-mgmt-01.txt

Abstract

This document proposes an allocation framework for the management of the LISP EID address prefix (requested in [[I-D.ietf-lisp-eid-block](#)]). The framework described relies on hierarchical distribution of the address space with sub-prefixes allocated on a temporary basis to requesting organizations.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 18, 2014.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must

include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Requirements Notation	3
2.	Introduction	3
3.	Definition of Terms	3
4.	EID Prefix Allocation Policy	3
5.	EID Prefixes Allocation Requirements	5
6.	EID Prefix Request Template	6
7.	Policy Validity Period	7
8.	Security Considerations	8
9.	Acknowledgments	8
10.	IANA Considerations	8
11.	References	8
11.1.	Normative References	8
11.2.	Informative References	9
Appendix A.	LISP Terms	10
Appendix B.	Document Change Log	12
	Authors' Addresses	13

1. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [\[RFC2119\]](#).

2. Introduction

The Locator/ID Separation Protocol (LISP - [\[RFC6830\]](#)) and related mechanisms ([\[RFC6831\]](#), [\[RFC6832\]](#), [\[RFC6833\]](#), [\[RFC6834\]](#), [\[RFC6835\]](#), [\[RFC6836\]](#), [\[RFC6837\]](#)) separates the IP addressing space into two logical spaces, the End-point IDentifier (EID) space and the Routing LOcator (RLOC) space. The first space is used to identify communication end-points, while the second is used to locate EIDs in the Internet routing infrastructure topology.

The document [\[I-D.ietf-lisp-eid-block\]](#) requested an IPv6 address block reservation exclusively for the allocation and assignment of EID prefixes. The rationale, intent, size, and usage of the EID address block are described in [\[I-D.ietf-lisp-eid-block\]](#).

This document proposes a management framework for the allocation and assignment of EID addresses from that block based on temporary allocation of portions of the block to different requesting organizations.

3. Definition of Terms

This document does not introduce any new terms related to the set of LISP Specifications ([\[RFC6830\]](#), [\[RFC6831\]](#), [\[RFC6832\]](#), [\[RFC6833\]](#), [\[RFC6834\]](#), [\[RFC6835\]](#), [\[RFC6836\]](#), [\[RFC6837\]](#)). To help the reading of this document the terminology introduced by LISP is summarized in [Appendix A](#).

4. EID Prefix Allocation Policy

The allocation of EID prefixes MUST be done under the following policies:

1. EID addressing prefixes are made available in the reserved space on a temporary basis and for experimental uses. The requester of an experimental prefix MUST provide a short description of the intended use or experiment that will be carried out (see [Section 6](#)). If the prefix will be used for activities not documented in the original description, the renewal of the

allocation may be denied or withdrawn (see [Section 5](#)).

2. EID prefixes are allocated on a lease/license basis for a limited period of time (which can be renewed). The lease/license period SHOULD NOT be longer than one year in order to ensure the leases and registration information about those leases is kept reasonably up to date.
3. Exception to the previous rule may be granted in cases in which the prefix has been delegated to an organization that will act as a registry for further sub-allocations. Sub-allocations MUST abide by these policies as well as the allocation requirements outlined in [Section 5](#). Requests for a prefix delegation that will be used for further sub-allocations MUST clearly state such intent in the short description of the intended use document.
4. All of the allocations (renewed or not, including delegations and sub-allocations) MUST be returned by 31 December 2017, in accordance with the 3+3 years experimental allocation plan outlined in [\[I-D.ietf-lisp-eid-block\]](#).
5. Upon IETF review before 31 December 2017, the EID prefix space may become a permanent allocation. In this case existing allocations CAN be renewed and new allocations granted (still on a yearly temporary basis). All allocations (renewed or not, including delegations and sub-allocations) MUST be returned by 31 December 2020, in accordance to the 3+3 years plan outlined in [\[I-D.ietf-lisp-eid-block\]](#). During the second 3 years phase of the experiment, the IETF will decide the final EID prefix block size and elaborate the allocation and management policies that will be applied starting 1 January 2021.
6. When an allocation is freed because of non-renewal or the termination of an experiment, the address space is returned to the global pool of free EID prefixes. This freed allocation MUST NOT be announced through registration on Map Servers in the LISP mapping system for at least 72 hours to ensure expiration of all cached map entries in the global LISP infrastructure.
7. The EID prefix of an allocation that is not renewed (or whose renewal has been denied) can be re-used after no less than one week from the date when the EID prefix is freed. This delay will provide sufficient time for all cached map entries in the global LISP infrastructure to expire and will allow any management process for re-allocation to be dealt with.
8. EID prefix allocations can be revoked as a result of abuse, unjustified usage (e.g., not conforming the intended use provided

at request time), failure to pay maintenance fees, legal court orders, etc. Withdrawal can be enforced by filtering on Map Servers so to prevent map registration.

If/When the EID block experiment changes status (e.g., to not being "experimental"), and following the policies outlined in [\[RFC5226\]](#), the EID block will change status as well and will be converted to a permanent allocation. The IETF will define the transition process from the policies and requirements outlined in this document to a new set of policies and requirements. This transition process will include mechanisms that will allow for requests to convert existing temporary allocations (with or without renumbering) to permanent allocations.

5. EID Prefixes Allocation Requirements

All EID prefix allocations (and delegations) MUST respect the following requirements:

1. Allocations MUST be globally unique.
2. Requirements for allocation MUST be the same globally. No regional/national/local variations are permitted.
3. The registration information MUST be maintained and made publicly available through a searchable interface, preferably RDAP ([\[I-D.ietf-weirds-rdap-sec\]](#)) and optionally whois, http, or similar access methods.
4. The registration information service MUST be available 99% of the time. The allocation service SHOULD be provided during regular business hours in venue in which the allocation service is housed.
5. Facilities SHOULD exist to allow for the delegation of the reverse DNS for EID address prefixes. Reverse DNS delegation is not required, and may not be provided from the beginning of the use of the EID Block. However it may be made available on a later stage if operational requirements necessitate it or IETF decides it should be available.
6. If fees are charged for EID allocation and registration services, those fees MUST be no more than the cost of providing those services.
7. Anyone, private persons, companies, or other entities can request EID space and those requests MUST be granted, provided that they

can show a clear intent in carrying out LISP experimentation.

6. EID Prefix Request Template

The following is a basic request template for prefix allocation (and delegation) so to ensure a uniform process. Such a template is inspired by the IANA Private Enterprise Number online request form (<http://pen.iana.org/pen/PenApplication.page>).

The EID Prefix Request template MUST at minimum contain:

1. Organization (In case of individuals requesting an EID prefix this section can be left empty)
 - (a) Organization Name
 - (b) Organization Address
 - (c) Organization Phone
2. Contact Person (Mandatory)
 - (a) Name
 - (b) Address
 - (c) Phone
 - (d) Fax (optional)
 - (e) Email
3. EID Prefix Request (Mandatory)
 - (a) Prefix Size
 - (b) Prefix Size Rationale
 - (c) Lease Period
 - + Start Date
 - + End Date

Note that according to the 3+3 year allocation plan, defined in [[I-D.ietf-lisp-eid-block](#)], all allocations (and delegations) MUST end by 31 December 2017, unless the IETF

community decides to grant a permanent LISP EID address block. In the latter case, allocations (and delegations) following the present document policy MUST end by 31 December 2020 and a new policy (to be decided see [Section 7](#)) will apply starting 1 January 2021.

4. Experiment Description

- (a) Experiment and Deployment Description
- (b) Interoperability with existing LISP deployments
- (c) Interoperability with Legacy Internet

5. Reverse DNS Servers (Optional)

- (a) Name server name:
 - (b) Name server address:
 - (c) Name server name:
 - (d) Name server address:
- (Repeat if necessary)

7. Policy Validity Period

Policy outlined in the present document is tight to the existence of the experimental LISP EID block requested in [\[I-D.ietf-lisp-eid-block\]](#) and valid until 31 December 2017.

If the IETF decides to transform the block in a permanent allocation, the LISP EID block allocation period will be extended for three years (until 31 December 2020) so to give time to the IETF to define the final size of the EID block and create a transition plan, while the policy in the present document will still apply.

Note that, as stated in [\[I-D.ietf-lisp-eid-block\]](#), the transition of the EID block into a permanent allocation has the potential to pose policy issues (as recognized in [\[RFC2860\]](#), [section 4.3](#)) and hence discussion with the IANA, the RIR communities, and the IETF community will be necessary to determine appropriate policy for permanent EID prefix allocation and management, which will be effective starting 1 January 2021.

8. Security Considerations

This document does not introduce new security threats in the LISP architecture nor in the Legacy Internet architecture.

For accountability reasons, and in line with the security considerations in [[RFC7020](#)], each allocation request MUST contain accurate information on the requesting entity (company, institution, individual, etc.) and valid and accurate contact information of a referral person (see [Section 6](#)).

9. Acknowledgments

Thanks to J. Curran, A. Severin, B. Haberman, T. Manderson, D. Lewis, D. Farinacci, for their helpful comments.

The work of Luigi Iannone has been partially supported by the ANR-13-INFR-0009 LISP-Lab Project (www.lisp-lab.org) and the EIT KIC ICT-Labs SOFNETS Project.

10. IANA Considerations

This document provides only management guidelines for the reserved LISP EID prefix requested and allocated in [[I-D.ietf-lisp-eid-block](#)].

There is an operational requirement for an EID allocation service that ensures uniqueness of EIDs allocated according to the requirements described in [Section 5](#). Furthermore, there is an operational requirement for EID registration service that allows a lookup of the contact information of the entity to which the EID was allocated.

IANA must ensure both of these services are provided, for the space directly allocated by IANA, in a globally uniform fashion for the duration of the experiment.

11. References

11.1. Normative References

[[I-D.ietf-lisp-eid-block](#)]

Iannone, L., Lewis, D., Meyer, D., and V. Fuller, "LISP EID Block", [draft-ietf-lisp-eid-block-08](#) (work in progress), January 2014.

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC4632] Fuller, V. and T. Li, "Classless Inter-domain Routing (CIDR): The Internet Address Assignment and Aggregation Plan", [BCP 122](#), [RFC 4632](#), August 2006.
- [RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", [BCP 26](#), [RFC 5226](#), May 2008.

11.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-weirds-rdap-sec]
Hollenbeck, S. and N. Kong, "Security Services for the Registration Data Access Protocol",
[draft-ietf-weirds-rdap-sec-05](#) (work in progress),
August 2013.
- [RFC2860] Carpenter, B., Baker, F., and M. Roberts, "Memorandum of Understanding Concerning the Technical Work of the Internet Assigned Numbers Authority", [RFC 2860](#), June 2000.
- [RFC6830] Farinacci, D., Fuller, V., Meyer, D., and D. Lewis, "The Locator/ID Separation Protocol (LISP)", [RFC 6830](#), January 2013.
- [RFC6831] Farinacci, D., Meyer, D., Zwiebel, J., and S. Venaas, "The Locator/ID Separation Protocol (LISP) for Multicast Environments", [RFC 6831](#), January 2013.
- [RFC6832] Lewis, D., Meyer, D., Farinacci, D., and V. Fuller, "Interworking between Locator/ID Separation Protocol (LISP) and Non-LISP Sites", [RFC 6832](#), January 2013.
- [RFC6833] Fuller, V. and D. Farinacci, "Locator/ID Separation Protocol (LISP) Map-Server Interface", [RFC 6833](#), January 2013.
- [RFC6834] Iannone, L., Saucez, D., and O. Bonaventure, "Locator/ID Separation Protocol (LISP) Map-Versioning", [RFC 6834](#), January 2013.
- [RFC6835] Farinacci, D. and D. Meyer, "The Locator/ID Separation Protocol Internet Groper (LIG)", [RFC 6835](#), January 2013.
- [RFC6836] Fuller, V., Farinacci, D., Meyer, D., and D. Lewis, "Locator/ID Separation Protocol Alternative Logical

Topology (LISP+ALT)", [RFC 6836](#), January 2013.

[RFC6837] Lear, E., "NERD: A Not-so-novel Endpoint ID (EID) to Routing Locator (RLOC) Database", [RFC 6837](#), January 2013.

[RFC7020] Housley, R., Curran, J., Huston, G., and D. Conrad, "The Internet Numbers Registry System", [RFC 7020](#), August 2013.

[Appendix A](#). LISP Terms

LISP operates on two name spaces and introduces several new network elements. This section provides high-level definitions of the LISP name spaces and network elements and as such, it must not be considered as an authoritative source. The reference to the authoritative document for each term is included in every term description.

Legacy Internet: The portion of the Internet that does not run LISP and does not participate in LISP+ALT or any other mapping system.

LISP site: A LISP site is a set of routers in an edge network that are under a single technical administration. LISP routers that reside in the edge network are the demarcation points to separate the edge network from the core network. See [[RFC6830](#)] for more details.

Endpoint ID (EID): An EID is a 32-bit (for IPv4) or 128-bit (for IPv6) value used in the source and destination address fields of the first (most inner) LISP header of a packet. A packet that is emitted by a system contains EIDs in its headers and LISP headers are prepended only when the packet reaches an Ingress Tunnel Router (ITR) on the data path to the destination EID. The source EID is obtained via existing mechanisms used to set a host's "local" IP address. An EID is allocated to a host from an EID-prefix block associated with the site where the host is located. See [[RFC6830](#)] for more details.

EID-prefix: A power-of-two block of EIDs that are allocated to a site by an address allocation authority. See [[RFC6830](#)] for more details.

EID-Prefix Aggregate: A set of EID-prefixes said to be aggregatable in the [[RFC4632](#)] sense. That is, an EID-Prefix aggregate is defined to be a single contiguous power-of-two EID-prefix block. A prefix and a length characterize such a block. See [[RFC6830](#)] for more details.

Routing LOCator (RLOC): A RLOC is an IPv4 or IPv6 address of an egress tunnel router (ETR). A RLOC is the output of an EID-to-RLOC mapping lookup. An EID maps to one or more RLOCs. Typically, RLOCs are numbered from topologically aggregatable blocks that are assigned to a site at each point to which it attaches to the global Internet; where the topology is defined by the connectivity of provider networks, RLOCs can be thought of as Provider Aggregatable (PA) addresses. See [[RFC6830](#)] for more details.

EID-to-RLOC Mapping: A binding between an EID-Prefix and the RLOC-set that can be used to reach the EID-Prefix. The general term "mapping" always refers to an EID-to-RLOC mapping. See [[RFC6830](#)] for more details.

Ingress Tunnel Router (ITR): An Ingress Tunnel Router (ITR) is a router that accepts receives IP packets from site end-systems on one side and sends LISP-encapsulated IP packets toward the Internet on the other side. The router treats the "inner" IP destination address as an EID and performs an EID-to-RLOC mapping lookup. The router then prepends an "outer" IP header with one of its globally routable RLOCs in the source address field and the result of the mapping lookup in the destination address field. See [[RFC6830](#)] for more details.

Egress Tunnel Router (ETR): An Egress Tunnel Router (ETR) receives LISP-encapsulated IP packets from the Internet on one side and sends decapsulated IP packets to site end-systems on the other side. An ETR router accepts an IP packet where the destination address in the "outer" IP header is one of its own RLOCs. The router strips the "outer" header and forwards the packet based on the next IP header found. See [[RFC6830](#)] for more details.

Proxy ITR (PITR): A Proxy-ITR (PITR) acts like an ITR but does so on behalf of non-LISP sites which send packets to destinations at LISP sites. See [[RFC6832](#)] for more details.

Proxy ETR (PETR): A Proxy-ETR (PETR) acts like an ETR but does so on behalf of LISP sites which send packets to destinations at non-LISP sites. See [[RFC6832](#)] for more details.

Map Server (MS): A network infrastructure component that learns EID-to-RLOC mapping entries from an authoritative source (typically an ETR). A Map Server publishes these mappings in the distributed mapping system. See [[RFC6833](#)] for more details.

Map Resolver (MR): A network infrastructure component that accepts LISP Encapsulated Map-Requests, typically from an ITR, quickly determines whether or not the destination IP address is part of the EID namespace; if it is not, a Negative Map-Reply is immediately returned. Otherwise, the Map Resolver finds the appropriate EID-to-RLOC mapping by consulting the distributed mapping database system. See [[RFC6833](#)] for more details.

The LISP Alternative Logical Topology (ALT): The virtual overlay network made up of tunnels between LISP+ALT Routers. The Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) runs between ALT Routers and is used to carry reachability information for EID-prefixes. The ALT provides a way to forward Map-Requests toward the ETR that "owns" an EID-prefix. See [[RFC6836](#)] for more details.

ALT Router: The device on which runs the ALT. The ALT is a static network built using tunnels between ALT Routers. These routers are deployed in a roughly-hierarchical mesh in which routers at each level in the topology are responsible for aggregating EID-Prefixes learned from those logically "below" them and advertising summary prefixes to those logically "above" them. Prefix learning and propagation between ALT Routers is done using BGP. When an ALT Router receives an ALT Datagram, it looks up the destination EID in its forwarding table (composed of EID-Prefix routes it learned from neighboring ALT Routers) and forwards it to the logical next-hop on the overlay network. The primary function of LISP+ALT routers is to provide a lightweight forwarding infrastructure for LISP control-plane messages (Map-Request and Map-Reply), and to transport data packets when the packet has the same destination address in both the inner (encapsulating) destination and outer destination addresses ((i.e., a Data Probe packet). See [[RFC6830](#)] for more details.

[Appendix B](#). Document Change Log

Version 01 Posted February 2014.

- o Dropped the reverse DNS requirement as for discussion during the 88th IETF meeting.
- o Dropped the minimum allocation requirement as for discussion during the 88th IETF meeting.
- o Changed [Section 7](#) from "General Consideration" to "Policy Validity Period", according to J. Curran feedback. The purpose of the section is just to clearly state the period during which the policy applies.

Version 00 Posted December 2013.

- o Rename of [draft-iannone-lisp-eid-block-mgmt-03.txt](#).

Authors' Addresses

Luigi Iannone
Telecom ParisTech

Email: luigi.iannone@telecom-paristech.fr

Roger Jorgensen
Bredbandsfylket Troms

Email: rogerj@gmail.com

David Conrad
Virtualized, LLC

Email: drc@virtualized.org

