NETCONF
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: August 17, 2019

E. Voit
Cisco Systems
A. Clemm
Huawei
A. Gonzalez Prieto
Microsoft
E. Nilsen-Nygaard
A. Tripathy
Cisco Systems
February 13, 2019

Dynamic subscription to YANG Events and Datastores over NETCONF
draft-ietf-netconf-netconf-event-notifications-17

Abstract

This document provides a NETCONF binding to the dynamic subscription capability of both subscribed notifications and YANG-Push.

RFC Editor note: please replace the four references to pre-RFC normative drafts with the actual assigned RFC numbers.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 17, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of

publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 3
2. Terminology 3
3. Compatibility with RFC-5277's create-subscription 3
4. Mandatory XML, event stream and datastore support 4
5. NETCONF connectivity and the Dynamic Subscriptions 4
6. Notification Messages 4
7. Dynamic Subscriptions and RPC Error Responses 4
8. Security Considerations 6
9. IANA Considerations 6
10. Acknowledgments 6
11. Notes to the RFC Editor 7
12. References 7
12.1. Normative References 7
12.2. Informative References 8
Appendix A. Examples 8
A.1. Event Stream Discovery 8
A.2. Dynamic Subscriptions 9
A.3. Subscription State Notifications 14
A.4. Filter Examples 15
Appendix B. Changes between revisions 17
B.1. v16 to v17 17
B.2. v15 to v16 17
B.3. v14 to v15 17
B.4. v13 to v14 17
B.5. v11 to v13 17
B.6. v10 to v11 18
B.7. v09 to v10 18
B.8. v08 to v09 18
B.9. v07 to v08 18
B.10. v06 to v07 18
B.11. v05 to v06 18
B.12. v03 to v04 18
B.13. v01 to v03 19
B.14. v00 to v01 19
Authors' Addresses 19

1. Introduction

This document provides a binding for events streamed over the NETCONF protocol [RFC6241] for dynamic subscriptions as defined in [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications]. In addition, as [I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push] is itself built upon [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications], this document enables a NETCONF client to request via a dynamic subscription and receive updates from a YANG datastore located on a NETCONF server.

2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The following terms are defined in [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications]: dynamic subscription, event stream, notification message, publisher, receiver, subscriber, subscription. No additional terms are defined.

3. Compatibility with RFC-5277's create-subscription

A publisher is allowed to concurrently support dynamic subscription RPCs of [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications] at the same time as [RFC5277]'s "create-subscription" RPC. However a single NETCONF transport session cannot support both this specification and a subscription established by [RFC5277]'s "create-subscription" RPC. To protect against any attempts to use a single NETCONF transport session in this way:

- A solution MUST reply with the [RFC6241] "rpc-error" element containing the "error-tag" value of "operation-not-supported" if a "create-subscription" RPC is received on a NETCONF session where an [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications] established subscription exists.
- A solution MUST reply with the [RFC6241] "rpc-error" element containing the "error-tag" value of "operation-not-supported" if an "establish-subscription" request has been received on a NETCONF session where the "create-subscription" RPC has successfully [RFC5277] created a subscription.

If a publisher supports this specification but not subscriptions via [RFC5277], the publisher MUST NOT advertise "urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:notification:1.0".

4. Mandatory XML, event stream and datastore support

The "encode-xml" feature of [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications] MUST be supported. This indicates that XML is a valid encoding for RPCs, state change notifications, and subscribed content.

A NETCONF publisher supporting event stream subscription via [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications] MUST support the "NETCONF" event stream identified in that document.

5. NETCONF connectivity and the Dynamic Subscriptions

Management of dynamic subscriptions occurs via RPCs as defined in [I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push] and [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications]. For a dynamic subscription, if the NETCONF session involved with the "establish-subscription" terminates, the subscription MUST be terminated.

For a dynamic subscription, any "modify-subscription", "delete-subscription", or "resync-subscription" RPCs MUST be sent using the same NETCONF session upon which the referenced subscription was established.

6. Notification Messages

Notification messages transported over the NETCONF protocol MUST be encoded in a <notification> message as defined within [RFC5277], Section 4. And per [RFC5277]'s "eventTime" object definition, the "eventTime" MUST be populated with the event occurrence time.

For dynamic subscriptions, all notification messages MUST use the NETCONF transport session used by the "establish-subscription" RPC.

7. Dynamic Subscriptions and RPC Error Responses

When an RPC error occurs as defined in [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications] Section 2.4.6 and [I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push] Appendix A, the NETCONF RPC reply MUST include an "rpc-error" element per [RFC6241] with the error information populated as follows:

- An "error-type" node of "application".
- An "error-tag" node with the value being a string that corresponds to an identity associated with the error. This "error-tag" will come from one of two places. Either it will correspond to the error identities within

[I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications] section 2.4.6 for general subscription errors:

error identity	uses error-tag
dscp-unavailable	invalid-value
encoding-unsupported	invalid-value
filter-unsupported	invalid-value
insufficient-resources	resource-denied
no-such-subscription	invalid-value
replay-unsupported	operation-not-supported

Or this "error-tag" will correspond to the error identities within [I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push] Appendix A.1 for subscription errors specific to YANG datastores:

error identity	uses error-tag
cant-exclude	operation-not-supported
datastore-not-subscribable	invalid-value
no-such-subscription-resync	invalid-value
on-change-unsupported	operation-not-supported
on-change-sync-unsupported	operation-not-supported
period-unsupported	invalid-value
update-too-big	too-big
sync-too-big	too-big
unchanging-selection	operation-failed

- an "error-severity" of "error" (this MAY be included).
- an "error-app-tag" node with the value being a string that corresponds to an identity associated with the error, as defined in [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications] section 2.4.6 for general subscriptions, and [I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push] Appendix A.1, for datastore subscriptions. The specific identity to use depends on the RPC for which the error occurred. Each error identity will be inserted as the "error-app-tag" following the form <modulename>:<identityname>. An example of such as valid encoding would be "ietf-subscribed-notifications:no-such-subscription". Viable errors for different RPCs are as follows:

RPC	use base identity
establish-subscription	establish-subscription-error
modify-subscription	modify-subscription-error
delete-subscription	delete-subscription-error
kill-subscription	delete-subscription-error
resync-subscription	resync-subscription-error

- In case of error responses to an "establish-subscription" or "modify-subscription" request there is the option of including an "error-info" node. This node may contain XML-encoded data with hints for parameter settings that might lead to successful RPC requests in the future. Following are the yang-data structures from [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications] and [I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push] which may be returned:

establish-subscription	returns hints in yang-data structure
target: event stream	establish-subscription-stream-error-info
target: datastore	establish-subscription-datastore-error-info

modify-subscription	returns hints in yang-data structure
target: event stream	modify-subscription-stream-error-info
target: datastore	modify-subscription-datastore-error-info

  The yang-data included within "error-info" SHOULD NOT include the optional leaf "error-reason", as such a leaf would be redundant with information that is already placed within the "error-app-tag".

In case of an rpc error resulting from a "delete-subscription", "kill-subscription", or "resync-subscription" request, no "error-info" needs to be included, as the "subscription-id" is the only RPC input parameter and no hints regarding this RPC input parameters need to be provided.

8. Security Considerations

If a malicious or buggy NETCONF subscriber sends a number of establish-subscription requests, then these subscriptions accumulate and may use up system resources. In such a situation, subscriptions MAY be terminated by terminating the underlying NETCONF session. The publisher MAY also suspend or terminate a subset of the active subscriptions on that NETCONF session.

9. IANA Considerations

This document has no actions for IANA.

10. Acknowledgments

We wish to acknowledge the helpful contributions, comments, and suggestions that were received from: Andy Bierman, Yan Gang, Sharon Chisholm, Hector Trevino, Peipei Guo, Susan Hares, Tim Jenkins,

Balazs Lengyel, Martin Bjorklund, Mahesh Jethanandani, Kent Watsen, Qin Wu, and Guangying Zheng.

11. Notes to the RFC Editor

This section can be removed by the RFC editor after the requests have been performed.

RFC 6241 needs to be updated based on the needs of this draft. RFC-6241 section 1.2 bullet "(2)" targets RFC-5277 (actually it identifies RFC 5717, but that was an error fixed after RFC publication). Anyway the current phrasing in RFC-5277 says that a notification message can only be sent after a successful "create-subscription". Therefore the reference text must be modified to also allow notification messages be sent after a successful "establish-subscription". Proposed text for bullet (2) of RFC-6241 would be:

> (2) The Messages layer provides a simple, transport-independent framing mechanism for encoding RPCs and notifications. Section 4 documents the RPC messages, [RFC5277] documents Notifications sent as a result of a <create-subscription> RPC, and [RFC xxxx] documents Notifications sent as a result of an <establish-subscription> RPC.
>
> (where xxxx is replaced with this RFC number)

12. References

12.1. Normative References

[I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications]
Voit, E., Clemm, A., Gonzalez Prieto, A., Tripathy, A., and E. Nilsen-Nygaard, "Customized Subscriptions to a Publisher's Event Streams", September 2018, <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications/>.

[I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push]
Clemm, Alexander., Voit, Eric., Gonzalez Prieto, Alberto., Tripathy, A., Nilsen-Nygaard, E., Bierman, A., and B. Lengyel, "YANG Datastore Subscription", September 2018, <https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-netconf-yang-push/>.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

[RFC5277] Chisholm, S. and H. Trevino, "NETCONF Event Notifications", RFC 5277, DOI 10.17487/RFC5277, July 2008, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5277>.

[RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6241, DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>.

12.2. Informative References

[RFC8347] Liu, X., Ed., Kyparlis, A., Parikh, R., Lindem, A., and M. Zhang, "A YANG Data Model for the Virtual Router Redundancy Protocol (VRRP)", RFC 8347, DOI 10.17487/RFC8347, March 2018, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8347>.

[XPATH] Clark, J. and S. DeRose, "XML Path Language (XPath) Version 1.0", November 1999, <http://www.w3.org/TR/1999/REC-xpath-19991116>.

Appendix A. Examples

This section is non-normative.

A.1. Event Stream Discovery

As defined in [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications] an event stream exposes a continuous set of events available for subscription. A NETCONF client can retrieve the list of available event streams from a NETCONF publisher using the "get" operation against the top-level container "/streams" defined in [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications] Section 3.1.

The following example illustrates the retrieval of the list of available event streams:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get>
    <filter type="subtree">
      <streams
     xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-subscribed-notifications"/>
    </filter>
  </get>
</rpc>
```

Figure 1: Get streams request

After such a request, the NETCONF publisher returns a list of event streams available, as well as additional information which might exist in the container.

A.2. Dynamic Subscriptions

A.2.1. Establishing Dynamic Subscriptions

The following figure shows two successful "establish-subscription" RPC requests as per [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications]. The first request is given a subscription "id" of 22, the second, an "id" of 23.



Figure 2: Multiple subscriptions over a NETCONF session

To provide examples of the information being transported, example messages for interactions (a) and (b) in Figure 2 are detailed below:

```
<rpc message-id="102" xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <establish-subscription
      xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-subscribed-notifications">
    <stream-xpath-filter xmlns:ex="http://example.com/events">
      /ex:foo/
    </stream-xpath-filter>
    <stream>NETCONF</stream>
    <dscp>10</dscp>
  </establish-subscription>
</rpc>
```

Figure 3: establish-subscription request (a)

As NETCONF publisher was able to fully satisfy the request (a), the publisher sends the subscription "id" of the accepted subscription within message (b):

```
<rpc-reply message-id="102"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <id
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-subscribed-notifications">
    22
  </id>
</rpc-reply>
```

Figure 4: establish-subscription success (b)

If the NETCONF publisher had not been able to fully satisfy the request, or subscriber has no authorization to establish the subscription, the publisher would have sent an RPC error response. For instance, if the "dscp" value of 10 asserted by the subscriber in Figure 3 proved unacceptable, the publisher may have returned:

```
<rpc-reply message-id="102"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <rpc-error>
   <error-type>application</error-type>
   <error-tag>invalid-value</error-tag>
   <error-severity>error</error-severity>
   <error-app-tag>
     ietf-subscribed-notifications:dscp-unavailable
   </error-app-tag>
  </rpc-error>
</rpc-reply>
```

Figure 5: an unsuccessful establish subscription

The subscriber can use this information in future attempts to establish a subscription.

A.2.2. Modifying Dynamic Subscriptions

An existing subscription may be modified. The following exchange shows a negotiation of such a modification via several exchanges between a subscriber and a publisher. This negotiation consists of a failed RPC modification request/response, followed by a successful one.



Figure 6: Interaction model for successful subscription modification

If the subscription being modified in Figure 6 is a datastore subscription as per [I-D.ietf-netconf-yang-push], the modification request made in (c) may look like that shown in Figure 7. As can be seen, the modifications being attempted are the application of a new XPath filter as well as the setting of a new periodic time interval.

```
<rpc message-id="303"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <modify-subscription
       xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-subscribed-notifications"
       xmlns:yp="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-push">
    <id>23</id>
    <yp:datastore-xpath-filter xmlns:ex="http://example.com/datastore">
        /ex:foo/ex:bar
    </yp:datastore-xpath-filter>
    <yp:periodic>
      <yp:period>500</yp:period>
    </yp:periodic>
  </modify-subscription>
</rpc>
```

Figure 7: Subscription modification request (c)

If the NETCONF publisher can satisfy both changes, the publisher sends a positive result for the RPC. If the NETCONF publisher cannot

satisfy either of the proposed changes, the publisher sends an RPC error response (d). The following is an example RPC error response for (d) which includes a hint. This hint is an alternative time period value which might have resulted in a successful modification:

```
<rpc-reply message-id="303"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <rpc-error>
    <error-type>application</error-type>
    <error-tag>invalid-value</error-tag>
    <error-severity>error</error-severity>
    <error-app-tag>
        ietf-yang-push:period-unsupported
    </error-app-tag>
    <error-info>
      <modify-subscription-datastore-error-info
          xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-push">
        <period-hint>
            3000
        </period-hint>
      </modify-subscription-datastore-error-info>
    </error-info>
  </rpc-error>
</rpc-reply>
```

Figure 8: Modify subscription failure with hint (d)

A.2.3. Deleting Dynamic Subscriptions

The following demonstrates deleting a subscription. This subscription may have been to either a stream or a datastore.

```
<rpc message-id="103"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <delete-subscription
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-subscribed-notifications">
    <id>22</id>
  </delete-subscription>
</rpc>
```

Figure 9: Delete subscription

If the NETCONF publisher can satisfy the request, the publisher replies with success to the RPC request.

If the NETCONF publisher cannot satisfy the request, the publisher sends an error-rpc element indicating the modification didn't work. Figure 10 shows a valid response for existing valid subscription

"id", but that subscription "id" was created on a different NETCONF transport session:

```
<rpc-reply message-id="103"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <rpc-error>
    <error-type>application</error-type>
    <error-tag>invalid-value</error-tag>
    <error-severity>error</error-severity>
    <error-app-tag>
        ietf-subscribed-notifications:no-such-subscription
    </error-app-tag>
  </rpc-error>
</rpc-reply>
```

Figure 10: Unsuccessful delete subscription

A.3. Subscription State Notifications

A publisher will send subscription state notifications for dynamic subscriptions according to the definitions within [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications].

A.3.1. subscription-modified

As per Section 2.7.2 of [I-D.draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications], a "subscription-modified" might be sent over NETCONF if the definition of a configured filter changes. A subscription state notification encoded in XML would look like:

```
<notification xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:notification:1.0">
  <eventTime>2007-09-01T10:00:00Z</eventTime>
  <subscription-modified
      xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-subscribed-notifications">
    <id>39</id>
    <stream-xpath-filter xmlns:ex="http://example.com/events">
      /ex:foo
    </stream-xpath-filter>
    <stream>NETCONF</stream>
  </subscription-modified>
</notification>
```

Figure 11: subscription-modified subscription state notification

A.3.2. subscription-resumed, and replay-complete

A "subscription-resumed" would look like:

```
<notification
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:notification:1.0">
  <eventTime>2007-09-01T10:00:00Z</eventTime>
  <subscription-resumed
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-subscribed-notifications">
    <id>39</id>
  </subscription-resumed>
</notification>
```

Figure 12: subscription-resumed notification in XML

The "replay-complete" is virtually identical, with "subscription-resumed" simply being replaced by "replay-complete".

A.3.3. subscription-terminated and subscription-suspended

A "subscription-terminated" would look like:

```
<notification
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:notification:1.0">
  <eventTime>2007-09-01T10:00:00Z</eventTime>
  <subscription-terminated
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-subscribed-notifications">
    <id>39</id>
    <reason>
       suspension-timeout
    </reason>
  </subscription-terminated>
</notification>
```

Figure 13: subscription-terminated subscription state notification

The "subscription-suspended" is virtually identical, with "subscription-terminated" simply being replaced by "subscription-suspended".

A.4. Filter Examples

This section provides examples which illustrate both XPath and subtree methods of filtering event record contents. The examples are based on the YANG notification "vrrp-protocol-error-event" as defined per the ietf-vrrp.yang model within [RFC8347]. Event records based on this specification which are generated by the publisher might appear as:

```
 <notification xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:notification:1.0">
   <eventTime>2018-09-14T08:22:33.44Z</eventTime>
   <vrrp-protocol-error-event
        xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-vrrp">
      <protocol-error-reason>checksum-error</protocol-error-reason>
   </vrrp-protocol-error-event>
 </notification>
```

Figure 14: RFC 8347 (VRRP) - Example Notification

Suppose a subscriber wanted to establish a subscription which only passes instances of event records where there is a "checksum-error" as part of a VRRP protocol event. Also assume the publisher places such event records into the NETCONF stream. To get a continuous series of matching event records, the subscriber might request the application of an XPath filter against the NETCONF stream. An "establish-subscription" RPC to meet this objective might be:

```
<rpc message-id="601" xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <establish-subscription
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-subscribed-notifications">
    <stream>NETCONF</stream>
    <stream-xpath-filter xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-vrrp">
      /vrrp-protocol-error-event[
         vrrp:protocol-error-reason="vrrp:checksum-error"]
    </stream-xpath-filter>
  </establish-subscription>
</rpc>
```

Figure 15: Establishing a subscription error reason via XPath

For more examples of XPath filters, see [XPATH].

Suppose the "establish-subscription" in Figure 15 was accepted. And suppose later a subscriber decided they wanted to broaden this subscription cover to all VRRP protocol events (i.e., not just those with a "checksum error"). The subscriber might attempt to modify the subscription in a way which replaces the XPath filter with a subtree filter which sends all VRRP protocol events to a subscriber. Such a "modify-subscription" RPC might look like:

```
<rpc message-id="602" xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <modify-subscription
     xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-subscribed-notifications">
    <id>99</id>
    <stream-subtree-filter>
     <vrrp-protocol-error-event
            xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-vrrp"/>
    </stream-subtree-filter>
  </modify-subscription>
</rpc>
```

Figure 16

For more examples of subtree filters, see [RFC6241], section 6.4.

Appendix B. Changes between revisions

(To be removed by RFC editor prior to publication)

B.1. v16 to v17

- During the SN YANG Doctor review, a suggestion was made to update the error-tags to make the mechanism work with embedded NETCONF and RESTCONF error reporting.
- Minor text tweaks from review.

B.2. v15 to v16

- During the shepherd review, two clarifications were requested which do not impact the technical details of this document. These clarifications were: (a) further describing that dynamic subscriptions can have state change notifications, and (b) more details about the recommended text refinement desired for RFC6241.

B.3. v14 to v15

- Per Kent's request, added name attribute to artwork. This would be needed for an automated extraction.

B.4. v13 to v14

- Title change.

B.5. v11 to v13

- Subscription identifier renamed to id.
- Appendix A.4 for filter examples
- for v13, Tweak of example to /foo/bar

B.6. v10 to v11

- Configured removed.

B.7. v09 to v10

- Tweaks to examples and text.
- Downshifted state names.
- Removed address from examples.

B.8. v08 to v09

- Tweaks based on Kent's comments.
- Updated examples in Appendix A. And updates to some object names based on changes in the subscribed-notifications draft.
- Added a YANG model for the NETCONF identity.

B.9. v07 to v08

- Tweaks and clarification on :interleave.

B.10. v06 to v07

- XML encoding and operational datastore mandatory.
- Error mechanisms and examples updated.

B.11. v05 to v06

- Moved examples to appendices
- All examples rewritten based on namespace learnings
- Normative text consolidated in front
- Removed all mention of JSON
- Call home process detailed
- Note: this is a major revision attempting to cover those comments received from two week review.

B.12. v03 to v04

- Added additional detail to "configured subscriptions"
- Added interleave capability
- Adjusted terminology to that in draft-ietf-netconf-subscribed-notifications
- Corrected namespaces in examples

B.13. v01 to v03

- Text simplifications throughout
- v02 had no meaningful changes

B.14. v00 to v01

- Added Call Home in solution for configured subscriptions.
- Clarified support for multiple subscription on a single session. No need to support multiple create-subscription.
- Added mapping between terminology in yang-push and [RFC6241] (the one followed in this document).
- Editorial improvements.

Authors' Addresses

Eric Voit
Cisco Systems

Email: evoit@cisco.com

Alexander Clemm
Huawei

Email: ludwig@clemm.org

Alberto Gonzalez Prieto
Microsoft

Email: alberto.gonzalez@microsoft.com

Einar Nilsen-Nygaard
Cisco Systems

Email: einarnn@cisco.com

Ambika Prasad Tripathy
Cisco Systems

Email: ambtripa@cisco.com