Network Working Group

Thomas D. Nadeau Cisco Systems, Inc.

Expires: October 2001

Joan Cucchiara

Cheenu Srinivasan Tachion Networks, Inc.

Arun Viswanathan Force10 Networks, Inc.

Hans Sjostrand ipUnplugged

April 2001

# Definitions of Textual Conventions and OBJECT-IDENTITIES for Multi-Protocol Label Switching Management

draft-nadeau-mpls-tc-mib-00.txt

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of <u>Section 10 of RFC 2026</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html">http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</a>.

#### Table of Contents

| 1.         | Abstract                      | 2 |
|------------|-------------------------------|---|
| 2.         | Introduction                  | 2 |
| _          | Terminology                   |   |
|            | The SNMP Management Framework |   |
| <u>5</u> . | Definitions                   | 3 |

| <u>7</u> . | References. | <br>            |      |          |
|------------|-------------|-----------------|------|----------|
|            |             |                 |      |          |
| Nadea      | au et al.   | Expires October | 2001 | [Page 1] |

Security Considerations..... $\underline{9}$ 

<u>6</u>.

| <u>8</u> . | Authors' Addresses <u>1</u>       | 2 |
|------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| <u>9</u> . | Full Copyright Statement <u>1</u> | 2 |

#### 1. Abstract

This memo describes Textual Conventions and OBJECT-IDENTITIES used for managing MPLS networks.

#### 2. Introduction

This memo defines a portion of the Management Information Base (MIB) for use with network management protocols in the Internet community. In particular, it defines Textual Conventions used in IETF MPLS and MPLS-related MIBs.

Comments should be made directly to the MPLS mailing list at mpls@uu.net.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119, reference [BCP14].

For an introduction to the concepts of MPLS, see [MPLSArch].

# Terminology

This document uses terminology from the document describing the MPLS architecture [MPLSArch].

#### 4. The SNMP Management Framework

The SNMP Management Framework presently consists of five major components:

- An overall architecture, described in RFC 2571 [RFC2571].
- Mechanisms for describing and naming objects and events for the purpose of management. The first version of this Structure of Management Information (SMI) is called SMIv1 and described in STD 16, RFC 1155 [RFC1155], STD 16, RFC 1212 [RFC1212] and RFC 1215 [RFC1215]. The second version, called SMIv2, is described in STD 58, RFC 2578 [RFC2578], STD 58, RFC 2579 [RFC2579] and STD 58, RFC 2580 [RFC2580].
- o Message protocols for transferring management information. The first version of the SNMP message protocol is called SNMPv1 and described in STD 15, RFC 1157 [RFC1157]. A second version of

the SNMP message protocol, which is not an Internet standards track protocol, is called SNMPv2c and described in  ${\underline{\tt RFC~1901}}$ 

Nadeau et al. Expires October 2001

[Page 2]

[RFC1901] and RFC 1906 [RFC1906]. The third version of the message protocol is called SNMPv3 and described in RFC 1906 [RFC1906], RFC 2572 [RFC2572] and RFC 2574 [RFC2574].

- Protocol operations for accessing management information. The first set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in STD 15, <u>RFC 1157</u> [<u>RFC1157</u>]. A second set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in <u>RFC 1905</u> [<u>RFC1905</u>].
- o A set of fundamental applications described in <a href="RFC 2573">RFC 2573</a>] and the view-based access control mechanism described in <a href="RFC 2575">RFC 2575</a> [RFC2575].

A more detailed introduction to the current SNMP Management Framework can be found in <a href="https://rec.2570">RFC 2570</a> [RFC2570].

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. Objects in the MIB are defined using the mechanisms defined in the SMI.

This memo specifies a MIB module that is compliant to the SMIv2. A MIB conforming to the SMIv1 can be produced through the appropriate translations. The resulting translated MIB must be semantically equivalent, except where objects or events are omitted because no translation is possible (use of Counter64). Some machine readable information in SMIv2 will be converted into textual descriptions in SMIv1 during the translation process. However, this loss of machine readable information is not considered to change the semantics of the MIB.

#### Definitions

Nadeau et al. Expires October 2001

[Page 3]

Joan Cucchiara jcucchiara@mindspring.com

Cheenu Srinivasan Tachion Networks, Inc. cheenu@tachion.com

Arun Viswanathan Force10 Networks, Inc. arun@force10networks.com

Hans Sjostrand ipUnplugged hans@ipunplugged.com

Working Group Mailing List: mpls@uu.net"

#### DESCRIPTION

"This MIB Module provides Textual Conventions and OBJECT-IDENTITY Objects to be used by MPLS networks."

-- Revision history.

REVISION "200104101200Z" -- 10 April 2001 12:00:00 GMT

DESCRIPTION

"Initial version."

::= { mplsMIB 1 } -- mplsMIB To Be Assigned by IANA

# mplsMIB OBJECT IDENTIFIER

::= { transmission xxx } -- To be assigned by IANA
-- Since mpls is ifType: 166
-- we recommend xxx to be 166

-- The Textual Conventions defined below are organized -- alphabetically

"An estimate of bandwidth in units of 1,000 bits per second. If this object reports a value of 'n' then the rate of the object is somewhere in the range of 'n-500' to 'n+499'. For objects which do not vary in bit rate, or for those where no accurate estimation can be made, this object should contain the nominal bit rate."

Nadeau et al. Expires October 2001 [Page 4]

MplsBurstSize ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

DISPLAY-HINT "d" STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The number of octets of MPLS data that the stream may send back-to-back without concern for policing." SYNTAX Unsigned32 (1..4294967295)

MplsExtendedTunnelId ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

**DESCRIPTION** 

"A unique identifier for an MPLS Tunnel. This MAY represent an IpV4 address of the ingress or egress LSR for the tunnel. This value is derived from the Extended Tunnel Id in RSVP or the Ingress Router ID for CR-LDP."

SYNTAX Unsigned32

#### REFERENCE

- "1. Awduche, D., et al., RSVP-TE: Extensions to RSVP
  for LSP Tunnels,
   draft-ietf-mpls-rsvp-lsp-tunnel-08.txt,
   February 2001.
- 2. Constraint-Based LSP Setup using LDP, Jamoussi,
  B., et al., draft-ietf-mpls-cr-ldp-05.txt,
  February 2001."

MplsLabel ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

#### DESCRIPTION

"This value represents an MPLS label. The label contents are specific to the label being represented.

The label carried in an MPLS shim header (for LDP, the Generic Label) is a 20-bit number represented by 4 octets. Bits 0-19 contain a label or a reserved label value. Bits 20-31 MUST be zero.

The frame relay label can be represented by either 10-bits or 23-bits depending on the DLCI field size and the upper 22-bits or upper 9-bits must be zero, respectively.

For an ATM label the lower 16-bits represents the VCI, the next 12-bits represents the VPI and the remaining

Nadeau et al. Expires October 2001 [Page 5]

```
bits MUST be zero."
   REFERENCE
        "1. MPLS Label Stack Encoding, Rosen et al, RFC 3032,
            January 2001.
         2. Use of Label Switching on Frame Relay Networks,
            Conta et al, <u>RFC 3034</u>, January 2001.
         3. MPLS using LDP and ATM VC switching, Davie et al,
            RFC 3035, January 2001."
   SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0..4294967295)
-- A similar TC is also used in RFC2677.txt. NOTE: since
-- MPLS's goal is to be any layer2 over any layer3, this
-- MIB makes every attempt to define a TC which would
-- satisfy L2 and L3 address sizes for now and in
-- the future.
MplsLdpGenAddr ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
   STATUS
             current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The value of an network layer or data link
         layer address."
   SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (0..64))
MplsLdpIdentifier ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    STATUS
               current
    DESCRIPTION
         "The LDP identifier is a six octet quantity
          which is used to identify an Label Switch Router
          (LSR) label space.
          The first four octets encode an IP address
          assigned to the LSR, and the last two octets
          identify a specific label space within the LSR."
    SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (6))
MplsLdpLabelTypes ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    STATUS
               current
    DESCRIPTION
         "The Layer 2 label types which are defined for
          MPLS LDP/CRLDP are generic(1), atm(2), or
          frameRelay(3)."
    SYNTAX INTEGER {
                     generic(1),
                     atm(2),
                     frameRelay(3)
```

Nadeau et al. Expires October 2001

[Page 6]

```
-- This was taken from <a href="rfc2514">rfc2514</a>.txt (AtmVcIdentifier) and
```

- -- modified here for MPLS.
- -- This TC agrees with "MPLS using LDP and ATM VC Switching"
- -- document which specifies that VC values need
- -- to be greater than 31, or in other words, 0-31 are
- -- reserved for other uses by the ITU and ATM Forum.

# MplsAtmVcIdentifier ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION STATUS current

"The VCI value for a VCL. The maximum VCI value cannot exceed the value allowable by atmInterfaceMaxVciBits defined in ATM-MIB. The minimum value is 32, values 0 to 31 are reserved for other uses by the ITU and ATM Forum. 32 is typically the default value for the Control VC."

SYNTAX Integer32 (32..65535)

# MplsLSPID ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

DESCRIPTION

"An identifier that is assigned to each LSP and is used to uniquely identify it. This is assigned at the head end of the LSP and can be used by all LSRs to identify this LSP. This value is piggybacked by the signaling protocol when this LSP is signaled within the network. This identifier can then be used at each LSR to identify which labels are being swapped to other labels for this LSP. For IPv4 addresses this results in a 6-octet long cookie."

SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (0..31))

### MplsLsrIdentifier ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

**DESCRIPTION** 

"The Label Switch Router (LSR) identifier is the first 4 bytes or the Router Id component of the Label Distribution Protocol (LDP) identifier."

SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (4))

MplsInitialCreationSource ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"The entity that originally created the object in question. The values of this enumeration are

Nadeau et al. Expires October 2001

[Page 7]

defined as follows:

# - This is used when an entity which has not other(1) been enumerated in this textual convention but which is known by the agent. snmp(2) - The Simple Network Management Protocol was used to configure this object initially. ldp(3) - The Label Distribution Protocol was used to configure this object initially. - The Resource Reservation Protocol was used rsvp(4) to configure this object initially. - The Constraint-Based Label Distribution crldp(5) Protocol was used to configure this object initially. policyAgent(6) - A policy agent (perhaps in combination with one of the above protocols) was used to configure this object initially. unknown(7) -- the agent cannot discern which component created the object." SYNTAX INTEGER { other(1), snmp(2),1dp(3),rsvp(4), crldp(5), policyAgent(6), unknown (7) } MplsPathIndex ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION STATUS current DESCRIPTION "A unique identifier used to identify a specific path used by a tunnel." SYNTAX Unsigned32 MplsPathIndexOrZero ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION STATUS current DESCRIPTION

"A unique identifier used to identify a specific path used by a tunnel. If this value is set to 0, it

Nadeau et al. Expires October 2001

[Page 8]

```
indicates that no path is in use."
    SYNTAX Unsigned32
MplsTunnelAffinity ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    STATUS
                 current
    DESCRIPTION
         "Include-any, include-all, or exclude-all constraint
         for link selection."
    SYNTAX Unsigned32
MplsTunnelIndex ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    STATUS
                current
    DESCRIPTION
         "Index into mplsTunnelTable."
    SYNTAX Integer32 (1..65535)
MplsTunnelInstanceIndex ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    STATUS
                current
    DESCRIPTION
         "Instance index into mplsTunnelTable."
    SYNTAX Unsigned32 (0..65535)
-- End of MPLS-TC-MIB
END
```

# **6**. Security Considerations

This memo defines textual conventions and object identities for use in MPLS MIB modules. Security issues for these MIB modules are addressed in the memos defining those modules.

# 7. References

- [RFC2571] Harrington, D., Presuhn, R., and B. Wijnen, "An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management Frameworks", <u>RFC 2571</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC1155] Rose, M., and K. McCloghrie, "Structure and Identification of Management Information for TCP/IP-based Internets", STD 16, RFC 1155, May 1990.
- [RFC1212] Rose, M., and K. McCloghrie, "Concise MIB Definitions", STD

Nadeau et al. Expires October 2001 [Page 9]

- [RFC1215] M. Rose, "A Convention for Defining Traps for use with the SNMP", <u>RFC 1215</u>, March 1991.
- [RFC2578] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, RFC 2578, April 1999.
- [RFC2579] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J.,
  Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Textual Conventions for
  SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2579, April 1999.
- [RFC2580] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J.,
  Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Conformance Statements for
  SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2580, April 1999.
- [RFC1157] Case, J., Fedor, M., Schoffstall, M., and J. Davin, "Simple Network Management Protocol", STD 15, RFC 1157, May 1990.
- [RFC1901] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Introduction to Community-based SNMPv2", RFC 1901, January 1996.
- [RFC1906] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Transport Mappings for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", RFC 1906, January 1996.
- [RFC2572] Case, J., Harrington D., Presuhn R., and B. Wijnen, "Message Processing and Dispatching for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", <u>RFC 2572</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC2574] Blumenthal, U., and B. Wijnen, "User-based Security Model (USM) for version 3 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv3)", RFC 2574, April 1999.
- [RFC1905] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Protocol Operations for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", RFC 1905, January 1996.
- [RFC2573] Levi, D., Meyer, P., and B. Stewart, "SNMPv3 Applications", RFC 2573, April 1999.
- [RFC2575] Wijnen, B., Presuhn, R., and K. McCloghrie, "View-based Access Control Model (VACM) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", RFC 2575, April 1999.
- [RFC2570] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D., and B. Stewart,
  "Introduction to Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network

Nadeau et al. Expires October 2001 [Page 10]

- [MPLSArch] Rosen, E., Viswanathan, A., and R. Callon,
  "Multiprotocol Label Switching Architecture",
  RFC 3031, August 1999.
- [LblStk] Rosen, E., Rekhter, Y., Tappan, D., Farinacci, D.,
  Federokow, G., Li, T., and A. Conta, "MPLS Label
  Stack Encoding", RFC 3032, January 2001.
  label-encaps-07.txt>, September 1999.
- [LWFRN] Conta, A., Doolan, P., Malis, A., "Use of Label Switching on Frame Relay Networks Specification", RFC 3034, January 2001.
- [MLDPATM] Davie, B., Lawrence, J., McCloghrie, K., Rosen, E., Swallow, G., Rekhter, Y., Doolan, P., "MPLS using LDP and ATM VC switching", RFC 3035, January 2001.
- [Assigned] Reynolds, J., and J. Postel, "Assigned Numbers", RFC 1700, October 1994. See also: http://www.isi.edu/in-notes/iana/assignments/sminumbers
- [IPSEC] Kent, S., and Atkinson, R., "Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol", <u>RFC 2401</u>, November 1998.
- [IFMIB] McCloghrie, K., and F. Kastenholtz, "The Interfaces Group MIB", <u>RFC 2863</u>, June 2000.
- [ATOMMIBTC] Noto, et. al., "Definitions of Textual Conventions and OBJECT-IDENTITIES for ATM Management", RFC 2514, Feb. 1999
- [BCP14] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [RSVPTE] Awduche, D., Berger, L., Gan, D., Li, T., Srinivasan, V., Swallow, G., RSVP-TE: Extensions to RSVP for LSP Tunnels, <a href="mailto:draft-ietf-mpls-rsvp-lsp-tunnel-08.txt">draft-ietf-mpls-rsvp-lsp-tunnel-08.txt</a>, February 2001.
- [CRLDP] Jamoussi, B., Aboul-Magd, O., Andersson, L.,
  Ashwood-Smith, P., Hellstrand, F., Sundell, K.,
  Callon, R., Dantu, R., Wu, L., Doolan, P., Worster, T.,
  Feldman, N., Fredette, A., Girish, M., Gray, E.,
  Halpern, J., Heinanen, J., Kilty, T., Malis, A.,
  Vaananen, P., Constraint-Based LSP Setup using LDP,

Nadeau et al. Expires October 2001 [Page 11]

# 8. Authors' Addresses

Thomas D. Nadeau Cisco Systems, Inc. 250 Apollo Drive Chelmsford, MA 01824 Phone: +1-978-244-3051

Phone: +1-978-244-3051 Email: tnadeau@cisco.com

Joan Cucchiara

Phone:

Email: jcucchiara@mindspring.com

Cheenu Srinivasan
Tachion Networks, Inc.
Monmouth Park Corporate Center I
Building C, 185 Monmouth Parkway
West Long Branch, NJ 07764
Phone: +1-732-542-7750 x1234
Email: cheenu@tachion.com

Arun Viswanathan Force10 Networks, Inc. 1440 McCarthy Blvd Milpitas, CA 95035

Phone: +1-408-571-3516

Email: arun@force10networks.com

Hans Sjostrand ipUnplugged P.O. Box 101 60 S-121 28 Stockholm, Sweden

Phone: +46 8 725 5930

Email: hans@ipunplugged.com

# 9. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2001). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any

way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed

Nadeau et al. Expires October 2001 [Page 12]

for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns. This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Nadeau et al. Expires October 2001 [Page 13]