Representing multi-value time in MANETs
draft-ietf-manet-timetlv-06

Status of This Memo

Abstract

This document describes a general and flexible TLV (type-length-value
structure) for representing time using the generalized MANET packet/
message format. It defines two message and two address block TLVs
for representing validity and interval times for MANET routing
protocols.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

   The generalized packet/message format [packetbb] specifies a
   signaling format which MANET routing protocols can employ for
   exchanging protocol information. This format presents the ability to
   express and associate attributes to packets, messages or addresses,
   by way of a general TLV (type-length-value) mechanism.

   This document specifies a general Time TLV structure, which can be
   used by any MANET routing protocol that needs to express either
   single time-values or a set of time-values with each time-value
   associated with a range of hop counts, as provided by the message
   header of [packetbb]. This allows a receiving node to determine a
   single time-value if either it knows its hop count from the
   originator node, or the Time TLV specifies a single time-value.

   This document also specifies two message TLV types, which use the TLV
   structure proposed. These TLV types are INTERVAL_TIME and
   VALIDITY_TIME, specifying respectively the maximum time before
   another message of the same type as this message from the same
   originator should be received, and the duration for which the
   information in this message is valid after receipt. Note that, if
   both are present, then the latter will usually be greater than the
   former in order to allow for possible message loss.

   This document also specifies two address block TLV types, which use
   the TLV structure proposed. These TLV types are INTERVAL_TIME and
   VALIDITY_TIME, defined equivalently to the two message TLVs with the
   same names.

1.1. Motivation and Rationale

   The TLV mechanism as specified in [packetbb] allows associating a
   "value" to either a packet, a message or to addresses. The data
   structure for doing so - the TLV - is identical in each of the three
   cases, however the TLV's position in a received packet allows
   determining if that TLV is a "packet TLV" (it appears in the packet
   header, before any messages), a "message TLV" (it appears in the TLV
   block immediately following a message header) or an "address block
   TLV" (it appears in the TLV block immediately following an address
   block).

   While TLVs may be structurally identical, that which they express may
   be different. This is determined from the kind (packet, message or
   address block) and type of the TLV. For example one TLV might
   associate a lifetime to an address, another a content sequence number
   to a message, and another a cryptographic signature to a packet. For
   this reason, [packetbb] specifies separate registries for packet,

message and address block TLV types, and does not specify any
structure in the TLV value field.

The TLVs defined in this document express, essentially, that "this
information will be refreshed within X seconds" and that "this
information is valid for X seconds after being received", each
allowing the "X seconds" to be specified as a function of the number
of hops from the originator of the information. This document
specifies a general format allowing expressing and encoding this as
the value field of a TLV. This representation uses a compact (8 bit)
representation of time, as message size is an issue in many MANETs,
and the offered precision and range is appropriate for MANET routing
protocols.

A TLV of this format may be used for packets, messages or addresses.
For example, a proactive MANET routing protocol periodically
reporting link state information could include a TLV of this format
as a message TLV. This may indicate a different periodicity in
different scopes (possibly frequently up to a few hops, less
frequently beyond that) because some messages may be sent with
limited scope, as specified in [packetbb]. A reactive MANET routing
protocol could include a TLV of this format as an address block TLV
for reporting the lifetime of routes to individual addresses.

In addition to defining the general format as outlined above, this
document requests IANA assignments for INTERVAL_TIME and
VALIDITY_TIME TLVs. These IANA assignments are requested in this
document in order to avoid interdependencies between otherwise
unrelated MANET protocols and in order to not exhaust the TLV type
spaces by having different protocols request types for essentially
identical data structures. Only message and address block TLVs are
requested, as these are those for which a need has been demonstrated.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and
"OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in
[RFC2119].

Additionally, this document uses terminology from [packetbb], and
introduces the following terminology:

hop count - the number of hops from the message originator to the
   message recipient. This is defined to equal the <msg-hop-count>
   field in the <msg-header> element defined in [packetbb], if
   present, after it is incremented on reception. If the <msg-hop-
   count> field is not present, or in a packet TLV, then hop count is

defined to equal 255.

time-value - a time, measured in seconds.

time-code - an 8 bit field, representing a time-value.

3. Applicability Statement

The TLV structure described in this document is applicable whenever a
single time-value, or a time-value that varies with the number of
hops from the originator of a message, is required in a protocol
using the generalized MANET packet/message format [packetbb].

Examples of time-values that may be included in a protocol message
are:

o The maximum time interval until the next message of the same type
   is to be generated by the message's originator node.

o The validity time of the information with which the time-value is
   associated.

Either of these may vary with the hop count between the originating
and receiving nodes, e.g. if messages of the same type are sent with
different hop limits as defined in [packetbb].

Parts of this document have been generalized from material in the
proactive MANET routing protocol OLSR (The Optimized Link State
Routing Protocol) [RFC3626].

4. Protocol Overview and Functioning

This document does not specify a protocol nor does it mandate
specific node or protocol behavior. Rather, it outlines mechanisms
for encoding time-values using the TLV mechanism of [packetbb].

5. Representing Time

This document specifies a TLV structure in which time-values are each
represented in an 8 bit time-code, one or more of which may be used
in a TLV's <value> field. Of these 8 bits, the least significant 3
bits represent the mantissa (a), and the most significant 5 bits
represent the exponent (b), so that:

o time-value = $(1 + a/8) * 2^b * C$

o time-code = $8 * b + a$

All nodes in the MANET MUST use the same value of the constant C, which will be specified in seconds, hence so will be all time-values. C MUST be greater than 0 seconds. Note that ascending values of the time-code represent ascending time-values, time-values may thus be compared by comparison of time-codes.

An algorithm for computing the time-code representing the smallest representable time-value not less than the time-value t is:

1. find the largest integer b such that t/C >= 2^b;

2. set a = 8 * (t / (C * 2^b) - 1), rounded up to the nearest integer;

3. if a == 8 then set b = b + 1 and set a = 0;

4. if 0 <= a <= 7, and 0 <= b <= 31, then the required time-value can be represented by the time-code 8 * b + a, otherwise it can not.

The minimum time-value that can be represented in this manner is C. The maximum time-value that can be represented in this manner is 15 * 2^28 * C, or about 4.0 * 10^9 * C. If, for example, C = 1/1024 second, then this is about 45 days.

A protocol using this time representation MUST define the value of C. A protocol using this specification MAY specify that the all bits zero time-value (0) represents a time-value of zero and/or that the all bits one time-value (255) represents an indefinitely large time-value.

6. General Time TLV Structure

The following data structure allows the representation of a single time-value, or of a default time-value plus pairs of (time-values, hop counts) for when hop count dependent time-values are required. The time-values are represented as time-codes as defined in Section 5. This <time-data> data structure is specified, using the regular expression syntax of [packetbb], by:

    <time-data> = (<time-code><hop count>)*<time-code>

where:

<time-code> is an 8 bit unsigned integer field containing a time-code as defined in Section 5.

   <hop count> is an 8 bit unsigned integer field specifying a hop
      count from the message originator.

   A <time-data> structure thus consists of an odd number of octets;
   with a repetition factor of n for the (time, hop count) pairs in the
   regular expression syntax, it contains 2n+1 octets. On reception, n
   is determined from the length.

   A <time-data> field may be thus represented by:

      <t_1><d_1><t_2><d_2> ... <t_i><d_i> ... <t_n><d_n><t_default>

   <d_1>, ... <d_n>, if present, MUST be a strictly increasing sequence,
   with <d_n> < 255. Then, at the receiving node's hop count from the
   originator node, the time-value indicated is that represented by the
   time-code:

   o <t_1>, if n > 0 and hop count <= <d_1>;

   o <t_i+1>, if n > 1 and <d_i> < hop count <= <d_i+1> for some i such
      that 1 <= i < n;

   o <t_default> otherwise, i.e. if n == 0 or hop count > <d_n>.

   If included in a message without a <msg-hop-count> field in its
   message header, or in a packet TLV, then the form of this data
   structure with a single time-code in <time-data> (i.e. n == 0) SHOULD
   be used.

6.1. Single-value Time TLVs

   The purpose of a single value Time TLV is to allow a single time-
   value to be determined by a node receiving an entity containing the
   Time TLV, based on its hop count from the entity's originator. The
   Time TLV may contain information that allows that time-value to be a
   function of the hop count, and thus different receiving nodes may
   determine different time-values.

   A single-value Time TLV may be a packet TLVs, a message TLV or an
   address block TLV.

   A Time TLV which has the tismultivalue flag cleared ('0') in its
   <tlv-flags> field, as defined in [packetbb], contains a single <time-
   data>, as defined above, in its <value> field. For such a Time TLV:

   o The <length> field in the TLV MUST contain the value 2n+1, with n
      being the number of (time-value, hop count) pairs in the Time TLV.

o The number of (time-value, hop count) pairs MUST be identified by
   inspecting the <length> field in the TLV. The number of such
   pairs, n, is:

   * n = (<length> - 1) / 2

   This MUST be an integer value.

6.2. Multi-value Time TLVs

The purpose of a multi-value Time TLV is to associate a set of <time-
data> structures to an identically sized set of addresses, as
described in [packetbb]. For each of these <time-data> structures, a
single time-value can be determined by a node receiving an entity
containing the Time TLV, based on its hop count from the entity's
originator. The Time TLV may contain information that allows that
time-value to be a function of the hop count, and thus different
receiving nodes may determine different time-values.

Multi-value Time TLVs MUST be address block TLVs. A multi-value Time
TLV MUST NOT be a packet or message TLV.

A Time TLV which has the tismultivalue flag set ('1') in its <tlv-
flags> field, as defined in [packetbb], contains a sequence of <time-
data> structures, as defined above, in its <value> field. For such a
Time TLV:

o The <length> field in the TLV MUST contain the value m * (2n+1),
   with n being the number of (time-value, hop count) pairs in the
   Time TLV, and m being number-values as defined in [packetbb].

o The number of <time-data> structures included in the <value> field
   is equal to number-values as defined in [packetbb].

o The number of (time-value, hop count) pairs in each <time-data>
   structure MUST be the same, and MUST be identified by inspecting
   the <length> field in the TLV and using number-values as defined
   in [packetbb]. The number of such pairs in each <time-data>
   structure, n, is:

   * n = ((<length> / number-values) - 1) / 2

   This MUST be an integer value. The lists of hop count values MAY
   be different.

7. Message TLVs

Two message TLVs are defined, for signaling message interval
(INTERVAL_TIME) and message validity time (VALIDITY_TIME).

7.1. INTERVAL_TIME TLV

An INTERVAL_TIME TLV is a message TLV that defines the maximum time
before another message of the same type as this message from the same
originator should be received. This interval time MAY be specified
to depend on the hop count from the originator. (This is appropriate
if messages are sent with different hop limits, so that receiving
nodes at greater hop counts have an increased interval time.)

A message MUST NOT include more than one INTERVAL_TIME TLV.

An INTERVAL_TIME TLV is an example of a Time TLV specified as in
Section 5.

7.2. VALIDITY_TIME TLV

A VALIDITY_TIME TLV is a message TLV that defines the validity time
of the information carried in the message in which the TLV is
contained. After this time the receiving node MUST consider the
message content to no longer be valid (unless repeated in a later
message). The validity time of a message MAY be specified to depend
on the hop count from its originator. (This is appropriate if
messages are sent with different hop limits, so that receiving nodes
at greater hop counts receive information less frequently and must
treat is as valid for longer.)

A message MUST NOT include more than one VALIDITY_TIME TLV.

A VALIDITY_TIME TLV is an example of a Time TLV specified as in
Section 5.

8. Address Block TLVs

Two address block TLVs are defined, for signaling address
advertisement interval (INTERVAL_TIME) and address validity time
(VALIDITY_TIME).

8.1. INTERVAL_TIME TLV

An INTERVAL_TIME TLV is an address block TLV that defines the maximum
time before this address from the same originator should be received
again. This interval time MAY be specified to depend on the hop
count from the originator. (This is appropriate if addresses are

   contained in messages sent with different hop limits, so that
   receiving nodes at greater hop counts have an increased interval
   time.)

   A protocol using this TLV and the similarly named message TLV MUST
   specify how to interpret the case when both are present (typically
   that the former over-rides the latter for those addresses which are
   covered by the former).

   An INTERVAL_TIME TLV is an example of a Time TLV specified as in
   Section 5.

8.2. VALIDITY_TIME TLV

   A VALIDITY_TIME TLV is an address block TLV that defines the validity
   time of the addresses to which the TLV is associated. After this
   time the receiving node MUST consider the addresses to no longer be
   valid (unless these are repeated in a later message). The validity
   time of an address MAY be specified to depend on the hop count from
   its originator. (This is appropriate if addresses are contained in
   messages sent with different hop limits, so that receiving nodes at
   greater hop counts receive information less frequently and must treat
   is as valid for longer.)

   A protocol using this TLV and the similarly named message TLV MUST
   specify how to interpret the case when both are present (typically
   that the former over-rides the latter for those addresses which are
   covered by the former).

   A VALIDITY_TIME TLV is an example of a Time TLV specified as in
   Section 5.

9. IANA Considerations

   This specification defines two message TLV types, which must be
   allocated from the "Assigned Message TLV Types" repository of
   [packetbb] as specified in Table 1 and two address block TLV types,
   which must be allocated from the "Assigned Address Block TLV Types"
   repository of [packetbb] as specified in Table 2.

   IANA is requested to assign the same numerical value to the message
   TLV and address block TLV types with the same name.

9.1. Expert Review: Evaluation Guidelines

   For the registries for TLV type extensions where an Expert Review is
   required, the designated expert SHOULD take the same general
   recommendations into consideration as are specified by [packetbb].

9.2. Message TLV Types

```
+---------------+------+-----------+------------------------------+
|     Name      | Type |   Type    | Description                  |
|               |      | Extension |                              |
+---------------+------+-----------+------------------------------+
| INTERVAL_TIME | TBD1 |     0     | The maximum time before      |
|               |      |           | another message of the same  |
|               |      |           | type as this message from the|
|               |      |           | same originator should be    |
|               |      |           | received                     |
|               |      |  1-223    | Expert Review                |
|               |      |  224-255  | Experimental Use             |
| VALIDITY_TIME | TBD2 |     0     | The time from receipt of the |
|               |      |           | message during which the     |
|               |      |           | information contained in the |
|               |      |           | message is to be considered  |
|               |      |           | valid                        |
|               |      |  1-223    | Expert Review                |
|               |      |  224-255  | Experimental Use             |
+---------------+------+-----------+------------------------------+
```

                              Table 1

9.3. Address Block TLV Types

```
+---------------+------+-----------+------------------------------+
|     Name      | Type |   Type    | Description                  |
|               |      | extension |                              |
+---------------+------+-----------+------------------------------+
| INTERVAL_TIME | TBD1 |     0     | The maximum time before      |
|               |      |           | another message of the same  |
|               |      |           | type as this message from the|
|               |      |           | same originator and containing|
|               |      |           | this address should be       |
|               |      |           | received                     |
|               |      |  1-223    | Expert Review                |
|               |      |  224-255  | Experimental Use             |
| VALIDITY_TIME | TBD2 |     0     | The time from receipt of the |
|               |      |           | address during which the     |
|               |      |           | information regarding this   |
|               |      |           | address is to be considered  |
|               |      |           | valid                        |
|               |      |  1-223    | Expert Review                |
|               |      |  224-255  | Experimental Use             |
+---------------+------+-----------+------------------------------+
```

                              Table 2

10. Security Considerations

This document specifies how to add data structures (TLVs) which provide timing information to packets and messages specified using [packetbb]. In particular, information validity durations and reporting intervals may be added.

The general security threats that apply are those general to [packetbb] and described therein, problems of integrity and confidentiality. With regard to the former, modification of a time TLV can cause information to have an invalid validity time, or expected interval time. This may cause incorrect protocol performance. Modification or addition of timed information can add to a protocol's workload (especially if a short validity time is specified) and storage requirements (especially if a long validity time is specified).

To counter these threats, the security suggestions in [packetbb], for the use of authentication and encryption, are appropriate.

11. References

11.1. Normative References

[RFC2119]   Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
            Requirement Levels", RFC 2119, BCP 14, March 1997.

[packetbb] Clausen, T., Dearlove, C., Dean, J., and C. Adjih,
            "Generalized MANET Packet/Message Format",
            draft-ietf-manet-packetbb-14.txt (work in progress),
            August 2008.

11.2. Informative References

[RFC3626]   Clausen, T. and P. Jacquet, "The Optimized Link State
            Routing Protocol", RFC 3626, October 2003.

Appendix A. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Brian Adamson and Justin Dean (both NRL) and Ian Chakeres (Motorola) for their contributions, and Alan Cullen (BAE Systems) for his careful review of this specification.

Authors' Addresses

    Thomas Heide Clausen
    LIX, Ecole Polytechnique, France

    Phone: +33 6 6058 9349
    EMail: T.Clausen@computer.org
    URI: http://www.ThomasClausen.org/

    Christopher Dearlove
    BAE Systems Advanced Technology Centre

    Phone: +44 1245 242194
    EMail: chris.dearlove@baesystems.com
    URI: http://www.baesystems.com/