

MMUSIC
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: September 10, 2015

K. Drage, Ed.
M. Makaraju
J. Stoetzer-Bradler
Alcatel-Lucent
R. Ejzak
J. Marcon
Unaffiliated
March 9, 2015

SDP-based Data Channel Negotiation
draft-ietf-mmusic-data-channel-sdpneg-01

Abstract

The Real-Time Communication in WEB-browsers (RTCWeb) working group is charged to provide protocols to support direct interactive rich communications using audio, video, and data between two peers' web-browsers. For the support of data communication, the RTCWeb working group has in particular defined the concept of bi-directional data channels over SCTP, where each data channel might be used to transport other protocols, called sub-protocols. Data channel setup can be done using either the internal in-band band (also referred to as 'internal' for the rest of the document) Data Channel Establishment Protocol or some external out-of-band simply referred to as 'external negotiation' in the rest of the document. This document specifies how the SDP offer/answer exchange can be used to achieve such an external negotiation. Even though data channels are designed for RTCWeb use initially they may be used by other protocols like, but not limited to, the CLUE protocol. This document is intended to be used wherever data channels are used.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 10, 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Conventions	3
3.	Terminology	3
4.	Data Channels	4
4.1.	Stream Identifier Numbering	5
4.2.	Generic External Negotiation	6
4.2.1.	Overview	6
4.2.2.	Opening a Data Channel	6
4.2.3.	Closing a Data Channel	7
5.	SDP-based External Negotiation	7
5.1.	SDP Syntax	8
5.1.1.	SDP Attribute for Data Channel Parameter Negotiation	8
5.1.1.1.	dcmap Attribute	8
5.1.1.2.	dcmap-stream-id Parameter	10
5.1.1.3.	label Parameter	10
5.1.1.4.	subprotocol Parameter	10
5.1.1.5.	max-retr Parameter	10
5.1.1.6.	max-time Parameter	10
5.1.1.7.	ordered Parameter	11
5.1.2.	Sub-Protocol Specific Attributes	11
5.2.	Procedures	12
5.2.1.	Managing Stream Identifiers	12
5.2.2.	Negotiating Data Channel Parameters	13
5.2.3.	Opening a Data Channel	14
5.2.4.	Closing a Data Channel	16
5.2.5.	Various SDP Offer/Answer Scenarios and Considerations	17
6.	Examples	18
7.	Security Considerations	20
8.	IANA Considerations	20
9.	Acknowledgments	21
10.	CHANGE LOG	21

10.1.	Changes against ' draft-ietf-mmusic-data-channel-sdpneg-00 '	21
10.2.	Changes against ' draft-ejzak-mmusic-data-channel-sdpneg-02 '	24
10.3.	Changes against '-01'	25
10.4.	Changes against '-00'	25
11.	References	25
11.1.	Normative References	25
11.2.	Informative References	26
Authors'	Addresses	27

[1.](#) Introduction

The RTCWeb working group has defined the concept of bi-directional data channels running on top of SCTP/DTLS. RTCWeb leaves it open for other applications to use data channels and its in-band or out-of-band protocol for creating them. Each data channel consists of paired SCTP streams sharing the same SCTP Stream Identifier. Data channels are created by endpoint applications through the WebRTC API, or other users of data channel like CLUE, and can be used to transport proprietary or well-defined protocols, which in the latter case can be signaled by the data channel "sub-protocol" parameter, conceptually similar to the WebSocket "sub-protocol". However, apart from the "sub-protocol" value transmitted to the peer, RTCWeb leaves it open how endpoint applications can agree on how to instantiate a given sub-protocol on a data channel, and whether it is signaled in-band or out-of-band (or both). In particular, the SDP offer generated by the application includes no channel-specific information.

This document defines SDP-based out-of-band negotiation procedures to establish data channels for transport of well-defined sub-protocols.

[2.](#) Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

[3.](#) Terminology

This document uses the following terms:

Data channel: A WebRTC data channel as specified in [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel](#)].

Data channel stack: An entity which, upon application request, runs data channel protocol to keep track of states, sending and

receive data. If the application is browser based JavaScript application then this stack resides in the browser. If the application is a native application then this stack resides in application and accessible to it via some sort of APIs.

Data channel properties: fixed properties assigned to a data channel at the time of its creation. Some of these properties determine the way the data channel stack transmits data on this channel (e.g., stream identifier, reliability, order of delivery...).

DCEP - Data Channel Establishment Protocol defined in [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol\]](#).

External negotiation: Data channel negotiation based on SDP offer/answer outlined in this specification.

Internal negotiation: Data channel negotiation based on the Data Channel Establishment Protocol defined in [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol\]](#).

In-band: transmission through the peer-to-peer SCTP association.

In-band negotiation: data channel negotiation based on the Data Channel Establishment Protocol defined in [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol\]](#).

Out-of-band: transmission through the application signaling path.

Peer: From the perspective of one of the agents in a session, its peer is the other agent. Specifically, from the perspective of the SDP offerer, the peer is the SDP answerer. From the perspective of the SDP answerer, the peer is the SDP offerer.

Stream identifier: the identifier of the outbound and inbound SCTP streams composing a data channel.

4. Data Channels

This section summarizes how data channels work in general. Note that the references to 'browser' here is intentional as in this specific example the data channel user is a WebRTC enabled browser.

A WebRTC application creates a data channel via the data channel API, by providing a number of setup parameters (sub-protocol, label, reliability, order of delivery, priority). The application also specifies if it wants to make use of the in-band negotiation using the DCEP [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol\]](#), or if the application

intends to perform an "external negotiation" using some other in-band or out-of-band mechanism.

In any case, the SDP offer generated by the browser is per [\[I-D.ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp\]](#). In brief, it contains one "m" line for the SCTP association on top of which data channels will run, and one attribute per protocol assigned to the SCTP ports:

```
m=application 54111 UDP/DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 79.97.215.79
a=max-message-size:100000
a=sctp-port 5000
a=setup:actpass
a=connection:new
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    4A:AD:B9:B1:3F:82:18:3B:54:02:12:DF:3E:5D:49:6B:19:E5:7C:AB
```

Note: A WebRTC browser will only use "m" line format "webrtc-datachannel", and will not use other formats in the "m" line for other protocols such as t38. [\[I-D.ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp\]](#) supports only one SCTP association to be established on top of a DTLS session.

Note: This SDP syntax does not contain any channel-specific information.

[4.1.](#) Stream Identifier Numbering

Independently from the requested type of negotiation, the application creating a data channel can either pass to the browser the stream identifier to assign to the data channel or else let the browser pick one identifier from the ones unused.

To avoid glare situations, each endpoint can moreover own an exclusive set of stream identifiers, in which case an endpoint can only create a data channel with a stream identifier it owns.

Which set of stream identifiers is owned by which endpoint is determined by convention or other means.

For data channels negotiated in-band, one endpoint owns by convention the even stream identifiers, whereas the other owns the odd stream identifiers, as defined in [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol\]](#).

For data channels externally negotiated, no convention is defined by default.

4.2. Generic External Negotiation

4.2.1. Overview

In-band negotiation only provides for negotiation of data channel transport parameters and does not provide for negotiation of sub-protocol specific parameters. External negotiation can be defined to allow negotiation of parameters beyond those handled by in-band negotiation, e.g., parameters specific to the sub-protocol instantiated on a particular data channel. See [Section 5.1.2](#) for an example of such a parameter.

The following procedures are common to all methods of external negotiation, whether in-band (communicated using proprietary means on an already established data channel) or out-of-band (using SDP or some other protocol associated with the signaling channel).

4.2.2. Opening a Data Channel

In the case of external negotiation, the endpoint application has the option to fully control the stream identifier assignments. However these assignments have to coexist with the assignments controlled by the data channel stack for the in-band negotiated data channels (if any). It is the responsibility of the application to ensure consistent assignment of stream identifiers.

When the application requests the creation of a new data channel to be set up via external negotiation, the data channel stack creates the data channel locally without sending any `DATA_CHANNEL_OPEN` message in-band, and sets the data channel state to Connecting if the SCTP association is not yet established, or sets the data channel state to Open if the SCTP association is already established. The side which starts external negotiation creates data channel using underlying data channel stack API and the data channel is put into open state immediately (assuming ICE, SCTP procedures were already done). However, the application can't send data on this data channel until external negotiation is complete with the peer. This is because peer needs to be aware and accept the data channel via external negotiation. The peer after accepting the data channel offer can start sending data immediately. This implies that the offerer may get data channel message before external negotiation is complete and the application should be ready to handle it.

If the peer rejects the data channel part of the offer then it doesn't have to do anything as the data channel was not created using the stack. The offerer on the other hand needs to close the data channel that was opened by invoking relevant data channel stack API procedures.

It is also worth noting that a data channel stack implementation may not provide any API to create and close data channels; instead the data channels are used on the fly as needed just by communicating via external means or by even having some local configuration/assumptions on both the peers.

The application then externally negotiates the data channel properties and sub-protocol properties with the peer's application.

[ASSUMPTION] The peer must then symmetrically create a data channel with these negotiated data channel properties. This is the only way for the peer's data channel stack to know which properties to apply when transmitting data on this channel. The data channel stack must allow data channel creation with any non-conflicting stream identifier so that both peers can create the data channel with the same stream identifier.

In case the external negotiation is correlated with an SDP offer/answer exchange that establishes the SCTP association, the SCTP initialization completion triggers a callback from the data channel stack to an application on both the ends to change the data channel state from Connecting to Open. The details of this interface is specific to the data channel user application. Browser based applications (could include hybrid apps) will use [[WebRtcAPI](#)], while native applications use a compatible API, which is yet to be specified. See [Section 5.2.3](#) for details on when the data channel stack can assume the data channel is open, and on when the application can assume the data channel is open.

[4.2.3](#). Closing a Data Channel

When the application requests the closing of an externally negotiated data channel, the data channel stack always performs an in-band SSN reset for this channel.

Depending upon the method used for external negotiation and the sub-protocol associated with the data channel, the closing might in addition be signaled to the peer via external negotiation.

[5](#). SDP-based External Negotiation

This section defines a method of external negotiation by which two clients can negotiate data channel-specific and sub-protocol-specific parameters, using the out-of-band SDP offer/answer exchange. This SDP extension can only be used with SDP offer/answer model.

5.1. SDP Syntax

Two new SDP attributes are defined to support external negotiation of data channels. The first attribute provides for negotiation of channel-specific parameters. The second attribute provides for negotiation of sub-protocol-specific parameters.

5.1.1. SDP Attribute for Data Channel Parameter Negotiation

Associated with the SDP "m" line that defines the SCTP association for data channels (defined in [Section 4](#)), each SDP offer and answer includes one "a=dcmap:" attribute that defines the data channel parameters for each data channel to be negotiated. Each such attribute line specifies the following parameters for a data channel: SCTP stream identifier, sub-protocol, label, reliability, order of delivery, and priority.

The intention of exchanging these attributes is to create data channels on both the peers with the same set of attributes without actually using [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol](#)]. It is assumed that the data channel properties (reliable/partially reliable, ordered/unordered) are suitable per the sub-protocol transport requirements.

5.1.1.1. dcmap Attribute

"a=dcmap:" is a media level attribute having following ABNF syntax.

Formal Syntax:

Name: dcmmap

Value: dcmmap-value

Usage Level: media

Charset Dependent: no

Syntax:

```
dcmmap-value      = dcmmap-stream-id
                   [ SP dcmmap-opt *("; " dcmmap-opt) ]
dcmmap-opt        = ordering-opt / subprotocol-opt / label-opt
                   / maxretr-opt / maxtime-opt
                   ; Either only maxretr-opt or maxtime-opt
                   ; is present.
                   ; Both MUST not be present.

dcmmap-stream-id  = 1*DIGIT
ordering-opt      = "ordered=" ordering-value
ordering-value    = "true" / "false"
subprotocol-opt   = "subprotocol=" quoted-string
label-opt         = "label=" quoted-string
maxretr-opt       = "max-retr=" maxretr-value
maxretr-value     = <from-Reliability-Parameter of
                   I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol>
                   ; number of retransmissions
maxtime-opt       = "max-time=" maxtime-value
maxtime-value     = <from-Reliability-Parameter of
                   I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol>
                   ; milliseconds

quoted-string     = DQUOTE *(quoted-char / escaped-char) DQUOTE
quoted-char       = SP / quoted-visible
quoted-visible    = %21 / %23-24 / %26-7E ; VCHAR without " or %
escaped-char      = "%" HEXDIG HEXDIG
DQUOTE           = <from-RFC5234>
integer           = <from-RFC5234>
```

Examples:

```
a=dcmmap:0
a=dcmmap:1 subprotocol="BFCP";max-time=60000
a=dcmmap:2 subprotocol="MSRP";ordered=true;label="MSRP"
a=dcmmap:3 label="Label 1";ordered=false;max-retr=5
a=dcmmap:4 label="foo%09bar";ordered=true;max-time=15000;max-retr=3
```


Note: The last example (a=dcmap:4) shows a 'label' parameter value which contains one non-printable 'escaped-char' character (the tabulator character).

5.1.1.2. dcmap-stream-id Parameter

The 'dcmap-stream-id' parameter indicates the SCTP stream identifier within the SCTP association used to form the data channel.

5.1.1.3. label Parameter

The 'label' parameter indicates the name of the channel. It represents a label that can be used to distinguish, in the context of the WebRTC API, an RTCDataChannel object from other RTCDataChannel objects. This parameter maps to the 'Label' parameter defined in [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol\]](#). The 'label' parameter is optional. If it is not present, then its value defaults to the empty string.

Note: The empty string may also be explicitly used as 'label' value, such that 'label=""' is equivalent to the 'label' parameter not being present at all. [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol\]](#) allows the DATA_CHANNEL_OPEN message's 'Label' value to be an empty string.

5.1.1.4. subprotocol Parameter

The 'subprotocol' parameter indicates which protocol the client expects to exchange via the channel. 'Subprotocol' is an optional parameter. If the 'subprotocol' parameter is not present, then its value defaults to the empty string.

5.1.1.5. max-retr Parameter

This parameter indicates that the data channel is partially reliable. The 'max-retr' parameter indicates the max times a user message will be retransmitted. The max-retr parameter is optional. If the max-retr parameter is not present, then the maximal number of retransmissions is determined as per the generic SCTP retransmission rules as specified in [\[RFC4960\]](#). This parameter maps to the 'Number of RTX' parameter defined in [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol\]](#).

5.1.1.6. max-time Parameter

This parameter indicates that the data channel is partially reliable. A user message will no longer be transmitted or retransmitted after a specified life-time given in milliseconds in the 'max-time' parameter. The max-time parameter is optional. If the max-time parameter is not present, then the generic SCTP retransmission timing rules apply as specified in [\[RFC4960\]](#). This parameter maps to the

'Lifetime in ms' parameter defined in [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol\]](#).

5.1.1.7. ordered Parameter

The 'ordered' parameter with value "true" indicates that DATA chunks in the channel MUST be dispatched to the upper layer by the receiver while preserving the order. The ordered parameter is optional and takes two values: "true" for ordered and "false" for unordered delivery with "true" as the default value. Any other value is ignored and default "ordered=true" is assumed. In the absence of this parameter "ordered=true" is assumed. This parameter maps to the ordered or unordered data channel types as defined in [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol\]](#).

5.1.2. Sub-Protocol Specific Attributes

In the SDP, each data channel declaration MAY also be followed by other SDP attributes specific to the sub-protocol in use. Each of these attributes is represented by one new attribute line, and it includes the contents of a media-level SDP attribute already defined for use with this (sub)protocol in another IETF specification. Sub-protocol-specific attributes might also be defined for exclusive use with data channel transport, but should use the same syntax described here for other sub-protocol-specific attributes.

Each sub-protocol specific SDP attribute that would normally be used to negotiate the subprotocol using SDP is replaced with an attribute of the form "a=dcsa:stream-id original-attribute", where dcsa stands for "data channel sub-protocol attribute", stream-id is the SCTP stream identifier assigned to this sub-protocol instance, and original-attribute represents the contents of the sub-protocol related attribute to be included.

Formal Syntax:

Name: dcsa

Value: dcsa-value

Usage Level: media

Charset Dependent: no

Syntax:

dcsa-value = stream-id SP attribute
attribute = <from-RFC4566>

Example:

```
a=dcsa:2 accept-types:text/plain
```

Thus in the example above, the original attribute line "a=accept-types:text/plain" is represented by the attribute line "a=dcsa:2 accept-types:text/plain", which specifies that this instance of MSRP being transported on the sctp association using the data channel with stream id 2 accepts plain text files.

As opposed to the data channel "a=dcmap:" attribute parameters, these parameters are subject to offer/answer negotiation following the procedures defined in the sub-protocol specific documents.

The same syntax applies to any other SDP attribute required for negotiation of this instance of the sub-protocol.

Note: This document does not provide a complete specification of how to negotiate the use of a data channel to transport MSRP. Procedures specific to each sub-protocol such as MSRP will be documented elsewhere. The use of MSRP is only an example of how the generic procedures described herein might apply to a specific sub-protocol.

5.2. Procedures

5.2.1. Managing Stream Identifiers

If an SDP offer / answer exchange (could be the initial or a subsequent one) results in a UDP/DTLS/SCTP or TCP/DTLS/SCTP based media description being accepted, and if this SDP offer / answer exchange results in the establishment of a new SCTP association, then the SDP offerer owns the even SCTP stream ids of this new SCTP association and the answerer owns the odd SCTP stream identifiers.

If this "m" line is removed from the signaling session (its port number set to zero), and if usage of this or of a new UDP/DTLS/SCTP or TCP/DTLS/SCTP based "m" line is renegotiated later on, then the even and odd SCTP stream identifier ownership is redetermined as well as described above.

This specification allows simultaneous use of external and internal negotiation. However, a single stream is managed using one method at a time. Stream ids that are not currently used in SDP can be used for internal negotiation. Stream id allocation per SDP based external negotiation may not align with DTLS role based allocation. This could cause glare conditions when one side trying to do external negotiation on a stream id while the other end trying to open a data channel on the same stream id using internal negotiation. To avoid these glare conditions this specification recommends that the data channel stack user always selects stream ids per above described SDP offer / answer rule even when internal negotiation is used. To avoid glare conditions, it is possible to come up with a different stream id allocation scheme, but such schemes are outside the scope of this specification.

5.2.2. Negotiating Data Channel Parameters

Conveying a reliable data channel is achieved by including neither 'max-retr' nor 'max-time' in corresponding SDP offer's or answer's a=dcmap attribute line. Conveying a partially reliable data channel is achieved by including only one of 'max-retr' or 'max-time'. By definition max-retr and max-time are mutually exclusive, so only one of them can be present in a=dcmap. If an SDP offer contains both of these parameters then such an SDP offer will be rejected. If an SDP answer contains both of these parameters then the offerer may treat it as an error and may assume the associated SDP offer/answer failed and may take appropriate recovery actions. These recovery options are outside the scope of this specification.

The SDP answer shall echo the same subprotocol, max-retr, max-time, ordered parameters, if those were present in the offer, and may include a label parameter. They may appear in any order, which could be different from the SDP offer, in the SDP answer.

The same information MUST be replicated without changes in any subsequent offer or answer, as long as the data channel is still opened at the time of offer or answer generation.

Data channel types defined in [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol](#)] are mapped to SDP in the following manner:

DATA_CHANNEL_RELIABLE

a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2"

DATA_CHANNEL_RELIABLE_UNORDERED

a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2";\
ordered=0

DATA_CHANNEL_PARTIAL_RELIABLE_REXMIT

a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2";\
max-retr=3

DATA_CHANNEL_PARTIAL_RELIABLE_REXMIT_UNORDERED

a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2";\
max-retr=3;ordered=0;

DATA_CHANNEL_PARTIAL_RELIABLE_TIMED

a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2";\
max-time=10000;

DATA_CHANNEL_PARTIAL_RELIABLE_TIMED_UNORDERED

a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2";\
max-time=10000; ordered=0

5.2.3. Opening a Data Channel

The procedure for opening a data channel using external negotiation starts with the agent preparing to send an SDP offer. If a peer receives an SDP offer before getting to send a new SDP offer with data channels that are to be externally negotiated, or loses an SDP offer glare resolution procedure in this case, it must wait until the ongoing SDP offer/answer completes before resuming the external negotiation procedure.

The agent that intends to send an SDP offer to create data channels through SDP-based external negotiation performs the following:

- o Creates data channels using stream identifiers from the owned set (see [Section 5.2.1](#)).
- o As described in [Section 4.2.2](#), if the SCTP association is not yet established, then the newly created data channels are in the Connecting state, else if the SCTP association is already established, then the newly created data channels are in the Open state.
- o Generates a new SDP offer. In the case of the browser based applications the browser generates the offer via the createOffer() API call [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-jsep](#)].

- o Determines the list of stream identifiers assigned to data channels opened through external negotiation.
- o Completes the SDP offer with the dcmmap and dcsa attributes needed, if any, for each externally-negotiated data channel, as described in [Section 5.1](#) and in [Section 5.2.2](#).
- o Sends the SDP offer.

The peer receiving such an SDP offer performs the following:

- o Parses and applies the SDP offer. Note that the typical parser normally ignores unknown SDP attributes, which includes data channel related attributes.
- o Analyzes the channel parameters and sub-protocol attributes to determine whether to accept each offered data channel.
- o For accepted data channels, it creates peer instances for the data channels with the agent using the channel parameters described in the SDP offer. Note that the agent is asked to create data channels with SCTP stream identifiers contained in the SDP offer if the SDP offer is accepted.
- o As described in [Section 4.2.2](#), if the SCTP association is not yet established, then the newly created data channels are in the Connecting state, else if the SCTP association is already established, then the newly created data channels are in the Open state.
- o Generates an SDP answer.
- o Completes the SDP answer with the dcmmap and optional dcsa attributes needed for each externally-negotiated data channel, as described in [Section 5.1](#) and in [Section 5.2.2](#).
- o Sends the SDP answer.

The agent receiving such an SDP answer performs the following:

- o Closes any created data channels (whether in Connecting or Open state) for which the expected dcmmap and dcsa attributes are not present in the SDP answer.
- o Applies the SDP answer.

Any data channels in Connecting state are transitioned to the Open state when the SCTP association is established.

Each agent application **MUST** wait to send data until it has confirmation that the data channel at the peer is in the Open state. For WebRTC, this is when both data channel stacks have channel parameters instantiated. This occurs:

- o At both peers when a data channel is created without an established SCTP association, as soon as the data channel stacks report that the data channel transitions to the Open state from the Connecting state.
- o At the agent receiving an SDP offer for which there is an established SCTP association, as soon as it creates an externally negotiated data channel in the Open state based on information signaled in the SDP offer.
- o At the agent sending an SDP offer to create a new externally negotiated data channel for which there is an established SCTP association, when it receives the SDP answer confirming acceptance of the data channel or when it begins to receive data on the data channel from the peer, whichever occurs first.

5.2.4. Closing a Data Channel

When the application requests the closing of a data channel that was externally negotiated, the data channel stack always performs an in-band SSN reset for this channel.

It is specific to the sub-protocol whether this closing must in addition be signaled to the peer via a new SDP offer/answer exchange.

The intention to close a data channel can be signaled by sending a new SDP offer which excludes the "a=dcmap:" and "a=dcsa:" attribute lines for the data channel. The port value for the "m" line **SHOULD** not be changed (e.g., to zero) when closing a data channel (unless all data channels are being closed and the SCTP association is no longer needed), since this would close the SCTP association and impact all of the data channels. If the answerer accepts the SDP offer then it **MUST** close those data channels whose "a=dcmap:" and "a=dcsa:" attribute lines were excluded from the received SDP offer, unless those data channels were already closed, and it **MUST** also exclude the corresponding attribute lines in the answer. In addition to that, the SDP answerer **MAY** exclude other data channels which were closed but not yet communicated to the peer. So, the offerer **MUST** inspect the answer to see if it has to close other data channels which are now not included in the answer.

If a new SDP offer/answer is used to close data channels then the data channel(s) SHOULD only be closed by the answerer/offerer after a successful SDP answer is sent/received.

This delayed closure is RECOMMENDED in order to handle cases where a successful SDP answer is not received, in which case the state of the session SHOULD be kept per the last successful SDP offer/answer.

If a client receives a data channel close indication (due to inband SSN reset or some other reason) without associated SDP offer then an SDP offer which excludes this closed data channel SHOULD be generated.

The application must also close any data channel that was externally negotiated, for which the stream identifiers are not listed in an incoming SDP offer.

A closed data channel using local close (SCTP reset), without an additional SDP offer/answer to close it, may be reused for a new data channel. This can only be done via new SDP offer/answer, describing the new sub-protocol and its attributes, only after the corresponding data channel close acknowledgement is received from the peer (i.e. SCTP reset of both incoming and outgoing streams is completed). This restriction is to avoid the race conditions between arrival of "SDP offer which reuses stream" with "SCTP reset which closes outgoing stream" at the peer

5.2.5. Various SDP Offer/Answer Scenarios and Considerations

SDP offer has no a=dcmap attributes

- * Initial SDP offer: No data channel negotiated yet.
- * Subsequent SDP offer: All the externally negotiated data channels must be closed now. The DTLS/SCTP association remains open for external or internal negotiation of data channels.

SDP answer has no a=dcmap attributes

- * Initial SDP answer: Either the peer does not support dcmap attributes or it rejected all the data channels. In either case offerer closes all the externally negotiated data channels that were open at the time of initial offer. The DTLS/SCTP association will still be setup.
- * Sub-sequent SDP answer: All the externally negotiated data channels must be closed now. The DTLS/SCTP association remains

open for future external or internal negotiation of data channels.

SDP offer has no a=dcsc attributes for a data channel.

- * This is allowed and indicates there are no sub-protocol parameters to convey.

SDP answer has no a=dcsc attributes for a data channel.

- * This is allowed and indicates there are no sub-protocol parameters to convey in the SDP answer. The number of dcsc attributes in the SDP answer does not have to match the number of dcsc attributes in the SDP offer.

6. Examples

SDP offer:

```
m=application 10001 UDP/DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 10.10.10.1
a=max-message-size:100000
a=sctp-port 5000
a=setup:actpass
a=connection:new
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    4A:AD:B9:B1:3F:82:18:3B:54:02:12:DF:3E:5D:49:6B:19:E5:7C:AB
a=dcmap:0 subprotocol="BFCP";label="BFCP"
```

SDP answer:

```
m=application 10002 UDP/DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 10.10.10.2
a=max-message-size:100000
a=sctp-port 5002
a=setup:passive
a=connection:new
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    5B:AD:67:B1:3E:82:AC:3B:90:02:B1:DF:12:5D:CA:6B:3F:E5:54:FA
```

Figure 1: Example 1

In the above example the SDP answerer rejected the data channel with stream id 0 either for explicit reasons or because it does not understand the a=dcmap attribute. As a result the offerer will close the data channel created with the external negotiation option. The SCTP association will still be setup over DTLS. At this point the offerer or the answerer may use internal negotiation to open data channels.

SDP offer:

```
m=application 10001 UDP/DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 10.10.10.1
a=max-message-size:100000
a=sctp-port 5000
a=setup:actpass
a=connection:new
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    4A:AD:B9:B1:3F:82:18:3B:54:02:12:DF:3E:5D:49:6B:19:E5:7C:AB
a=dcmap:0 subprotocol="BFCP";label="BFCP"
a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="MSRP";label="MSRP"
a=dcsa:2 accept-types:message/cpim text/plain text/
a=dcsa:2 path:msrp://alice.example.com:10001/2s93i93idj;dc
```

SDP answer:

```
m=application 10002 UDP/DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 10.10.10.2
a=max-message-size:100000
a=sctp-port 5002
a=setup:passive
a=connection:new
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    5B:AD:67:B1:3E:82:AC:3B:90:02:B1:DF:12:5D:CA:6B:3F:E5:54:FA
a=dcmap:2 subprotocol="MSRP";label="MSRP"
a=dcsa:2 accept-types:message/cpim text/plain
a=dcsa:2 path:msrp://bob.example.com:10002/si438dsaodes;dc
```

Figure 2: Example 2

In the above example SDP offer contains data channels for BFCP and MSRP sub-protocols. SDP answer rejected BFCP and accepted MSRP. So, the offerer should close the data channel for BFCP and both offerer and answerer may start using MSRP data channel (after SCTP/DTLS association is setup). The data channel with stream id 0 is free and can be used for future internal or external negotiation.

Continuing on the earlier example in Figure 1.

Subsequent SDP offer:

```
m=application 10001 UDP/DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 10.10.10.1
a=max-message-size:100000
a=sctp-port 5000
a=setup:actpass
a=connection:existing
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    4A:AD:B9:B1:3F:82:18:3B:54:02:12:DF:3E:5D:49:6B:19:E5:7C:AB
a=dcmap:4 subprotocol="MSRP";label="MSRP"
a=dcsa:4 accept-types:message/cpim text/plain
a=dcsa:4 path:msrp://alice.example.com:10001/2s93i93idj;dc
```

Subsequent SDP answer:

```
m=application 10002 UDP/DTLS/SCTP webrtc-datachannel
c=IN IP4 10.10.10.2
a=max-message-size:100000
a=sctp-port 5002
a=setup:passive
a=connection:existing
a=fingerprint:SHA-1 \
    5B:AD:67:B1:3E:82:AC:3B:90:02:B1:DF:12:5D:CA:6B:3F:E5:54:FA
a=dcmap:4 subprotocol="MSRP";label="MSRP"
a=dcsa:4 accept-types:message/cpim text/plain
a=dcsa:4 path:msrp://bob.example.com:10002/si438dsaodes;dc
```

Figure 3: Example 3

The above example is a continuation of the example in Figure 1. The SDP offer now removes the MSRP data channel with stream id 2, but opens a new MSRP data channel with stream id 4. The answerer accepted the entire offer. As a result the offerer closes the earlier negotiated MSRP related data channel and both offerer and answerer may start using new the MSRP related data channel.

7. Security Considerations

No security considerations are envisaged beyond those already documented in [\[RFC4566\]](#)

8. IANA Considerations

To be completed. As [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol\]](#) this document should refer to IANA's WebSocket Subprotocol Name Registry defined in [\[RFC6455\]](#).

9. Acknowledgments

The authors wish to acknowledge the borrowing of ideas from other internet drafts by Salvatore Loreto, Gonzalo Camarillo, Peter Dunkley and Gavin Llewellyn, and to thank Christian Groves, Christer Holmberg, Paul Kyzivat, Jonathan Lennox, and Uwe Rauschenbach for their invaluable comments.

10. CHANGE LOG

10.1. Changes against '[draft-ietf-mmusic-data-channel-sdpneg-00](#)'

- o In [Section 3](#) "WebRTC data channel" was defined as "A bidirectional channel consisting of paired SCTP outbound and inbound streams." Replacement of this definition with "Data channel: A WebRTC data channel as specified in [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel](#)]", and consistent usage of "data channel" in the remainder of the document including the document's headline."
- o In [Section 4](#) removal of following note: 'OPEN ISSUE: The syntax in [[I-D.ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp](#)] may change as that document progresses. In particular we expect "webrtc-datachannel" to become a more general term.'
- o Consistent usage of '"m" line' in whole document as per [[RFC4566](#)].
- o In [Section 5.1.1](#) removal of the example dcmmap attribute line 'a=dcmmap:2 subprotocol="BFCP";label="channel 2' as there are already four examples right after the ABNF rules in [Section 5.1.1.1](#). Corresponding removal of following related note: "Note: This document does not provide a complete specification of how to negotiate the use of a WebRTC data channel to transport BFCP. Procedures specific to each sub-protocol such as BFCP will be documented elsewhere. The use of BFCP is only an example of how the generic procedures described herein might apply to a specific sub-protocol."
- o In [Section 5.1.1](#) removal of following note: "Note: This attribute is derived from attribute "webrtc-DataChannel", which was defined in old version 03 of the following draft, but which was removed along with any support for SDP external negotiation in subsequent versions: [[I-D.ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp](#)]."
- o Insertion of following new sentence to the beginning of [Section 5.1.1.1](#): "dcmmap is a media level attribute having following ABNF syntax:"

- o Insertion of new [Section 5.1.1.2](#) containing the dcmap-stream-id specifying sentence, which previously was placed right before the formal ABNF rules. Removal of the sentence 'Stream is a mandatory parameter and is noted directly after the "a=dcmap:" attribute's colon' as this information is part of the ABNF specification.
- o In [Section 5.1.1.1](#) modification of the 'ordering-value' values from "0" or "1" to "true" or "false". Corresponding text modifications in [Section 5.1.1.7](#).
- o In [Section 5.1.1.1](#) the ABNF definition of "quoted-string" referred to rule name "escaped-char", which was not defined. Instead a rule with name "escaped" was defined. Renamed that rule's name to "escaped-char".
- o Insertion of a dedicated note right after the "a=dcmap:4" attribute example in [Section 5.1.1.1](#) regarding the non-printable "escaped-char" character within the "label" value.
- o In [Section 5.1.2](#)'s second paragraph replacement of "sctp stream identifier" with "SCTP stream identifier".
- o In first paragraph of [Section 5.2.1](#) replacement of first two sentences 'For the SDP-based external negotiation described in this document, the initial offerer based "SCTP over DTLS" owns by convention the even stream identifiers whereas the initial answerer owns the odd stream identifiers. This ownership is invariant for the whole lifetime of the signaling session, e.g. it does not change if the initial answerer sends a new offer to the initial offerer.' with 'If an SDP offer / answer exchange (could be the initial or a subsequent one) results in a UDP/DTLS/SCTP or TCP/DTLS/SCTP based media description being accepted, and if this SDP offer / answer exchange results in the establishment of a new SCTP association, then the SDP offerer owns the even SCTP stream ids of this new SCTP association and the answerer owns the odd SCTP stream identifiers. If this "m" line is removed from the signaling session (its port number set to zero), and if usage of this or of a new UDP/DTLS/SCTP or TCP/DTLS/SCTP based "m" line is renegotiated later on, then the even and odd SCTP stream identifier ownership is redetermined as well as described above.'
- o In [Section 5.2.3](#) the first action of an SDP answerer, when receiving an SDP offer, was described as "Applies the SDP offer. Note that the browser ignores data channel specific attributes in the SDP." Replacement of these two sentences with "Parses and applies the SDP offer. Note that the typical parser normally ignores unknown SDP attributes, which includes data channel related attributes."

- o In [Section 5.2.3](#) the second sentence of the third SDP answerer action was "Note that the browser is asked to create data channels with stream identifiers not "owned" by the agent.". Replacement of this sentence with "Note that the agent is asked to create data channels with SCTP stream identifiers contained in the SDP offer if the SDP offer is accepted."
- o In [Section 5.2.4](#) the third paragraph began with "A data channel can be closed by sending a new SDP offer which excludes the dcmmap and dcsa attribute lines for the data channel. The port value for the m line should not be changed (e.g., to zero) when closing a data channel (unless all data channels are being closed and the SCTP association is no longer needed), since this would close the SCTP association and impact all of the data channels. If the answerer accepts the SDP offer then it MUST also exclude the corresponding attribute lines in the answer. ..." Replacement of this part with "The intention to close a data channel can be signaled by sending a new SDP offer which excludes the "a=dcmmap:" and "a=dcsa:" attribute lines for the data channel. The port value for the "m" line SHOULD not be changed (e.g., to zero) when closing a data channel (unless all data channels are being closed and the SCTP association is no longer needed), since this would close the SCTP association and impact all of the data channels. If the answerer accepts the SDP offer then it MUST close those data channels whose "a=dcmmap:" and "a=dcsa:" attribute lines were excluded from the received SDP offer, unless those data channels were already closed, and it MUST also exclude the corresponding attribute lines in the answer."
- o In [Section 5.2.4](#) the hanging text after the third paragraph was "This delayed close is to handle cases where a successful SDP answer is not received, in which case the state of session should be kept per the last successful SDP offer/answer." Replacement of this sentence with "This delayed closure is RECOMMENDED in order to handle cases where a successful SDP answer is not received, in which case the state of the session SHOULD be kept per the last successful SDP offer/answer."
- o Although dedicated to "a=dcmmap" and "a=dcsa" SDP syntax aspects [Section 5.1.1](#) contained already procedural descriptions related to data channel reliability negotiation. Creation of new [Section 5.2.2](#) and moval of reliability negotiation related text to this new section.

10.2. Changes against '[draft-ejzak-mmusic-data-channel-sdpneg-02](#)'

- o Removal of note "[ACTION ITEM]" from section "subprotocol parameter". As [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol](#)] this document should refer to IANA's WebSocket Subprotocol Name Registry defined in [[RFC6455](#)].
- o In whole document, replacement of "unreliable" with "partially reliable", which is used in [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel](#)] and in [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol](#)] in most places.
- o Clarification of the semantic if the "max-retr" parameter is not present in an a=dcmap attribute line. In section "max-retr parameter" the sentence "The max-retr parameter is optional with default value unbounded" was replaced with "The max-retr parameter is optional. If the max-retr parameter is not present, then the maximal number of retransmissions is determined as per the generic SCTP retransmission rules as specified in [[RFC4960](#)]".
- o Clarification of the semantic if the "max-time" parameter is not present in an a=dcmap attribute line. In section "max-time parameter" the sentence "The max-time parameter is optional with default value unbounded" was replaced with "The max-time parameter is optional. If the max-time parameter is not present, then the generic SCTP retransmission timing rules apply as specified in [[RFC4960](#)]".
- o In section "label parameter" the sentence "Label is a mandatory parameter." was removed and following new sentences (including the note) were added: "The 'label' parameter is optional. If it is not present, then its value defaults to the empty string. Note: The empty string may also be explicitly used as 'label' value, such that 'label=""' is equivalent to the 'label' parameter not being present at all. [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol](#)] allows the DATA_CHANNEL_OPEN message's 'Label' value to be an empty string."
- o In section "subprotocol parameter" the sentence "Subprotocol is a mandatory parameter." was replaced with "'Subprotocol' is an optional parameter. If the 'subprotocol' parameter is not present, then its value defaults to the empty string."
- o In the "Examples" section, in the first two SDP offer examples in the a=dcmap attribute lines 'label="BGCP"' was replaced with 'label="BFCP"'.
- o In all examples, the "m" line proto value "DTLS/SCTP" was replaced with "UDP/DTLS/SCTP" and the "a=fmtp" attribute lines were

replaced with "a=max-message-size" attribute lines, as per [draft-ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp-12](#).

[10.3.](#) Changes against '-01'

- o Formal syntax for dcmmap and dcsa attribute lines.
- o Making subprotocol as an optional parameter in dcmmap.
- o Specifying disallowed parameter combinations for max-time and max-retr.
- o Clarifications on WebRTC data channel close procedures.

[10.4.](#) Changes against '-00'

- o Revisions to identify difference between internal and external negotiation and their usage.
- o Introduction of more generic terminology, e.g. "application" instead of "browser".
- o Clarification of how "max-retr and max-time affect the usage of unreliable and reliable WebRTC data channels.
- o Updates of examples to take into account the SDP syntax changes introduced with [draft-ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp-07](#).
- o Removal of the SCTP port number from the a=dcmmap and a=dcsa attributes as this is now contained in the a=sctp-port attribute, and as [draft-ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp-07](#) supports only one SCTP association on top of the DTLS connection.

[11.](#) References

[11.1.](#) Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC4566] Handley, M., Jacobson, V., and C. Perkins, "SDP: Session Description Protocol", [RFC 4566](#), July 2006.
- [RFC3264] Rosenberg, J. and H. Schulzrinne, "An Offer/Answer Model with Session Description Protocol (SDP)", [RFC 3264](#), June 2002.

- [RFC5234] Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, [RFC 5234](#), January 2008.
- [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-jsep]
Uberti, J., Jennings, C., and E. Rescorla, "Javascript Session Establishment Protocol", [draft-ietf-rtcweb-jsep-08](#) (work in progress), October 2014.
- [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-channel]
Jesup, R., Loreto, S., and M. Tuexen, "WebRTC Data Channels", [draft-ietf-rtcweb-data-channel-13](#) (work in progress), January 2015.
- [I-D.ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp]
Holmberg, C., Loreto, S., and G. Camarillo, "Stream Control Transmission Protocol (SCTP)-Based Media Transport in the Session Description Protocol (SDP)", [draft-ietf-mmusic-sctp-sdp-14](#) (work in progress), March 2015.
- [WebRtcAPI]
Bergkvist, A., Burnett, D., Jennings, C., and A. Narayanan, "WebRTC 1.0: Real-time Communication Between Browsers", World Wide Web Consortium WD-webrtc-20130910, September 2013,
<<http://www.w3.org/TR/2013/WD-webrtc-20130910/>>.

11.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol]
Jesup, R., Loreto, S., and M. Tuexen, "WebRTC Data Channel Establishment Protocol", [draft-ietf-rtcweb-data-protocol-09](#) (work in progress), January 2015.
- [RFC4960] Stewart, R., "Stream Control Transmission Protocol", [RFC 4960](#), September 2007.
- [RFC4975] Campbell, B., Mahy, R., and C. Jennings, "The Message Session Relay Protocol (MSRP)", [RFC 4975](#), September 2007.
- [RFC4976] Jennings, C., Mahy, R., and A. Roach, "Relay Extensions for the Message Sessions Relay Protocol (MSRP)", [RFC 4976](#), September 2007.
- [RFC5547] Garcia-Martin, M., Isomaki, M., Camarillo, G., Loreto, S., and P. Kyzivat, "A Session Description Protocol (SDP) Offer/Answer Mechanism to Enable File Transfer", [RFC 5547](#), May 2009.

- [RFC6135] Holmberg, C. and S. Blau, "An Alternative Connection Model for the Message Session Relay Protocol (MSRP)", [RFC 6135](#), February 2011.
- [RFC6455] Fette, I. and A. Melnikov, "The WebSocket Protocol", [RFC 6455](#), December 2011.
- [RFC6714] Holmberg, C., Blau, S., and E. Burger, "Connection Establishment for Media Anchoring (CEMA) for the Message Session Relay Protocol (MSRP)", [RFC 6714](#), August 2012.

Authors' Addresses

Keith Drage (editor)
Alcatel-Lucent
Quadrant, Stonehill Green, Westlea
Swindon
UK

Email: keith.drage@alcatel-lucent.com

Maridi R. Makaraju (Raju)
Alcatel-Lucent
2000 Lucent Lane
Naperville, Illinois
US

Email: Raju.Makaraju@alcatel-lucent.com

Juergen Stoetzer-Bradler
Alcatel-Lucent
Lorenzstrasse 10
D-70435 Stuttgart
Germany

Email: Juergen.Stoetzer-Bradler@alcatel-lucent.com

Richard Ejzak
Unaffiliated

Email: richard.ejzak@gmail.com

Jerome Marcon
Unaffiliated

