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Abstract

This document updates RFC6716 to extend the Opus codec (RFC6716) in a way that maintains interoperability, while adding optional functionality.
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1. Introduction

This document updates RFC6716 to extend the Opus codec (RFC6716) in a way that maintains interoperability, while adding optional functionality.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. Extension Format

The Opus padding mechanism provides a safe way to extend the Opus codec while preserving interoperability and without having to transmit any extra packets. [RFC6716] specifies that all padding bytes "MUST be set to zero" by the encoder, while the decoder "MUST accept any value for the padding bytes". In that way, any non-zero padding will indicate to an extended decoder that an extension is present and can be processed. On the other hand, for any all-zero padding, the decoder will just discard the padding like any non-extended decoder. A non-extended decoder receiving a packet with an extension will simply discard the extension and proceed as if none was present.

An extension starts with a byte that signals a 7-bit ID, as well as a binary flag L for length signaling. For extension IDs 1 through 31, L=0 means that no data follows the extension, whereas L=1 means that exactly one byte of extension data follows. For IDs 32 to 127,
L=0 signals that the extension data takes up the rest of the padding, and L=1 signals that a length indicator follows. For ID 0, L=0 has the same meaning as for IDs 32 to 127, but L=1 signals a length of zero (no length indicator follows). In any given packet containing padding, the "rest of the padding" cannot appear more than once. When a length indicator is signaled, the following byte contains a length value from 0 to 254. If the length byte is 255, then the length is 255 plus the length signaled from the next byte, with 255 case being allowed to repeat as long as the size of the padding is not exceeded. Any extension signaled with a length that would cause the decoder to read beyond the bounds of the packet MUST be ignored by the decoder.

A decoder MUST ignore any extension it does not know, decoding the rest of the packet as if the extension was not present. Additionally, a decoder MAY ignore any other extension even if it technically supports it. An encoder MUST NOT alter the way it encodes the non-extension part of an Opus packet in such a way as to noticeably reduce its quality when decoded with a non-extended decoder.

A given extension ID may appear multiple times and extension ID ordering is significant (see, e.g., Section 2.2). A particular extension ID definition MAY place further restrictions on count and ordering of these extensions (see Section 3).

2.1. ID 0: Original Padding

For compatibility reasons, an ID of 0 means that the content of the extension is actual padding, as originally defined in [RFC6716]. As in its original definition, the padding bytes MUST be set to zero by the encoder, while the decoder MUST ignore any non-zero padding. In the case where the L flag is set, the 0x01 header byte is simply skipped and extension decoding continues from the next byte. This can be useful as a way to insert padding one byte at a time, since
appending zeros at the end may cause an increase in size from having to signal a multi-byte length indicator for the last extension.

2.2. ID 1: Separator

In the case where multiple Opus frames are packed inside the same packet, there may be a need to specify which extension(s) apply to which frame. By default, all extensions apply to the first Opus frame in the packet. An extension with ID=1 acts as a separator between extensions from different Opus frames. When parsing extensions sequentially, any time a separator with \( L=0 \) is encountered, the associated frame is increased by one. If \( L=1 \) is used, the following data byte indicates the increment applied for the new associated frame. The associated frame value MUST NOT exceed the bound equal to the number of frames in the packet, minus one (indexing starts at zero). Similarly, \( L=0 \) separators MUST NOT cause the associated frame to exceed the above bound. The decoder MUST ignore all extensions associated with an out-of-bound frame index.

2.3. IDs 2-119: Unassigned

These extensions are to be define in their own respective documents and the IDs are to be assigned by IANA. Note that the definition of the \( L \) flag is already defined for all these unassigned IDs because a decoder must know how to skip extensions it does not know about. Due to potential for interaction between extensions, new extensions are to be assigned with the "Standards Action" policy defined by [RFC8126].

2.4. IDs 120-126: I-D Experimental

We reserve these 7 IDs for experimental extensions, such that extensions defined in Internet-Drafts can be tested before they become RFC without causing possible interoperability issues should their bitstream definitions change. When using an experimental ID, it is RECOMMENDED to use a two-byte prefix that attempts to encode an experiment number (first byte) and a version number (second byte). Experimental extension documents SHOULD attempt to choose an experiment number that does not collide with other ongoing experiments.

2.5. ID 127: Extended Extensions

The last ID is reserved for future extensions to the extension mechanism. As with all other IDs in the range 32...127, the meaning of the \( L \) flag is pre-defined to ensure decoders can skip extended extensions they do not know about. The contents of the payload for this extension will be defined by a future specification.
3. IANA Considerations

This document defines a new registry "Opus Extension IDs" in a new "Opus" group, that allocates individual IDs to individual extensions to be defined in the future. The existing "Opus Channel Mapping Families" registry will also be moved to the newly created "Opus" group. Moreover, this document already defines the following IDs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Extension ID</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>Original padding definition</td>
<td>Defined in Section 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Frame separator</td>
<td>Defined in Section 2.2.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-119</td>
<td>Unassigned</td>
<td>To be assigned with the &quot;Standards Action&quot; policy [RFC8126]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120-126</td>
<td>Experimental Internet-Draft implementations</td>
<td>Defined in Section 2.4, following the &quot;Experimental Use&quot; policy [RFC8126]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>127</td>
<td>Reserved for future extensions</td>
<td>Reserved in Section 2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1

For forward compatibility, any extension MUST use the definition of the L flag dictated by its ID value (see Section 2). Extension definitions MUST specify whether or not it is permitted for the extension to appear multiple times for a given Opus frame within the packet.

3.1. Opus Media Type Update

This document updates the audio/opus media type registration [RFC7587] to add the following two optional parameters:

extensions: specifies a comma-separated list of supported extension IDs on the receiver side.

sprop-extensions: specifies a comma-separated list of supported extension IDs on the sender side.

textN-*: To facilitate parameter forwarding, extension document that require receiver extension parameters SHOULD name them "ext", followed by the extension ID, a hyphen, and the parameter name.

sprop-extN-*: Extension-specific sender-side parameters defined similarly as above.

All names starting with "ext" and "sprop-ext" are reserved for use by Opus extensions.
Extension IDs 0 and 1 MUST be supported by any receiver that recognizes Opus extensions, and do not need to be included in the extensions or sprop-extensions lists.

3.2. Mapping to SDP Parameters

The media type parameters described above map to declarative SDP and SDP offer-answer in the same way as other optional parameters in [RFC7587]. As per [RFC5576] Section 6.3, media-level format parameters MUST be explicitly specified and MUST NOT be carried over blindly from another offer or answer. Regardless of any a=fmtp SDP attribute specified, the receiver MUST be capable of receiving any signal.

4. Security Considerations

This document does not add security considerations beyond those already documented in [RFC6716]. Future Opus extensions may have their own security implications.
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