

Network Working Group M.
Bjorklund
Internet-Draft Tail-f
Systems
Updates: [8040](#) (if approved) J.
Schoenwaelder
Intended status: Standards Track Jacobs
University
Expires: September 2, 2018 P.
Shafer
K.
Watsen
Juniper
Networks
R.
Wilton
Cisco
Systems
March 1,
2018

**RESTCONF Extensions to Support the Network Management Datastore
Architecture
draft-ietf-netconf-nmda-restconf-03**

Abstract

This document extends the RESTCONF protocol defined in [RFC 8040](#) in order to support the Network Management Datastore Architecture defined in I-D.ietf-netmod-revised-datastores.

This document updates [RFC 8040](#) by introducing new datastore resources, adding a new query parameter, and requiring the usage of I-D.ietf-netconf-rfc7895bis by RESTCONF servers implementing the Network Management Datastore Architecture.

REF Editor: please replace "I-D.ietf-netmod-revised-datastores" and "I-D.ietf-netconf-rfc7895bis" above with their final RFC assignments.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 2, 2018.

Bjorklund, et al.
1]

Expires September 2, 2018

[Page

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
1.1.	Terminology	3
2.	Datastore and YANG Library Requirements	3
3.	RESTCONF Extensions	3
3.1.	New Datastore Resources	3
3.2.	Protocol Operations	4
3.2.1.	With-defaults query parameter on the operational state datastore	5
3.2.2.	New "with-origin" Query Parameter	5
4.	IANA Considerations	6
5.	Security Considerations	6
6.	Normative References	7
	Authors' Addresses	7

1. Introduction

This document extends the RESTCONF protocol defined in [[RFC8040](#)] in order to support the Network Management Datastore Architecture (NMDA) defined in [[I-D.ietf-netmod-revised-datastores](#)].

This document updates [[RFC8040](#)] in order to enable RESTCONF clients

to discover which datastores are supported by the RESTCONF server,
as
well as determine which modules are supported in each datastore and,
finally, to interact with all the datastores supported by the NMDA.
Specifically, the update introduces new datastore resources, adds a
new query parameter, and requires the usage of
[\[I-D.ietf-netconf-rfc7895bis\]](#) by RESTCONF servers implementing the
NMDA.

The solution presented in this document is backwards compatible with [\[RFC8040\]](#). This is achieved by only adding new resources and leaving the semantics of the existing resources unchanged.

1.1. Terminology

This document uses the terminology defined by the NMDA [\[I-D.ietf-netmod-revised-datastores\]](#).

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#), [\[RFC2119\]](#) [\[RFC8174\]](#) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

2. Datastore and YANG Library Requirements

RFC Ed.: Update 201X-XX-XX below with correct date.

An NMDA-compliant RESTCONF server MUST support the operational state datastore and it MUST implement at least revision 201X-XX-XX of the "ietf-yang-library" module defined in [\[I-D.ietf-netconf-rfc7895bis\]](#).

Such a server identifies that it supports the NMDA both by implementing the `{+restconf}/ds/ietf-datastores:operational` resource, and by implementing at least revision 201X-XX-XX of the "ietf-yang-library" module.

A RESTCONF client can test if a server supports the NMDA by using either the HEAD or GET methods on `{+restconf}/ds/ietf-datastores:operational`.

3. RESTCONF Extensions

This section describes the RESTCONF extensions needed to support the NMDA.

3.1. New Datastore Resources

This document defines a set of new resources representing datastores as defined in [\[I-D.ietf-netmod-revised-datastores\]](#). These resources are available using the following resource path template:

```
{+restconf}/ds/<datastore>
```

The `<datastore>` path component is encoded as an "identity" according to the JSON encoding rules for identities, defined in [Section 4 of \[RFC7951\]](#). Such an identity MUST be derived from the "datastore"

identity defined in the "ietf-datastores" YANG module [[I-D.ietf-netmod-revised-datastores](#)].

Specifically:

- o The resource `{+restconf}/ds/ietf-datastores:operational` refers to the operational state datastore.
- o The resource `{+restconf}/ds/ietf-datastores:running` refers to the running configuration datastore.
- o The resource `{+restconf}/ds/ietf-datastores:intended` refers to the intended configuration datastore.

An NMDA-compliant server MUST implement `{+restconf}/ds/ietf-datastores:operational`. Other datastore resources are optional to implement.

YANG actions can only be invoked in `{+restconf}/ds/ietf-datastores:operational`.

If a server implements the example datastore "ds-ephemeral" in the module "example-ds-ephemeral", it would implement the resource `{+restconf}/ds/example-ds-ephemeral:ds-ephemeral`.

3.2. Protocol Operations

The protocol operations available for the new datastore resources ([Section 3.1](#)) are the same as the protocol operations defined in [[RFC8040](#)] for the `{+restconf}/data` resource with the following exceptions:

- o Dynamic configuration datastores are excluded, as each dynamic configuration datastore definition needs to be reviewed for what protocol operations it supports.
- o Some datastores are read-only by nature (e.g., `<intended>`), and hence any attempt to modify these datastores will fail. A server MUST return a response with a "405 Method Not Allowed" status-line, and error-tag value "operation-not-supported".
- o The semantics of the "with-defaults" query parameter ([\[RFC8040\], Section 4.8.9](#)) differs when interacting with the operational state datastore. The semantics are described below, in [Section 3.2.1](#).
- o [\[RFC8040\], Section 3.5.4](#), paragraph 3 does not apply when interacting with any resource under `{+restconf}/ds`.

3.2.1. With-defaults query parameter on the operational state datastore

The "with-defaults" query parameter ([\[RFC8040\], Section 4.8.9](#)) is optional to support when interacting with `{+restconf}/ds/ietf-datastores:operational`. The associated capability to indicate a server's support is identified with the URI:

```
urn:ietf:params:restconf:capability:with-operational-defaults:1.0
```

For servers that support it, the behavior of the "with-defaults" query parameter on the operational state datastore is defined as follows:

- o If no "with-defaults" query parameter is specified, or if it is set to "explicit", "report-all", or "report-all-tagged", then the "in use" values, as defined in [\[I-D.ietf-netmod-revised-datastores\] section 5.3](#), are returned from the operational state datastore, even if a node happens to have a default statement in the YANG module, and this default value is being used by the server. If the "with-defaults" parameter is set to "report-all-tagged", any values that match the schema default are tagged with additional metadata, as described in [\[RFC8040\], Section 4.8.9](#).
- o If the "with-defaults" query parameter is set to "trim", all "in use" values are returned, except that the output is filtered to exclude any values that match the default defined in the YANG schema.

Servers are not required to support all values in the "with-defaults" query parameter on the operational state datastore. If a request is made using a value that is not supported, then the error handling behavior is as described in ([\[RFC8040\], Section 4.8.9](#)).

3.2.2. New "with-origin" Query Parameter

A new query parameter named "with-origin" is added to the GET operation. If present, it requests that the server includes "origin" metadata annotations in its response, as detailed in the NMDA. This parameter is only valid when querying `{+restconf}/ds/ietf-datastores:operational` or any datastores with identities derived from the "operational" identity. Otherwise, if an invalid datastore is specified then the server MUST return a response with a "400 Bad Request" status-line, using an error-tag value of "invalid-value". "origin" metadata annotations are not included unless a client explicitly requests them.

Data in the operational state datastore can come from multiple sources. The server should return the most accurate value for the "origin" metadata annotation as possible, indicating the source of the operational value, as specified in Section 5.3.4 of [\[I-D.ietf-netmod-revised-datastores\]](#).

When encoding the origin metadata annotation for a hierarchy of returned nodes, the annotation can be omitted for a child node when the value matches that of the parent node, as described in "ietf-origin" YANG module [\[I-D.ietf-netmod-revised-datastores\]](#).

The "with-origin" query parameter is optional to support. It is identified with the URI:

```
urn:ietf:params:restconf:capability:with-origin:1.0
```

4. IANA Considerations

This document defines two capability identifier URNs in the "RESTCONF Capability URNs" registry defined in [\[RFC8040\]](#):

```
Index
Capability Identifier
-----

:with-origin
urn:ietf:params:restconf:capability:with-origin:1.0

:with-operational-defaults
urn:ietf:params:restconf:capability:with-operational-defaults:1.0
```

5. Security Considerations

This document extends the RESTCONF protocol by introducing new datastore resources. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [\[RFC5246\]](#). The RESTCONF protocol uses the network configuration access control model

[\[I-D.ietf-netconf-rfc6536bis\]](#), which provides the means to restrict access for particular RESTCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available RESTCONF protocol operations and content.

The security constraints for the base RESTCONF protocol (see [Section 12 of \[RFC8040\]](#)) apply to the new RESTCONF datastore resources defined in this document.

6. Normative References

[I-D.ietf-netconf-rfc6536bis]
Bierman, A. and M. Bjorklund, "Network Configuration Access Control Module", [draft-ietf-netconf-rfc6536bis-09](#) (work in progress), December 2017.

[I-D.ietf-netconf-rfc7895bis]
Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., Schoenwaelder, J., Watsen, K.,
and R. Wilton, "YANG Library", [draft-ietf-netconf-rfc7895bis-05](#) (work in progress), February 2018.

[I-D.ietf-netmod-revised-datstores]
Bjorklund, M., Schoenwaelder, J., Shafer, P., Watsen, K.,
and R. Wilton, "Network Management Datastore Architecture", [draft-ietf-netmod-revised-datstores-10](#) (work in progress), January 2018.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/[RFC2119](#), March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

[RFC5246] Dierks, T. and E. Rescorla, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.2", [RFC 5246](#), DOI 10.17487/[RFC5246](#), August 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5246>>.

[RFC7951] Lhotka, L., "JSON Encoding of Data Modeled with YANG", [RFC 7951](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7951, August 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7951>>.

[RFC8040] Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", [RFC 8040](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8040, January 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040>>.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in [RFC 2119](#) Key Words", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 8174](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

Authors' Addresses

Martin Bjorklund
Tail-f Systems

Email: mbj@tail-f.com

Internet-Draft
2018

March

Juergen Schoenwaelder
Jacobs University

Email: j.schoenwaelder@jacobs-university.de

Phil Shafer
Juniper Networks

Email: phil@juniper.net

Kent Watsen
Juniper Networks

Email: kwatsen@juniper.net

Robert Wilton
Cisco Systems

Email: rwilton@cisco.com

