

Mobile Ad hoc Networking (MANET)
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: October 22, 2007

T. Clausen
LIX, Ecole Polytechnique, France
C. Dearlove
BAE Systems Advanced Technology
Centre
April 20, 2007

Representing multi-value time in MANETs
draft-ietf-manet-timetlv-00

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 22, 2007.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

Abstract

This document describes a general and flexible TLV (type-length-value structure) for representing time using the generalized MANET packet/message format. It defines two message TLVs for representing validity and interval times for MANET routing protocols.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Terminology	4
3.	Applicability Statement	5
4.	Protocol Overview and Functioning	6
5.	Representing Time	7
6.	General Time TLV Structure	8
7.	Message TLVs	10
7.1.	VALIDITY_TIME TLV	10
7.2.	INTERVAL_TIME TLV	10
8.	IANA Considerations	11
9.	Security Considerations	12
10.	References	13
10.1.	Normative References	13
10.2.	Informative References	13
Appendix A.	Acknowledgements	14
	Authors' Addresses	15
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	16

1. Introduction

The generalized packet/message format [1] specifies a signaling format which MANET routing protocols can employ for exchanging protocol information. This format presents the ability to express and associate attributes to packets, messages or addresses, by way of a general TLV (type-length-value) mechanism.

This document specifies a general Time TLV structure, which can be used by any MANET routing protocol that needs to express either single time-values or a set of time-values with each time-value associated with a range of distances. Distances may be equated to hop count, as provided by [1]. This allows a receiving node to determine a single time-value if either it knows its distance from the originator node, or the Time TLV specifies a single time-value.

This document also specifies two message TLV types, which use the TLV structure proposed. These TLV types are INTERVAL_TIME and VALIDITY_TIME, specifying respectively the maximum time before another message of the same type as this message from the same originator should be received, and the duration for which the information in this message is valid after receipt. Note that, if both are present, then the latter will usually be greater than the former in order to allow for possible message loss.

2. Terminology

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119](#) [2].

Additionally, this document uses terminology from [1], and introduces the following terminology:

Distance - the distance from the message originator to the message recipient. If the distance is equated to the messages hop count, then this may be indicated using the <hop-count> field in the full message header defined in [1], after being incremented on reception.

Time-value - a time, measured in seconds.

Time-code - an 8 bit field, representing a time-value.

3. Applicability Statement

The TLV described in this document is applicable whenever a single time-value, or a time-value that varies with distance from the originator of a message, is required in a protocol using the generalized MANET packet/message format [\[1\]](#).

Examples of time-values that may be included in a protocol message are:

- o The maximum time interval until the next message of the same type is to be generated by the message's originator node.
- o The validity time of the information with which the time-value is associated.

Either of these may vary with the distance between the originating and receiving nodes, e.g. if messages of the same type are sent with different hop limits as defined in [\[1\]](#).

Parts of this document have been generalized from material in the proactive MANET routing protocol OLSR (The Optimized Link State Routing Protocol) [\[3\]](#).

4. Protocol Overview and Functioning

This document does not specify a protocol nor does it mandate specific node or protocol behavior. Rather, it outlines mechanisms for encoding time-values using the TLV mechanism of [\[1\]](#).

5. Representing Time

This document specifies a TLV structure in which time-values are each represented in an 8 bit time-code, one or more of which may be used in a TLV's <value> field. Of these 8 bits, the least significant four bits represent the mantissa (a), and the most significant four bits represent the exponent (b), so that:

$$o \text{ time-value} = (1 + a/16) * 2^b * C$$

$$o \text{ time-code} = 16 * b + a$$

All nodes in the network MUST use the same value of the constant C, which will be specified in seconds, hence so will be all time-values. C MUST be greater than 0 seconds. Note that ascending values of the time-code represent ascending time-values, time-values may thus be compared by comparison of time-codes.

An algorithm for computing the time-code representing the smallest representable time-value not less than the time-value t is:

1. find the largest integer b such that $t/C \geq 2^b$;
2. set $a = 16 * (t / (C * 2^b) - 1)$, rounded up to the nearest integer;
3. if $a == 16$ then set $b = b + 1$ and set $a = 0$;
4. if a and b are in the range 0 and 15 then the required time-value can be represented by the time-code $16 * b + a$, otherwise it can not.

The minimum time-value that can be represented in this manner is C.
The maximum time-value that can be represented in this manner is $63488 * C$.

6. General Time TLV Structure

A Time TLV may be a packet, message or address block TLV. If it is a packet or message TLV then it must be a single value TLV as defined in [1]; if it is an address block TLV then it may be single value or multivalued TLV. The specific Time TLVs specified in this document, in Section 7 are message, and hence single value, TLVs. Note that even a single value Time TLV may contain a multiple octet <value> field.

The purpose of a single value Time TLV is to allow a single time-value to be determined by a node receiving an entity containing the Time TLV, based on its distance from the entity's originator. The Time TLV may contain information that allows that time-value to be a function of distance, and thus different receiving nodes may determine different time-values. If a receiving node will not be able to determine its distance from the originating node, then the form of this Time TLV with a single time-code in a <value> field (or single value subfield) SHOULD be used.

The <value> field of a single value Time TLV is specified, using the regular expression syntax of [1], by:

$$\text{<value>} = \{\text{<time><distance>}\}^*\text{<time>}$$

where:

<time> is an 8 bit field containing a time-code as defined in Section 5.

<distance> is an 8 bit field specifying a distance from the message originator.

A single value <value> field thus consists of an odd number of octets; with a repetition factor of n for the (time, distance) pairs in the regular expression syntax it contains $2n+1$ octets, thus the <length> field of a single value Time TLV, which MUST always be present, is given by:

o <length> = $2n+1$

A single value <value> field may be thus represented by:

$$\text{<t}_1\text{><d}_1\text{><t}_2\text{><d}_2\text{> ... <t}_i\text{><d}_i\text{> ... <t}_n\text{><d}_n\text{><t}_{\text{default}}\text{>}$$

<d₁>, ... <d_n>, if present, MUST be a strictly increasing sequence. Then, at the receiving node's distance from the originator node, the time-value indicated is that represented by the time-code:

- o $\langle t_1 \rangle$, if $n > 0$ and distance $\leq \langle d_1 \rangle$;
- o $\langle t_{i+1} \rangle$, if $n > 1$ and $\langle d_i \rangle < \text{distance} \leq \langle d_{i+1} \rangle$ for some i such that $1 \leq i < n$;
- o $\langle t_{\text{default}} \rangle$ otherwise, i.e. if $n == 0$ or distance $> \langle d_n \rangle$.

In a multivalue Time TLV, each single value subfield of the multivalue Time TLV is defined as above. Note that [\[1\]](#) requires that each single value subfield has the same length (i.e. the same value of n) but they need not use the same values of $\langle d_1 \rangle$ to $\langle d_n \rangle$.

7. Message TLVs

Two message TLVs are defined, for signaling message validity time (VALIDITY_TIME) and message interval (INTERVAL_TIME).

7.1. VALIDITY_TIME TLV

A VALIDITY_TIME TLV is a message TLV that defines the validity time of the information carried in the message in which the TLV is contained. After this time the receiving node **MUST** consider the message content to no longer be valid (unless repeated in a later message). The validity time of a message **MAY** be specified to depend on the distance from its originator. (This is appropriate if messages are sent with different hop limits, so that receiving nodes at greater distances receive information less frequently and must treat it as valid for longer.)

A VALIDITY_TIME TLV is an example of a Time TLV specified as in [Section 5](#).

7.2. INTERVAL_TIME TLV

An INTERVAL_TIME TLV is a message TLV that defines the maximum time before another message of the same type as this message from the same originator should be received. This interval time **MAY** be specified to depend on the distance from the originator. (This is appropriate if messages are sent with different hop limits, so that receiving nodes at greater distances have an increased interval time.)

An INTERVAL_TIME TLV is an example of a Time TLV specified as in [Section 5](#).

8. IANA Considerations

This specification defines two message TLV types, which must be allocated from the "Assigned Message TLV Types" repository of [\[1\]](#).

Mnemonic	Value	Description
VALIDITY_TIME	TBD	The time from receipt of the message during which the information contained in the message is to be considered valid
INTERVAL_TIME	TBD	The maximum time before another message of the same type as this message from the same originator should be received

Table 1

9. Security Considerations

This document does not specify any security considerations.

10. References

10.1. Normative References

- [1] Clausen, T., Dean, J., Dearlove, C., and C. Adjih, "Generalized MANET Packet/Message Format", Work In Progress [draft-ietf-manet-packetbb-04.txt](#), January 2007.
- [2] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), [BCP 14](#), March 1997.

10.2. Informative References

- [3] Clausen, T. and P. Jacquet, "The Optimized Link State Routing Protocol", [RFC 3626](#), October 2003.

Appendix A. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Brian Adamson and Justin Dean (both NRL) for their contributions, and Alan Cullen (BAE Systems) for his careful review of this specification.

Authors' Addresses

Thomas Heide Clausen
LIX, Ecole Polytechnique, France

Phone: +33 6 6058 9349
Email: T.Clausen@computer.org
URI: <http://www.ThomasClausen.org/>

Christopher M. Dearlove
BAE Systems Advanced Technology Centre

Phone: +44 1245 242194
Email: chris.dearlove@baesystems.com
URI: <http://www.baesystems.com/>

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).

