

MOPS  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Informational  
Expires: December 31, 2021

R. Krishna  
InterDigital Europe Limited  
A. Rahman  
InterDigital Communications, LLC  
June 29, 2021

Media Operations Use Case for an Augmented Reality Application on Edge  
Computing Infrastructure  
draft-ietf-mops-ar-use-case-01

## Abstract

A use case describing transmission of an application on the Internet that has several unique characteristics of Augmented Reality (AR) applications is presented for the consideration of the Media Operations (MOPS) Working Group. One key requirement identified is that the Adaptive-Bit-Rate (ABR) algorithms' current usage of policies based on heuristics and models is inadequate for AR applications running on the Edge Computing infrastructure.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 31, 2021.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

Internet-Draft

MOPS AR Use Case

June 2021

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Conventions used in this document . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Use Case . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	Processing of Scenes . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.2.</a>	Generation of Images . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Requirements . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">6</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

The MOPS draft, [[I-D.ietf-mops-streaming-opcons](#)], provides an overview of operational networking issues that pertain to Quality of Experience (QoE) in delivery of video and other high-bitrate media over the Internet. However, as it does not cover the increasingly large number of applications with Augmented Reality (AR) characteristics and their requirements on ABR algorithms, the discussion in this draft compliments the overview presented in that draft [[I-D.ietf-mops-streaming-opcons](#)].

Future AR applications will bring several requirements for the Internet and the mobile devices running these applications. AR applications require a real-time processing of video streams to recognize specific objects. This is then used to overlay information on the video being displayed to the user. In addition some AR applications will also require generation of new video frames to be played to the user. Both the real-time processing of video streams and the generation of overlay information are computationally intensive tasks that generate heat [[DEV HEAT 1](#)], [[DEV HEAT 2](#)] and drain battery power [[BATT DRAIN](#)] on the AR mobile device. Consequently, in order to run future applications with AR characteristics on mobile devices, computationally intensive tasks need to be offloaded to resources provided by Edge Computing.

Edge Computing is an emerging paradigm where computing resources and storage are made available in close network proximity at the edge of

the Internet to mobile devices and sensors [[EDGE\\_1](#)], [[EDGE\\_2](#)].

Adaptive-Bit-Rate (ABR) algorithms currently base their policy for bit-rate selection on heuristics or models of the deployment environment that do not account for the environment's dynamic nature

in use cases such as the one we present in this document. Consequently, the ABR algorithms perform sub-optimally in such deployments [[ABR\\_1](#)].

## [2.](#) Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

## [3.](#) Use Case

We now describe a use case that involves an application with AR systems' characteristics. Consider a group of tourists who are being conducted in a tour around the historical site of the Tower of London. As they move around the site and within the historical buildings, they can watch and listen to historical scenes in 3D that are generated by the AR application and then overlaid by their AR headsets onto their real-world view. The headset then continuously updates their view as they move around.

The AR application first processes the scene that the walking tourist is watching in real-time and identifies objects that will be targeted for overlay of high resolution videos. It then generates high resolution 3D images of historical scenes related to the perspective of the tourist in real-time. These generated video images are then overlaid on the view of the real-world as seen by the tourist.

We now discuss this processing of scenes and generation of high resolution images in greater detail.

### [3.1.](#) Processing of Scenes

The AR application that runs on the mobile device needs to first track the pose (coordinates and orientation) of the user's head, eyes and the objects that are in view. This requires tracking natural

features and developing an annotated point cloud based model that is then stored in a database. To ensure that this database can be scaled up, techniques such as combining a client side simultaneous tracking and mapping and a server-side localization are used [SLAM\_1], [SLAM\_2], [SLAM\_3], [SLAM\_4]. Once the natural features are tracked, virtual objects are geometrically aligned with those features. This is followed by resolving occlusion that can occur between virtual and the real objects [OCCL\_1], [OCCL\_2].

The next step for the AR application is to apply photometric registration [PHOTO\_REG]. This requires aligning the brightness and color between the virtual and real objects. Additionally, algorithms

that calculate global illumination of both the virtual and real objects [GLB\_ILLUM\_1], [GLB\_ILLUM\_2] are executed. Various algorithms to deal with artifacts generated by lens distortion [LENS\_DIST], blur [BLUR], noise [NOISE] etc are also required.

### [3.2.](#) Generation of Images

The AR application must generate a high-quality video that has the properties described in the previous step and overlay the video on the AR device's display- a step called situated visualization. This entails dealing with registration errors that may arise, ensuring that there is no visual interference [VIS\_INTERFERE], and finally maintaining temporal coherence by adapting to the movement of user's eyes and head.

## [4.](#) Requirements

The components of AR applications perform tasks such as real-time generation and processing of high-quality video content that are computationally intensive. As a result, on AR devices such as AR glasses excessive heat is generated by the chip-sets that are involved in the computation [DEV\_HEAT\_1], [DEV\_HEAT\_2]. Additionally, the battery on such devices discharges quickly when running such applications [BATT\_DRAIN].

A solution to the heat dissipation and battery drainage problem is to offload the processing and video generation tasks to the remote cloud. However, running such tasks on the cloud is not feasible as the end-to-end delays must be within the order of a few milliseconds.

Additionally, such applications require high bandwidth and low jitter to provide a high QoE to the user. In order to achieve such hard timing constraints, computationally intensive tasks can be offloaded to Edge devices.

Another requirement for our use case and similar applications such as 360 degree streaming is that the display on the AR/VR device should synchronize the visual input with the way the user is moving their head. This synchronization is necessary to avoid motion sickness that results from a time-lag between when the user moves their head and when the appropriate video scene is rendered. This time lag is often called "motion-to-photon" delay. Studies have shown [PER\_SENSE], [XR], [OCCL\_3] that this delay can be at most 20ms and preferably between 7-15ms in order to avoid the motion sickness problem. Out of these 20ms, display techniques including the refresh rate of write displays and pixel switching take 12-13ms [OCCL\_3], [CLOUD]. This leaves 7-8ms for the processing of motion sensor inputs, graphic rendering, and RTT between the AR/VR device and the Edge. The use of predictive techniques to mask latencies has been

considered as a mitigating strategy to reduce motion sickness [PREDICT]. In addition, Edge Devices that are proximate to the user might be used to offload these computationally intensive tasks. Towards this end, the 3GPP requires and supports an Ultra Reliable Low Latency of 0.1ms to 1ms for communication between an Edge server and User Equipment(UE) [URLLC].

Note that the Edge device providing the computation and storage is itself limited in such resources compared to the Cloud. So, for example, a sudden surge in demand from a large group of tourists can overwhelm that device. This will result in a degraded user experience as their AR device experiences delays in receiving the video frames. In order to deal with this problem, the client AR applications will need to use Adaptive Bit Rate (ABR) algorithms that choose bit-rates policies tailored in a fine-grained manner to the resource demands and playback the videos with appropriate QoE metrics as the user moves around with the group of tourists.

However, heavy-tailed nature of several operational parameters make prediction-based adaptation by ABR algorithms sub-optimal[ABR\_2]. This is because with such distributions, law of large numbers works too slowly, the mean of sample does not equal the mean of

distribution, and as a result standard deviation and variance are unsuitable as metrics for such operational parameters [[HEAVY TAIL 1](#)], [[HEAVY TAIL 2](#)]. Other subtle issues with these distributions include the "expectation paradox" [[HEAVY TAIL 1](#)] where the longer we have waited for an event the longer we have to wait and the issue of mismatch between the size and count of events [[HEAVY TAIL 1](#)]. This makes designing an algorithm for adaptation error-prone and challenging. Such operational parameters include but are not limited to buffer occupancy, throughput, client-server latency, and variable transmission times. In addition, edge devices and communication links may fail and logical communication relationships between various software components change frequently as the user moves around with their AR device [[UBICOMP](#)].

Thus, once the offloaded computationally intensive processing is completed on the Edge Computing, the video is streamed to the user with the help of an ABR algorithm which needs to meet the following requirements [[ABR 1](#)]:

- o Dynamically changing ABR parameters: The ABR algorithm must be able to dynamically change parameters given the heavy-tailed nature of network throughput. This, for example, may be accomplished by AI/ML processing on the Edge Computing on a per client or global basis.

- o Handling conflicting QoE requirements: QoE goals often require high bit-rates, and low frequency of buffer refills. However in practice, this can lead to a conflict between those goals. For example, increasing the bit-rate might result in the need to fill up the buffer more frequently as the buffer capacity might be limited on the AR device. The ABR algorithm must be able to handle this situation.
- o Handling side effects of deciding a specific bit rate: For example, selecting a bit rate of a particular value might result in the ABR algorithm not changing to a different rate so as to ensure a non-fluctuating bit-rate and the resultant smoothness of video quality. The ABR algorithm must be able to handle this situation.

## 5. Informative References

- [ABR\_1] Mao, H., Netravali, R., and M. Alizadeh, "Neural Adaptive Video Streaming with Pensieve", In Proceedings of the Conference of the ACM Special Interest Group on Data Communication, pp. 197–210, 2017.
- [ABR\_2] Yan, F., Ayers, H., Zhu, C., Fouladi, S., Hong, J., Zhang, K., Levis, P., and K. Winstein, "Learning in situ: a randomized experiment in video streaming", In 17th USENIX Symposium on Networked Systems Design and Implementation (NSDI 20), pp. 495–511, 2020.
- [BATT\_DRAIN] Seneviratne, S., Hu, Y., Nguyen, T., Lan, G., Khalifa, S., Thilakarathna, K., Hassan, M., and A. Seneviratne, "A survey of wearable devices and challenges.", In IEEE Communication Surveys and Tutorials, 19(4), p.2573–2620., 2017.
- [BLUR] Kan, P. and H. Kaufmann, "Physically-Based Depth of Field in Augmented Reality.", In Eurographics (Short Papers), pp. 89–92., 2012.
- [CLOUD] Corneo, L., Eder, M., Mohan, N., Zavodovski, A., Bayhan, S., Wong, W., Gunningberg, P., Kangasharju, J., and J. Ott, "Surrounded by the Clouds: A Comprehensive Cloud Reachability Study.", In Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021, pp. 295–304, 2021.

- [DEV\_HEAT\_1] LiKamWa, R., Wang, Z., Carroll, A., Lin, F., and L. Zhong, "Draining our Glass: An Energy and Heat characterization of Google Glass", In Proceedings of 5th Asia-Pacific Workshop on Systems pp. 1–7, 2013.
- [DEV\_HEAT\_2] Matsushashi, K., Kanamoto, T., and A. Kurokawa, "Thermal

model and countermeasures for future smart glasses.",  
In *Sensors*, 20(5), p.1446., 2020.

[EDGE\_1] Satyanarayanan, M., "The Emergence of Edge Computing",  
In *Computer* 50(1) pp. 30-39, 2017.

[EDGE\_2] Satyanarayanan, M., Klas, G., Silva, M., and S. Mangiante,  
"The Seminal Role of Edge-Native Applications", In *IEEE International Conference on Edge Computing (EDGE)* pp. 33-40, 2019.

[GLB\_ILLUM\_1] Kan, P. and H. Kaufmann, "Differential irradiance caching for fast high-quality light transport between virtual and real worlds.", In *IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR)*, pp. 133-141, 2013.

[GLB\_ILLUM\_2] Franke, T., "Delta voxel cone tracing.", In *IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR)*, pp. 39-44, 2014.

[HEAVY\_TAIL\_1] Crovella, M. and B. Krishnamurthy, "Internet measurement: infrastructure, traffic and applications", John Wiley and Sons Inc., 2006.

[HEAVY\_TAIL\_2] Taleb, N., "The Statistical Consequences of Fat Tails", STEM Academic Press, 2020.

[I-D.ietf-mops-streaming-opcons] Holland, J., Begen, A., and S. Dawkins, "Operational Considerations for Streaming Media", [draft-ietf-mops-streaming-opcons-03](#) (work in progress), November 2020.

[LENS\_DIST] Fuhrmann, A. and D. Schmalstieg, "Practical calibration procedures for augmented reality.", In *Virtual Environments 2000*, pp. 3-12. Springer, Vienna, 2000.

[NOISE] Fischer, J., Bartz, D., and W. Strasser, "Enhanced visual

realism by incorporating camera image effects.",  
In IEEE/ACM International Symposium on Mixed and  
Augmented Reality, pp. 205-208., 2006.

- [OCCL\_1] Breen, D., Whitaker, R., and M. Tuceryan, "Interactive Occlusion and automatic object placement for augmented reality", In Computer Graphics Forum, vol. 15, no. 3 , pp. 229-238, Edinburgh, UK: Blackwell Science Ltd, 1996.
- [OCCL\_2] Zheng, F., Schmalstieg, D., and G. Welch, "Pixel-wise closed-loop registration in video-based augmented reality", In IEEE International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality (ISMAR), pp. 135-143, 2014.
- [OCCL\_3] Lang, B., "Oculus Shares 5 Key Ingredients for Presence in Virtual Reality.", <https://www.roadtovr.com/oculus-shares-5-key-ingredients-for-presence-in-virtual-reality/>, 2014.
- [PER\_SENSE] Mania, K., Adelstein, B., Ellis, S., and M. Hill, "Perceptual sensitivity to head tracking latency in virtual environments with varying degrees of scene complexity.", In Proceedings of the 1st Symposium on Applied perception in graphics and visualization pp. 39-47., 2004.
- [PHOTO\_REG] Liu, Y. and X. Granier, "Online tracking of outdoor lighting variations for augmented reality with moving cameras", In IEEE Transactions on visualization and computer graphics, 18(4), pp.573-580, 2012.
- [PREDICT] Buker, T., Vincenzi, D., and J. Deaton, "The effect of apparent latency on simulator sickness while using a see-through helmet-mounted display: Reducing apparent latency with predictive compensation..", In Human factors 54.2, pp. 235-249., 2012.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

- [SLAM\_1] Ventura, J., Arth, C., Reitmayr, G., and D. Schmalstieg, "A minimal solution to the generalized pose-and-scale problem", In Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, pp. 422-429, 2014.
- [SLAM\_2] Sweeny, C., Fragoso, V., Hollerer, T., and M. Turk, "A scalable solution to the generalized pose and scale problem", In European Conference on Computer Vision, pp. 16-31, 2014.
- [SLAM\_3] Gauglitz, S., Sweeny, C., Ventura, J., Turk, M., and T. Hollerer, "Model estimation and selection towards unconstrained real-time tracking and mapping", In IEEE transactions on visualization and computer graphics, 20(6), pp. 825-838, 2013.
- [SLAM\_4] Pirchheim, C., Schmalstieg, D., and G. Reitmayr, "Handling pure camera rotation in keyframe-based SLAM", In 2013 IEEE international symposium on mixed and augmented reality (ISMAR), pp. 229-238, 2013.
- [UBICOMP] Bardram, J. and A. Friday, "Ubiquitous Computing Systems", In Ubiquitous Computing Fundamentals pp. 37-94. CRC Press, 2009.
- [URLLC] 3GPP, "3GPP TR 23.725: Study on enhancement of Ultra-Reliable Low-Latency Communication (URLLC) support in the 5G Core network (5GC).", <https://portal.3gpp.org/desktopmodules/Specifications/SpecificationDetails.aspx?specificationId=3453>, 2019.
- [VIS\_INTERFERE] Kalkofen, D., Mendez, E., and D. Schmalstieg, "Interactive focus and context visualization for augmented reality.", In 6th IEEE and ACM International Symposium on Mixed and Augmented Reality, pp. 191-201., 2007.
- [XR] 3GPP, "3GPP TR 26.928: Extended Reality (XR) in 5G.", <https://portal.3gpp.org/desktopmodules/Specifications/SpecificationDetails.aspx?specificationId=3534>, 2020.

Authors' Addresses

Internet-Draft

MOPS AR Use Case

June 2021

Renan Krishna  
InterDigital Europe Limited  
64, Great Eastern Street  
London EC2A 3QR  
United Kingdom

Email: [renan.krishna@interdigital.com](mailto:renan.krishna@interdigital.com)

Akbar Rahman  
InterDigital Communications, LLC  
1000 Sherbrooke Street West  
Montreal H3A 3G4  
Canada

Email: [Akbar.Rahman@InterDigital.com](mailto:Akbar.Rahman@InterDigital.com)

