

NETCONF  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: August 28, 2008

B. Lengyel  
Ericsson Hungary  
M. Bjorklund  
Tail-f Systems  
February 25, 2008

Partial Lock RPC for NETCONF  
draft-ietf-netconf-partial-lock-01

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 28, 2008.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

Abstract

The NETCONF protocol defines the lock and unlock RPCs that lock entire configuration datastores. In some situations, a way to lock only parts of a configuration datastore is required. This document defines a capability-based extension to the NETCONF protocol for locking portions of a configuration datastore.

Internet-Draft

Partial Lock RPC for NETCONF

February 2008

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	Definition of Terms . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Partial Locking Capability . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.1.</a>	Overview . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.2.</a>	Dependencies . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.3.</a>	Capability Identifier . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.4.</a>	New Operations . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.4.1.</a>	<partial-lock> . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.4.2.</a>	<partial-unlock> . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">2.5.</a>	Modifications to Existing Operations . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">2.6.</a>	Interactions with Other Capabilities . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">2.6.1.</a>	Writable-Running Capability . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">2.6.2.</a>	Candidate Configuration Capability . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">2.6.3.</a>	Distinct Startup Capability . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">Appendix A</a> - Change Log . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	Open Issues . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	-00 . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.3.</a>	00-01 . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">Appendix B</a> - YANG Module for Partial Locking (non-normative) . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	<a href="#">Appendix C</a> - XML Schema for Partial Locking (non-normative) . . . . .	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	Acknowledgements . . . . .	<a href="#">15</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">16</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">17</a>
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements . . . . .	<a href="#">18</a>

Internet-Draft

Partial Lock RPC for NETCONF

February 2008

## 1. Introduction

The NETCONF protocol [[RFC4741](#)] describes the lock and unlock RPCs that operate on entire configuration datastores. Often, multiple management sessions need to be able to modify the configuration of a managed device in parallel. In these cases, locking only parts of a configuration datastore is needed. This document defines an extension to the NETCONF protocol to allow this.

The mechanism for partial locking is based on the existing XPath filtering mechanisms.

Partial locking is defined as a capability to NETCONF.

### 1.1. Definition of Terms

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [BCP 14](#), [[RFC2119](#)].

## 2. Partial Locking Capability

### 2.1. Overview

The :partial-lock capability indicates that the device supports the locking of its configuration with a scope smaller than a complete configuration datastore. The scope to be locked is specified by using restricted or full XPath expressions. Partial locking covers configuration data, but not state data.

The system ensures that configuration resources covered by the lock are not be modified by other NETCONF or non-NETCONF management operations such as SNMP and the CLI.

The duration of the partial lock is defined as beginning when the partial lock is granted and lasting until either the corresponding <partial-unlock> operation succeeds or the NETCONF session terminates.

A NETCONF session MAY have multiple parts of one or more datastores locked using partial lock operations. The <partial-lock> operation returns a lock-id to identify each successfully acquired lock.

## [2.2.](#) Dependencies

Partial locking uses only restricted XPath expressions to describe the lock's scope, as described in [Section 2.4.1](#) for the select element. However if optionally the :xpath capability is also supported, partial locking can utilize any XPath 1.0 expression.

## [2.3.](#) Capability Identifier

urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:partial-lock:1.0

## [2.4.](#) New Operations

### [2.4.1.](#) <partial-lock>

The <partial-lock> operation allows the client to lock a portion of a data store. The portion to lock is specified by using XPath expressions in the select elements of the <partial-lock> operation. Each XPath expression MUST return a node set.

The select XPath expressions are evaluated only once at lock time, thereafter the scope of the lock is maintained as a set of nodes. If the configuration data is later altered in a way that would make the original select XPath expressions evaluate to a different set of nodes, this does not affect the scope of the partial lock.

XPath is only used for the creation of the partial lock. Conceptually the scope of the lock is defined by the returned nodeset and not by the XPath expression.

A <partial-lock> operation MUST be handled atomically by the NETCONF server. The server either locks all requested parts of the data store or none.

If a node is locked by a session, only that same session is able to modify that node or any node in the subtree underneath it.

If a top level node of a locked subtree is deleted, any other session can recreate it, as it is not covered by the lock anymore.

A partial lock MUST fail if:

- o Any NETCONF session (including the current session) owns the global lock on the datastore.
- o Any part of the scope to be locked is already locked by another management session/protocol, including other NETCONF sessions using the <partial-lock> or any other non-NETCONF management

method.

- o The NETCONF server implements access control and the locking user does not have at least some basic access rights, e.g., read rights, to all of the datastore section to be locked. The exact handling of access rights is outside the scope of this document, but it is assumed that there is an access control system that MAY deny or allow the partial lock operation.

As with most locking systems, there is a possibility that two users trying to lock different parts of the configuration become dead-locked. To avoid this situation, clients SHOULD lock everything they need in one operation. If that operation still fails, the client SHOULD back down, release any already acquired locks, and retry the procedure after some time interval. The length of the interval should preferably be random to avoid repeated dead-locks when both (or all) clients back down and then repeat locking.

It is the intention to keep partial-locking simple, so when a partial lock is executed you get what you asked for: a set of nodes that are locked for writing. There are some other issues that are intentionally not addressed for the sake of simplicity.

- o Locking does not effect read operations.
- o If a part of a datastore is locked, this has no effect on any unlocked parts of the datastore. If this is a problem e.g. the operator's changes depend on data values in the unlocked part of the datastore, the operator should include these values in the scope of the lock.
- o An operator is allowed to edit the configuration both inside and outside the scope of a lock. It is the operator's responsibility to lock all parts of the datastore that are crucial for a specific management action.

Note: The <partial-lock> operation does not modify the global <lock> operation defined in the base NETCONF Protocol [[RFC4741](#)]. If part of a datastore is already locked by <partial-lock>, then a global lock for that datastore fails even if the global lock is attempted by the same NETCONF session which owns the partial-lock.

Parameters:

**target:** Name of the configuration datastore of which a part shall be locked. URLs are not accepted.

**select:** One or more 'select' elements each containing an XPath expression. The XPath expression is evaluated in a context where the context node is the root of the server's conceptual data model, and the set of namespace declarations are those in scope on the select element.

The select expressions MUST return a node set.

If the device supports the :xpath capability as well any valid XPath 1.0 expression can be used, if not, the XPath expression MUST be limited to an Instance Identifier expression [Editor's Note: add text or reference]. An Instance Identifier is an

absolute path expression in abbreviated syntax, where predicates are used only to specify values for nodes defined as keys to distinguish multiple instances.]

Example: Lock virtual router 1 and interface eth1

```
<nc:rpc
  xmlns:nc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:partial-lock:1.0"
  xmlns:rte="http://example.com/ns/route">
  xmlns:if="http://example.com/ns/interface">
  nc:message-id="135">
    <partial-lock>
      <nc:running/>
      <select>/routing/virtualRouter['routerName=router1']</select>
      <select>/interfaces/['interfaceId=eth1']</select>
    </partial-lock>
  </nc:rpc>

<nc:rpc-reply
  xmlns:nc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:partial-lock:1.0"
  xmlns:rte="http://example.com/ns/route">
  xmlns:if="http://example.com/ns/interface">
  nc:message-id="135">
    <nc:data>
      <lock-id>127</lock-id>
    </nc:data>
  </nc:rpc-reply>
```

Positive Response:

If the device was able to satisfy the request, an <rpc-reply> is sent with a <lock-id> element (lock identifier) in the <data> element.

Negative Response:

If a lock is already held on any node within the subtrees to be locked, the <error-tag> element shall be 'lock-denied' and the <error-info> element shall include the <session-id> of the lock

owner. If the lock is held by a non-NETCONF entity, a <session-id> of 0 (zero) is included.

If the select expressions return an empty node set, the <error-tag> shall be 'operation-failed', and the <error-app-tag> shall be 'no-matches'.

If any select expression returns anything but a node set, the <error-tag> shall be 'invalid-value'.

If the :xpath capability is not supported and the XPath expression is not an Instance Identifier, the <error-tag> shall be 'invalid-value'.

If access control denies the partial lock, the <error-tag> shall be 'access-denied'.

#### [2.4.2.](#) <partial-unlock>

The operation unlocks a part of a datastore that was previously locked using <partial-lock> during the same session.

Parameters:

lock-id: Lock identifier to unlock; taken from a reply to a previous <partial-lock> operation.

Example: Unlock

```
<nc:rpc xmlns:nc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:partial-lock:1.0"
  nc:message-id="136">
  <partial-unlock>
    <lock-id>127</lock-id>
  </partial-unlock>
</nc:rpc>
```

Positive Response:

If the device was able to satisfy the request, an <rpc-reply> is sent



that contains an <ok> element. A positive response MUST be sent even if all of the locked part of the datastore has already been deleted.

Negative Response:

If the <lock-id> parameter does not identify a lock which is owned by the session, an 'invalid-value' error is returned.

## [2.5.](#) Modifications to Existing Operations

None.

## [2.6.](#) Interactions with Other Capabilities

### [2.6.1.](#) Writable-Running Capability

Partial locking of the running datastore can only be done if the :writable-running capability is supported by the device.

### [2.6.2.](#) Candidate Configuration Capability

Partial locking of the candidate datastore can only be done if the :candidate capability is supported by the device. The partial locking of the candidate datastore does not depend on whether the datastore was modified or not.

### [2.6.3.](#) Distinct Startup Capability

Partial locking of the startup datastore can only be done if the :startup capability is supported by the device.

## [3.](#) Security Considerations

The same considerations as for the base NETCONF Protocol [[RFC4741](#)] are valid. It is assumed that the <partial-lock> and <partial-unlock> RPCs are only allowed for an authenticated user after passing some access control mechanism.

#### 4. IANA Considerations

This document registers a URN for the the following NETCONF capability in the netconf registry ([RFC4741](#)], sect 10.3):

Index	Capability Identifier
:partial-lock	urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:partial-lock:1
	.0

## [5. Appendix A](#) - Change Log

### [5.1.](#) Open Issues

Shall we allow the locking of non-existent nodes? The operator might want to reserve an object or rather its key/name even if he will create the object later.

Should we include more detailed information in error results to help debug lock conflicts, e.g. the userId of the conflicting session, the XPath expression of the conflicting session, the instanceId of the first object where the lock conflict was found?

Should we allow users to lock parts of multiple datastores (e.g. /top/routing both in the candidate and the running datastore) in one operation? This would decrease the probability of a deadlock, but currently the (global) <lock> operation doesn't support this.

### [5.2.](#) -00

Created from [draft-lengyel-ngo-partial-lock-01.txt](#)

### [5.3.](#) 00-01

Added YANG module.

## 6. [Appendix B](#) - YANG Module for Partial Locking (non-normative)

The following Yang modul defines the <partial-lock> and <partial-unlock> operations.

This and the following Appendix are non-normative as the method to define NETCONF operations is not yet agreed. Either the YANG or the XSD or some other model will later probably become normative.

```
module netconf-partial-lock {

    namespace urn:iETF:params:xml:ns:netconf:partial-lock:1.0;
    prefix pl;

    organization "IETF NETCONF Working Group";

    contact
        "Balazs Lengyel
        Ericsson Hungary, Inc.
        balazs.lengyel@ericsson.com";

    description
        "This YANG module defines the <partial-lock> and
        <partial-unlock> operations.";

    revision 2008-01-07 {
        description "Inital version.";
    }

    grouping configName {
        description
            "A choice to list the datastore names for NETCONF.
            This could be moved to a netconf.yang module.";
```

```
choice configNameType {
  leaf running { type empty; }
  leaf candidate { type empty; }
  leaf startup { type empty; }
}
}
```

```
rpc partial-lock {
  input {
    uses configName;
    leaf-list select {
      type string;
      min-elements 1;
    }
  }
  output {
```

```
    leaf lockId { type uint32; }
  }
}

rpc partial-unlock {
  input {
    leaf lockId { type uint32; }
  }
}
}
```

## [7. Appendix C](#) - XML Schema for Partial Locking (non-normative)

The following XML Schema defines the <partial-lock> and <partial-unlock> operations:

```
<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?>
<xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:partial-lock:1.0"
  xmlns:nc="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
  targetNamespace="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:partial-lock:1.0"
  elementFormDefault="qualified" attributeFormDefault="unqualified">

  <xs:annotation>
    <xs:documentation>
      Schema defining the partial-lock and unlock operations.
    </xs:documentation>
  </xs:annotation>
```

```

<xs:import namespace="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
  schemaLocation="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"/>

<xs:complexType name="partialLockType">
  <xs:complexContent>
    <xs:extension base="nc:rpcOperationType">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element ref="nc:config-name"/>
        <xs:element name="select" type="xs:string"
          maxOccurs="unbounded"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:extension>
  </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>

<xs:complexType name="partialUnLockType">
  <xs:complexContent>
    <xs:extension base="nc:rpcOperationType">
      <xs:sequence>
        <xs:element name="lock-id" type="xs:unsignedInt"/>
      </xs:sequence>
    </xs:extension>
  </xs:complexContent>
</xs:complexType>

<!-- <partial-lock> operation -->
<xs:element name="partial-lock" type="partialLockType"
  substitutionGroup="nc:rpcOperation"/>

<!-- <partial-unlock> operation -->

```

```

<xs:element name="partial-unlock" type="partialUnLockType"
  substitutionGroup="nc:rpcOperation"/>

<!-- reply to <partial-lock> -->
<xs:element name="lock-id" type="xs:unsignedInt"/>

</xs:schema>

```

## [8.](#) Acknowledgements

Thanks to Andy Bierman, Sharon Chisholm, Phil Shafer and many other members of the NETCONF WG for providing important input to this



document.

## 9. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC4741] "NETCONF Configuration Protocol", [RFC 4741](#), December 2006.

Internet-Draft

Partial Lock RPC for NETCONF

February 2008

Authors' Addresses

Balazs Lengyel  
Ericsson Hungary

Email: [balazs.lengyel@ericsson.com](mailto:balazs.lengyel@ericsson.com)

Martin Bjorklund  
Tail-f Systems

Email: [mbj@tail-f.com](mailto:mbj@tail-f.com)

---

Internet-Draft

Partial Lock RPC for NETCONF

February 2008

### Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2008).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

### Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at

<http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

#### Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).