

Internet Engineering Task force  
Internet Draft  
Intended Status: Proposed Standard  
Expires: October 31, 2009

Gabor Bajko  
Nokia  
Subir Das  
Telcordia Technologies  
May 01, 2009

Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCPv4 and DHCPv6) Options for  
IEEE 802.21 Mobility Services (MoS) Discovery  
[draft-ietf-mipshop-mos-dhcp-options-14](#)

#### Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 31, 2009.

#### Copyright and License Notice

Copyright (c) 2009 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents in effect on the date of publication of this document (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>). Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document.

#### Abstract

This document defines new Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCPv4 and DHCPv6) options that contain a list of IP addresses and a list of domain names that can be mapped to servers providing IEEE



802.21 type of Mobility Service (MoS)[[MSFD](#)]. These Mobility Services are used to assist a mobile node (MN) in handover preparation (network discovery) and handover decision (network selection). The services addressed in this document are the Media Independent Handover Services defined in [[IEEE802.21](#)].

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction .....	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	MoS IPv4 address option for DHCPv4.....	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	MoS Domain Name List option for DHCPv4.....	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	MoS IPv6 address option for DHCPv6.....	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	MoS Domain Name List option for DHCPv6.....	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	Option Usage.....	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">6.1</a>	Usage of MoS Options for DHCPv4.....	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">6.2</a>	Usage of MoS Options for DHCPv6.....	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	Security Considerations .....	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	IANA Considerations .....	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	Acknowledgements .....	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">10.</a>	References .....	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">10.1</a>	Normative References .....	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">10.2</a>	Informative References .....	<a href="#">14</a>
	Author's Addresses .....	<a href="#">14</a>

### (1) Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC-2119](#).

### (2) Terminology and abbreviations used in this document

**Mobility Services:** a set of services provided by the network to mobile nodes to facilitate handover preparation and handover decision. In this document, Mobility Services refer to the services defined in IEEE 802.21 specifications [[IEEE802.21](#)]

**Mobility Server:** a network node providing Mobility Services.

**MIH:** Media Independent Handover, as defined in [[IEEE802.21](#)].

**MIH Service:** IS, ES or CS type of service, as defined in [[IEEE802.21](#)]

## **1. Introduction**

IEEE 802.21 [[IEEE802.21](#)] defines three distinct service types to facilitate link layer handovers across heterogeneous technologies:

### **a) Information Services (IS)**

IS provides a unified framework to the higher layer entities across the heterogeneous network environment to facilitate discovery and selection of multiple types of networks existing within a geographical area, with the objective to help the higher layer mobility protocols to acquire a global view of heterogeneous networks and perform seamless handover across these networks.

### **b) Event Services (ES)**

Events may indicate changes in state and transmission behavior of the physical, data link and logical link layers, or predict state changes of these layers. The Event Service may also be used to indicate management actions or command status on the part of the network or some management entity.

### **c) Command Services (CS)**

The command service enables higher layers to control the physical, data link, and logical link layers. The higher layers may control the reconfiguration or selection of an appropriate link through a set of handover commands.

In IEEE terminology these services are called Media Independent Handover (MIH) services. While these services may be co-located, the different pattern and type of information they provide does not necessitate the co-location.

An mobile node (MN) may make use of any of these MIH service types separately or any combination of them [[MSFD](#)]. In practice a Mobility Server may not necessarily host all three of these MIH services together, thus there is a need to discover the MIH services types separately.

This document defines new DHCPv4 and DHCPv6 options and sub-options called the MoS IP Address and Domain Name List Options, which allow the MN to locate a Mobility Server which hosts the desired service type (i.e. IS, ES or CS) as defined in [[IEEE802.21](#)]. Apart from manual configuration, this is one of the possible solutions for locating a server providing Mobility Services.

## **2. MoS IPv4 Address Option for DHCPv4**

This section describes the MoS IPv4 Address Option for DHCPv4. Whether the MN receives an MoS address from local or home network will depend on the actual network deployment [[MSFD](#)]. The MoS IPv4













```

|                               Sub-Option n                               |
.
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

#### Option Code

OPTION-IPv4\_FQDN-MoS (To Be Assigned) - 1 byte

#### Length

An 8-bit field indicating the length of the option excluding the 'Option Code' and the 'Length' fields

#### Sub-options

A series of DHCPv4 sub-options.

When the total length of a MoS Domain Name List Option exceeds 254 octets, the procedure outlined in [[RFC3396](#)] MUST be employed to split the option into multiple, smaller options.

A sub-option begins with a sub-option Code followed by a length and one or more FQDNs. The sub-option layout is depicted below:

```

 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| Sub-opt Code |   Length   | FQDN(s) . . . . .
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
.
.
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

The sub-option Codes are summarized below.

Sub-opt Code*	Service Name
1	IS
2	CS
3	ES

\*Note: The values `0` and `4` to `255` are reserved.



Thus the sub-option for this encoding has the following format:

```

      Code  Len   DNS name of MoS server
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|1..3 | n   | s1 |  s2 |  s3 |  s4 | s5 |  ...
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+

```

The Sub-option begins with a sub-option code followed by a length and a sequence of labels that are encoded according to [Section 8 of \[RFC3315\]](#).

The Sub-option MAY contain multiple domain names, but these should Refer to the NAPTR records of different providers, rather than different A records within the same provider. That is, the use of multiple domain names is not meant to replace NAPTR and SRV records, but rather to allow a single DHCP server to indicate MIH servers operated by multiple providers.

The client MUST try the records in the order listed, applying the mechanism described in [\[MoS-DNS\]](#) for each. The client only resolves the subsequent domain names if attempts to contact the first one failed or yielded no common transport protocols between the MN and the server.

As an example, consider the case where the server wants to offer two MIH IS servers, "example.com" and "example.net". These would be encoded as follows:

```

+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
|1..3 |26 | 7 |'e'|'x'|'a'|'m'|'p'|'l'|'e'| 3 |'c'|'o'|'m'| 0 |
+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+-----+
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| 7 |'e'|'x'|'a'|'m'|'p'|'l'|'e'| 3 |'n'|'e'|'t'| 0 |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

#### 4. MoS IPv6 Address Option for DHCPv6

This section describes the MoS IPv6 Address Option for DHCPv6. Whether the MN receives an MoS address from local or home network will depend on the actual network deployment [\[MSFD\]](#). The MoS Discovery Option begins with a option code followed by a length and sub-options. The value of the length octet does not include itself or the option code. The option layout is depicted below:

```

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|          Option Code          |          Length          |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                               Sub-Option 1                               |
.
.

```



```

+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                     ...                                     |
.                                                                           .
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                     Sub-Option n                             |
.                                                                           .
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
Option Code

```

OPTION-IPv6\_Address-MoS (To Be Assigned) - 2 bytes

#### Length

A 16-bit field indicating the length of the option excluding the 'Option Code' and the 'Length' fields.

#### Sub-options

A series of DHCPv6 sub-options

The sub-options follow the same format (except the Sub-opt Code and Length value) as described in [Section 2](#). The value of the Sub-opt Code and Length are 2-octets and the Length does not include itself or the Sub-opt Code field. The sub-option layout is depicted below:

```

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| sub-opt Code                                     | Length                             |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|                                     IP Address                                     |
.                                                                           .
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

The sub-option Codes are summarized below.

Sub-opt Code*		Service Name
1		IS
2		CS
3		ES

\*Note: The values `0` and `4` to `65535` are reserved.


If the length is followed by a list of IPv6 addresses indicating appropriate MIH servers available to the MN for a requested option, servers MUST be listed in order of preference and the client should



process them in decreasing order of preference. In case there is no MIH server available, the length is set to 0, otherwise it is a multiple of 16.

## 5. MoS Domain Name List option for DHCPv6

This section describes the MoS Domain List Option for DHCPv6. The general format of this option for is depicted below:



Option Code

OPTION-IPv6\_FQDN-MoS (To Be Assigned) - 2 bytes


Length

A 16-bit field indicating the length of the option excluding the 'Option Code' and the 'Length' fields.

Sub-options

A series of DHCPv6 sub-options

The Sub-options follow the same format (except the Sub-opt Code and Length value) as described in [Section 3](#). The value of the Sub-opt Code and Length are 2-octets and the Length does not include itself or the Sub-opt Code field. The sub-option layout is depicted below:





```

+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
|                               FQDN(s)                               |
.                                                                 .
+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+

```

The sub-option Codes are summarized below.

+-----+-----+	
Sub-opt Code*	Service Name
+-----+-----+	
1	IS
+-----+-----+	
2	CS
+-----+-----+	
3	ES
+-----+-----+	

\*Note: The values `0` and `4` to `65535` are reserved.

The semantics and content of the DHCPv6 encoding of this option are exactly the same as the encoding described in [Section 3](#), except the Option Code and Length value.

## 6. Option Usage

### 6.1 Usage of MoS Options for DHCPv4

The requesting and sending of the proposed DHCPv4 options follow the rules for DHCP options in [\[RFC2131\]](#).

#### 6.1.1 Mobile Node behavior

The mobile node may perform a MoS discovery either during initial association with a network or when the mobility service is required. It may also try to perform the MoS discovery when it lacks the network information for MoS or needs to change the MoS for some reasons, for instance, to recover from the single point of failure of the existing MoS.

In order to discover the IP address or FQDN of a MoS, the mobile node (DHCP client) MUST include either a MoS IPv4 Address Option or a MoS Domain Name List Option in the Parameter Request List (PRL) in the respective DHCP messages as defined in [\[RFC2131\]](#).

The client MAY include a MoS IPv4 Address Option or a MoS Domain Name List Option that includes one or more sub-option(s) with the

Sub-opt Code(s) that represents the service(s) the mobile node is interested in. However, a client SHOULD be prepared to accept a response from a server that includes other sub-option(s) or does not include the requested sub-option(s).

#### **6.1.2 DHCP Server behavior**

When the DHCP Server receives either a MoS IPv4 Address Option or a MoS Domain Name List Option in the PRL, the DHCP server MUST include the option in its response message as defined in [[RFC2131](#)].

A server MAY use the sub-options in the received MoS IPv4 Address Option or MoS Domain Name List Option from the client's message to restrict its response to the client requested sub-options. In the case when the server cannot find any Mobility Server satisfying a requested sub-option, the server SHOULD return the MoS Option with that sub-option and the length of the sub-option set to 0.

### **6.2 Usage of MoS Options for DHCPv6**

The requesting and sending of the proposed DHCPv6 options follow the rules for DHCP options in [[RFC3315](#)].

#### **6.2.1 Mobile node behavior**

The mobile node may perform the MoS discovery either during initial association with a network or when the mobility service is required. It may also try to perform the MoS discovery when it lacks the network information for MoS or needs to change the MoS for some reasons, for instance, to recover from the single point of failure of the existing MoS.

In order to discover the IP address or FQDN of a MoS, the mobile node (DHCP client) MUST include either a MoS IPv6 Address Option or a MoS Domain Name List Option in the Option Request Option (ORO) in the respective DHCP messages as defined in [[RFC3315](#)].

The client MAY include a MoS IPv6 Address Option or a MoS Domain Name List Option that includes one or more sub-option(s) with the Sub-opt Code(s) that represents the service(s) the mobile node is interested in. However, a client SHOULD be prepared to accept a response from a server that includes other sub-option(s) or does not include the requested sub-option(s).

#### **6.2.2 DHCP Server behavior**

When the DHCP Server receives either a MoS IPv6 Address Option or



a MoS Domain Name List Option in the ORO, the DHCP server MUST include the option in its response message as defined in [[RFC3315](#)].

A server MAY use the sub-options in the received MoS IPv6 Address Option or MoS Domain Name List Option from the client's message to restrict its response to the client requested sub-options. In the case when the server cannot find any Mobility Server satisfying a requested sub-option, the server SHOULD return the MoS Option with that sub-option and the length of the sub-option set to 0.

## **7. Security Considerations**

The security considerations in [[RFC2131](#)] apply. If an adversary manages to modify the response from a DHCP server or insert its own response, an MN could be led to contact a rogue Mobility Server, possibly one that then would provide wrong information, event or command for handover.

It is recommended to use either DHCP authentication option described in [[RFC3118](#)] where available. This will also protect the denial of service attacks to DHCP servers. [[RFC3118](#)] provides mechanisms for both entity authentication and message authentication.

In deployments where DHCP authentication is not available, lower layer security services may be sufficient to protect DHCP messages.

Regarding domain name resolution, it is recommended to consider the usage of DNSSEC [[RFC4033](#)] and the aspects of DNSSEC Operational Practices [[RFC4641](#)]. Security considerations described in [[MoS-DNS](#)] also apply.

## **8. IANA Considerations**

This document defines two new DHCPv4 options as described in Sections 2 and 3.

MoS IPv4 Address Option for DHCPv4 (OPTION-IPv4\_Address-MoS)      TBA

MoS Domain Name List option for DHCPv4 (OPTION-IPv4\_FQDN-MoS)      TBA

This document creates a new registry for the Sub-Option fields in the MoS DHCPv4 Address and FQDN options called the "IEEE 802.21 Service Type" ([Section 2](#) and 3).

IS	1
CS	2
ES	3



The values '0', and '4' to '255' are reserved. New Values can be allocated via Standards Action as defined in [[RFC5226](#)].

This document also defines two DHCPv6 options as described in sections [4](#) and [5](#).

MoS IPv6 Address Option for DHCPv6 (OPTION-IPv6\_Address-MoS) TBA

MoS Domain Name List option for DHCPv6 (OPTION-IPv6\_FQDN-MoS) TBA

This document creates a new registry for the sub-option field in the MoS DHCPv6 Address and FQDN options called the "IEEE 802.21 Service Type" ([Section 4](#) and 5).

IS	1
CS	2
ES	3

The values '0', and '4' to '65535' are reserved. New Values can be allocated via Standards Action as defined in [[RFC5226](#)].

## [9. Acknowledgements](#)

Authors would like to acknowledge the following individuals for their valuable comments.

Alfred Hoenes, Bernie Volz, David W. Hankins, Jari Arkko, Telemaco Melia, Ralph Droms Ted Lemon, Vijay Devarapalli, and Yoshihiro Ohba

## [10. References](#)

### 10.1 Normative References

[RFC2131] Droms, R., "Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol", [RFC 2131](#), March 1997.

[RFC3315] Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 (DHCPv6), Droms et al, July 2003

[RFC3118] Authentication for DHCP Messages, Droms et al, June 2001

[RFC3396] Lemon, T. and S. Cheshire, "Encoding Long DHCP Options", [RFC3396](#), November 2002.

[RFC4033] Arends, R., Austein, R., Larson, M., Massey, D., and S. Rose, "DNS Security Introduction and Requirements", [RFC 4033](#), March 2005.

[RFC5226] T. Narten and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs" , May 2008.

[MSFD] T Melia, Ed., "Mobility Services Framework Design (MSFD)", [draft-ietf-mipshop-mstp-solution-12.txt](#) (Work in Progress).

[MoS-DNS] Bajko, G., "Locating Mobility Servers", [draft-ietf-mipshop-mos-dns-discovery-04.txt](#) (Work in Progress),

## 10.2 Informative References

[RFC4641] Kolkman, O. and R. Gieben, "DNSSEC Operational Practices", [RFC 4641](#), September 2006.

[IEEE802.21] IEEE 802.21 Standard for Local and Metropolitan Area Networks: Media Independent Handover Services.

## Authors' Addresses

Gabor Bajko  
Nokia  
e-mail: gabor.bajko@nokia.com

Subir Das  
Telcordia Technologies Inc.  
e-mail: subir@research.telcordia.com

