

MMUSIC Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Updates: [3264](#) (if approved)  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: February 18, 2017

C. Holmberg  
Ericsson  
H. Alvestrand  
Google  
C. Jennings  
Cisco  
August 17, 2016

**Negotiating Media Multiplexing Using the Session Description Protocol  
(SDP)  
draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-32.txt**

**Abstract**

This specification defines a new Session Description Protocol (SDP) Grouping Framework extension, 'BUNDLE'. The extension can be used with the SDP Offer/Answer mechanism to negotiate the usage of a single address:port combination (BUNDLE address) for receiving media, referred to as bundled media, specified by multiple SDP media descriptions ("m=" lines).

To assist endpoints in negotiating the use of bundle this specification defines a new SDP attribute, 'bundle-only', which can be used to request that specific media is only used if bundled. The specification also updates [RFC 3264](#), to allow usage of zero port values without meaning that media is rejected.

There are multiple ways to correlate the bundled RTP packets with the appropriate media descriptions. This specification defines a new Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) source description (SDS) item and a new RTP header extension that provides an additional way to do this correlation by using them to carry a value that associates the RTP/RTCP packets with a specific media description.

**Status of This Memo**

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any

time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on February 18, 2017.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Terminology . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Conventions . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Applicability Statement . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	SDP Grouping Framework BUNDLE Extension . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	SDP 'bundle-only' Attribute . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	SDP Information Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">7.1.</a>	Connection Data (c=) . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">7.2.</a>	Bandwidth (b=) . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">7.3.</a>	Attributes (a=) . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	SDP Offer/Answer Procedures . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">8.1.</a>	Mux Category Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">8.2.</a>	Generating the Initial SDP Offer . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">8.2.1.</a>	Suggesting the offerer BUNDLE address . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>



<a href="#">8.3.</a>	<a href="#">Generating the SDP Answer</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">8.3.1.</a>	<a href="#">Answerer Selection of Offerer Bundle Address</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">8.3.2.</a>	<a href="#">Answerer Selection of Answerer BUNDLE Address</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">8.3.3.</a>	<a href="#">Moving A Media Description Out Of A BUNDLE Group</a>	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">8.3.4.</a>	<a href="#">Rejecting A Media Description In A BUNDLE Group</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">8.4.</a>	<a href="#">Offerer Processing of the SDP Answer</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">8.5.</a>	<a href="#">Modifying the Session</a>	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">8.5.1.</a>	<a href="#">Suggesting a new offerer BUNDLE address</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">8.5.2.</a>	<a href="#">Adding a media description to a BUNDLE group</a>	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">8.5.3.</a>	<a href="#">Moving A Media Description Out Of A BUNDLE Group</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">8.5.4.</a>	<a href="#">Disabling A Media Description In A BUNDLE Group</a>	<a href="#">16</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	<a href="#">Protocol Identification</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">9.1.</a>	<a href="#">STUN, DTLS, SRTP</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">10.</a>	<a href="#">RTP Considerations</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">10.1.</a>	<a href="#">Single RTP Session</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">10.1.1.</a>	<a href="#">Payload Type (PT) Value Reuse</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
<a href="#">10.2.</a>	<a href="#">Associating RTP/RTCP Packets With Correct SDP Media Description</a>	<a href="#">19</a>
<a href="#">10.3.</a>	<a href="#">RTP/RTCP Multiplexing</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">10.3.1.</a>	<a href="#">SDP Offer/Answer Procedures</a>	<a href="#">20</a>
<a href="#">11.</a>	<a href="#">ICE Considerations</a>	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">11.1.</a>	<a href="#">SDP Offer/Answer Procedures</a>	<a href="#">22</a>
<a href="#">11.1.1.</a>	<a href="#">Generating the Initial SDP Offer</a>	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">11.1.2.</a>	<a href="#">Generating the SDP Answer</a>	<a href="#">23</a>
<a href="#">11.1.3.</a>	<a href="#">Offerer Processing of the SDP Answer</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">11.1.4.</a>	<a href="#">Modifying the Session</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">12.</a>	<a href="#">DTLS Considerations</a>	<a href="#">24</a>
<a href="#">13.</a>	<a href="#">Update to <a href="#">RFC 3264</a></a>	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">13.1.</a>	<a href="#">Original text of <a href="#">section 5.1</a> (2nd paragraph) of <a href="#">RFC 3264</a></a>	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">13.2.</a>	<a href="#">New text replacing <a href="#">section 5.1</a> (2nd paragraph) of <a href="#">RFC 3264</a></a>	<a href="#">25</a>
<a href="#">13.3.</a>	<a href="#">Original text of <a href="#">section 8.2</a> (2nd paragraph) of <a href="#">RFC 3264</a></a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">13.4.</a>	<a href="#">New text replacing <a href="#">section 8.2</a> (2nd paragraph) of <a href="#">RFC 3264</a></a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">13.5.</a>	<a href="#">Original text of <a href="#">section 8.4</a> (6th paragraph) of <a href="#">RFC 3264</a></a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">13.6.</a>	<a href="#">New text replacing <a href="#">section 8.4</a> (6th paragraph) of <a href="#">RFC 3264</a></a>	<a href="#">26</a>
<a href="#">14.</a>	<a href="#">RTP/RTCP extensions for identification-tag transport</a>	<a href="#">27</a>
<a href="#">14.1.</a>	<a href="#">RTCP MID SDES Item</a>	<a href="#">28</a>
<a href="#">14.2.</a>	<a href="#">RTP SDES Header Extension For MID</a>	<a href="#">28</a>
<a href="#">15.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">15.1.</a>	<a href="#">New SDES item</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">15.2.</a>	<a href="#">New RTP SDES Header Extension URI</a>	<a href="#">29</a>
<a href="#">15.3.</a>	<a href="#">New SDP Attribute</a>	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">15.4.</a>	<a href="#">New SDP Group Semantics</a>	<a href="#">30</a>
<a href="#">16.</a>	<a href="#">Security Considerations</a>	<a href="#">31</a>
<a href="#">17.</a>	<a href="#">Examples</a>	<a href="#">31</a>
<a href="#">17.1.</a>	<a href="#">Example: Bundle Address Selection</a>	<a href="#">31</a>



<a href="#">17.2.</a>	Example: BUNDLE Extension Rejected . . . . .	<a href="#">33</a>
<a href="#">17.3.</a>	Example: Offerer Adds A Media Description To A BUNDLE Group . . . . .	<a href="#">34</a>
<a href="#">17.4.</a>	Example: Offerer Moves A Media Description Out Of A BUNDLE Group . . . . .	<a href="#">36</a>
<a href="#">17.5.</a>	Example: Offerer Disables A Media Description Within A BUNDLE Group . . . . .	<a href="#">38</a>
<a href="#">18.</a>	Acknowledgements . . . . .	<a href="#">39</a>
<a href="#">19.</a>	Change Log . . . . .	<a href="#">40</a>
<a href="#">20.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">47</a>
<a href="#">20.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">47</a>
<a href="#">20.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">49</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	Design Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">50</a>
<a href="#">A.1.</a>	UA Interoperability . . . . .	<a href="#">50</a>
<a href="#">A.2.</a>	Usage of port number value zero . . . . .	<a href="#">52</a>
<a href="#">A.3.</a>	B2BUA And Proxy Interoperability . . . . .	<a href="#">52</a>
<a href="#">A.3.1.</a>	Traffic Policing . . . . .	<a href="#">53</a>
<a href="#">A.3.2.</a>	Bandwidth Allocation . . . . .	<a href="#">53</a>
<a href="#">A.4.</a>	Candidate Gathering . . . . .	<a href="#">53</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">54</a>

## **[1.](#) Introduction**

This specification defines a way to use a single address:port combination (BUNDLE address) for receiving media specified by multiple SDP media descriptions ("m=" lines).

This specification defines a new SDP Grouping Framework [[RFC5888](#)] extension called 'BUNDLE'. The extension can be used with the Session Description Protocol (SDP) Offer/Answer mechanism [[RFC3264](#)] to negotiate the usage of a BUNDLE group. Within the BUNDLE group, a BUNDLE address is used for receiving media specified by multiple "m=" lines. This is referred to as bundled media.

The offerer and answerer [[RFC3264](#)] use the BUNDLE extension to negotiate the BUNDLE addresses, one for the offerer (offerer BUNDLE address) and one for the answerer (answerer BUNDLE address), to be used for receiving the bundled media specified by a BUNDLE group. Once the offerer and the answerer have negotiated a BUNDLE group, they associate their respective BUNDLE address with each "m=" line in the BUNDLE group. The BUNDLE addresses are used to receive all media specified by the BUNDLE group.

The use of a BUNDLE group and a BUNDLE address also allows the usage of a single set of Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE) [[RFC5245](#)] candidates for multiple "m=" lines.



This specification also defines a new SDP attribute, 'bundle-only', which can be used to request that specific media is only used if kept within a BUNDLE group. The specification also updates [RFC 3264](#), to allow usage of zero port values without meaning that media is rejected.

As defined in [RFC 4566](#) [[RFC4566](#)], the semantics of assigning the same transport address (IP address and port) to multiple "m=" lines are undefined, and there is no grouping defined by such means. Instead, an explicit grouping mechanism needs to be used to express the intended semantics. This specification provides such an extension.

This specification also updates sections [5.1](#), [8.1](#) and [8.2](#) of [RFC 3264](#) [[RFC3264](#)]. The update allows an answerer to assign a non-zero port value to an "m=" line in an SDP answer, even if the "m=" line in the associated SDP offer contained a zero port value.

This specification also defines a new Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) [[RFC3550](#)] source description (SDES) item and a new RTP header extension that can be used to carry a value that associates RTP/RTCP packets with a specific media description. This can be used to correlate a RTP packet with the correct media.

SDP bodies can contain multiple BUNDLE groups. A given BUNDLE address MUST only be associated with a single BUNDLE group. The procedures in this specification apply independently to a given BUNDLE group. All RTP based media flows described by a single BUNDLE group belong to a single RTP session [[RFC3550](#)].

The BUNDLE extension is backward compatible. Endpoints that do not support the extension are expected to generate offers and answers without an SDP 'group:BUNDLE' attribute, and are expected to associate a unique address with each "m=" line within an offer and answer, according to the procedures in [[RFC4566](#)] and [[RFC3264](#)].

## 2. Terminology

"m=" line: SDP bodies contain one or more media descriptions. Each media description is identified by an SDP "m=" line.

5-tuple: A collection of the following values: source address, source port, destination address, destination port, and transport-layer protocol.

Unique address: An IP address and port combination that is associated with only one "m=" line in an offer or answer.



Shared address: An IP address and port combination that is associated with multiple "m=" lines within an offer or answer.

Offerer BUNDLE-tag: The first identification-tag in a given SDP 'group:BUNDLE' attribute identification-tag list in an offer.

Answerer BUNDLE-tag: The first identification-tag in a given SDP 'group:BUNDLE' attribute identification-tag list in an answer.

Offerer BUNDLE address: Within a given BUNDLE group, an IP address and port combination used by an offerer to receive all media specified by each "m=" line within the BUNDLE group.

Answerer BUNDLE address: Within a given BUNDLE group, an IP address and port combination used by an answerer to receive all media specified by each "m=" line within the BUNDLE group.

BUNDLE group: A set of "m=" lines, created using an SDP Offer/Answer exchange, which uses the same BUNDLE address for receiving media.

Bundled "m=" line: An "m=" line, whose identification-tag is placed in an SDP 'group:BUNDLE' attribute identification-tag list in an offer or answer.

Bundle-only "m=" line: A bundled "m=" line with an associated SDP 'bundle-only' attribute.

Bundled media: All media specified by a given BUNDLE group.

Initial offer: The first offer, within an SDP session (e.g. a SIP dialog when the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) [[RFC3261](#)] is used to carry SDP), in which the offerer indicates that it wants to create a given BUNDLE group.

Subsequent offer: An offer which contains a BUNDLE group that has been created as part of a previous offer/answer exchange.

Identification-tag: A unique token value that is used to identify an "m=" line. The SDP 'mid' attribute [[RFC5888](#)], associated with an "m=" line, carries an unique identification-tag. The session-level SDP 'group' attribute [[RFC5888](#)] carries a list of identification-tags, identifying the "m=" lines associated with that particular 'group' attribute.



### **3. Conventions**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

### **4. Applicability Statement**

The mechanism in this specification only applies to the Session Description Protocol (SDP) [[RFC4566](#)], when used together with the SDP offer/answer mechanism [[RFC3264](#)]. Declarative usage of SDP is out of scope of this document, and is thus undefined.

### **5. SDP Grouping Framework BUNDLE Extension**

This section defines a new SDP Grouping Framework extension [[RFC5888](#)], 'BUNDLE'. The BUNDLE extension can be used with the SDP Offer/Answer mechanism to negotiate the usage of a single address:port combination (BUNDLE address) for receiving bundled media.

A single address:port combination is also used for sending bundled media. The address:port combination used for sending bundled media MAY be the same as the BUNDLE address, used to receive bundled media, depending on whether symmetric RTP [[RFC4961](#)] is used.

All media specified by a BUNDLE group share a single 5-tuple, i.e. in addition to using a single address:port combination all bundled media MUST be transported using the same transport-layer protocol (e.g. UDP or TCP).

The BUNDLE extension is indicated using an SDP 'group' attribute with a "BUNDLE" semantics value [[RFC5888](#)]. An identification-tag is associated with each bundled "m=" line, and each identification-tag is listed in the SDP 'group:BUNDLE' attribute identification-tag list. Each "m=" line whose identification-tag is listed in the identification-tag list is associated with a given BUNDLE group.

SDP bodies can contain multiple BUNDLE groups. Any given bundled "m=" line MUST NOT be associated with more than one BUNDLE group.

[Section 8](#) defines the detailed SDP Offer/Answer procedures for the BUNDLE extension.



## 6. SDP 'bundle-only' Attribute

This section defines a new SDP media-level attribute [[RFC4566](#)], 'bundle-only'. 'bundle-only' is a property attribute [[RFC4566](#)], and hence has no value.

Name: bundle-only

Value: N/A

Usage Level: media

Charset Dependent: no

Example:

```
a=bundle-only
```

In order to ensure that an answerer that does not support the BUNDLE extension always rejects a bundled "m=" line, the offerer can assign a zero port value to the "m=" line. According to [[RFC3264](#)] an answerer will reject such "m=" line. By associating an SDP 'bundle-only' attribute with such "m=" line, the offerer can request that the answerer accepts the "m=" line if the answerer supports the Bundle extension, and if the answerer keeps the "m=" line within the associated BUNDLE group.

NOTE: Once the offerer BUNDLE address has been selected, the offerer does not need to include the 'bundle-only' attribute in subsequent offers. By associating the offerer BUNDLE address with an "m=" line of a subsequent offer, the offerer will ensure that the answerer will either keep the "m=" line within the BUNDLE group, or the answerer will have to reject the "m=" line.

The usage of the 'bundle-only' attribute is only defined for a bundled "m=" line with a zero port value, within an offer. Other usage is unspecified.

[Section 8](#) defines the detailed SDP Offer/Answer procedures for the 'bundle-only' attribute.

## 7. SDP Information Considerations

This section describes restrictions associated with the usage of SDP parameters within a BUNDLE group. It also describes, when parameter



and attribute values have been associated with each bundled "m=" line, how to calculate a value for the whole BUNDLE group.

### **7.1. Connection Data (c=)**

The "c=" line nettype value [[RFC4566](#)] associated with a bundled "m=" line MUST be 'IN'.

The "c=" line addrtype value [[RFC4566](#)] associated with a bundled "m=" line MUST be 'IP4' or 'IP6'. The same value MUST be associated with each "m=" line.

NOTE: Extensions to this specification can specify usage of the BUNDLE mechanism for other nettype and addrtype values than the ones listed above.

### **7.2. Bandwidth (b=)**

An offerer and answerer MUST use the rules and restrictions defined in [[I-D.ietf-mmusic-sdp-mux-attributes](#)] for associating the SDP bandwidth (b=) line with bundled "m=" lines.

### **7.3. Attributes (a=)**

An offerer and answerer MUST use the rules and restrictions defined in [[I-D.ietf-mmusic-sdp-mux-attributes](#)] for associating SDP attributes with bundled "m=" lines.

## **8. SDP Offer/Answer Procedures**

This section describes the SDP Offer/Answer [[RFC3264](#)] procedures for:

- o Negotiating and creating a BUNDLE group; and
- o Selecting the BUNDLE addresses (offerer BUNDLE address and answerer BUNDLE address); and
- o Adding an "m=" line to a BUNDLE group; and
- o Moving an "m=" line out of a BUNDLE group; and
- o Disabling an "m=" line within a BUNDLE group.

The generic rules and procedures defined in [[RFC3264](#)] and [[RFC5888](#)] also apply to the BUNDLE extension. For example, if an offer is rejected by the answerer, the previously negotiated SDP parameters and characteristics (including those associated with a BUNDLE group) apply. Hence, if an offerer generates an offer in which the offerer



wants to create a BUNDLE group, and the answerer rejects the offer, the BUNDLE group is not created.

The procedures in this section are independent of the media type or "m=" line proto value represented by a bundled "m=" line. [Section 10](#) defines additional considerations for RTP based media. [Section 6](#) defines additional considerations for the usage of the SDP 'bundle-only' attribute. [Section 11](#) defines additional considerations for the usage of Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE) [[I-D.ietf-ice-rfc5245bis](#)] mechanism.

SDP offers and answers can contain multiple BUNDLE groups. The procedures in this section apply independently to a given BUNDLE group.

### **8.1. Mux Category Considerations**

When an offerer associates SDP attributes with a bundled "m=" line associated with a shared address, IDENTICAL and TRANSPORT mux category SDP attributes [[I-D.ietf-mmusic-sdp-mux-attributes](#)] are associated with the "m=" line only if the "m=" line is also associated with the offerer BUNDLE-tag. Otherwise the offerer MUST NOT associate such SDP attributes with the "m=" line.

When an answerer associates SDP attributes with a bundled "m=" line, IDENTICAL and TRANSPORT mux category SDP attributes are associated with the "m=" line only if the "m=" line is also associated with the answerer BUNDLE-tag. Otherwise the answerer MUST NOT associated such SDP attributes with the "m=" line.

NOTE: As bundled "m=" lines associated with a shared address will share the same IDENTICAL and TRANSPORT mux category SDP attributes, and attribute values, there is no need to associate such SDP attributes with each "m=" line. The attributes and attribute values are implicitly applied to each "m=" line associated with the shared address.

### **8.2. Generating the Initial SDP Offer**

When an offerer generates an initial offer, in order to create a BUNDLE group, it MUST:

- o Assign a unique address to each "m=" line within the offer, following the procedures in [[RFC3264](#)], unless the media line is a 'bundle-only' "m=" line (see below); and
- o Add an SDP 'group:BUNDLE' attribute to the offer; and



- o Place the identification-tag of each bundled "m=" line in the SDP 'group:BUNDLE' attribute identification-tag list; and
- o Indicate which unique address the offerer suggests as the offerer BUNDLE address [[Section 8.2.1](#)].

If the offerer wants to request that the answerer accepts a given bundled "m=" line only if the answerer keeps the "m=" line within the BUNDLE group, the offerer MUST:

- o Associate an SDP 'bundle-only' attribute [[Section 8.2.1](#)] with the "m=" line; and
- o Assign a zero port value to the "m=" line.

NOTE: If the offerer assigns a zero port value to an "m=" line, but does not also associate an SDP 'bundle-only' attribute with the "m=" line, it is an indication that the offerer wants to disable the "m=" line [[Section 8.5.4](#)].

[[Section 17.1](#)] shows an example of an initial offer.

#### **8.2.1. Suggesting the offerer BUNDLE address**

In the offer, the address associated with the "m=" line associated with the offerer BUNDLE-tag indicates the address that the offerer suggests as the offerer BUNDLE address.

The "m=" line associated with the offerer BUNDLE-tag MUST NOT contain a zero port value or an SDP 'bundle-only' attribute.

#### **8.3. Generating the SDP Answer**

When an answerer generates an answer that contains a BUNDLE group, the following general SDP grouping framework restrictions, defined in [[RFC5888](#)], also apply to the BUNDLE group:

- o The answerer MUST NOT include a BUNDLE group in the answer, unless the offerer requested the BUNDLE group to be created in the corresponding offer; and
- o The answerer MUST NOT include an "m=" line within a BUNDLE group, unless the offerer requested the "m=" line to be within that BUNDLE group in the corresponding offer.

If the answer contains a BUNDLE group, the answerer MUST:

- o Select an Offerer BUNDLE Address [[Section 8.3.1](#)]; and



- o Select an Answerer BUNDLE Address [[Section 8.3.2](#)];

The answerer is allowed to select a new Answerer BUNDLE address each time it generates an answer to an offer.

If the answerer does not want to keep an "m=" line within a BUNDLE group, it MUST:

- o Move the "m=" line out of the BUNDLE group [[Section 8.3.3](#)]; or
- o Reject the "m=" line [[Section 8.3.4](#)];

If the answerer keeps a bundle-only "m=" line within the BUNDLE group, it follows the procedures (associates the answerer BUNDLE address with the "m=" line etc) for any other "m=" line kept within the BUNDLE group.

If the answerer does not want to keep a bundle-only "m=" line within the BUNDLE group, it MUST reject the "m=" line [[Section 8.3.4](#)].

The answerer MUST NOT associate an SDP 'bundle-only' attribute with any "m=" line in an answer.

NOTE: If a bundled "m=" line in an offer contains a zero port value, but the "m=" line does not contain an SDP 'bundle-only' attribute, it is an indication that the offerer wants to disable the "m=" line [[Section 8.5.4](#)].

### **[8.3.1](#). Answerer Selection of Offerer Bundle Address**

In an offer, the address (unique or shared) associated with the bundled "m=" line associated with the offerer BUNDLE-tag indicates the address that the offerer suggests as the offerer BUNDLE address [[Section 8.2.1](#)]. The answerer MUST check whether that "m=" line fulfils the following criteria:

- o The answerer will not move the "m=" line out of the BUNDLE group [[Section 8.3.3](#)]; and
- o The answerer will not reject the "m=" line [[Section 8.3.4](#)]; and
- o The "m=" line does not contain a zero port value.

If all of the criteria above are fulfilled, the answerer MUST select the address associated with the "m=" line as the offerer BUNDLE address. In the answer, the answerer BUNDLE-tag represents the "m=" line, and the address associated with the "m=" line in the offer becomes the offerer BUNDLE address.



If one or more of the criteria are not fulfilled, the answerer MUST select the next identification-tag in the identification-tag list, and perform the same criteria check for the "m=" line associated with that identification-tag. If there are no more identification-tags in the identification-tag list, the answerer MUST NOT create the BUNDLE group. In addition, unless the answerer rejects the whole offer, the answerer MUST apply the answerer procedures for moving an "m=" line out of a BUNDLE group [[Section 8.3.3](#)] to each bundled "m=" line in the offer when creating the answer.

[[Section 17.1](#)] shows an example of an offerer BUNDLE address selection.

### **8.3.2. Answerer Selection of Answerer BUNDLE Address**

When the answerer selects a BUNDLE address for itself, referred to as the answerer BUNDLE address, it MUST associate that address with each bundled "m=" line within the created BUNDLE group in the answer.

The answerer MUST NOT associate the answerer BUNDLE address with an "m=" line that is not within the BUNDLE group, or to an "m=" line that is within another BUNDLE group.

[[Section 17.1](#)] shows an example of an answerer BUNDLE address selection.

### **8.3.3. Moving A Media Description Out Of A BUNDLE Group**

When an answerer wants to move an "m=" line out of a BUNDLE group, it MUST first check the following criteria:

- o In the corresponding offer, the "m=" line is associated with a shared address (e.g. a previously selected offerer BUNDLE address); or
- o In the corresponding offer, an SDP 'bundle-only' attribute is associated with the "m=" line, and the "m=" line contains a zero port value.

If either criteria above is fulfilled, the answerer MUST reject the "m=" line [[Section 8.3.4](#)].

Otherwise, if in the corresponding offer the "m=" line is associated with a unique address, the answerer MUST associate a unique address with the "m=" line in the answer (the answerer does not reject the "m=" line).



In addition, in either case above, the answerer MUST NOT place the identification-tag, associated with the moved "m=" line, in the SDP 'group' attribute identification-tag list associated with the BUNDLE group.

#### **8.3.4. Rejecting A Media Description In A BUNDLE Group**

When an answerer rejects an "m=" line, it MUST associate an address with a zero port value with the "m=" line in the answer, according to the procedures in [[RFC3264](#)].

In addition, the answerer MUST NOT place the identification-tag, associated with the rejected "m=" line, in the SDP 'group' attribute identification-tag list associated with the BUNDLE group.

#### **8.4. Offerer Processing of the SDP Answer**

When an offerer receives an answer, if the answer contains a BUNDLE group, the offerer MUST check that any bundled "m=" line in the answer was indicated as bundled in the corresponding offer. If there is no mismatch, the offerer MUST use the offerer BUNDLE address, selected by the answerer [[Section 8.3.1](#)], as the address for each bundled "m=" line.

NOTE: As the answerer might reject one or more bundled "m=" lines, or move a bundled "m=" line out of a BUNDLE group, each bundled "m=" line in the offer might not be indicated as bundled in the answer.

If the answer does not contain a BUNDLE group, the offerer MUST process the answer as a normal answer.

#### **8.5. Modifying the Session**

When an offerer generates a subsequent offer, it MUST associate the previously selected offerer BUNDLE address [[Section 8.3.1](#)] with each bundled "m=" line (including any bundle-only "m=" line), except if:

- o The offerer suggests a new offerer BUNDLE address [[Section 8.5.1](#)]; or
- o The offerer wants to add a bundled "m=" line to the BUNDLE group [[Section 8.5.2](#)]; or
- o The offerer wants to move a bundled "m=" line out of the BUNDLE group [[Section 8.5.3](#)]; or
- o The offerer wants to disable the bundled "m=" line [[Section 8.5.4](#)].



In addition, the offerer MUST select an offerer BUNDLE-tag [[Section 8.2.1](#)] associated with the previously selected offerer BUNDLE address, unless the offerer suggests a new offerer BUNDLE address.

#### **8.5.1. Suggesting a new offerer BUNDLE address**

When an offerer generates an offer, in which it suggests a new offerer BUNDLE address [[Section 8.2.1](#)], the offerer MUST:

- o Assign the address (shared address) to each "m=" line within the BUNDLE group; or
- o Assign the address (unique address) to one bundled "m=" line.

In addition, the offerer MUST indicate that the address is the new suggested offerer BUNDLE address [[Section 8.2.1](#)].

NOTE: Unless the offerer associates the new suggested offerer BUNDLE address with each bundled "m=" line, it can associate unique addresses with any number of bundled "m=" lines (and the previously selected offerer BUNDLE address to any remaining bundled "m=" line) if it wants to suggest multiple alternatives for the new offerer BUNDLE address.

#### **8.5.2. Adding a media description to a BUNDLE group**

When an offerer generates an offer, in which it wants to add a bundled "m=" line to a BUNDLE group, the offerer MUST:

- o Assign a unique address to the added "m=" line; or
- o Assign the previously selected offerer BUNDLE address to the added "m=" line; or
- o If the offerer associates a new (shared address) suggested offerer BUNDLE address with each bundled "m=" line [[Section 8.5.1](#)], also associate that address with the added "m=" line.

In addition, the offerer MUST extend the SDP 'group:BUNDLE' attribute identification-tag list with the BUNDLE group [[Section 8.2.1](#)] by adding the identification-tag associated with the added "m=" line to the list.

NOTE: Assigning a unique address to the "m=" line allows the answerer to move the "m=" line out of the BUNDLE group [[Section 8.3.3](#)], without having to reject the "m=" line.



If the offerer associates a unique address with the added "m=" line, and if the offerer suggests that address as the new offerer BUNDLE address [[Section 8.5.1](#)], the offerer BUNDLE-tag MUST represent the added "m=" line [[Section 8.2.1](#)].

If the offerer associates a new suggested offerer BUNDLE address with each bundled "m=" line [[Section 8.5.1](#)], including the added "m=" line, the offerer BUNDLE-tag MAY represent the added "m=" line [[Section 8.2.1](#)].

[[Section 17.3](#)] shows an example where an offerer sends an offer in order to add a bundled "m=" line to a BUNDLE group.

### **8.5.3. Moving A Media Description Out Of A BUNDLE Group**

When an offerer generates an offer, in which it wants to move a bundled "m=" line out of a BUNDLE group it was added to in a previous offer/answer transaction, the offerer:

- o MUST associate a unique address with the "m=" line; and
- o MUST NOT place the identification-tag associated with the "m=" line in the SDP 'group:BUNDLE' attribute identification-tag list associated with the BUNDLE group.

NOTE: If the removed "m=" line is associated with the previously selected BUNDLE-tag, the offerer needs to suggest a new BUNDLE-tag [[Section 8.2.1](#)].

NOTE: If an "m=" line, when being moved out of a BUNDLE group, is added to another BUNDLE group, the offerer applies the procedures in [[Section 8.5.2](#)] to the "m=" line.

[[Section 17.4](#)] shows an example of an offer for moving an "m=" line out of a BUNDLE group.

### **8.5.4. Disabling A Media Description In A BUNDLE Group**

When an offerer generates an offer, in which it wants to disable a bundled "m=" line (added to the BUNDLE group in a previous offer/answer transaction), the offerer:

- o MUST associate an address with a zero port value with the "m=" line, following the procedures in [[RFC4566](#)]; and
- o MUST NOT place the identification-tag associated with the "m=" line in the SDP 'group:BUNDLE' attribute identification-tag list associated with the BUNDLE group.



[[Section 17.5](#)] shows an example of an offer for disabling an "m=" line within a BUNDLE group.

## 9. Protocol Identification

Each "m=" line within a BUNDLE group MUST use the same transport-layer protocol. If bundled "m=" lines use different protocols on top of the transport-layer protocol, there MUST exist a publicly available specification which describes a mechanism, for this particular protocol combination, how to associate received data with the correct protocol.

In addition, if received data can be associated with more than one bundled "m=" line, there MUST exist a publicly available specification which describes a mechanism for associating the received data with the correct "m=" line.

This document describes a mechanism to identify the protocol of received data among the STUN, DTLS and SRTP protocols (in any combination), when UDP is used as transport-layer protocol, but does not describe how to identify different protocols transported on DTLS. While the mechanism is generally applicable to other protocols and transport-layer protocols, any such use requires further specification around how to multiplex multiple protocols on a given transport-layer protocol, and how to associate received data with the correct protocols.

### 9.1. STUN, DTLS, SRTP

[Section 5.1.2 of \[RFC5764\]](#) describes a mechanism to identify the protocol of a received packet among the STUN, Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) and SRTP protocols (in any combination). If an offer or answer includes bundled "m=" lines that represent these protocols, the offerer or answerer MUST support the mechanism described in [\[RFC5764\]](#), and no explicit negotiation is required in order to indicate support and usage of the mechanism.

[RFC5764] does not describe how to identify different protocols transported on DTLS, only how to identify the DTLS protocol itself. If multiple protocols are transported on DTLS, there MUST exist a specification describing a mechanism for identifying each individual protocol. In addition, if a received DTLS packet can be associated with more than one "m=" line, there MUST exist a specification which describes a mechanism for associating the received DTLS packet with the correct "m=" line.

[[Section 10.2](#)] describes how to associate a received (S)RTP packet with the correct "m=" line.



## **10. RTP Considerations**

### **10.1. Single RTP Session**

All RTP-based media within a single BUNDLE group belong to a single RTP session [[RFC3550](#)]. Disjoint BUNDLE groups will form multiple RTP sessions, one per BUNDLE group.

Since a single RTP session is used for each bundle group, all "m=" lines representing RTP-based media in a bundle group will share a single SSRC numbering space [[RFC3550](#)].

The following rules and restrictions apply for a single RTP session:

- o A specific payload type value can be used in multiple bundled "m=" lines if each codec associated with the payload type number shares an identical codec configuration [[Section 10.1.1](#)].
- o The proto value in each bundled RTP-based "m=" line MUST be identical (e.g. RTP/AVPF).
- o The RTP MID header extension MUST be enabled, by associating an SDP 'extmap' attribute [[RFC5285](#)], with a 'urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid' URI value, with each bundled RTP-based "m=" line in every offer and answer.
- o A given SSRC MUST NOT transmit RTP packets using payload types that originate from different bundled "m=" lines.

NOTE: The last bullet above is to avoid sending multiple media types from the same SSRC. If transmission of multiple media types are done with time overlap, RTP and RTCP fail to function. Even if done in proper sequence this causes RTP Timestamp rate switching issues [[RFC7160](#)]. However, once an SSRC has left the RTP session (by sending an RTCP BYE packet), that SSRC can be reused by another source (possibly associated with a different bundled "m=" line) after a delay of 5 RTCP reporting intervals (the delay is to ensure the SSRC has timed out, in case the RTCP BYE packet was lost [[RFC3550](#)]).

#### **10.1.1. Payload Type (PT) Value Reuse**

Multiple bundled "m=" lines might represent RTP based media. As all RTP based media specified by a BUNDLE group belong to the same RTP session, in order for a given payload type value to be used inside more than one bundled "m=" line, all codecs associated with the payload type number MUST share an identical codec configuration. This means that the codecs MUST share the same media type, encoding name, clock rate and any parameter that can affect the codec



configuration and packetization.

[[I-D.ietf-mmusic-sdp-mux-attributes](#)] lists SDP attributes, whose attribute values must be identical for all codecs that use the same payload type value.

## **10.2. Associating RTP/RTCP Packets With Correct SDP Media Description**

There are multiple mechanisms that can be used by an endpoint in order to associate received RTP/RTCP packets with a bundled "m=" line. Such mechanisms include using the payload type value carried inside the RTP packets, the SSRC values carried inside the RTP packets, and other "m=" line specific information carried inside the RTP packets.

As all RTP/RTCP packets associated with a BUNDLE group are received (and sent) using single address:port combinations, the local address:port combination cannot be used to associate received RTP packets with the correct "m=" line.

As described in [[Section 10.1.1](#)], the same payload type value might be used inside RTP packets described by multiple "m=" lines. In such cases, the payload type value cannot be used to associate received RTP packets with the correct "m=" line.

An offerer and answerer can inform each other which SSRC values they will use for RTP and RTCP by using the SDP 'ssrc' attribute [[RFC5576](#)]. To allow for proper association with this mechanism, the 'ssrc' attribute needs to be associated with each "m=" line that shares a payload type with any other "m=" line in the same bundle. As the SSRC values will be carried inside the RTP/RTCP packets, the offerer and answerer can then use that information to associate received RTP packets with the correct "m=" line. However, an offerer will not know which SSRC values the answerer will use until it has received the answer providing that information. Due to this, before the offerer has received the answer, the offerer will not be able to associate received RTP/RTCP packets with the correct "m=" line using the SSRC values.

In order for an offerer and answerer to always be able to associate received RTP and RTCP packets with the correct "m=" line, an offerer and answerer using the BUNDLE extension MUST support the mechanism defined in [Section 14](#), where the remote endpoint inserts the identification-tag associated with an "m=" line in RTP and RTCP packets associated with that "m=" line.



### **10.3. RTP/RTCP Multiplexing**

Within a BUNDLE group, the offerer and answerer MUST enable RTP/RTCP multiplexing [[RFC5761](#)] for the RTP-based media specified by the BUNDLE group.

When RTP/RTCP multiplexing is enabled, the same address:port combination will be used for sending all RTP packets and the RTCP packets associated with the BUNDLE group. Each endpoint will send the packets towards the BUNDLE address of the other endpoint. The same address:port combination MAY be used for receiving RTP packets and RTCP packets.

#### **10.3.1. SDP Offer/Answer Procedures**

This section describes how an offerer and answerer use the SDP 'rtcp-mux' attribute [[RFC5761](#)] and the SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute [[I-D.ietf-mmusic-mux-exclusive](#)] to negotiate usage of RTP/RTCP multiplexing for RTP-based media specified by a BUNDLE group.

The procedures in this section only apply to RTP-based "m=" lines.

##### **10.3.1.1. Generating the Initial SDP Offer**

When an offerer generates an initial offer, the offerer MUST associate either an SDP 'rtcp-mux' attribute [[RFC5761](#)] or an SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute [[I-D.ietf-mmusic-mux-exclusive](#)] with each bundled RTP-based "m=" line in the offer. The offerer MUST associate an SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute with each bundle-only "m=" line. If the offerer associates a 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute with an "m=" line, the offerer may also associate a 'rtcp-mux' attribute with the same "m=" line, as described in [[I-D.ietf-mmusic-mux-exclusive](#)].

NOTE: Within a BUNDLE group, the offerer can associate the SDP 'rtcp-mux' attribute with some of the RTP-based "m=" lines, while it associates the SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute to other RTP-based "m=" lines, depending on whether the offerer supports fallback to usage of a separate port for RTCP in case the answerer does not include the "m=" line in the BUNDLE group.

NOTE: If the offerer associates an SDP 'rtcp-mux' attribute with an "m=" line, the offerer can also associate an SDP 'rtcp' attribute [[RFC3605](#)] with a bundled "m=" line, excluding a bundle-only "m=" line, in order to provide a fallback port for RTCP, as described in [[RFC5761](#)]. However, the fallback port will only be used in case the answerer does not include the "m=" line in the BUNDLE group in the associated answer.



In the initial offer, the address:port combination for RTCP MUST be unique in each bundled RTP-based "m=" line (excluding a 'bundle-only' "m=" line), similar to RTP.

#### **10.3.1.2. Generating the SDP Answer**

When an answerer generates an answer, if the answerer accepts one or more RTP-based "m=" lines within a BUNDLE group, the answerer MUST enable usage of RTP/RTCP multiplexing. The answerer MUST associate an SDP "rtcp-mux" attribute with each RTP-based "m=" line in the answer. In addition, if an "m=" line in the corresponding offer contained an SDP "rtcp-mux-only" attribute, the answerer MUST also associate an SDP "rtcp-mux-only" attribute with the "m=" line in the answer.

If an RTP-based "m=" line in the corresponding offer did not contain an SDP "rtcp-mux" attribute or an SDP "rtcp-mux-only" attribute, the answerer MUST NOT include the "m=" line within a BUNDLE group in the answer.

If an RTP-based "m=" line in the corresponding offer contained an SDP "rtcp-mux-only" attribute, and if the answerer moves the "m=" line out of the BUNDLE group in the answer [Section 8.3.3](#), the answerer MUST still either enable RTP/RTCP multiplexing for the media associated with the "m=" line, or reject the "m=" line [Section 8.3.4](#).

The answerer MUST NOT associate an SDP 'rtcp' attribute with any bundled "m=" line in the answer. The answerer will use the port value of the selected offerer BUNDLE address for sending RTP and RTCP packets associated with each RTP-based bundled "m=" line towards the offerer.

If the usage of RTP/RTCP multiplexing within a BUNDLE group has been negotiated in a previous offer/answer transaction, the answerer MUST associate an SDP 'rtcp-mux' attribute with each bundled RTP-based "m=" line in the answer.

#### **10.3.1.3. Offerer Processing of the SDP Answer**

When an offerer receives an answer, if the answerer has accepted the usage of RTP/RTCP multiplexing (see [Section 10.3.1.2](#)), the answerer follows the procedures for RTP/RTCP multiplexing defined in [\[RFC5761\]](#). The offerer will use the port value associated with the answerer BUNDLE address for sending RTP and RTCP packets associated with each RTP-based bundled "m=" line towards the answerer.



NOTE: It is considered a protocol error if the answerer has not accepted the usage of RTP/RTCP multiplexing for RTP-based "m=" lines that the answerer included in the BUNDLE group.

#### **10.3.1.4. Modifying the Session**

When an offerer generates a subsequent offer, it MUST associate an SDP 'rtcp-mux' attribute or an SDP 'rtcp-mux-only' attribute with each RTP-based bundled "m=" line within the BUNDLE group (including any bundled RTP-based "m=" line that the offerer wants to add to the BUNDLE group), unless the offerer wants to disable or remove the "m=" line from the BUNDLE group.

### **11. ICE Considerations**

This section describes how to use the BUNDLE grouping extension together with the Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE) mechanism [[I-D.ietf-ice-rfc5245bis](#)].

The generic procedures for negotiating usage of ICE using SDP, defined in [[I-D.ietf-mmusic-ice-sip-sdp](#)], also apply to usage of ICE with BUNDLE, with the following exceptions:

- o When BUNDLE addresses for a BUNDLE group have been selected for both endpoints, ICE connectivity checks and keep-alives only need to be performed for the whole BUNDLE group, instead of per bundled "m=" line.
- o Among bundled "m=" lines with which the offerer has associated a shared address, the offerer only associates ICE-related media-level SDP attributes with the "m=" line associated with the offerer BUNDLE-tag.
- o Among bundled "m=" lines with which the answerer has associated a shared address, the answerer only associates ICE-related media-level SDP attributes with the "m=" line associated with the answerer BUNDLE-tag.

Support and usage of ICE mechanism together with the BUNDLE extension is OPTIONAL.

#### **11.1. SDP Offer/Answer Procedures**

When an offerer associates a unique address with a bundled "m=" line (excluding any bundle-only "m=" line), the offerer MUST associate SDP 'candidate' attributes (and other applicable ICE-related media-level SDP attributes), containing unique ICE properties (candidates etc),



with the "m=" line, according to the procedures in [\[I-D.ietf-mmusic-ice-sip-sdp\]](#).

When an offerer associates a shared address with a bundled "m=" line, if the "m=" line is associated with the offerer BUNDLE-tag, the offerer MUST associate SDP 'candidate' attributes (and other applicable ICE-related media-level SDP attributes), containing shared ICE properties, with the "m=" line. If the "m=" line is not associated with the offerer BUNDLE-tag, the offerer MUST NOT associate ICE-related SDP attributes with the "m=" line.

When an answerer associates a shared address with a bundled "m=" line, if the "m=" line is associated with the answerer BUNDLE-tag, the answerer MUST associate SDP 'candidate' attributes (and other applicable ICE-related media-level SDP attributes), containing shared ICE properties, with the "m=" line. If the "m=" line is not associated with the answerer BUNDLE-tag, the answerer MUST NOT associate ICE-related SDP attributes with the "m=" line.

NOTE: As most ICE-related media-level SDP attributes belong to the TRANSPORT mux category [\[I-D.ietf-mmusic-sdp-mux-attributes\]](#), the offerer and answerer follow the rules in [Section 8.1](#). However, in the case of ICE-related media-level attributes, the rules apply to all attributes (see note below), even if they belong to a different mux category.

NOTE: The following ICE-related media-level SDP attributes are defined in [\[I-D.ietf-mmusic-ice-sip-sdp\]](#): 'candidate', 'remote-candidates', 'ice-mismatch', 'ice-ufrag', 'ice-pwd', and 'ice-pacing'.

#### **[11.1.1](#). Generating the Initial SDP Offer**

When an offerer generates an initial offer, the offerer MUST associate ICE-related media-level SDP attributes with each bundled "m=" line, according to [\[Section 11.1\]](#).

#### **[11.1.2](#). Generating the SDP Answer**

When an answerer generates an answer that contains a BUNDLE group, the answerer MUST associate ICE-related SDP attributes with the "m=" line associated with the answerer BUNDLE-tag, according to [\[Section 11.1\]](#).



### **11.1.3. Offerer Processing of the SDP Answer**

When an offerer receives an answer, if the answerer supports and uses the ICE mechanism and the BUNDLE extension, the offerer MUST associate the ICE properties associated with the offerer BUNDLE address, selected by the answerer [[Section 8.3.1](#)], with each bundled "m=" line.

### **11.1.4. Modifying the Session**

When an offerer generates a subsequent offer, it MUST associate unique or shared ICE properties to one or more bundled "m=" lines, according to [[Section 11.1](#)].

## **12. DTLS Considerations**

One or more media streams within a BUNDLE group might use the Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) protocol [[RFC6347](#)] in order to encrypt the data, or to negotiate encryption keys if another encryption mechanism is used to encrypt media.

When DTLS is used within a BUNDLE group, the following rules apply:

- o There can only be one DTLS association [[RFC6347](#)] associated with the BUNDLE group; and
- o Each usage of the DTLS association within the BUNDLE group MUST use the same mechanism for determining which endpoints (the offerer or answerer) become DTLS client and DTLS server; and
- o Each usage of the DTLS association within the Bundle group MUST use the same mechanism for determining whether an offer or answer will trigger the establishment of a new DTLS association, or whether an existing DTLS association will be used; and
- o If the DTLS client supports DTLS-SRTP [[RFC5764](#)] it MUST include the 'use\_srtp' extension [[RFC5764](#)] in the DTLS ClientHello message [[RFC5764](#)], The client MUST include the extension even if the usage of DTLS-SRTP is not negotiated as part of the multimedia session (e.g., SIP session [[RFC3261](#)]).

NOTE: The inclusion of the 'use\_srtp' extension during the initial DTLS handshake ensures that a DTLS renegotiation will not be required in order to include the extension, in case DTLS-SRTP encrypted media is added to the BUNDLE group later during the multimedia session.



### **13. Update to [RFC 3264](#)**

This section replaces the text of the following sections of [RFC 3264](#):

- o [Section 5.1](#) (Unicast Streams).
- o [Section 8.2](#) (Removing a Media Stream).
- o [Section 8.4](#) (Putting a Unicast Media Stream on Hold).

#### **13.1. Original text of [section 5.1](#) (2nd paragraph) of [RFC 3264](#)**

For recvonly and sendrecv streams, the port number and address in the offer indicate where the offerer would like to receive the media stream. For sendonly RTP streams, the address and port number indirectly indicate where the offerer wants to receive RTCP reports. Unless there is an explicit indication otherwise, reports are sent to the port number one higher than the number indicated. The IP address and port present in the offer indicate nothing about the source IP address and source port of RTP and RTCP packets that will be sent by the offerer. A port number of zero in the offer indicates that the stream is offered but MUST NOT be used. This has no useful semantics in an initial offer, but is allowed for reasons of completeness, since the answer can contain a zero port indicating a rejected stream ([Section 6](#)). Furthermore, existing streams can be terminated by setting the port to zero ([Section 8](#)). In general, a port number of zero indicates that the media stream is not wanted.

#### **13.2. New text replacing [section 5.1](#) (2nd paragraph) of [RFC 3264](#)**

For recvonly and sendrecv streams, the port number and address in the offer indicate where the offerer would like to receive the media stream. For sendonly RTP streams, the address and port number indirectly indicate where the offerer wants to receive RTCP reports. Unless there is an explicit indication otherwise, reports are sent to the port number one higher than the number indicated. The IP address and port present in the offer indicate nothing about the source IP address and source port of RTP and RTCP packets that will be sent by the offerer. A port number of zero in the offer by default indicates that the stream is offered but MUST NOT be used, but an extension mechanism might specify different semantics for the usage of a zero port value. Furthermore, existing streams can be terminated by setting the port to zero ([Section 8](#)). In general, a port number of zero by default indicates that the media stream is not wanted.



**13.3. Original text of [section 8.2](#) (2nd paragraph) of [RFC 3264](#)**

A stream that is offered with a port of zero MUST be marked with port zero in the answer. Like the offer, the answer MAY omit all attributes present previously, and MAY list just a single media format from amongst those in the offer.

**13.4. New text replacing [section 8.2](#) (2nd paragraph) of [RFC 3264](#)**

A stream that is offered with a port of zero MUST by default be marked with port zero in the answer, unless an extension mechanism, which specifies semantics for the usage of a non-zero port value, is used. If the stream is marked with port zero in the answer, the answer MAY omit all attributes present previously, and MAY list just a single media format from amongst those in the offer."

**13.5. Original text of [section 8.4](#) (6th paragraph) of [RFC 3264](#)**

[RFC 2543](#) [10] specified that placing a user on hold was accomplished by setting the connection address to 0.0.0.0. Its usage for putting a call on hold is no longer recommended, since it doesn't allow for RTCP to be used with held streams, doesn't work with IPv6, and breaks with connection oriented media. However, it can be useful in an initial offer when the offerer knows it wants to use a particular set of media streams and formats, but doesn't know the addresses and ports at the time of the offer. Of course, when used, the port number MUST NOT be zero, which would specify that the stream has been disabled. An agent MUST be capable of receiving SDP with a connection address of 0.0.0.0, in which case it means that neither RTP nor RTCP should be sent to the peer.

**13.6. New text replacing [section 8.4](#) (6th paragraph) of [RFC 3264](#)**

[RFC 2543](#) [10] specified that placing a user on hold was accomplished by setting the connection address to 0.0.0.0. Its usage for putting a call on hold is no longer recommended, since it doesn't allow for RTCP to be used with held streams, doesn't work with IPv6, and breaks with connection oriented media. However, it can be useful in an initial offer when the offerer knows it wants to use a particular set of media streams and formats, but doesn't know the addresses and ports at the time of the offer. Of course, when used, the port number MUST NOT be zero, if it would specify that the stream has been disabled. However, an extension mechanism might specify different semantics of the zero port number usage. An agent MUST be capable of receiving SDP with a connection address of 0.0.0.0, in which case it means that neither RTP nor RTCP should be sent to the peer.



#### **14. RTP/RTCP extensions for identification-tag transport**

SDP Offerers and Answerers [[RFC3264](#)] can associate identification-tags with "m=" lines within SDP Offers and Answers, using the procedures in [[RFC5888](#)]. Each identification-tag uniquely represents an "m=" line.

This section defines a new RTCP SDES item [[RFC3550](#)], 'MID', which is used to carry identification-tags within RTCP SDES packets. This section also defines a new RTP SDES header extension [[I-D.ietf-avtext-sdes-hdr-ext](#)], which is used to carry the 'MID' RTCP SDES item in RTP packets.

The SDES item and RTP SDES header extension make it possible for a receiver to associate received RTCP- and RTP packets with a specific "m=" line, with which the receiver has associated an identification-tag, even if those "m=" lines are part of the same RTP session. The RTP SDES header extension also ensures that the media recipient gets the identification-tag upon receipt of the first decodable media and is able to associate the media with the correct application.

A media recipient informs the media sender about the identification-tag associated with an "m=" line through the use of an 'mid' attribute [[RFC5888](#)]. The media sender then inserts the identification-tag in RTCP and RTP packets sent to the media recipient.

NOTE: This text above defines how identification-tags are carried in SDP Offers and Answers. The usage of other signalling protocols for carrying identification-tags is not prevented, but the usage of such protocols is outside the scope of this document.

[[RFC3550](#)] defines general procedures regarding the RTCP transmission interval. The RTCP MID SDES item SHOULD be sent in the first few RTCP packets sent after joining the session, and SHOULD be sent regularly thereafter. The exact number of RTCP packets in which this SDES item is sent is intentionally not specified here, as it will depend on the expected packet loss rate, the RTCP reporting interval, and the allowable overhead.

The RTP SDES header extension for carrying the 'MID' RTCP SDES SHOULD be included in some RTP packets at the start of the session and whenever the SSRC changes. It might also be useful to include the header extension in RTP packets that comprise access points in the media (e.g., with video I-frames). The exact number of RTP packets in which this header extension is sent is intentionally not specified here, as it will depend on expected packet loss rate and loss



patterns, the overhead the application can tolerate, and the importance of immediate receipt of the identification-tag.

For robustness purpose, endpoints need to be prepared for situations where the reception of the identification-tag is delayed, and SHOULD NOT terminate sessions in such cases, as the identification-tag is likely to arrive soon.

#### [14.1.](#) RTCP MID SDES Item

```

      0                   1                   2                   3
      0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|      MID=TBD      |      length      | identification-tag      | ...
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

The identification-tag payload is UTF-8 encoded, as in SDP.

The identification-tag is not zero terminated.

[RFC EDITOR NOTE: Please replace TBD with the assigned SDES identifier value.]

#### [14.2.](#) RTP SDES Header Extension For MID

The payload, containing the identification-tag, of the RTP SDES header extension element can be encoded using either the one-byte or two-byte header [[I-D.ietf-avtext-sdes-hdr-ext](#)]. The identification-tag payload is UTF-8 encoded, as in SDP.

The identification-tag is not zero terminated. Note, that the set of header extensions included in the packet needs to be padded to the next 32-bit boundary using zero bytes [[RFC5285](#)].

As the identification-tag is included in either an RTCP SDES item or an RTP SDES header extension, or both, there should be some consideration about the packet expansion caused by the identification-tag. To avoid Maximum Transmission Unit (MTU) issues for the RTP packets, the header extension's size needs to be taken into account when encoding the media.

It is recommended that the identification-tag is kept short. Due to the properties of the RTP header extension mechanism, when using the one-byte header, a tag that is 1-3 bytes will result in a minimal number of 32-bit words used for the RTP SDES header extension, in case no other header extensions are included at the same time. Note,



do take into account that some single characters when UTF-8 encoded will result in multiple octets. The identification-tag MUST NOT contain any user information, and applications SHALL avoid generating the identification-tag using a pattern that enables application identification.

## **15. IANA Considerations**

### **15.1. New SDES item**

[RFC EDITOR NOTE: Please replace RFCXXXX with the RFC number of this document.]

[RFC EDITOR NOTE: Please replace TBD with the assigned SDES identifier value.]

This document adds the MID SDES item to the IANA "RTP SDES item types" registry as follows:

Value:	TBD
Abbrev.:	MID
Name:	Media Identification
Reference:	RFCXXXX

### **15.2. New RTP SDES Header Extension URI**

[RFC EDITOR NOTE: Please replace RFCXXXX with the RFC number of this document.]

This document defines a new extension URI in the RTP SDES Compact Header Extensions sub-registry of the RTP Compact Header Extensions registry sub-registry, according to the following data:



Extension URI: urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid  
Description: Media identification  
Contact: christer.holmberg@ericsson.com  
Reference: RFCXXXX

The SDES item does not reveal privacy information about the users. It is simply used to associate RTP-based media with the correct SDP media description (m- line) in the SDP used to negotiate the media.

The purpose of the extension is for the offerer to be able to associate received multiplexed RTP-based media before the offerer receives the associated SDP answer.

### **15.3. New SDP Attribute**

[RFC EDITOR NOTE: Please replace RFCXXXX with the RFC number of this document.]

This document defines a new SDP media-level attribute, 'bundle-only', according to the following data:

Attribute name: bundle-only  
Type of attribute: media  
Subject to charset: No  
Purpose: Request a media description to be accepted in the answer only if kept within a BUNDLE group by the answerer.  
Appropriate values: N/A  
Contact name: Christer Holmberg  
Contact e-mail: christer.holmberg@ericsson.com  
Reference: RFCXXXX  
Mux category: NORMAL

### **15.4. New SDP Group Semantics**

[RFC EDITOR NOTE: Please replace RFCXXXX with the RFC number of this document.]

This document registers the following semantics with IANA in the "Semantics for the "group" SDP Attribute" subregistry (under the "Session Description Protocol (SDP) Parameters" registry:



Semantics	Token	Reference
-----	-----	-----
Media bundling	BUNDLE	[RFCXXXX]

## **16. Security Considerations**

The security considerations defined in [\[RFC3264\]](#) and [\[RFC5888\]](#) apply to the BUNDLE extension. Bundle does not change which information flows over the network but only changes which addresses and ports that information is flowing on and thus has very little impact on the security of the RTP sessions.

When the BUNDLE extension is used, a single set of security credentials might be used for all media streams specified by a BUNDLE group.

When the BUNDLE extension is used, the number of SSRC values within a single RTP session increases, which increases the risk of SSRC collision. [\[RFC4568\]](#) describes how SSRC collision may weaken SRTP and SRTCP encryption in certain situations.

## **17. Examples**

### **17.1. Example: Bundle Address Selection**

The example below shows:

- o An offer, in which the offerer associates a unique address with each bundled "m=" line within the BUNDLE group.
- o An answer, in which the answerer selects the offerer BUNDLE address, and then selects its own BUNDLE address (the answerer BUNDLE address) and associates it with each bundled "m=" line within the BUNDLE group.



## SDP Offer (1)

```
v=0
o=alice 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
t=0 0
a=group:BUNDLE foo bar
m=audio 10000 RTP/AVP 0 8 97
b=AS:200
a=mid:foo
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
a=rtpmap:8 PCMA/8000
a=rtpmap:97 iLBC/8000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 10002 RTP/AVP 31 32
b=AS:1000
a=mid:bar
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:31 H261/90000
a=rtpmap:32 MPV/90000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
```

## SDP Answer (2)

```
v=0
o=bob 2808844564 2808844564 IN IP4 biloxi.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 biloxi.example.com
t=0 0
a=group:BUNDLE foo bar
m=audio 20000 RTP/AVP 0
b=AS:200
a=mid:foo
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 20000 RTP/AVP 32
b=AS:1000
a=mid:bar
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:32 MPV/90000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
```



### **17.2. Example: BUNDLE Extension Rejected**

The example below shows:

- o An offer, in which the offerer associates a unique address with each bundled "m=" line within the BUNDLE group.
- o An answer, in which the answerer rejects the offered BUNDLE group, and associates a unique address with each "m=" line (following normal [RFC 3264](#) procedures).

## SDP Offer (1)

```
v=0
o=alice 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
t=0 0
a=group:BUNDLE foo bar
m=audio 10000 RTP/AVP 0 8 97
b=AS:200
a=mid:foo
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
a=rtpmap:8 PCMA/8000
a=rtpmap:97 iLBC/8000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 10002 RTP/AVP 31 32
b=AS:1000
a=mid:bar
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:31 H261/90000
a=rtpmap:32 MPV/90000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
```

## SDP Answer (2)

```
v=0
o=bob 2808844564 2808844564 IN IP4 biloxi.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 biloxi.example.com
t=0 0
m=audio 20000 RTP/AVP 0
b=AS:200
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
m=video 30000 RTP/AVP 32
b=AS:1000
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:32 MPV/90000
```

**[17.3.](#) Example: Offerer Adds A Media Description To A BUNDLE Group**

The example below shows:



- o A subsequent offer (the BUNDLE group has been created as part of a previous offer/answer exchange), in which the offerer adds a new "m=" line, represented by the "zen" identification-tag, to a previously negotiated BUNDLE group, associates a unique address with the added "m=" line, and associates the previously selected offerer BUNDLE address with each of the other bundled "m=" lines within the BUNDLE group.
- o An answer, in which the answerer associates the answerer BUNDLE address with each bundled "m=" line (including the newly added "m=" line) within the BUNDLE group.

## SDP Offer (1)

```
v=0
o=alice 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
t=0 0
a=group:BUNDLE foo bar zen
m=audio 10000 RTP/AVP 0 8 97
b=AS:200
a=mid:foo
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
a=rtpmap:8 PCMA/8000
a=rtpmap:97 iLBC/8000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 10000 RTP/AVP 31 32
b=AS:1000
a=mid:bar
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:31 H261/90000
a=rtpmap:32 MPV/90000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 20000 RTP/AVP 66
b=AS:1000
a=mid:zen
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:66 H261/90000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
```

## SDP Answer (2)

```
v=0
o=bob 2808844564 2808844564 IN IP4 biloxi.example.com
```



```
s=
c=IN IP4 biloxi.example.com
t=0 0
a=group:BUNDLE foo bar zen
m=audio 20000 RTP/AVP 0
b=AS:200
a=mid:foo
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:mid
m=video 20000 RTP/AVP 32
b=AS:1000
a=mid:bar
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:32 MPV/90000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:mid
m=video 20000 RTP/AVP 66
b=AS:1000
a=mid:zen
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:66 H261/90000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdrext:sdes:mid
```

#### **17.4. Example: Offerer Moves A Media Description Out Of A BUNDLE Group**

The example below shows:

- o A subsequent offer (the BUNDLE group has been created as part of a previous offer/answer transaction), in which the offerer moves a bundled "m=" line out of a BUNDLE group, associates a unique address with the moved "m=" line, and associates the offerer BUNDLE address with each other bundled "m=" line within the BUNDLE group.
- o An answer, in which the answerer moves the "m=" line out of the BUNDLE group, associates a unique address with the moved "m=" line, and associates the answerer BUNDLE address with each of the remaining bundled "m=" line within the BUNDLE group.

SDP Offer (1)

```
v=0
o=alice 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
```



```
t=0 0
a=group:BUNDLE foo bar
m=audio 10000 RTP/AVP 0 8 97
b=AS:200
a=mid:foo
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
a=rtpmap:8 PCMA/8000
a=rtpmap:97 iLBC/8000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 10000 RTP/AVP 31 32
b=AS:1000
a=mid:bar
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:31 H261/90000
a=rtpmap:32 MPV/90000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 50000 RTP/AVP 66
b=AS:1000
a=mid:zen
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:66 H261/90000
```

## SDP Answer (2)

```
v=0
o=bob 2808844564 2808844564 IN IP4 biloxi.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 biloxi.example.com
t=0 0
a=group:BUNDLE foo bar
m=audio 20000 RTP/AVP 0
b=AS:200
a=mid:foo
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 20000 RTP/AVP 32
b=AS:1000
a=mid:bar
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:32 MPV/90000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 60000 RTP/AVP 66
b=AS:1000
a=mid:zen
a=rtcp-mux
```



```
a=rtpmap:66 H261/90000
```

### **17.5. Example: Offerer Disables A Media Description Within A BUNDLE Group**

The example below shows:

- o A subsequent offer (the BUNDLE group has been created as part of a previous offer/answer transaction), in which the offerer disables a bundled "m=" line within a BUNDLE group, assigns a zero port number to the disabled "m=" line, and associates the offerer BUNDLE address with each of the other bundled "m=" lines within the BUNDLE group.
- o An answer, in which the answerer moves the disabled "m=" line out of the BUNDLE group, assigns a zero port value to the disabled "m=" line, and associates the answerer BUNDLE address with each of the remaining bundled "m=" line within the BUNDLE group.

SDP Offer (1)

```
v=0
o=alice 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
t=0 0
a=group:BUNDLE foo bar
m=audio 10000 RTP/AVP 0 8 97
b=AS:200
a=mid:foo
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
a=rtpmap:8 PCMA/8000
a=rtpmap:97 iLBC/8000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 10000 RTP/AVP 31 32
b=AS:1000
a=mid:bar
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:31 H261/90000
a=rtpmap:32 MPV/90000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 0 RTP/AVP 66
a=mid:zen
a=rtpmap:66 H261/90000
```



## SDP Answer (2)

```
v=0
o=bob 2808844564 2808844564 IN IP4 biloxi.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 biloxi.example.com
t=0 0
a=group:BUNDLE foo bar
m=audio 20000 RTP/AVP 0
b=AS:200
a=mid:foo
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:0 PCMU/8000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 20000 RTP/AVP 32
b=AS:1000
a=mid:bar
a=rtcp-mux
a=rtpmap:32 MPV/90000
a=extmap 1 urn:ietf:params:rtp-hdext:sdes:mid
m=video 0 RTP/AVP 66
a=mid:zen
a=rtpmap:66 H261/90000
```

## 18. Acknowledgements

The usage of the SDP grouping extension for negotiating bundled media is based on a similar alternatives proposed by Harald Alvestrand and Cullen Jennings. The BUNDLE extension described in this document is based on the different alternative proposals, and text (e.g., SDP examples) have been borrowed (and, in some cases, modified) from those alternative proposals.

The SDP examples are also modified versions from the ones in the Alvestrand proposal.

Thanks to Paul Kyzivat, Martin Thomson, Flemming Andreassen, Thomas Stach, Ari Keranen, Adam Roach, Christian Groves, Roman Shpount, Suhas Nandakumar, Nils Ohlmeier, Jens Guballa, Raju Makaraju and Justin Uberti for reading the text, and providing useful feedback.

Thanks to Magnus Westerlund, Colin Perkins and Jonathan Lennox for providing help and text on the RTP/RTCP procedures.

Thanks to Spotify for providing music for the countless hours of document editing.



## **19. Change Log**

[RFC EDITOR NOTE: Please remove this section when publishing]

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-31](#)

- o Editorial changes based on GitHub Pull requests by Martin Thomson:
  - o - <https://github.com/cdh4u/draft-sdp-bundle/pull/2>
  - o - <https://github.com/cdh4u/draft-sdp-bundle/pull/1>
- o Editorial change based on comment from Diederick Huijbers (9th July 2016).
- o Changes based on comments from Flemming Andreassen (21st June 2016):
  - o - Mux category for SDP bundle-only attribute added.
  - o - Mux category considerations editorial clarification.
  - o - Editorial changes.
- o RTP SDP extension according to [draft-ietf-avtext-sdes-hdr-ext](#).
- o Note whether Design Considerations appendix is to be kept removed:
  - o - Appendix is kept within document.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-30](#)

- o Indicating in the Abstract and Introduction that the document updates [RFC 3264](#).

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-29](#)

- o Change based on WGLC comment from Colin Perkins.
  - o - Clarify that SSRC can be reused by another source after a delay of 5 RTCP reporting intervals.
- o Change based on WGLC comment from Alissa Cooper.
  - o - IANA registry name fix.
  - o - Additional IANA registration information added.



Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-28](#)

- o - Alignment with exclusive mux procedures.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-27](#)

- o - Yet another terminology change.
- o - Mux category considerations added.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-26](#)

- o - ICE considerations modified: ICE-related SDP attributes only added to the bundled m- line representing the selected BUNDLE address.
- o - Reference to [draft-ietf-mmusic-ice-sip-sdp](#) added.
- o - Reference to [RFC 5245](#) replaced with reference to [draft-ietf-ice-rfc5245bis](#).

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-25](#)

- o - RTP/RTCP mux procedures updated with exclusive RTP/RTCP mux considerations.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-24](#)

- o - Reference and procedures associated with exclusive RTP/RTCP mux added

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-23](#)

- o - RTCP-MUX mandatory for bundled RTP m- lines
- o - Editorial fixes based on comments from Flemming Andreassen

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-22](#)

- o - Correction of Ari's family name
- o - Editorial fixes based on comments from Thomas Stach
- o - RTP/RTCP correction based on comment from Magnus Westerlund
- o -- <http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/mmusic/current/msg14861.html>



Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-21](#)

- o - Correct based on comment from Paul Kyzivat
- o -- 'received packets' replaced with 'received data'

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-20](#)

- o - Clarification based on comment from James Guballa
- o - Clarification based on comment from Flemming Andreassen

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-19](#)

- o - DTLS Considerations section added.
- o - BUNDLE semantics added to the IANA Considerations
- o - Changes based on WGLC comments from Adam Roach
- o -- <http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/mmusic/current/msg14673.html>

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-18](#)

- o - Changes based on agreements at IETF#92
- o -- BAS Offer removed, based on agreement at IETF#92.
- o -- Procedures regarding usage of SDP "b=" line is replaced with a reference to [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-mux-attributes](#).

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-17](#)

- o - Editorial changes based on comments from Magnus Westerlund.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-16](#)

- o - Modification of RTP/RTCP multiplexing section, based on comments from Magnus Westerlund.
- o - Reference updates.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-15](#)

- o - Editorial fix.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-14](#)



- o - Editorial changes.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-13](#)

- o Changes to allow a new suggested offerer BUNDLE address to be assigned to each bundled m- line.
- o Changes based on WGLC comments from Paul Kyzivat
- o - Editorial fixes

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-12](#)

- o Usage of SDP 'extmap' attribute added
- o SDP 'bundle-only' attribute scoped with "m=" lines with a zero port value
- o Changes based on WGLC comments from Thomas Stach
- o - ICE candidates not assigned to bundle-only m- lines with a zero port value
- o - Editorial changes
- o Changes based on WGLC comments from Colin Perkins
- o - Editorial changes:
  - o -- "RTP SDES item" -> "RTCP SDES item"
  - o -- "RTP MID SDES item" -> "RTCP MID SDES item"
- o - Changes in [section 10.1.1](#):
  - o -- "SHOULD NOT" -> "MUST NOT"
  - o -- Additional text added to the Note
- o - Change to [section 13.2](#):
  - o -- Clarify that mid value is not zero terminated
- o - Change to [section 13.3](#):
  - o -- Clarify that mid value is not zero terminated
  - o -- Clarify padding



- o Changes based on WGLC comments from Paul Kyzivat
- o - Editorial changes:
- o Changes based on WGLC comments from Jonathan Lennox
- o - Editorial changes:
- o - Definition of SDP bundle-only attribute aligned with structure in 4566bis draft

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-11](#)

- o Editorial corrections based on comments from Harald Alvestrand.
- o Editorial corrections based on comments from Cullen Jennings.
- o Reference update ([RFC 7160](#)).
- o Clarification about RTCP packet sending when RTP/RTCP multiplexing is not used (<http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/mmusic/current/msg13765.html>).
- o Additional text added to the Security Considerations.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-10](#)

- o SDP bundle-only attribute added to IANA Considerations.
- o SDES item and RTP header extension added to Abstract and Introduction.
- o Modification to text updating [section 8.2 of RFC 3264](#).
- o Reference corrections.
- o Editorial corrections.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-09](#)

- o Terminology change: "bundle-only attribute assigned to m= line" to "bundle-only attribute associated with m= line".
- o Editorial corrections.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-08](#)

- o Editorial corrections.



- o - "of"->"if" (8.3.2.5).
- o - "optional"->"OPTIONAL" (9.1).
- o - Syntax/ABNF for 'bundle-only' attribute added.
- o - SDP Offer/Answer sections merged.
- o - 'Request new offerer BUNDLE address' section added

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-07](#)

- o OPEN ISSUE regarding Receiver-ID closed.
- o - RTP MID SDES Item.
- o - RTP MID Header Extension.
- o OPEN ISSUE regarding insertion of SDP 'rtcp' attribute in answers closed.
- o - Indicating that, when rtcp-mux is used, the answerer MUST NOT include an 'rtcp' attribute in the answer, based on the procedures in [section 5.1.3 of RFC 5761](#).

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-06](#)

- o Draft title changed.
- o Added "SDP" to section names containing "Offer" or "Answer".
- o Editorial fixes based on comments from Paul Kyzivat (<http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/mmusic/current/msg13314.html>).
- o Editorial fixed based on comments from Colin Perkins (<http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/mmusic/current/msg13318.html>).
- o - Removed text about extending BUNDLE to allow multiple RTP sessions within a BUNDLE group.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-05](#)

- o Major re-structure of SDP Offer/Answer sections, to align with [RFC 3264](#) structure.
- o Additional definitions added.



- o - Shared address.
- o - Bundled "m=" line.
- o - Bundle-only "m=" line.
- o - Offerer suggested BUNDLE mid.
- o - Answerer selected BUNDLE mid.
- o Q6 Closed (IETF#88): An Offerer MUST NOT assign a shared address to multiple "m=" lines until it has received an SDP Answer indicating support of the BUNDLE extension.
- o Q8 Closed (IETF#88): An Offerer can, before it knows whether the Answerer supports the BUNDLE extension, assign a zero port value to a 'bundle-only' "m=" line.
- o SDP 'bundle-only' attribute section added.
- o Connection data nettype/addrtype restrictions added.
- o [RFC 3264](#) update section added.
- o Indicating that a specific payload type value can be used in multiple "m=" lines, if the value represents the same codec configuration in each "m=" line.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-04](#)

- o Updated Offerer procedures (<http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/mmusic/current/msg12293.html>).
- o Updated Answerer procedures (<http://www.ietf.org/mail-archive/web/mmusic/current/msg12333.html>).
- o Usage of SDP 'bundle-only' attribute added.
- o Reference to Trickle ICE document added.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-02](#)

- o Mechanism modified, to be based on usage of SDP Offers with both different and identical port number values, depending on whether it is known if the remote endpoint supports the extension.
- o Cullen Jennings added as co-author.



Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-01](#)

- o No changes. New version due to expiration.

Changes from [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-bundle-negotiation-00](#)

- o No changes. New version due to expiration.

Changes from [draft-holmberg-mmusic-sdp-multiplex-negotiation-00](#)

- o Draft name changed.
- o Harald Alvestrand added as co-author.
- o "Multiplex" terminology changed to "bundle".
- o Added text about single versus multiple RTP Sessions.
- o Added reference to [RFC 3550](#).

## **[20. References](#)**

### **[20.1. Normative References](#)**

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3264] Rosenberg, J. and H. Schulzrinne, "An Offer/Answer Model with Session Description Protocol (SDP)", [RFC 3264](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3264, June 2002, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3264>>.
- [RFC3550] Schulzrinne, H., Casner, S., Frederick, R., and V. Jacobson, "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", STD 64, [RFC 3550](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3550, July 2003, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3550>>.
- [RFC3605] Huitema, C., "Real Time Control Protocol (RTCP) attribute in Session Description Protocol (SDP)", [RFC 3605](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3605, October 2003, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3605>>.
- [RFC4566] Handley, M., Jacobson, V., and C. Perkins, "SDP: Session Description Protocol", [RFC 4566](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4566, July 2006, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4566>>.



- [RFC4961] Wing, D., "Symmetric RTP / RTP Control Protocol (RTCP)", [BCP 131](#), [RFC 4961](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4961, July 2007, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4961>>.
- [RFC5245] Rosenberg, J., "Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE): A Protocol for Network Address Translator (NAT) Traversal for Offer/Answer Protocols", [RFC 5245](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5245, April 2010, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5245>>.
- [RFC5285] Singer, D. and H. Desineni, "A General Mechanism for RTP Header Extensions", [RFC 5285](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5285, July 2008, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5285>>.
- [RFC5761] Perkins, C. and M. Westerlund, "Multiplexing RTP Data and Control Packets on a Single Port", [RFC 5761](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5761, April 2010, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5761>>.
- [RFC5764] McGrew, D. and E. Rescorla, "Datagram Transport Layer Security (DTLS) Extension to Establish Keys for the Secure Real-time Transport Protocol (SRTP)", [RFC 5764](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5764, May 2010, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5764>>.
- [RFC5888] Camarillo, G. and H. Schulzrinne, "The Session Description Protocol (SDP) Grouping Framework", [RFC 5888](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5888, June 2010, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5888>>.
- [RFC6347] Rescorla, E. and N. Modadugu, "Datagram Transport Layer Security Version 1.2", [RFC 6347](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6347, January 2012, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6347>>.
- [I-D.ietf-ice-rfc5245bis]  
Keranen, A., Holmberg, C., and J. Rosenberg, "Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE): A Protocol for Network Address Translator (NAT) Traversal", [draft-ietf-ice-rfc5245bis-04](#) (work in progress), June 2016.
- [I-D.ietf-mmusic-sdp-mux-attributes]  
Nandakumar, S., "A Framework for SDP Attributes when Multiplexing", [draft-ietf-mmusic-sdp-mux-attributes-13](#) (work in progress), June 2016.



[I-D.ietf-mmusic-mux-exclusive]

Holmberg, C., "Indicating Exclusive Support of RTP/RTCP Multiplexing using SDP", [draft-ietf-mmusic-mux-exclusive-10](#) (work in progress), August 2016.

[I-D.ietf-mmusic-ice-sip-sdp]

Petit-Huguenin, M., Keranen, A., and S. Nandakumar, "Using Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE) with Session Description Protocol (SDP) offer/answer and Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", [draft-ietf-mmusic-ice-sip-sdp-10](#) (work in progress), July 2016.

[I-D.ietf-avtext-sdes-hdr-ext]

Westerlund, M., Burman, B., Even, R., and M. Zanaty, "RTP Header Extension for RTCP Source Description Items", [draft-ietf-avtext-sdes-hdr-ext-07](#) (work in progress), June 2016.

## **20.2. Informative References**

- [RFC3261] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and E. Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", [RFC 3261](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3261, June 2002, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3261>>.
- [RFC4568] Andreasen, F., Baugher, M., and D. Wing, "Session Description Protocol (SDP) Security Descriptions for Media Streams", [RFC 4568](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4568, July 2006, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4568>>.
- [RFC5576] Lennox, J., Ott, J., and T. Schierl, "Source-Specific Media Attributes in the Session Description Protocol (SDP)", [RFC 5576](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5576, June 2009, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5576>>.
- [RFC7160] Petit-Huguenin, M. and G. Zorn, Ed., "Support for Multiple Clock Rates in an RTP Session", [RFC 7160](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7160, April 2014, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7160>>.
- [I-D.ietf-mmusic-trickle-ice]
- Ivov, E., Rescorla, E., and J. Uberti, "Trickle ICE: Incremental Provisioning of Candidates for the Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE) Protocol", [draft-ietf-mmusic-trickle-ice-02](#) (work in progress), January 2015.



## [Appendix A](#). Design Considerations

One of the main issues regarding the BUNDLE grouping extensions has been whether, in SDP Offers and SDP Answers, the same port value should be inserted in "m=" lines associated with a BUNDLE group, as the purpose of the extension is to negotiate the usage of a single address:port combination for media specified by the "m=" lines. Issues with both approaches, discussed in the Appendix have been raised. The outcome was to specify a mechanism which uses SDP Offers with both different and identical port values.

Below are the primary issues that have been considered when defining the "BUNDLE" grouping extension:

- o 1) Interoperability with existing UAs.
- o 2) Interoperability with intermediary B2BUA- and proxy entities.
- o 3) Time to gather, and the number of, ICE candidates.
- o 4) Different error scenarios, and when they occur.
- o 5) SDP Offer/Answer impacts, including usage of port number value zero.

### [A.1](#). UA Interoperability

Consider the following SDP Offer/Answer exchange, where Alice sends an SDP Offer to Bob:

SDP Offer

```
v=0
o=alice 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
t=0 0
m=audio 10000 RTP/AVP 97
a=rtpmap:97 iLBC/8000
m=video 10002 RTP/AVP 97
a=rtpmap:97 H261/90000
```



## SDP Answer

```
v=0
o=bob 2808844564 2808844564 IN IP4 biloxi.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 biloxi.example.com
t=0 0
m=audio 20000 RTP/AVP 97
a=rtpmap:97 iLBC/8000
m=video 20002 RTP/AVP 97
a=rtpmap:97 H261/90000
```

[RFC 4961](#) specifies a way of doing symmetric RTP but that is an a later invention to RTP and Bob can not assume that Alice supports [RFC 4961](#). This means that Alice may be sending RTP from a different port than 10000 or 10002 - some implementation simply send the RTP from an ephemeral port. When Bob's endpoint receives an RTP packet, the only way that Bob knows if it should be passed to the video or audio codec is by looking at the port it was received on. This lead some SDP implementations to use the fact that each "m=" line had a different port number to use that port number as an index to find the correct m line in the SDP. As a result, some implementations that do support symmetric RTP and ICE still use a SDP data structure where SDP with "m=" lines with the same port such as:

## SDP Offer

```
v=0
o=alice 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
t=0 0
m=audio 10000 RTP/AVP 97
a=rtpmap:97 iLBC/8000
m=video 10000 RTP/AVP 98
a=rtpmap:98 H261/90000
```

will result in the second "m=" line being considered an SDP error because it has the same port as the first line.



## **A.2. Usage of port number value zero**

In an SDP Offer or SDP Answer, the media specified by an "m=" line can be disabled/rejected by setting the port number value to zero. This is different from e.g., using the SDP direction attributes, where RTCP traffic will continue even if the SDP "inactive" attribute is indicated for the associated "m=" line.

If each "m=" line associated with a BUNDLE group would contain different port values, and one of those port values would be used for a BUNDLE address associated with the BUNDLE group, problems would occur if an endpoint wants to disable/reject the "m=" line associated with that port, by setting the port value to zero. After that, no "m=" line would contain the port value which is used for the BUNDLE address. In addition, it is unclear what would happen to the ICE candidates associated with the "m=" line, as they are also used for the BUNDLE address.

## **A.3. B2BUA And Proxy Interoperability**

Some back to back user agents may be configured in a mode where if the incoming call leg contains an SDP attribute the B2BUA does not understand, the B2BUA still generates that SDP attribute in the Offer for the outgoing call leg. Consider a B2BUA that did not understand the SDP "rtcp" attribute, defined in [RFC 3605](#), yet acted this way. Further assume that the B2BUA was configured to tear down any call where it did not see any RTCP for 5 minutes. In this case, if the B2BUA received an Offer like:

SDP Offer

```
v=0
o=alice 2890844526 2890844526 IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
s=
c=IN IP4 atlanta.example.com
t=0 0
m=audio 49170 RTP/AVP 0
a=rtcp:53020
```

It would be looking for RTCP on port 49172 but would not see any because the RTCP would be on port 53020 and after five minutes, it would tear down the call. Similarly, a B2BUA that did not understand BUNDLE yet put BUNDLE in it's offer may be looking for media on the wrong port and tear down the call. It is worth noting that a B2BUA



that generated an Offer with capabilities it does not understand is not compliant with the specifications.

#### **A.3.1. Traffic Policing**

Sometimes intermediaries do not act as B2BUA, in the sense that they don't modify SDP bodies, nor do they terminate SIP dialogs. Still, however, they may use SDP information (e.g., IP address and port) in order to control traffic gating functions, and to set traffic policing rules. There might be rules which will trigger a session to be terminated in case media is not sent or received on the ports retrieved from the SDP. This typically occurs once the session is already established and ongoing.

#### **A.3.2. Bandwidth Allocation**

Sometimes intermediaries do not act as B2BUA, in the sense that they don't modify SDP bodies, nor do they terminate SIP dialogs. Still, however, they may use SDP information (e.g., codecs and media types) in order to control bandwidth allocation functions. The bandwidth allocation is done per "m=" line, which means that it might not be enough if media specified by all "m=" lines try to use that bandwidth. That may either simply lead to bad user experience, or to termination of the call.

#### **A.4. Candidate Gathering**

When using ICE, a candidate needs to be gathered for each port. This takes approximately 20 ms extra for each extra "m=" line due to the NAT pacing requirements. All of this gather can be overlapped with other things while for example a web-page is loading to minimize the impact. If the client only wants to generate TURN or STUN ICE candidates for one of the "m=" lines and then use trickle ICE [[I-D.ietf-mmusic-trickle-ice](#)] to get the non host ICE candidates for the rest of the "m=" lines, it MAY do that and will not need any additional gathering time.

Some people have suggested a TURN extension to get a bunch of TURN allocations at once. This would only provide a single STUN result so in cases where the other end did not support BUNDLE, may cause more use of the TURN server but would be quick in the cases where both sides supported BUNDLE and would fall back to a successful call in the other cases.



Authors' Addresses

Christer Holmberg  
Ericsson  
Hirsalantie 11  
Jorvas 02420  
Finland

Email: [christer.holmberg@ericsson.com](mailto:christer.holmberg@ericsson.com)

Harald Tveit Alvestrand  
Google  
Kungsbron 2  
Stockholm 11122  
Sweden

Email: [harald@alvestrand.no](mailto:harald@alvestrand.no)

Cullen Jennings  
Cisco  
400 3rd Avenue SW, Suite 350  
Calgary, AB T2P 4H2  
Canada

Email: [fluffy@iii.ca](mailto:fluffy@iii.ca)

