Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: August 30, 2015

G. Swallow V. lim Cisco Systems S. Aldrin Huawei Technologies February 26, 2015

Proxy MPLS Echo Request draft-ietf-mpls-proxy-lsp-ping-04

Abstract

This document defines a means of remotely initiating Multiprotocol Label Switched Protocol Pings on Label Switched Paths. An MPLS Proxy Ping Request is sent to any Label Switching Router along a Label Switched Path. The primary motivations for this facility are first to limit the number of messages and related processing when using LSP Ping in large Point-to-Multipoint LSPs, and second to enable leaf to leaf/root tracing.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of \underline{BCP} 78 and \underline{BCP} 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/1id-abstracts.html

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 30, 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> . In	troduction						<u>3</u>
<u>1.1</u> .	Requirements Language						<u>4</u>
<u>1.2</u> .	Terminology						<u>5</u>
<u>2</u> . Pr	oxy Ping Overview						<u>5</u>
<u>2.1</u> .	Initiating Proxy Ping						<u>6</u>
<u>2.2</u> .	Handling at Proxy LSR						<u>6</u>
2.	2.1. Backward Compatibility						<u>6</u>
<u>3</u> . Pr	oxy MPLS Echo Request / Reply Procedures						7
<u>3.1</u> .	Procedures for the initiator						7
<u>3.2</u> .	Procedures for the Proxy LSR						8
<u>3.</u>	2.1. Proxy LSR Handling when it is egress for	FEC					<u>10</u>
<u>3.</u>	2.2. Downstream Detailed/Downstream Maps in P	roxy	Re	ep1	У		<u>11</u>
<u>3.</u>	2.3. Sending an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply						<u>12</u>
<u>3.</u>	2.4. Sending the MPLS Echo Requests						<u>12</u>
	3.2.4.1. Forming the base MPLS Echo Request .						<u>12</u>
	3.2.4.2. Per interface sending procedures						<u>14</u>
<u>4</u> . Pr	oxy Ping Request / Reply Messages						<u>14</u>
<u>4.1</u> .	Proxy Ping Request / Reply Message formats .						<u>14</u>
<u>4.2</u> .	Proxy Ping Request Message contents						<u>14</u>
<u>4.3</u> .	Proxy Ping Reply Message Contents						<u>15</u>
<u>5</u> . TL	V formats						<u>15</u>
<u>5.1</u> .	Proxy Echo Parameters TLV						<u>15</u>
<u>5.</u>	<u>1.1</u> . Next Hop sub-TLV						<u>19</u>
<u>5.2</u> .	Reply-to Address TLV						<u>20</u>
<u>5.3</u> .	Upstream Neighbor Address TLV						<u>20</u>
<u>5.4</u> .	Downstream Neighbor Address TLV						<u>21</u>
<u>6</u> . Se	curity Considerations						<u>22</u>
<u>7</u> . Ac	knowledgements						22
	NA Considerations						
8 1	Proxy Echo Parameters Sub-TLVs						23

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015

[Page 2]

<u>9</u> .	Ref	erences										<u>2</u> 4
9	<u>.1</u> .	Normative References .										24
9	<u>. 2</u> .	Informative References										24
Λ.ı+I	orc	Addrossos										2/

1. Introduction

Internet-Draft

This document is motivated by two broad issues in connection with diagnosing Point-to-Multipoint (P2MP) Label Switched Paths (LSPs). The first is scalability due to the automatic replication of Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) Echo Request Messages as they proceed down the tree. The second, which is primarily motivated by Label Distribution Protocol based Point-to-Multipoint (P2MP) and Multipoint-to-Multipoint (MP2MP) Label Switched Paths [RFC6388], is the ability to trace a sub-LSP from leaf node to root node.

It is anticipated that very large Point-to-Multipoint and Multipoint-to-Multipoint (MP2MP) Label Switched Paths will exist. Further it is anticipated that many of the applications for P2MP/MP2MP tunnels will require OAM that is both rigorous and scalable.

Suppose one wishes to trace a P2MP LSP to localize a fault which is affecting one egress or a set of egresses. Suppose one follows the normal procedure for tracing - namely repeatedly pinging from the root, incrementing the Time to Live (TTL) by one after each three or so pings. Such a procedure has the potential for producing a large amount of processing at the P2MP-LSP midpoints and egresses. It also could produce an unwieldy number of replies back to the root.

One alternative would be to begin sending pings from points at or near the affected egress(es) and then working backwards toward the root. The TTL could be held constant, say two, limiting the number of responses to the number of next-next-hops of the point where a ping is initiated.

In the case of Resource Reservation Protocol-Traffic Engineering (RSVP-TE), all setup is initiated from the root of the tree. Thus, the root of the tree has knowledge of both all the leaf nodes and usually the topology of the entire tree. Thus the above alternative can easily be initiated by the root node.

In [RFC6388] the situation is quite different. Leaf nodes initiate connectivity to the tree, which is granted by the first node toward the root that is part of the tree. The root node may only be aware of the immediately adjacent (downstream) nodes of the tree. Initially the leaf node only has knowledge of the (upstream) node to which it is immediately adjacent. However this is sufficient information to

initiate a trace. First the above procedure is applied by asking that node to ping across the final link. That is, a message is sent from the leaf to the upstream node requesting it to send an MPLS Echo Request for the Forward Equivalence Class (FEC) of the tree in question on said link. The leaf node also requests the identity of the upstream neighbor's upstream neighbor for that FEC. With this information, the procedure can iteratively be applied until the fault is localized or the root node is reached. In all cases, the TTL for the request need only be at most 2. Thus the processing load of each request is small, since only a limited number of nodes will receive the request.

This document defines protocol extensions to MPLS ping [RFC4379] to allow a third party to remotely cause an MPLS Echo Request message to be sent down an LSP or part of an LSP. The procedure described in the paragraphs above does require that the initiator know the previoushop node to the one which was pinged on the prior iteration. This information is readily available in [RFC4875]. This document also provides a means for obtaining this information for [RFC6388].

While the motivation for this document came from multicast scaling concerns, it's applicability may be wider. The procedures presented in this document are applicable to all LSP ping FEC types where the MPLS Echo Request/Reply are IP encapsulated and the MPLS Echo Reply can sent out of band of the LSP over IP. Remote pinging of LSPs that involve the use of in-band control channels is beyond the scope of this document.

Other uses of this facility are beyond the scope of this document. In particular, the procedures defined in this document only allow testing of a FEC stack consisting of a single FEC. The procedures also do not allow the initiator to specify the label assigned to that FEC, nor does it allow the initiator to cause any additional labels to be added to the label stack of the actual MPLS Echo Request message.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

The term "Must Be Zero" (MBZ) is used in TLV descriptions for reserved fields. These fields MUST be set to zero when sent and ignored on receipt.

Based on context the terms leaf and egress are used interchangeably. Egress is used where consistency with[RFC4379] was deemed

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 4]

appropriate. Receiver is used in the context of receiving protocol messages.

1.2. Terminology

Term Definition

LSP Label Switched Paths

LSR Label Switching Router

mLDP Multipoint LDP

MP2MP Multipoint to Multipoint

Maximum Transmission Unit MTU

P2MP Point to Multipoint

TTL Time to Live

[Note (to be removed after assignments occur): <TBA> = to be assigned by IANA]

Proxy Ping Overview

This document defines a protocol interaction between a first node, a node which is part of an LSP, to allow the first node to request that the second node initiate an LSP ping for the LSP on the first node's behalf. Since the second node sends the LSP Ping on behalf of the first node, it does not maintain state to be able to handle the corresponding LSP Ping response. Instead the responder to the LSP ping sends the LSP Ping response to either the first node or another node configured to handle it. Two new LSP Ping messages are defined for remote pinging: the MPLS Proxy Ping Request and the MPLS Proxy Ping Reply.

A remote ping operation on a P2MP LSP generally involves at least three LSRs; in some scenarios none of these are the ingress (root) or an egress (leaf) of the LSP.

We refer to these nodes with the following terms:

Initiator - the node which initiates the ping operation by sending an MPLS Proxy Ping Request message

Proxy LSR - the node which is the destination of the MPLS Proxy Ping Request message and potential initiator of the MPLS Echo Request

Receiver(s) - the nodes which receive the MPLS Echo Request message

Responder - A receiver that responds to an MPLS Proxy Ping Request or an MPLS Echo Reguest

We note that in some scenarios, the initiator could also be the responder, in which case the response would be internal to the node.

2.1. Initiating Proxy Ping

The initiator formats an MPLS Proxy Ping Request message and sends it to the Proxy LSR, a node it believes to be on the path of the LSP. This message instructs the Proxy LSR to either Reply with Proxy information or to send an MPLS Echo Request inband of the LSP. The initiator requests Proxy information so that it can learn additional information it needs to use to form a subsequent MPLS Proxy Ping Request. For example, during LSP traceroute an initiator needs the downstream map information to form an MPLS Echo Request. An initiator may also want to learn a Proxy LSR's FEC neighbor information so that it can form Proxy Ping Requests to various nodes along the LSP.

2.2. Handling at Proxy LSR

The Proxy LSR either replies with the requested Proxy information or it validates that it has a label mapping for the specified FEC and that it is authorized to send the specified MPLS Echo Request on behalf of the initiator.

If the Proxy LSR has a label mapping for the FEC and all authorization checks have passed, the Proxy LSR formats an MPLS Echo Request. If the source address of the MPLS Echo Request is not to be set to the Proxy Request source address, the initiator MUST include a Reply-to Address TLV containing the source address to use in the MPLS Echo Request. It then sends it inband of the LSP.

The receivers process the MPLS Echo Request as normal, sending their MPLS Echo Replies back to the initiator.

If the Proxy LSR failed to send an MPLS Echo Request as normal because it encountered an issue while attempting to send, an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply message is sent back with a Return Code indicating that the MPLS Echo Request could not be sent.

2.2.1. Backward Compatibility

As described in sec 4.4 of [RFC4379], if the packet is not well-formed, LSR X SHOULD send an MPLS Echo Reply with the Return Code set to "Malformed echo request received" and the Subcode to zero. If there are any TLVs not marked as "Ignore" that Proxy LSR does not understand, Proxy LSR SHOULD send an MPLS "TLV not understood" (as

appropriate), and the Subcode set to zero.

In the case where the targeted Proxy LSR does not understand the LSP ping Echo Request at all, like any other LSR which does not understand the messages, it MUST drop them and MUST NOT send any message back to the initiator.

3. Proxy MPLS Echo Request / Reply Procedures

3.1. Procedures for the initiator

The initiator creates an MPLS Proxy Ping request message.

The message MUST contain a Target FEC Stack that describes the FEC being tested. The topmost FEC in the target FEC stack is used at the Proxy LSR to lookup the MPLS label stack that will be used to encapsulate the MPLS Echo Request packet.

The MPLS Proxy Ping Request message MUST contain a Proxy Echo Parameters TLV. In that TLV, the address type is set to either IPv4 or IPv6. The Destination IP Address is set to the value to be used in the MPLS Echo Request packet. If the Address Type is IPv4, an address is from the range 127/8. If the Address Type is IPv6, an address is from the range ::FFFF:7F00:0/104.

The Reply mode and Global Flags of the Proxy Echo Parameters TLV are set to the values to be used in the MPLS Echo Request message header. The Source UDP Port is set to the value to be used in the MPLS Echo Request (the source port is supplied by the Proxy Ping initiator because it or a node known to it handles the LSP ping responses). The TTL is set to the value to be used in the outgoing MPLS label stack. See Section 5.1 for further details.

If the FEC's Upstream/Downstream Neighbor address information is required, the initiator sets the "Request for FEC neighbor information" Proxy Flags in the Proxy Echo Parameters TLV.

If a Downstream Detailed or Downstream Mapping TLV is required in an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply, the initiator sets the "Request for Downstream Detailed Mapping" or "Request for Downstream Mapping" Proxy Flags in the Proxy Echo Parameters TLV. Only one of the two flags can be set.

The Proxy Request reply mode is set with one of the reply modes defined in $\left[\frac{RFC4379}{2}\right]$ as appropriate.

A list of Next Hop IP Addresses MAY be included to limit the next hops towards which the MPLS Echo Request message will be sent. These are encoded as Next Hop sub-TLVs and included in the Proxy Echo

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 7]

Parameters TLV.

Although not explicitly spelled out in [RFC4379], LSP Ping packets can be formed to a desired size using a Pad TLV and then used to test the Maximum Transmission Unit (MTU) of an LSP. When testing an LSPs MTU, if the message is transported as an IP datagram, the IP header DF bit MUST be set to prevent IP fragmentation by the IP forwarding layer. The Proxy Echo Parameter TLV MPLS payload size field is defined for this purpose and may be set to request that the MPLS Echo Request (including any IP and UDP header) be zero padded to the specified size. When a non zero MPLS payload size is specified, the Proxy LSR introduces a Pad TLV to build the MPLS Echo Request packet, so in this case, the Proxy Ping Request MUST NOT include a Pad TLV.

Any of following TLVs MAY be included; these TLVs are used to form the MPLS Echo Request messages by the Proxy LSR:

Pad

Vendor Enterprise Number

Reply TOS Byte

P2MP Responder Identifier [RFC6425]

Echo Jitter TLV [RFC6425]

Vendor Private TLVs

Downstream Detailed Mapping (DDMAP) or Downstream Mapping (DSMAP) TLVs MAY be included. These TLVs will be matched to the next hop address for inclusion in those particular MPLS Echo Request messages.

The message is then encapsulated in a UDP packet. The source User Datagram Protocol (UDP) port for the MPLS Proxy Ping Request message is chosen by the initiator; the destination UDP port is set to 3503. The IP header is set as follows: the source IP address is a routable address of the initiator; the destination IP address is a routable address to the Proxy LSR. The packet is then sent with the IP TTL set to 255.

3.2. Procedures for the Proxy LSR

A Proxy LSR that receives an MPLS Proxy Ping Request message, parses the packet to ensure that it is a well-formed packet. It checks that the TLVs that are not marked "Ignore" are understood. If any part of the message is malformed, it sets the Return Code to "Malformed echo request received". If all the TLVs are well formed and any TLVs are

not understood, the Return Code is set to "TLV not understood". The Subcode is set to zero for both cases.

If the Reply Mode of the message header is not 1(Do not reply), an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply message SHOULD be sent as described below.

If the Return Code is "TLV not understood", no more processing of the MPLS Proxy Ping Request message is required. The Proxy LSR sends an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply message with an Errored TLVs TLV containing all the not understood TLVs (only).

The Proxy LSR checks that the MPLS Proxy Ping Request message did not arrive via one of its exception processing paths. Packets arriving via IP TTL expiry, IP destination address set to a Martian address or label ttl expiry MUST be treated as "Unauthorized" packets. An MPLS Proxy Ping Reply message MAY be sent with a Return Code of <TBA-7>, "Proxy Ping not authorized".

The header fields Sender's Handle and Sequence Number are not examined, but they are included in the MPLS Proxy Ping Reply or MPLS Echo Request message, if either is sent as a direct result of the received message.

The Proxy LSR validates that it has a label mapping for the specified FEC, it then determines if it is an ingress, egress, transit or bud node and sets the Return Code as appropriate. A new Return Code of <TBA-10>, "Replying router has FEC mapping for topmost FEC" has been defined for the case where the Proxy LSR is an ingress (for example head of the TE tunnel or a transit router) because the existing RFC4379 Return Codes don't match the situation. For example, when a Proxy LSR is a transit router, it's not appropriate for the Return Code to describe how the packet would transit because the MPLS Proxy Ping Request doesn't contain information about what input interface the MPLS Echo Request would be switched from at the Proxy LSR.

The Proxy LSR then determines if it is authorized to send the specified MPLS Echo Request on behalf of the initiator. A Proxy LSR MUST be capable of filtering addresses to validate initiators. Other filters on FECs or MPLS Echo Request contents MAY be applied. If a configured filter has been invoked and an address does not pass the filter, then an MPLS Echo Request message MUST NOT be sent, and the event SHOULD be logged. An MPLS Proxy Ping Reply message MAY be sent with a Return Code of <TBA-7>, "Proxy Ping not authorized".

The destination address specified in the Proxy Echo Parameters TLV is checked to ensure that it conforms to the address allowed IPv4 or IPv6 address range. If not, the Return Code set to "Malformed echo request received" and the Subcode set to zero. If the Reply Mode of

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 9]

the message header is not 1, an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply message SHOULD be sent as described below.

If the "Request for FEC Neighbor Address info" flag is set, the Upstream Neighbor Address and Downstream Neighbor Address TLVs are formatted for inclusion in the MPLS Proxy Ping reply. If the Upstream or Downstream address is unknown, the corresponding TLV is omitted.

If there are Next Hop sub-TLVs in the Proxy Echo Parameters TLV, each address is examined to determine if it is a valid next hop for this FEC. If any are not, Proxy Echo Parameters TLV SHOULD be updated removing unrecognized Next Hop sub-TLVs. The updated Proxy Echo Parameters TLV MUST be included in the MPLS Proxy Ping Reply.

If the "Request for Downstream Detailed Mapping" or "Request for Downstream Mapping" flag is set, the Proxy LSR formats (for inclusion in the MPLS Proxy Ping Reply) a Downstream Detailed/Downstream Mapping (DD/DSMAP) TLV for each interface over which the MPLS Echo Request will be sent.

If the Proxy LSR is the egress for the FEC, the behavior of the Proxy LSR varies depending on whether the node is an egress of a P2P LSP, a P2MP LSP or MP2MP LSP. Additional details can be found in the section describing "Handling when Proxy LSR it is egress for FEC".

If the Reply Mode of the MPLS Proxy Ping Request message header is "1 - do not reply", no MPLS Proxy Ping Reply is sent. Otherwise an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply message or MPLS Echo Request SHOULD be sent as described below.

3.2.1. Proxy LSR Handling when it is egress for FEC

This section describes the different behaviors for the Proxy LSR when it's the egress for the FEC. In the P2MP budnode and MP2MP budnode and egress cases, different behavior is required.

In the case where an LSP ping is originated from P2MP/MP2MP bud node/egress, MPLS Echo Replies are returned from downstream/upstream routers and won't include an MPLS Echo Reply from the node that originated the MPLS Echo Requests. This section describes the behavior required at the bud/egress node to return information not returned by MPLS Echo Replies in the Proxy Echo Reply so that there is no additional changes required in the [RFC4379] implementations. The Proxy Initiator should receive the same MPLS Echo Replies as in the case where of the originator of the LSP ping, any additional information such as the Proxy LSR being a bud node/egress is returned in the MPLS Proxy Ping Reply.

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 10]

When the Proxy LSR is the egress of a P2P FEC, an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply SHOULD be sent to the initiator with the Return Code set to 3, "Reply router is egress for FEC", with Return Subcode set to 0.

When the Proxy LSR is the egress of a P2MP FEC, it can be either a budnode or just an egress. If the Proxy LSR is a budnode, an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply SHOULD be sent to the initiator with the Return Code set to 3, "Reply router is egress for FEC", with Return Subcode set to 0 and DS/DDMAPs only if the Proxy initiator requested information to be returned in an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply. If the Proxy LSR is a budnode but not requested to return an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply, the Proxy LSR SHOULD send MPLS Echo Request packet(s) to the downstream neighbors (no MPLS Echo Reply is sent to the Proxy Initiator to indicate that the Proxy LSR is an egress). If the Proxy LSR is just an egress, an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply SHOULD be sent to the initiator with the Return Code set to 3, "Reply router is egress for FEC", and Return Subcode set to 0.

When the Proxy LSR is the egress of a MP2MP FEC, it can be either a budnode or just an egress. LSP pings sent from a leaf of a MP2MP have different behavior in this case. MPLS Echo Requests are sent to all upstream/downstream neighbors. The Proxy LSRs need to be consistent with this variation in behavior. If the Proxy LSR is a budnode or just an egress, an MPLS proxy ping reply SHOULD be sent to the Proxy Initiator with the return code set to 3, "Reply router is Egress for FEC", with Return Subcode set to 0 and DS/DDMAPs included only if the Proxy initiator requested information to be returned in a MPLS Proxy Ping Reply. If the Proxy LSR is not requested to return information in an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply, the Proxy LSR SHOULD send MPLS Echo Request packets to all upstream/downstream neighbors as would be done when sourcing an LSP ping from a MP2MP leaf (no MPLS Echo Reply is sent to the Proxy initiator indicating that the Proxy LSR is an egress).

3.2.2. Downstream Detailed/Downstream Maps in Proxy Reply

When the Proxy LSR is a transit or bud node, downstream maps corresponding to how the packet is transited cannot be supplied unless an ingress interface for the MPLS Echo Request is specified. Since this information is not available and all valid output paths are of interest, the Proxy LSR SHOULD include DS/DDMAP(s) to describe the entire set of paths that the packet can be replicated. This is similar to the case in which an LSP ping is initiated at the Proxy LSR. For mLDP, there is a DSMAP/DDMAP per upstream/downstream neighbor for MP2MP LSPs, or per downstream neighbor in the P2MP LSP case.

When the Proxy LSR is a bud node or egress in an MP2MP LSP or a

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 11]

budnode in a P2MP LSP, an LSP ping initiated from the Proxy LSR would source packets only to the neighbors but not itself, despite the fact that the Proxy LSR is itself an egress for the FEC. In order to match the behavior as seen from LSP Ping initiated at the Proxy LSR, the Proxy Reply SHOULD contain DSMAP/DDMAPs for only the paths to the upstream/downstream neighbors, but no DSMAP/DDMAP describing its own egress paths. The proxy LSR identifies that it's an egress for the FEC using a different Proxy Reply Return Code. The Proxy reply Return Code is either set to "Reply router has a mapping for the topmost FEC" or "Reply router is egress for the FEC".

3.2.3. Sending an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply

The Reply mode, Sender's Handle and Sequence Number fields are copied from the Proxy Ping Request message. The TLVs specified above are included. The message is encapsulated in a UDP packet. The source IP address is a routable address of the Proxy LSR; the source port is the well-known UDP port for LSP ping. The destination IP address and UDP port are copied from the source IP address and UDP port of the MPLS Proxy Ping Request. The IP TTL is set to 255.

3.2.4. Sending the MPLS Echo Requests

An MPLS Echo Request is formed as described in the next section. The section below that describes how the MPLS Echo Request is sent on each interface.

3.2.4.1. Forming the base MPLS Echo Request

If Next Hop sub-TLVs were included in the received Proxy Echo Parameters TLV, the Next_Hop_List is created from the addresses in those sub-TLVs adjusted as described in <u>Section 3.2</u>. Otherwise, the list is set to all the next hops to which the FEC would be forwarded.

The Proxy LSR then formats an MPLS Echo Request message. The Global Flags and Reply Mode are copied from the Proxy Echo Parameters TLV. The Return Code and Return Subcode are set to zero.

The Sender's Handle and Sequence Number are copied from the remote echo request message.

The TimeStamp Sent is set to the time-of-day (in seconds and microseconds) that the MPLS Echo Request is sent. The TimeStamp Received is set to zero.

If the reply-to address TLV is present, it is used to set the echo request source address; otherwise the echo request source address is set to the Proxy Request source address.

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 12]

The following TLVs are copied from the MPLS Proxy Ping Request message. Note that of these, only the Target FEC Stack is REQUIRED to appear in the MPLS Proxy Ping Request message. The Pad TLV is not copied if the Proxy Echo Parameter TLV MPLS payload size is set to a non zero value.

Target FEC Stack

Pad

Vendor Enterprise Number

Reply TOS Byte

P2MP Responder Identifier [RFC6425]

Echo Jitter TLV [RFC6425]

Vendor Private TLVs

If the Proxy Echo Parameter TLV MPLS payload size is non zero, the Proxy LSR introduces a Pad TLV such that size of the MPLS Echo Request (including any IP and UDP header) is zero padded to the specified MPLS payload size. The First Octet in Value part of the Pad TLV is set to 1, "Drop Pad TLV from Reply", the remaining Octets of the Value part of the Pad TLV is filled with zeros. If IP header is use to encapsulate in the MPLS Echo Request the DF bit MUST be set to one.

The message is then encapsulated in a UDP packet. The source UDP port is copied from the Proxy Echo Parameters TLV. The destination port is copied from the proxy ping request message.

The source IP address is set to a routable address specified in the reply-to-address TLV or the source address of the received Proxy Request. Per usual the TTL of the IP packet is set to 1.

If the Explicit Differentiated Services Code Point (DSCP) flag is set, the Requested DSCP byte is examined. If the setting is permitted then the DSCP byte of the IP header of the MPLS Echo Request message is set to that value. If the Proxy LSR does not permit explicit control for the DSCP byte, the MPLS Proxy Echo Parameters with the Explicit DSCP flag cleared MUST be included in any MPLS Proxy Ping Reply message to indicate why an MPLS Echo Request was not sent. The Return Code MUST be set to <TBA-8>, "Proxy Ping parameters need to be modified". If the Explicit DSCP flag is not set, the Proxy LSR SHOULD set the MPLS Echo Request DSCP settings to the value normally used to source LSP ping packets..

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 13]

3.2.4.2. Per interface sending procedures

The Proxy LSR now iterates through the Next Hop List modifying the base MPLS Echo Request to form the MPLS Echo Request packet which is then sent on that particular interface.

The outgoing label stack is determined for each next hop address. The TTL for the label corresponding to the FEC specified in the FEC stack is set such that the TTL on the wire will be other TTL specified in the Proxy Echo Parameters. If any additional labels are pushed onto the stack, their TTLs are set to 255. This will ensure that the requestor will not have control over tunnels not relevant to the FEC being tested.

If the MPLS Proxy Ping Request message contained Downstream Mapping/ Downstream Detailed Mapping TLVs, they are examined. If the Downstream IP Address matches the next hop address, that Downstream Mapping TLV is included in the MPLS Echo Request.

The packet is then transmitted on this interface.

4. Proxy Ping Request / Reply Messages

This document defines two new LSP Ping messages, the MPLS Proxy Ping Request and the MPLS Proxy Ping Reply.

4.1. Proxy Ping Request / Reply Message formats

The packet format is as defined in [RFC4379]. Two new message types, Proxy Ping Request and Reply, are being added.

Message Type

Type Message ---------

TBA-1 MPLS Proxy Ping Request (Pending IANA assignment)

TBA-2 MPLS Proxy Ping Reply (Pending IANA assignment)

4.2. Proxy Ping Request Message contents

The MPLS Proxy Ping Request message MAY contain the following TLVs:

> Type TLV _____

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 14]

- Target FEC Stack 2 Downstream Mapping 3 Vendor Enterprise Number 5 Reply TOS Byte 10 11 P2MP Responder Identifier [RFC6425] 12 Echo Jitter TLV [RFC6425] Downstream Detailed Mapping 20 21 Reply Path [RFC7110] Reply TC [RFC7110] 22 TBA-3 Proxy Echo Parameters (Pending IANA assignment) TBA-4 Reply-to-Address TLV Vendor Private TLVs
- * TLVs types in the Vendor Private TLV Space MUST be ignored if not understood

4.3. Proxy Ping Reply Message Contents

The MPLS Proxy Ping Reply message MAY contain the following TLVs:

Type	TLV
1	Target FEC Stack
2	Downstream Mapping
5	Vendor Enterprise Number
9	Errored TLVs
20	Downstream Detailed Mapping
TBA-3	Proxy Echo Parameters (Pending IANA assignment)
TBA-5	Upstream Neighbor Address (Pending IANA assignment)
TBA-6	Downstream Neighbor Address (0 or more)
	(Pending IANA assignment)
*	Vendor Private TLVs

^{*} TLVs types in the Vendor Private TLV Space MUST be ignored if not understood

5. TLV formats

5.1. Proxy Echo Parameters TLV

The Proxy Echo Parameters TLV is a TLV that MUST be included in an MPLS Proxy Ping Request message. The length of the TLV is 12 + K + S, where K is the length of the Destination IP Address field and S is

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 15]

the total length of the sub-TLVs. The Proxy Echo Parameters TLV can be used either to 1) control attributes used in composing and sending an MPLS Echo Request or 2) query the Proxy LSR for information about the topmost FEC in the target FEC stack, but not both. In the case where the Proxy LSR is being queried (i.e., information needs to be returned in an MPLS Proxy Ping Reply), no MPLS Echo Request will be sent from the Proxy LSR. The MPLS Proxy Ping Request echo header's Reply Mode SHOULD be set to "Reply with Proxy Info".

0	1	2	2	3
0 1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3	4 5 6 7 8 9 0	9 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	0 1
+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+	-+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+
Address Ty	/pe Reply mode	e 1	Proxy Flags	
+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+	-+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+
TTL	Rqst'd DSC	P S	Source UDP Port	
+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+	-+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+
G]	lobal Flags	MF	PLS Payload size	
+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+	-+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+
1				
:	Destin	ation IP Addı	ress	:
1				
+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-+	-+-+-+-+-+	-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+
1				
:				:
:	;	Sub-TLVs		:
:				:
1				
+-+-+-+-+-	·-+-+-+	-+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+

Address Type

The type and length of the address found in the in the Destination IP Address and Next Hop IP Addresses fields. The values are shared with the Downstream Mapping Address Type Registry.

The type codes applicable in this case appear in the table below:

Address Family	Туре	Length
IPv4	1	4
IPv6	3	16

Reply mode

The reply mode to be sent in the MPLS Echo Request message; the values are as specified in [RFC4379].

Proxy Flags

The Proxy Request Initiator sets zero, one or more of these flags to request actions at the Proxy LSR.

0x01 Request for FEC Neighbor Address info

When set, this requests that the Proxy LSR supply the Upstream and Downstream neighbor address information in the MPLS Proxy Ping Reply message. This flag is only applicable for the topmost FEC in the FEC stack if the FEC type corresponds with a P2MP or MP2MP LSP. The Proxy LSR MUST respond as applicable with Upstream Neighbor Address and Downstream Neighbor Address TLV(s) in the MPLS proxy ping reply message. The Upstream Neighbor Address TLV needs be included only if there is an upstream neighbor. Similarly, one Downstream Neighbor Address TLV needs to be included for each Downstream Neighbor from which the LSR learned bindings.

Setting this flag will cause the Proxy LSR to cancel sending any MPLS Echo Request. The initiator may use information learned from the MPLS proxy ping reply that is sent instead to generate subsequent proxy requests.

0x02 Request for Downstream Mapping

When set, this requests that the Proxy LSR supply a Downstream Mapping TLV see [RFC4379] in the MPLS Proxy Ping Reply message. Either this flag may be set or the Request for Downstream Detailed Mapping flag may be set, but not both.

Setting this flag will cause the Proxy LSR to cancel sending an Echo request. Information learned with such Proxy Reply may be used by the Proxy initiator to generate subsequent Proxy Requests.

0x04 Request for Downstream Detailed Mapping

When set, this requests that the Proxy LSR supply a Downstream Detailed Mapping TLV see [RFC6424] in the MPLS Proxy Ping Reply message. It's not valid to have Request for Downstream Mapping flag set when this flag is set. Setting this flag will cause the Proxy LSR to cancel sending an Echo request. The initiator may use information learned from the MPLS proxy ping reply that is sent instead to generate subsequent proxy requests.

0x08 Explicit DSCP Request

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 17]

When set, this requests that the Proxy LSR use the supplied "Rqst'd DSCP" byte in the Echo Request message

TTL

The TTL to be used in the label stack entry corresponding to the topmost FEC in the in the MPLS Echo Request packet. Valid values are in the range [1,255]. A setting of 0 SHOULD be ignored by the Proxy LSR.

Requested DSCP

This field is valid only if the Explicit DSCP flag is set. If not set, the field MUST be zero on transmission and ignored on receipt. When the flag is set, this field contains the DSCP value to be used in the MPLS Echo Request packet IP header.

Source UDP Port

The source UDP port to be sent in the MPLS Echo Request packet

Global Flags

The Global Flags to be sent in the MPLS Echo Request message

MPLS Payload Size

Used to request that the MPLS payload (IP header + UDP header + MPLS Echo Request) be padded using a zero filled Pad TLV so that the IP header, UDP header and MPLS Echo Request total the specified size. Field set to zero means no size request is being made. If the requested size is less than the minimum size required to form the MPLS Echo Request, the request will be treated as a best effort request with the Proxy LSR building the smallest possible packet (i.e. not using a Pad TLV). The IP header DF bit MUST be set when this field is non zero.

Destination IP Address

If the Address Type is IPv4, an address from the range 127/8; If the Address Type is IPv6, an address from the range ::FFFF:7F00:0/104

Sub-TI Vs

List of TLV-encoded sub-TLVs. Currently one is defined.

Sub-TLV Type Length Value Field

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 18]

<tba-13></tba-13>	8+	Next Hop

5.1.1. Next Hop sub-TLV

This sub-TLV is used to describe a particular next hop towards which the Echo Request packet should be sent. If the topmost FEC in the FEC-stack is a multipoint LSP, this sub-TLV may appear multiple times.

0	1	2	3
0 1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5	6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4	5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+	-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-+
Addr Type		MUST be Zero	
+-+-+-+-+-+	-+-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+
1	Next Hop IP Addres	ss (4 or 16 octets	;)
+-+-+-+-+-+	-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-+
1	Next Hop Interface	(0, 4 or 16 octe	ts)
+-+-+-+-+-+	-+-+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-+

Address Type

Туре	Type of Next Hop	Addr Length	IF Length
1	IPv4 Numbered	4	4
2	IPv4 Unnumbered	4	4
3	IPv6 Numbered	16	16
4	IPv6 Unnumbered	16	4
TBA-11	IPv4 Protocol Adj	j 4	0
TBA-12	IPv6 Protocol Adj	j 16	Θ

Note: Types 1-4 correspond to the types in the DS Mapping TLV. They are expected to populated with information obtained through a previously returned DS Mapping TLV. Types <TBA-11> and <TBA-12> are intended to be populated from the local address information obtained from a previously returned Downstream Neighbor Address TLV(s)/Upstream Neighbor Address TLV.

Next Hop IP Address

A next hop address that the echo request message is to be sent towards

Next Hop Interface

Identifier of the interface through which the echo request message is to be sent. For Addr Type 5, and 6, the Next Hop

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 19]

interface field isn't used and MUST be of an associated byte length of "0" octets.

<u>5.2</u>. Reply-to Address TLV

Used to specify the MPLS Echo Request IP source address. This address MUST be IP reachable via the Proxy LSR; otherwise it will be rejected.

Address Type

A type code as specified in the table below:

Type Type of Address

1 IPv4
3 IPv6

5.3. Upstream Neighbor Address TLV

Upst Addr Type; Local Addr Type

These two fields determine the type and length of the respective addresses. The codes are specified in the table

below:

Туре	Type of Address	Length
0	No Address Supplied	0
1	IPv4	4
3	IPv6	16

Upstream Address

The address of the immediate upstream neighbor for the topmost FEC in the FEC stack. If protocol adjacency exists by which the label for this FEC was exchanged, this address MUST be the address used in that protocol exchange.

Local Address

The local address used in the protocol adjacency exists by which the label for this FEC was exchanged.

<u>5.4</u>. Downstream Neighbor Address TLV

0 1		2	3
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	0 1
+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+
Dnst Addr Type Local Ad	ddr Type	MUST be Zero	
+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+
: Dow	wnstream Address		:
1			
+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+
:	Local Address		:
+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-	+-+-+-+-+-+-	-+-+-+

Dnst Addr Type; Local Addr Type

These two fields determine the type and length of the respective addresses. The codes are specified in the table below:

Туре	Type of Address	Length
0	No Address Supplied	0
1	IPv4	4
3	IPv6	16

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 21]

Downstream Address

The address of a immediate downstream neighbor for the topmost FEC in the FEC stack. If protocol adjacency exists by which the label for this FEC was exchanged, this address MUST be the address used in that protocol exchange.

Local Address

The local address used in the protocol adjacency exists by which the label for this FEC was exchanged.

6. Security Considerations

The mechanisms described in this document are intended to be used within a Service Provider network and to be initiated only under the authority of that administration.

If such a network also carries Internet traffic, or permits IP access from other administrations, MPLS proxy ping message SHOULD be discarded at the points that where the IP packet is received from the other administration. This can be accomplished by filtering on source address or by filtering all MPLS ping messages on UDP port.

Any node which acts as a Proxy node SHOULD validate requests against a set of valid source addresses. An implementation MUST provide such filtering capabilities.

MPLS Proxy Ping Request messages are IP addressed directly to the Proxy node. If a node receives an MPLS Proxy Ping message via IP or Label TTL expiration, it MUST NOT be acted upon.

If an MPLS Proxy Ping Request IP source address is not IP reachable by the Proxy LSR, the Proxy Request MUST NOT be acted upon.

MPLS Proxy Ping Requests are limited to making their request via the specification of a FEC. This ensures that only valid MPLS Echo Request messages can be created. No label spoofing attacks are possible.

7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Nobo Akiya, Adrian Farrel, Tom Taylor and Warren Kumari for their detailed review and insightful comments.

8. IANA Considerations

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 22]

This document makes the following assignments (pending IANA action)

MPLS LSP Ping Message Types

Туре	Value Field
TBA-1	MPLS Proxy Ping Request
TBA-2	MPLS Proxy Ping Reply

TLVs

Туре	Value Field
TBA-3	Proxy Echo Parameters
TBA-4	Reply-to Address
TBA-5	Upstream Neighbor Address
TBA-6	Downstream Neighbor Address

Return Code [pending IANA assignment]

Value	Meaning
TBA-7	Proxy Ping not authorized.
TBA-8	Proxy Ping parameters need to be modified.
TBA-9	MPLS Echo Request Could not be sent.
TBA-10	Replying router has FEC mapping for topmost FEC.

Downstream Address Mapping Registry [pending IANA assignment]

Value	Meaning	
TBA-11	IPv4 Protocol Adj	
TBA-12	IPv6 Protocol Adi	

8.1. Proxy Echo Parameters Sub-TLVs

The IANA will create and maintain this new registry for Proxy Echo Parameters Sub-TLV's. Assignments will use the same rules spelled out in sec 7.2 of [RFC4379].

Sub-TLV Type	Meaning
0	Reserved
TBA-13	Next Hop

9. References

9.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
- [RFC4379] Kompella, K. and G. Swallow, "Detecting Multi-Protocol Label Switched (MPLS) Data Plane Failures", <u>RFC 4379</u>, February 2006.
- [RFC6424] Bahadur, N., Kompella, K., and G. Swallow, "Mechanism for Performing Label Switched Path Ping (LSP Ping) over MPLS Tunnels", RFC 6424, November 2011.
- [RFC6425] Saxena, S., Swallow, G., Ali, Z., Farrel, A., Yasukawa, S., and T. Nadeau, "Detecting Data-Plane Failures in Point-to-Multipoint MPLS - Extensions to LSP Ping", RFC 6425, November 2011.
- [RFC7110] Chen, M., Cao, W., Ning, S., Jounay, F., and Delord, S., "Return Path Specified Label Switched Path (LSP) Ping", RFC 7110, January 2014.

9.2. Informative References

- [RFC4875] Aggarwal, R., Papadimitriou, D., and S. Yasukawa,
 "Extensions to Resource Reservation Protocol Traffic
 Engineering (RSVP-TE) for Point-to-Multipoint TE Label
 Switched Paths (LSPs)", RFC 4875, May 2007.
- [RFC6388] Wijnands, IJ., Minei, I., Kompella, K., and B. Thomas,
 "Label Distribution Protocol Extensions for Point-to Multipoint and Multipoint-to-Multipoint Label Switched
 Paths", RFC 6388, November 2011.

Authors' Addresses

George Swallow Cisco Systems 1414 Massachusetts Ave Boxborough, MA 01719 USA

Email: swallow@cisco.com

Swallow, et al. Expires August 30, 2015 [Page 24]

Vanson Lim Cisco Systems 1414 Massachusetts Avenue Boxborough, MA 01719 USA

Email: vlim@cisco.com

Sam Aldrin Huawei Technologies 2330 Central Express Way Santa Clara, CA 95951 USA

Email: aldrin.ietf@gmail.com