

MBONED WG  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: July 28, 2018

M. McBride  
C. Perkins  
Huawei  
January 24, 2018

**Multicast WiFi Problem Statement**  
**draft-ietf-mboned-ieee802-mcast-problems-00**

Abstract

There have been known issues with multicast, in an 802.11 environment, which have prevented the deployment of multicast in these wifi environments. IETF multicast experts have been meeting together to discuss these issues and provide IEEE updates. The mboned working group is chartered to receive regular reports on the current state of the deployment of multicast technology, create "practice and experience" documents that capture the experience of those who have deployed and are deploying various multicast technologies, and provide feedback to other relevant working groups. As such, this document will gather the problems of wifi multicast into one problem statement document so as to offer the community guidance on current limitations.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 28, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">Multicast over WiFi Problems . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.1.</a>	<a href="#">Low Reliability . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.2.</a>	<a href="#">Low Data Rate . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.3.</a>	<a href="#">High Interference . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.4.</a>	<a href="#">High Power Consumption . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">Common remedies to multicast over wifi problems . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">State of the Union . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">Security Considerations . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	<a href="#">Acknowledgments . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	<a href="#">Normative References . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
	<a href="#">Authors' Addresses . . . . .</a>	<a href="#">6</a>

## **[1.](#) Introduction**

Multicast over wifi has been used to low levels of success, usually to a point of being so negative that multicast over wifi is not allowed. In addition to protocol use of broadcast/multicast for control messages, more applications, such as push to talk in hospitals, video in enterprises and lectures in Universities, are streaming over wifi. And many end devices are increasingly using wifi for their connectivity. One of the primary problems multicast over wifi faces is that link local 802.11 doesn't necessarily support multicast, it supports broadcast. To make make multicast over wifi work successfully we often need to modify the multicast to instead be sent as unicast in order for it to successfully transmit with useable quality. Multicast over wifi experiences high packet error rates, no acknowledgements, and low data rate. This draft reviews these problems found with multicast over wifi. While this is not a solutions draft, common workarounds to some of the problems will be listed, along with the impact of the workarounds.

## **[2.](#) Multicast over WiFi Problems**

802.11 is a wireless broadcast medium which works well for unicast and has become ubiquitous in its use. With multicast, however, problems arise over wifi. There are no ACKs for multicast packets,



for instance, so there can be a high level of packet error rate (PER) due to lack of retransmission and because the sender never backs off. It is not uncommon for there to be a packet loss rate of 5% which is particularly troublesome for video and other environments where high data rates and high reliability are required. Multicast, over wifi, is typically sent on a low data rate which makes video negatively impacted. Wifi loses many more packets than wired due to collisions and signal loss. There are also problems because clients are unable to stay in sleep mode due to the multicast control packets continuing to unnecessarily wake up those clients which subsequently reduces energy savings. Video is becoming the dominant content for end device applications, with multicast being the most natural method for applications to transmit video. Unfortunately, multicast, even though it is a very natural choice for video, incurs a large penalty over wifi.

One big difference between multicast over wired versus multicast over wired is that wired links are a fixed transmission rate. Wifi, on the other hand, has a transmission rate which varies over time depending upon the clients proximity to the AP. Throughput of video flows, and the capacity of the broader wifi network, will change and will impact the ability for QoS solutions to effectively reserve bandwidth and provide admission control.

The main problems associated with multicast over WiFi are as follows:

- o Low Reliability
- o Lower Data Rate
- o High interference
- o High Power Consumption

These points will be elaborated separately in the following subsections.

### **2.1. Low Reliability**

Because of the lack of acknowledgement for packets from Access Point to the receivers, it is not possible for the Access Point to know whether or not a retransmission is needed. Even in the wired Internet, this characteristic commonly causes undesirably high error rates, contributing to the relatively slow uptake of multicast applications even though the protocols have been available for decades. The situation for wireless links is much worse, and is quite sensitive to the presence of background traffic.



## **2.2. Low Data Rate**

For wireless stations associated with an Access Points, the necessary power for good reception can vary from station to station. For unicast, the goal is to minimize power requirements while maximizing the data rate to the destination. For multicast, the goal is simply to maximize the number of receivers that will correctly receive the multicast packet. For this purpose, generally the Access Point has to use a much lower data rate at a power level high enough for even the farthest station to receive the packet. Consequently, the data rate of a video stream, for instance, would be constrained by the environmental considerations of the least reliable receiver associated with the Access Point.

## **2.3. High Interference**

As mentioned in the previous subsection, multicast transmission to the stations associated to an Access Point typically proceeds at a much higher power level than is required for unicast to many of the receivers. High power levels directly contribute to stronger interference. The interference due to multicast may extend to effects inhibiting packet reception at more distant stations that might even be associated with other Access Points. Moreover, the use of lower data rates implies that the physical medium will be occupied for a longer time to transmit a packet than would be required at high data rates. Thus, the level of interference due to multicast will be not only higher, but longer in duration.

Depending on the choice of 802.11 technology, and the configured choice for the base data rate for multicast transmission from the Access Point, the amount of additional interference can range from a factor of ten, to a factor thousands for 802.11ac.

## **2.4. High Power Consumption**

One of the characteristics of multicast transmission is that every station has to be configured to wake up to receive the multicast, even though the received packet may ultimately be discarded. This process has a relatively large impact on the power consumption by the multicast receiver station.

## **3. Common remedies to multicast over wifi problems**

One common solution to the multicast over wifi problem is to convert the multicast traffic into unicast. This is often referred to as multicast to unicast (MC2UC). Converting the packets to unicast is beneficial because unicast packets are acknowledged and retransmitted as needed to prevent as much loss. The Access Points (AP) is also



able to provide rate limiting as needed. The drawback with this approach is that the benefit of using multicast is defeated.

Using 802.11n helps provide a more reliable and higher level of signal-to-noise ratio in a wifi environment over which multicast (broadcast) packets can be sent. This can provide higher throughput and reliability but the broadcast limitations remain.

#### **4. State of the Union**

In discussing these issues over email and, most recently, in a side meeting at IETF 99, it is generally agreed that these problems will not be fixed anytime soon primarily because it's expensive to do so and multicast is unreliable. The problem of v6 neighbor discovery saturating the wifi link is only part of the problem. A big problem is that the 802.11 multicast channel is an afterthought and only given 100th of the bandwidth. Multicast is basically a second class citizen, to unicast, over wifi. Unicast may have allocated 10mb while Multicast will be allocated 1mb. There are many protocols using multicast and there needs to be something provided in order to make them more reliable. Wifi traffic classes may help. We need to determine what problem should be solved by the IETF and what problem should be solved by the IEEE.

Apple's Bonjour protocol, for instance, provides service discovery (for printing) that utilizes multicast. It's the first thing operators drop. Even if multicast snooping is utilized, everyone registers at once using Bonjour and the network has serious degradation. There is also a lot of work being developed to help save battery life such as the devices not waking up when receiving a multicast packet. If an AP, for instance, expresses a DTIM of 3 then it will send a multicast packet every 3 packets. But the reality is that most AP's will send a multicast every 30 packets. For unicast there's a TIM. But because multicast is going to everyone, the AP sends a broadcast to everyone. DTIM does power management but clients can choose to wake up or not and whether to drop the packet or not. Then they don't know why their Bonjour doesn't work. The IETF may just need to decide that broadcast is more expensive so multicast needs to be sent wired. 802.1ak works on ethernet and wifi. 802.1ak has been pulled into 802.1Q as of 802.1Q-2011. 802.1Q-2014 can be looked at here: <http://www.ieee802.org/1/pages/802.1Q-2014.html>. If we don't find a generic solution we need to establish guidelines for multicast over wifi within the mboned wg. A multicast over wifi IETF mailing list is formed (mcast-wifi@ietf.org) and more discussion to be had there. This draft will serve as the current state of affairs.





This is not a solutions draft, but to provide an idea going forward, a reliable registration to Layer-2 multicast groups and a reliable multicast operation at Layer-2 could provide a generic solution. There is no need to support  $2^{24}$  groups to get solicited node multicast working: it is possible to simply select a number of trailing bits that make sense for a given network size to limit the amount of unwanted deliveries to reasonable levels. We need to encourage IEEE 802.1 and 802.11 to revisit L2 multicast issues. In particular, Wi-Fi provides a broadcast service, not a multicast one. In fact all frames are broadcast at the PHY level unless we beamform. What comes with unicast is the property of being much faster (2 orders of magnitude) and much more reliable (L2 ARQ).

## 5. IANA Considerations

None

## 6. Security Considerations

None

## 7. Acknowledgments

The following people have contributed information and discussion in the meetings and on the list which proved helpful for the development of the latest version this Internet Draft:

Dave Taht, Donald Eastlake, Pascal Thubert, Juan Carlos Zuniga, Mikael Abrahamsson, Diego Dujovne, David Schinazi, Stig Venaas, Stuart Cheshire, Lorenzo, Greg Shephard, Mark Hamilton

## 8. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

### Authors' Addresses

Mike McBride  
Huawei  
2330 Central Expressway  
Santa Clara CA 95055  
USA

Email: michael.mcbride@huawei.com



Charlie Perkins

Huawei

2330 Central Expressway

Santa Clara CA 95055

USA

Email: [charlie.perkins@huawei.com](mailto:charlie.perkins@huawei.com)