

Workgroup: NETMOD
Internet-Draft:
draft-ietf-netmod-system-config-00
Updates: [RFC8342](#), [RFC6241](#), [RFC8526](#), [RFC8040](#)
(if approved)
Published: 28 November 2022
Intended Status: Standards Track
Expires: 1 June 2023
Authors: Q. Ma, Ed. Q. Wu C. Feng
 Huawei Huawei Huawei

System-defined Configuration

Abstract

This document updates NMDA to define a read-only conventional configuration datastore called "system" to hold system-defined configurations. To avoid clients' explicit copy/paste of referenced system-defined configuration into the target configuration datastore (e.g., <running>), a "resolve-system" parameter has been defined to allow the server acting as a "system client" to copy referenced system-defined nodes automatically. The solution enables clients manipulating the target configuration datastore (e.g., <running>) to overlay and reference nodes defined in <system>, override values of configurations defined in <system>, and configure descendant nodes of system-defined nodes.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 1 June 2023.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. [Introduction](#)
 - 1.1. [Terminology](#)
 - 1.2. [Requirements Language](#)
 - 1.3. [Updates to RFC 8342](#)
 - 1.4. [Updates to RFC 6241, RFC 8526](#)
 - 1.5. [Updates to RFC 8040](#)
 - 1.5.1. [Query Parameter](#)
 - 1.5.2. [Query Parameter URI](#)
2. [Kinds of System Configuration](#)
 - 2.1. [Immediately-Active](#)
 - 2.2. [Conditionally-Active](#)
 - 2.3. [Inactive-Until-Referenced](#)
3. [Static Characteristics](#)
 - 3.1. [Read-only to Clients](#)
 - 3.2. [May Change via Software Upgrades](#)
 - 3.3. [No Impact to <operational>](#)
4. [Dynamic Behavior](#)
 - 4.1. [Conceptual Model](#)
 - 4.2. [Explicit Declaration of System Configuration](#)
 - 4.3. [Servers Auto-configuring Referenced System Configuration](#)
 - 4.4. [Modifying \(overriding\) System Configuration](#)
 - 4.5. [Examples](#)
 - 4.5.1. [Server Configuring of <running> Automatically](#)
 - 4.5.2. [Declaring a System-defined Node in <running> Explicitly](#)
 - 4.5.3. [Modifying a System-instantiated Leaf's Value](#)
 - 4.5.4. [Configuring Descendant Nodes of a System-defined Node](#)
5. [The <system> Configuration Datastore](#)
6. [The "ietf-system-datastore" Module](#)
 - 6.1. [Data Model Overview](#)
 - 6.2. [Example Usage](#)
 - 6.3. [YANG Module](#)
7. [The "ietf-netconf-resolve-system" Module](#)
 - 7.1. [Data Model Overview](#)
 - 7.2. [Example Usage](#)
 - 7.3. [YANG Module](#)
8. [IANA Considerations](#)
 - 8.1. [The "IETF XML" Registry](#)

- [8.2. The "YANG Module Names" Registry](#)
- [8.3. RESTCONF Capability URN Registry](#)
- [9. Security Considerations](#)
 - [9.1. Regarding the "ietf-system-datastore" YANG Module](#)
 - [9.2. Regarding the "ietf-netconf-resolve-system" YANG Module](#)
- [10. Contributors](#)
- [Acknowledgements](#)
- [References](#)
 - [Normative References](#)
 - [Informative References](#)
- [Appendix A. Key Use Cases](#)
 - [A.1. Device Powers On](#)
 - [A.2. Client Commits Configuration](#)
 - [A.3. Operator Installs Card into a Chassis](#)
- [Appendix B. Changes between Revisions](#)
- [Appendix C. Open Issues tracking](#)
- [Authors' Addresses](#)

1. Introduction

NMDA [RFC8342] defines system configuration as the configuration that is supplied by the device itself and appears in <operational> when it is in use.

However, there is a desire to enable a server to better document the system configuration. Clients can benefit from a standard mechanism to see what system configuration is available in a server.

In some cases, the client references a system configuration which isn't present in the target datastore (e.g., <running>). Having to copy the entire contents of the system configuration into the target datastore should be avoided or reduced when possible while ensuring that all referential integrity constraints are satisfied.

In some other cases, configuration of descendant nodes of system-defined configuration needs to be supported. For example, the system configuration contains an almost empty physical interface, while the client needs to be able to add, modify, remove a number of descendant nodes. Some descendant nodes may not be modifiable (e.g., "name" and "type" set by the system).

This document updates NMDA [RFC8342] to define a read-only conventional configuration datastore called "system" to hold system-defined configurations. To avoid clients' explicit copy/paste of referenced system-defined configuration into the target configuration datastore (e.g., <running>), a "resolve-system" parameter has been defined to allow the server acting as a "system client" to copy referenced system-defined nodes automatically. The solution enables clients manipulating the target configuration

datastore (e.g., <running>) to overlay and reference nodes defined in <system>, override values of configurations defined in <system>, and configure descendant nodes of system-defined nodes.

Conformance to this document requires servers to implement the "ietf-system-datastore" YANG module.

1.1. Terminology

This document assumes that the reader is familiar with the contents of [\[RFC6241\]](#), [\[RFC7950\]](#), [\[RFC8342\]](#), [\[RFC8407\]](#), and [\[RFC8525\]](#) and uses terminologies from those documents.

The following terms are defined in this document as follows:

System configuration: Configuration that is provided by the system itself. System configuration is present in <system> once it's created (regardless of being applied by the device), and appears in <intended> which is subject to validation. Applied system configuration also appears in <operational> with origin="system".

System configuration datastore: A configuration datastore holding the complete configuration provided by the system itself. This datastore is referred to as "<system>".

This document redefines the term "conventional configuration datastore" from RFC 8342 to add "system" to the list of conventional configuration datastores:

Conventional configuration datastore: One of the following set of configuration datastores: <running>, <startup>, <candidate>, <system>, and <intended>. These datastores share a common datastore schema, and protocol operations allow copying data between these datastores. The term "conventional" is chosen as a generic umbrella term for these datastores.

1.2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [\[RFC2119\]](#) [\[RFC8174\]](#) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

1.3. Updates to RFC 8342

This document updates RFC 8342 to define a configuration datastore called "system" to hold system configuration, it also redefines the term "conventional configuration datastore" from RFC 8342 to add "system" to the list of conventional configuration datastores. The

contents of <system> datastore are read-only to clients but may change dynamically. The <system> aware client may retrieve all three types of system configuration defined in [Section 2](#), reference nodes defined in <system>, override values of configurations defined in <system>, and configure descendant nodes of system-defined nodes.

The server will merge <running> and <system> to create <intended>. As always, system configuration will appear in <operational> with `origin="system"` when it is in use.

The <system> datastore makes system configuration visible to clients in order for being referenced or configurable prior to present in <operational>.

1.4. Updates to RFC 6241, RFC 8526

This document augments <edit-config> and <edit-data> RPC operations defined in [[RFC6241](#)] and [[RFC8526](#)] respectively, with a new additional input parameter "resolve-system". The <copy-config> RPC operation defined in [[RFC6241](#)] is also augmented to support "resolve-system" parameter.

The "resolve-system" parameter is optional and has no value. When it is provided and the server detects that there is a reference to a system-defined node during the validation, the server will automatically copy the referenced system configuration into the validated datastore to make the configuration valid without the client doing so explicitly. Legacy Clients interacting with servers that support this parameter don't see any changes in <edit-config>/<edit-data> and <copy-config> behaviors.

According to the NETCONF constraint enforcement model defined in the section 8.3 of [[RFC7950](#)], if the target datastore of the <edit-config>/<edit-data> or <copy-config> is "running" or "startup", the server's copy referenced nodes from <system> to the target datastore MUST be enforced at the end of the <edit-config>/<edit-data> or <copy-config> operations during the validation. If the target datastore of the <edit-config>/<edit-data> or <copy-config> is "candidate", the server's copy referenced nodes from <system> to the target datastore is delayed until a <commit> or <validate> operation takes place.

1.5. Updates to RFC 8040

This document extends Section 4.8 and Section 9.1.1 of [[RFC8040](#)] to add a new query parameter "resolve-system" and corresponding query parameter capability URI.

1.5.1. Query Parameter

The "resolve-system" parameter controls whether to allow a server copy any referenced system-defined configuration automatically without the client doing so explicitly. This parameter is only allowed with no values carried. If this parameter has any unexpected value, then a "400 Bad Request" status-line is returned.

Name	Methods	Description
resolve-system	POST, PUT	resolve any references not resolved by the client and copy referenced system configuration into <running> automatically. This parameter can be given in any order.

1.5.2. Query Parameter URI

To enable the RESTCONF client to discover if the "resolve-system" query parameter is supported by the server, the following capability URI is defined, which is advertised by the server if supported, using the "ietf-restconf-monitoring" module defined in RFC 8040:

urn:ietf:params:restconf:capability:resolve-system:1.0

2. Kinds of System Configuration

There are three types of system configurations: immediately-active system configuration, conditionally-active system configuration and inactive-until-referenced system configuration.

2.1. Immediately-Active

Immediately-active system configurations are those generated in <system> and applied immediately when the device is powered on (e.g., a loop-back interface) , irrespective of physical resource present or not, a special functionality enabled or not.

2.2. Conditionally-Active

System configurations which are generated in <system> and applied based on specific conditions being met in a system, e.g., if a physical resource is present (e.g., insert interface card), the system will automatically detect it and load pre-provisioned configuration; when the physical resource is not present(remove interface card), the system configuration will be automatically cleared. Another example is when a special functionality is enabled,

e.g., when QoS function is enabled, QoS policies are automatically created by the system.

2.3. Inactive-Until-Referenced

There are some system configurations predefined (e.g., application ids, anti-x signatures, trust anchor certs, etc.) as a convenience for the clients, which must be referenced to be active. The clients can also define their own configurations for their unique requirements. Inactive-until-referenced system configurations are generated in <system> immediately when the device is powered on, but they are not applied and active until being referenced.

3. Static Characteristics

3.1. Read-only to Clients

The <system> configuration datastore is a read-only configuration datastore (i.e., edits towards <system> directly MUST be denied), though the client may be allowed to override the value of a system-initialized data node (see [Section 4.4](#)). Configuration defined in <system> is merged into <intended>, and present in <operational> if it is actively in use by the device. Thus unless the resource is no longer available (e.g., the interface removed physically), there is no way to actually delete system configuration from a server, even if a client may be allowed to delete the configuration copied from <system> into <running>. Any deletable system-provided configuration must be defined in <factory-default> [RFC8808], which is used to initialize <running> when the device is first-time powered on or reset to its factory default condition.

3.2. May Change via Software Upgrades

System configuration MAY change dynamically, e.g., depending on factors like device upgrade or if system-controlled resources(e.g., HW available) change. In some implementations, when QoS function is enabled, QoS-related policies are created by system. If the system configuration gets changed, YANG notification (e.g., "push-change-update" notification) [RFC8641][RFC8639][RFC6470] can be used to notify the client. Any update of the contents in <system> will not cause the automatic update of <running>, even if some of the system configuration has already been copied into <running> explicitly or automatically before the update.

3.3. No Impact to <operational>

This work intends to have no impact to <operational>. As always, system configuration will appear in <operational> with "origin=system". This work enables a subset of those system generated nodes to be defined like configuration, i.e., made visible

to clients in order for being referenced or configurable prior to present in <operational>. "Config false" nodes are out of scope, hence existing "config false" nodes are not impacted by this work.

4. Dynamic Behavior

4.1. Conceptual Model

This document introduces a mandatory datastore named "system" which is used to hold all three types of system configurations defined in [Section 2](#).

When the device is powered on, immediately-active system configuration will be generated in <system> and applied immediately but inactive-until-referenced system configuration only becomes active if it is referenced by client-defined configuration. While conditionally-active system configuration will be created and immediately applied if the condition on system resources is met when the device is powered on or running.

All above three types of system configurations will appear in <system>. Clients MAY reference nodes defined in <system>, override values of configurations defined in <system>, and configure descendant nodes of system-defined nodes, by copying or writing intended configurations into the target configuration datastore (e.g., <running>).

The server will merge <running> and <system> to create <intended>, in which process, the data node appears in <running> takes precedence over the same node in <system> if the server allows the node to be modifiable; additional nodes to a list entry or new list/leaf-list entries appear in <running> extends the list entry or the whole list/leaf-list defined in <system> if the server allows the list/leaf-list to be updated. In addition, the <intended> configuration datastore represents the configuration after all configuration transformation to <system> are performed (e.g., system-defined template expansion, removal of inactive system configuration). If a server implements <intended>, <system> MUST be merged into <intended>.

Servers MUST enforce that configuration references in <running> are resolved within the <running> datastore and ensure that <running> contains any referenced system configuration. Clients MUST either explicitly copy system-defined nodes into <running> or use the "resolve-system" parameter. The server MUST enforce that the referenced system nodes configured into <running> by the client is consistent with <system>. Note that <system> aware clients know how to discover what nodes exist in <system>. How clients unaware of the

<system> datastore can find appropriate configurations is beyond the scope of this document.

No matter how the referenced system configurations are copied into <running>, the nodes copied into <running> would always be returned after a read of <running>, regardless if the client is <system> aware.

4.2. Explicit Declaration of System Configuration

It is possible for a client to explicitly declare system configuration nodes in the target datastore (e.g., <running>) with the same values as in <system>, by configuring a node (list/leaf-list entry, leaf, etc) in the target datastore (e.g., <running>) that matches the same node and value in <system>.

This explicit configuration of system-defined nodes in <running> can be useful, for example, when the client doesn't want a "system client" to have a role or hasn't implemented the "resolve-system" parameter. The client can explicitly declare (i.e. configure in <running>) the list entries (with at least the keys) for any system configuration list entries that are referenced elsewhere in <running>. The client does not necessarily need to declare all the contents of the list entry (i.e. the descendant nodes) - only the parts that are required to make the <running> appear valid.

4.3. Servers Auto-configuring Referenced System Configuration

This document defines a new parameter "resolve-system" to the input for the <edit-config>, <edit-data> and <copy-config> operations. Clients that are aware of the "resolve-system" parameter MAY use this parameter to avoid the requirement to provide a referentially complete configuration in <running>.

If the "resolve-system" is present, the server MUST copy relevant referenced system-defined nodes into the target datastore (e.g., <running>) without the client doing the copy/paste explicitly, to resolve any references not resolved by the client. The server acting as a "system client" like any other remote clients copies the referenced system-defined nodes when triggered by the "resolve-system" parameter.

If the "resolve-system" parameter is not given by the client, the server should not modify <running> in any way otherwise not specified by the client. Not using capitalized "SHOULD NOT" in the previous sentence is intentional. The intention is bring awareness to the general need to not surprise clients with unexpected changes. It is desirable for clients to always opt into using mechanisms having server-side changes. This document enables a client to opt into this behavior using the "resolve-system" parameter. RFC 7317

enables a client to opt into its behavior using a "\$0\$" prefix (see `ianach:crypt-hash` type defined in [\[RFC7317\]](#)).

The server may automatically configure the list entries (with at least the keys) in the target datastore (e.g., `<running>`) for any system configuration list entries that are referenced elsewhere by the clients. Similarly, not all the contents of the list entry (i.e., the descendant nodes) are necessarily copied by the server - only the parts that are required to make the `<running>` valid. A read back of `<running>` (i.e., `<get>`, `<get-config>` or `<get-data>` operation) returns those automatically copied nodes.

4.4. Modifying (overriding) System Configuration

In some cases, a server may allow some parts of system configuration to be modified. List keys in system configuration can't be changed by a client, but other descendant nodes in a list entry may be modifiable or non-modifiable. Leafs and leaf-lists outside of lists may also be modifiable or non-modifiable. Even if some system configuration has been copied into `<running>` earlier, whether it is modifiable or not in `<running>` follows general YANG and NACM rules, and other server-internal restrictions. If a system configuration node is non-modifiable, then writing a different value for that node in `<running>` MUST return an error. The immutability of system configuration is further defined in [\[I-D.ma-netmod-immutable-flag\]](#).

Modification of system configuration is achieved by the client writing configuration to `<running>` that overrides the system configuration. Configurations defined in `<running>` take precedence over system configuration nodes in `<system>` if the server allows the nodes to be modified.

A server may also allow a client to add data nodes to a list entry in `<system>` by writing those additional nodes in `<running>`. Those additional data nodes may not exist in `<system>` (i.e. an *addition* rather than an override).

While modifying (overriding) system configuration nodes may be supported by a server, there is no mechanism for deleting a system configuration node in `<system>` unless the resource is no longer available. For example, a "mandatory true" leaf may have a value in `<system>` which can be modified (overridden) by a client setting that leaf to a value in `<running>`. But the leaf could not be deleted. Another example of this might be that system initializes a value for a particular leaf which is overridden by the client with intended value in `<running>`. The client may delete the leaf in `<running>`, but system-initialized value defined in `<system>` will be in use and appear in `<operational>`.

Comment 1: What if <system> contains a set of values for a leaf-list, and a client configures another set of values for that leaf-list in <running>, will the set of values in <running> completely replace the set of values in <system>? Or the two sets of values are merged together?

Comment 2: how "ordered-by user" lists and leaf-lists are merged? Do the <running> values go before or after, or is this a case where a full-replace is needed.

4.5. Examples

This section shows the examples of server-configuring of <running> automatically, declaring a system-defined node in <running> explicitly, modifying a system-instantiated leaf's value and configuring descendant nodes of a system-defined node. For each example, the corresponding XML snippets are provided.

4.5.1. Server Configuring of <running> Automatically

In this subsection, the following fictional module is used:

```
module example-application {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:example:application";
  prefix "app";

  import ietf-inet-types {
    prefix "inet";
  }
  container applications {
    list application {
      key "name";
      leaf name {
        type string;
      }
      leaf protocol {
        type enumeration {
          enum tcp;
          enum udp;
        }
      }
      leaf destination-port {
        type inet:port-number;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

The server may predefine some applications as a convenience for the clients. These predefined configurations are applied only after being referenced by other configurations, which fall into the "inactive-until-referenced" system configuration as defined in [Section 2](#). The system-instantiated application entries may be present in <system> as follows:

```
<applications xmlns="urn:example:application">
  <application>
    <name>ftp</name>
    <protocol>tcp</protocol>
    <destination-port>21</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>tftp</name>
    <protocol>udp</protocol>
    <destination-port>69</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>smtp</name>
    <protocol>tcp</protocol>
    <destination-port>25</destination-port>
  </application>
  ...
</applications>
```

The client may also define its customized applications. Suppose the configuration of applications is present in <running> as follows:

```
<applications xmlns="urn:example:application">
  <application>
    <name>my-app-1</name>
    <protocol>tcp</protocol>
    <destination-port>2345</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>my-app-2</name>
    <protocol>udp</protocol>
    <destination-port>69</destination-port>
  </application>
</applications>
```

A fictional ACL YANG module is used as follows, which defines a leafref for the leaf-list "application" data node to refer to an existing application name.

```

module example-acl {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:example:acl";
  prefix "acl";

  import example-application {
    prefix "app";
  }
  import ietf-inet-types {
    prefix "inet";
  }

  container acl {
    list acl_rule {
      key "name";
      leaf name {
        type string;
      }
      container matches {
        choice l3 {
          container ipv4 {
            leaf source_address {
              type inet:ipv4-prefix;
            }
            leaf dest_address {
              type inet:ipv4-prefix;
            }
          }
        }
      }
      choice applications {
        leaf-list application {
          type leafref {
            path "/app:applications/app:application/app:name";
          }
        }
      }
    }
    leaf packet_action {
      type enumeration {
        enum forward;
        enum drop;
        enum redirect;
      }
    }
  }
}

```

If a client configures an ACL rule referencing system predefined nodes which are not present in <running>, the client MAY issue an <edit-config> operation with the parameter "resolve-system" as follows:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <config>
      <acl xmlns="urn:example:acl">
        <acl_rule>
          <name>allow_access_to_ftp_tftp</name>
          <matches>
            <ipv4>
              <source_address>198.51.100.0/24</source_address>
              <dest_address>192.0.2.0/24</dest_address>
            </ipv4>
            <application>ftp</application>
            <application>tftp</application>
            <application>my-app-1</application>
          </matches>
          <packet_action>forward</packet_action>
        </acl_rule>
      </acl>
    </config>
    <resolve-system/>
  </edit-config>
</rpc>
```

Then following gives the configuration of applications in <running> which is returned in the response to a follow-up <get-config> operation:

```

<applications xmlns="urn:example:application">
  <application>
    <name>my-app-1</name>
    <protocol>tcp</protocol>
    <destination-port>2345</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>my-app-2</name>
    <protocol>udp</protocol>
    <destination-port>69</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>ftp</name>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>tftp</name>
  </application>
</applications>

```

Then the configuration of applications is present in <operational> as follows:

```

<applications xmlns="urn:example:application"
  xmlns:or="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-origin"
  or:origin="or:intended">
  <application>
    <name>my-app-1</name>
    <protocol>tcp</protocol>
    <destination-port>2345</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>my-app-2</name>
    <protocol>udp</protocol>
    <destination-port>69</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application or:origin="or:system">
    <name>ftp</name>
    <protocol>tcp</protocol>
    <destination-port>21</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application or:origin="or:system">
    <name>tftp</name>
    <protocol>udp</protocol>
    <destination-port>69</destination-port>
  </application>
</applications>

```

Since the configuration of application "smtp" is not referenced by the client, it does not appear in <operational> but only in <system>.

4.5.2. Declaring a System-defined Node in <running> Explicitly

It's also possible for a client to explicitly declare the system-defined configurations that are referenced. For instance, in the above example, the client MAY also explicitly configure the following system defined applications "ftp" and "tftp" only with the list key "name" before referencing:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <config>
      <applications xmlns="urn:example:application">
        <application>
          <name>ftp</name>
        </application>
        <application>
          <name>tftp</name>
        </application>
      </applications>
    </config>
  </edit-config>
</rpc>
```

Then the client issues an <edit-config> operation to configure an ACL rule referencing applications "ftp" and "tftp" without the parameter "resolve-system" as follows:


```

<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <config>
      <acl xmlns="urn:example:acl">
        <acl_rule>
          <name>allow_access_to_ftp_tftp</name>
          <matches>
            <ipv4>
              <source_address>198.51.100.0/24</source_address>
              <dest_address>192.0.2.0/24</dest_address>
            </ipv4>
            <application>ftp</application>
            <application>tftp</application>
            <application>my-app-1</application>
          </matches>
          <packet_action>forward</packet_action>
        </acl_rule>
      </acl>
    </config>
  </edit-config>
</rpc>

```

Then following gives the configuration of applications in <running> which is returned in the response to a follow-up <get-config> operation, all the configuration of applications are explicitly configured by the client:

```

<applications xmlns="urn:example:application">
  <application>
    <name>my-app-1</name>
    <protocol>tcp</protocol>
    <destination-port>2345</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>my-app-2</name>
    <protocol>udp</protocol>
    <destination-port>69</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>ftp</name>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>tftp</name>
  </application>
</applications>

```

Then the configuration of applications is present in <operational> as follows:

```
<applications xmlns="urn:example:application"
              xmlns:or="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-origin"
              or:origin="or:intended">
  <application>
    <name>my-app-1</name>
    <protocol>tcp</protocol>
    <destination-port>2345</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>my-app-2</name>
    <protocol>udp</protocol>
    <destination-port>69</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>ftp</name>
    <protocol or:origin="or:system">tcp</protocol>
    <destination-port or:origin="or:system">21</destination-port>
  </application>
  <application>
    <name>tftp</name>
    <protocol or:origin="or:system">udp</protocol>
    <destination-port or:origin="or:system">69</destination-port>
  </application>
</applications>
```

Since the application names "ftp" and "tftp" are explicitly configured by the client, they take precedence over the values in <system>, the "origin" attribute will be set to "intended".

4.5.3. Modifying a System-instantiated Leaf's Value

In this subsection, we will use this fictional QoS data model:

```

module example-qos-policy {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:example:qos";
  prefix "qos";

  container qos-policies {
    list policy {
      key "name";
      leaf name {
        type string;
      }
      list queue {
        key "queue-id";
        leaf queue-id {
          type int32 {
            range "1..32";
          }
        }
        leaf maximum-burst-size {
          type int32 {
            range "0..100";
          }
        }
      }
    }
  }
}

```

Suppose a client creates a qos policy "my-policy" with 4 system instantiated queues(1~4). The Configuration of qos-policies is present in <system> as follows:

```
<qos-policies xmlns="urn:example:qos">
  <name>my-policy</name>
  <queue>
    <queue-id>1</queue-id>
    <maximum-burst-size>50</maximum-burst-size>
  </queue>
  <queue>
    <queue-id>2</queue-id>
    <maximum-burst-size>60</maximum-burst-size>
  </queue>
  <queue>
    <queue-id>3</queue-id>
    <maximum-burst-size>70</maximum-burst-size>
  </queue>
  <queue>
    <queue-id>4</queue-id>
    <maximum-burst-size>80</maximum-burst-size>
  </queue>
</qos-policies>
```

A client modifies the value of maximum-burst-size to 55 in queue-id 1:

```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <config>
      <qos-policies xmlns="urn:example:qos">
        <name>my-policy</name>
        <queue>
          <queue-id>1</queue-id>
          <maximum-burst-size>55</maximum-burst-size>
        </queue>
      </qos-policies>
    </config>
  </edit-config>
</rpc>
```

Then the configuration of qos-policies is present in <operational> as follows:

```

<qos-policies xmlns="urn:example:qos"
              xmlns:or="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-origin
              or:origin="or:intended">
  <name>my-policy</name>
  <queue>
    <queue-id>1</queue-id>
    <maximum-burst-size>55</maximum-burst-size>
  </queue>
  <queue or:origin="or:system">
    <queue-id>2</queue-id>
    <maximum-burst-size>60</maximum-burst-size>
  </queue>
  <queue or:origin="or:system">
    <queue-id>3</queue-id>
    <maximum-burst-size>70</maximum-burst-size>
  </queue>
  <queue or:origin="or:system">
    <queue-id>4</queue-id>
    <maximum-burst-size>80</maximum-burst-size>
  </queue>
</qos-policies>

```

4.5.4. Configuring Descendant Nodes of a System-defined Node

This subsection also uses the fictional interface YANG module defined in Appendix C.3 of [RFC8342]. Suppose the system provides a loopback interface (named "lo0") with a default IPv4 address of "127.0.0.1" and a default IPv6 address of "::1".

The configuration of "lo0" interface is present in <system> as follows:

```

<interfaces>
  <interface>
    <name>lo0</name>
    <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
    <ip-address>::1</ip-address>
  </interface>
</interfaces>

```

The configuration of "lo0" interface is present in <operational> as follows:

```

<interfaces xmlns:or="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-origin"
            or:origin="or:system">
  <interface>
    <name>lo0</name>
    <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
    <ip-address>::1</ip-address>
  </interface>
</interfaces>

```

Later on, the client further configures the description node of a "lo0" interface as follows:

```

<rpc message-id="101"
      xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <config>
      <interfaces>
        <interface>
          <name>lo0</name>
          <description>loopback</description>
        </interface>
      </interfaces>
    </config>
  </edit-config>
</rpc>

```

Then the configuration of interface "lo0" is present in <operational> as follows:

```

<interfaces xmlns:or="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-origin"
            or:origin="or:intended">
  <interface>
    <name>lo0</name>
    <description>loopback</description>
    <ip-address or:origin="or:system">127.0.0.1</ip-address>
    <ip-address or:origin="or:system">::1</ip-address>
  </interface>
</interfaces>

```

5. The <system> Configuration Datastore

NMDA servers claiming to support this document MUST implement a <system> configuration datastore, and they SHOULD also implement the <intended> datastore.

Following guidelines for defining datastores in the appendix A of [RFC8342], this document introduces a new datastore resource named 'system' that represents the system configuration. A device MAY implement the mechanism defined in this document without implementing the "system" datastore, which would only eliminate the ability to programmatically determine the system configuration.

*Name: "system"

*YANG modules: all

*YANG nodes: all "config true" data nodes up to the root of the tree, generated by the system

*Management operations: The content of the datastore is set by the server in an implementation dependent manner. The content can not be changed by management operations via NETCONF, RESTCONF, the CLI, etc, but may change itself by upgrades and/or when resource-conditions are met. The datastore can be read using the standard NETCONF/RESTCONF protocol operations.

*Origin: This document does not define any new origin identity when it interacts with <intended> datastore and flows into <operational>. The "system" origin Metadata Annotation [RFC7952] is used to indicate the origin of a data item is system.

*Protocols: YANG-driven management protocols, such as NETCONF and RESTCONF.

*Defining YANG module: "ietf-system-datastore".

The datastore's content is defined by the server and read-only to clients. Upon the content is created or changed, it will be merged into <intended> datastore. Unlike <factory-default> [RFC8808], it MAY change dynamically, e.g., depending on factors like device upgrade or system-controlled resources change (e.g., HW available). The <system> datastore doesn't persist across reboots; the contents of <system> will be lost upon reboot and recreated by the system with the same or changed contents. <factory-reset> RPC operation defined in [RFC8808] can reset it to its factory default configuration without including configuration generated due to the system update or client-enabled functionality.

The <system> datastore is defined as a conventional configuration datastore and shares a common datastore schema with other conventional datastores. The <system> configuration datastore must always be valid, as defined in Section 8.1 of [RFC7950].

6. The "ietf-system-datastore" Module

6.1. Data Model Overview

This YANG module defines a new YANG identity named "system" that uses the "ds:datastore" identity defined in [RFC8342]. A client can discover the <system> datastore support on the server by reading the YANG library information from the operational state datastore. Note that no new origin identity is defined in this document, the "or:system" origin Metadata Annotation [RFC7952] is used to indicate the origin of a data item is system. Support for the "origin" annotation is identified with the feature "origin" defined in [RFC8526].

The following diagram illustrates the relationship amongst the "identity" statements defined in the "ietf-system-datastore" and "ietf-datastores" YANG modules:

Identities:

```
+--- datastore
| +--- conventional
| | +--- running
| | +--- candidate
| | +--- startup
| | +--- system
| | +--- intended
| +--- dynamic
| +--- operational
```

The diagram above uses syntax that is similar to but not defined in [RF

6.2. Example Usage

This section gives an example of data retrieval from <system>. The YANG module used are shown in Appendix C.2 of [RFC8342]. All the messages are presented in a protocol-independent manner. JSON is used only for its conciseness.

Suppose the following data is added to <running>:

```
{
  "bgp": {
    "local-as": "64501",
    "peer-as": "64502",
    "peer": {
      "name": "2001:db8::2:3"
    }
  }
}
```


REQUEST (a <get-data> or GET request sent from the NETCONF or RESTCONF client):

Datastore: <system>

Target:/bgp

An example of RESTCONF request:

```
GET /restconf/ds/system/bgp HTTP/1.1
```

```
Host: example.com
```

```
Accept: application/yang-data+xml
```

RESPONSE ("local-port" leaf value is supplied by the system):

```
{
  "bgp": {
    "peer": {
      "name": "2001:db8::2:3",
      "local-port": "60794"
    }
  }
}
```

6.3. YANG Module

```
<CODE BEGINS> file="ietf-system-datastore@2022-08-09.yang"
module ietf-system-datastore {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-system-datastore";
  prefix sysds;

  import ietf-datastores {
    prefix ds;
    reference
      "RFC 8342: Network Management Datastore Architecture(NMDA)";
  }

  organization
    "IETF NETMDOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";

  contact
    "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
    Author: Qiufang Ma
           <mailto:maqiufang1@huawei.com>
    Author: Qin Wu
           <mailto:bill.wu@huawei.com>
    Author: Chong Feng
           <mailto:frank.fengchong@huawei.com>";

  description
    "This module defines a new YANG identity that uses the
    ds:datastore identity defined in [RFC8342].

    Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified
    as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

    Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with
    or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and
    subject to the license terms contained in, the Revised
    BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's
    Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents
    (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

    This version of this YANG module is part of RFC HHHH
    (https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfcHHHH); see the RFC
    itself for full legal notices.

    The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL',
    'SHALL NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED',
    'NOT RECOMMENDED', 'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document
    are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119)
    (RFC 8174) when, and only when, they appear in all
    capitals, as shown here.";
```

```

revision 2022-08-09 {
  description

    "Initial version.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: System-defined Configuration";
}

identity system {
  base ds:conventional;
  description
    "This read-only datastore contains the complete configuration
    provided by the system itself.";
}
}

```

<CODE ENDS>

7. The "ietf-netconf-resolve-system" Module

This YANG module is optional to implement.

7.1. Data Model Overview

This YANG module augments NETCONF <edit-config>, <edit-data> and <copy-config> operations with a new parameter "resolve-system" in the input parameters. If the "resolve-system" parameter is present, the server will copy the referenced system configuration into target datastore automatically. A NETCONF client can discover the "resolve-system" parameter support on the server by checking the YANG library information with "ietf-netconf-resolve-system" included from the operational state datastore.

The following tree diagram [RFC8340] illustrates the "ietf-netconf-resolve-system" module:

```

module: ietf-netconf-resolve-system
  augment /nc:edit-config/nc:input:
    +---w resolve-system?  empty
  augment /nc:copy-config/nc:input:
    +---w resolve-system?  empty
  augment /ncds:edit-data/ncds:input:
    +---w resolve-system?  empty

```

The following tree diagram [RFC8340] illustrates "edit-config", "copy-config" and "edit-data" rpcs defined in "ietf-netconf" and "ietf-netconf-nmda" respectively, augmented by "ietf-netconf-resolve-system" YANG module :

rpcs:

```
+---x edit-config
| +---w input
|   +---w target
|     | +---w (config-target)
|     |   +--:(candidate)
|     |     | +---w candidate?   empty {candidate}?
|     |     | +--:(running)
|     |       +---w running?     empty {writable-running}?
|   +---w default-operation?     enumeration
|   +---w test-option?           enumeration {validate}?
|   +---w error-option?          enumeration
|   +---w (edit-content)
|     | +--:(config)
|     |   | +---w config?        <anyxml>
|     |   | +--:(url)
|     |     +---w url?           inet:uri {url}?
|   +---w resolve-system?        empty
+---x copy-config
| +---w input
|   +---w target
|     | +---w (config-target)
|     |   +--:(candidate)
|     |     | +---w candidate?   empty {candidate}?
|     |     | +--:(running)
|     |       | +---w running?   empty {writable-running}?
|     |       | +--:(startup)
|     |         | +---w startup?  empty {startup}?
|     |         | +--:(url)
|     |           +---w url?      inet:uri {url}?
|   +---w source
|     | +---w (config-source)
|     |   +--:(candidate)
|     |     | +---w candidate?   empty {candidate}?
|     |     | +--:(running)
|     |       | +---w running?   empty
|     |       | +--:(startup)
|     |         | +---w startup?  empty {startup}?
|     |         | +--:(url)
|     |           +---w url?      inet:uri {url}?
|     |       +--:(config)
|     |         +---w config?     <anyxml>
|   +---w resolve-system?        empty
+---x edit-data
  +---w input
    +---w datastore               ds:datastore-ref
    +---w default-operation?     enumeration
    +---w (edit-content)
      | +--:(config)
```

		+---w config?	<anydata>
		+--:(url)	
		+---w url?	inet:uri {nc:url}?
+---w		resolve-system?	empty

7.2. Example Usage

This section gives an example of an <edit-config> request to reference system-defined data nodes which are not present in <running> with a "resolve-system" parameter. A retrieval of <running> to show the auto-copied referenced system configurations after the <edit-config> request is also given. The YANG module used is shown as follows, leafrefs refer to an existing name and address of an interface:

```
module example-interface-management {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:example:interfacemgmt";
  prefix "inm";

  container interfaces {
    list interface {
      key name;
      leaf name {
        type string;
      }
      leaf description {
        type string;
      }
      leaf mtu {
        type uint16;
      }
      leaf ip-address {
        type inet:ip-address;
      }
    }
  }
  container default-address {
    leaf ifname {
      type leafref {
        path "../..//interfaces/interface/name";
      }
    }
    leaf address {
      type leafref {
        path "../..//interfaces/interface[name = current()../ifname]
          + "/ip-address";
      }
    }
  }
}
```

Imagine that the system provides a loopback interface (named "lo0") with a predefined MTU value of "1500" and a predefined IP address of

"127.0.0.1". The <system> datastore shows the following configuration of loopback interface:

```
<interfaces xmlns="urn:example:interfacemgmt">
  <interface>
    <name>lo0</name>
    <mtu>1500</mtu>
    <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
  </interface>
</interfaces>
```

The client sends an <edit-config> operation to add the configuration of default-address with a "resolve-system" parameter:

```
<rpc xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0" message-id="101">
  <edit-config>
    <target>
      <running/>
    </target>
    <config>
      <default-address xmlns="urn:example:interfacemgmt">
        <if-name>lo0</if-name>
        <address>127.0.0.1</address>
      </default-address>
    </config>
    <resolve-system/>
  </edit-config>
</rpc>
```

Since the "resolve-system" parameter is provided, the server will resolve any leafrefs to system configurations and copy the referenced system-defined nodes into <running> automatically with the same value (i.e., the name and ip-address data nodes of lo0 interface) in <system> at the end of <edit-config> operation constraint enforcement. After the processing, a positive response is returned:

```
<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

Then the client sends a <get-config> operation towards <running>:


```
<rpc message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get-config>
    <source>
      <running/>
    </source>
    <filter type="subtree">
      <interfaces xmlns="urn:example:interfacemgmt"/>
    </filter>
  </get-config>
</rpc>
```

Given that the referenced interface "name" and "ip-address" of lo0 are configured by the server, the following response is returned:

```
<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data>
    <interfaces xmlns="urn:example:interfacemgmt">
      <interface>
        <name>lo0</name>
        <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
      </interface>
    </interfaces>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>
```

7.3. YANG Module

```
<CODE BEGINS> file="ietf-netconf-resolve-system@2022-08-09.yang"
module ietf-netconf-resolve-system {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-resolve-system";
  prefix ncrs;

  import ietf-netconf {
    prefix nc;
    reference
      "RFC 6241: Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)";
  }

  import ietf-netconf-nmda {
    prefix ncds;
    reference
      "RFC 8526: NETCONF Extensions to Support the Network
      Management Datastore Architecture";
  }

  organization
    "IETF NETMOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";

  contact
    "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    WG List: <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>
    Author: Qiufang Ma
           <mailto:maqiufang1@huawei.com>
    Author: Qin Wu
           <mailto:bill.wu@huawei.com>
    Author: Chong Feng
           <mailto:frank.fengchong@huawei.com>";

  description
    "This module defines an extension to the NETCONF protocol
    that allows the NETCONF client to control whether the server
    is allowed to copy referenced system configuration
    automatically without the client doing so explicitly.

    Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified
    as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

    Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with
    or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and
    subject to the license terms contained in, the Revised
    BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's
    Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents
    (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfcHHHH); see the RFC
```

itself for full legal notices.

The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'NOT RECOMMENDED', 'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.";

```
revision 2022-08-09 {
  description
    "Initial version.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: System-defined Configuration";
}

augment /nc:edit-config/nc:input {
  description
    "Allows the server to automatically configure
    referenced system configuration to make configuration
    valid.";
  leaf resolve-system {
    type empty ;
    description
      "When present, the server is allowed to automatically
      configure referenced system configuration into the
      target configuration datastore.";
  }
}

augment /nc:copy-config/nc:input {
  description
    "Allows the server to automatically configure
    referenced system configuration to make configuration
    valid.";
  leaf resolve-system {
    type empty ;
    description
      "When present, the server is allowed to automatically
      configure referenced system configuration into the
      target configuration datastore.";
  }
}

augment /ncds:edit-data/ncds:input {
  description
    "Allows the server to automatically configure
    referenced system configuration to make configuration
    valid.";
```

```

leaf resolve-system {
  type empty ;
  description
    "When present, the server is allowed to automatically
    configure referenced system configuration into the
    target configuration datastore.";
}
}
}

```

<CODE ENDS>

8. IANA Considerations

8.1. The "IETF XML" Registry

This document registers two XML namespace URNs in the 'IETF XML registry', following the format defined in [RFC3688].

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-system-datastore

Registrant Contact: The IESG.

XML: N/A, the requested URIs are XML namespaces.

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-resolve-system

Registrant Contact: The IESG.

XML: N/A, the requested URIs are XML namespaces.

8.2. The "YANG Module Names" Registry

This document registers two module names in the 'YANG Module Names' registry, defined in [RFC6020] .

name: ietf-system-datastore

prefix: sys

namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-system-datastore

RFC: XXXX // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX and remove this comment

name: ietf-netconf-resolve-system

prefix: ncrs

namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-resolve-system

RFC: XXXX // RFC Ed.: replace XXXX and remove this comment

8.3. RESTCONF Capability URN Registry

This document registers a capability in the "RESTCONF Capability URNs" registry [RFC8040]:

Index	Capability Identifier

:resolve-system	urn:ietf:params:restconf:capability:resolve-system:1

9. Security Considerations

9.1. Regarding the "ietf-system-datastore" YANG Module

The YANG module defined in this document extends the base operations for NETCONF [RFC6241] and RESTCONF [RFC8040]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [RFC6242]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [RFC8446].

The Network Configuration Access Control Model (NACM) [RFC8341] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF protocol operations and content.

9.2. Regarding the "ietf-netconf-resolve-system" YANG Module

The YANG module defined in this document extends the base operations for NETCONF [RFC6241] and [RFC8526]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [RFC6242]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [RFC8446].

The Network Configuration Access Control Model (NACM) [RFC8341] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF protocol operations and content.

The security considerations for the base NETCONF protocol operations (see Section 9 of [RFC6241]) apply to the new extended RPC operations defined in this document.

10. Contributors

Kent Watsen
Watsen Networks

Email: kent+ietf@watsen.net

Jan Lindblad
Cisco Systems

Email: jlindbla@cisco.com

Chongfeng Xie
China Telecom
Beijing
China

Email: xiechf@chinatelecom.cn

Jason Sterne
Nokia

Email: jason.sterne@nokia.com

Acknowledgements

Thanks to Robert Wilton, Balazs Lengyel, Andy Bierman, Juergen Schoenwaelder, Alex Clemm, Martin Bjorklund, Timothy Carey for reviewing, and providing important input to, this document.

References

Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6241, DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>>.
- [RFC7950] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "The YANG 1.1 Data Modeling Language", RFC 7950, DOI 10.17487/RFC7950, August 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7950>>.
- [RFC8342] Bjorklund, M., Schoenwaelder, J., Shafer, P., Watsen, K., and R. Wilton, "Network Management Datastore Architecture

(NMDA)", RFC 8342, DOI 10.17487/RFC8342, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8342>>.

[RFC8526] Bjorklund, M., Schoenwaelder, J., Shafer, P., Watsen, K., and R. Wilton, "NETCONF Extensions to Support the Network Management Datastore Architecture", RFC 8526, DOI 10.17487/RFC8526, March 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8526>>.

Informative References

[I-D.ma-netmod-immutable-flag] Ma, Q., Wu, Q., Lengyel, B., and H. Li, "YANG Extension and Metadata Annotation for Immutable Flag", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ma-netmod-immutable-flag-04, 20 October 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ma-netmod-immutable-flag-04.txt>>.

[RFC7317] Bierman, A. and M. Bjorklund, "A YANG Data Model for System Management", RFC 7317, DOI 10.17487/RFC7317, August 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7317>>.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

[RFC8407] Bierman, A., "Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of Documents Containing YANG Data Models", BCP 216, RFC 8407, DOI 10.17487/RFC8407, October 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8407>>.

[RFC8525] Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., Schoenwaelder, J., Watsen, K., and R. Wilton, "YANG Library", RFC 8525, DOI 10.17487/RFC8525, March 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8525>>.

[RFC8808] Wu, Q., Lengyel, B., and Y. Niu, "A YANG Data Model for Factory Default Settings", RFC 8808, DOI 10.17487/RFC8808, August 2020, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8808>>.

Appendix A. Key Use Cases

Following provides three use cases related to system-defined configuration lifecycle management. The simple interface data model defined in Appendix C.3 of [RFC8342] is used. For each use case, snippets of <running>, <system>, <intended> and <operational> are shown.

A.1. Device Powers On

<running>:

No configuration for "lo0" appears in <running>;

<system>:

```
<interfaces>
  <interface>
    <name>lo0</name>
    <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
    <ip-address>::1</ip-address>
  </interface>
</interfaces>
```

<intended>:

```
<interfaces>
  <interface>
    <name>lo0</name>
    <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
    <ip-address>::1</ip-address>
  </interface>
</interfaces>
```

<operational>:

```
<interfaces xmlns:or="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-origin"
             or:origin="or:system">
  <interface>
    <name>lo0</name>
    <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
    <ip-address>::1</ip-address>
  </interface>
</interfaces>
```

A.2. Client Commits Configuration

If a client creates an interface "et-0/0/0" but the interface does not physically exist at this point:

<running>:

```
<interfaces>
  <interface>
    <name>et-0/0/0</name>
    <description>Test interface</description>
  </interface>
</interfaces>
```

```
<system>:

<interfaces>
  <interface>
    <name>lo0</name>
    <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
    <ip-address>::1</ip-address>
  </interface>
</interfaces>
```

```
<intended>:

<interfaces>
  <name>lo0</name>
  <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
  <ip-address>::1</ip-address>
</interface>
<interface>
  <name>et-0/0/0</name>
  <description>Test interface</description>
</interface>
<interface>
</interface>
</interfaces>
```

```
<operational>:

<interfaces xmlns:or="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-origin"
  or:origin="or:intended">
  <interface or:origin="or:system">
    <name>lo0</name>
    <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
    <ip-address>::1</ip-address>
  </interface>
</interfaces>
```

A.3. Operator Installs Card into a Chassis

```
<running>:

<interfaces>
  <interface>
    <name>et-0/0/0</name>
    <description>Test interface</description>
  </interface>
</interfaces>

<system>:
```

```
<interfaces>
  <interface>
    <name>lo0</name>
    <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
    <ip-address>::1</ip-address>
  </interface>
  <interface>
    <name>et-0/0/0</name>
    <mtu>1500</mtu>
  </interface>
</interfaces>
```

<intended>:

```
<interfaces>
  <name>lo0</name>
  <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
  <ip-address>::1</ip-address>
</interface>
<interface>
  <name>et-0/0/0</name>
  <description>Test interface</description>
  <mtu>1500</mtu>
</interface>
<interface>
</interface>
</interfaces>
```

<operational>:

```
<interfaces xmlns:or="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-origin"
  or:origin="or:intended">
  <interface or:origin="or:system">
    <name or:origin>lo0</name>
    <ip-address>127.0.0.1</ip-address>
    <ip-address>::1</ip-address>
  </interface>
  <interface>
    <name>et-0/0/0</name>
    <description>Test interface</description>
    <mtu or:origin="or:system">1500</mtu>
  </interface>
  <interface>
</interface>
</interfaces>
```

Appendix B. Changes between Revisions

v03 - v04

*Clarify the "should not" statement;

*Editorial changes, like avoid using "object";

v02 - v03

*Define a RESTCONF capability URI for "resolve-system" RESTCONF query parameter;

*Augment <copy-config> RPC operation to support "resolve-system" for input parameter;

*Editorial changes for clarification and explanation. E.g., definition of system configuration, is <system> always valid? Will the update of <system> be reflected into <running>? Clarify "read-only to clients" and "modifying system configuration", non-deletable system configuration, etc

v00 - v02

*Remove the "with-system" parameter to retrieve <running> with system configuration merged in.

*Add a new parameter named "resolve-system" to allow the server to populate referenced system configuration into <running> automatically in order to make <running> valid.

*Usage examples refinement.

v02 - v00

*Restructure the document content based on input in the system defined configuration interim meeting.

*Updates NMDA to define a read-only conventional configuration datastore called "system".

*Retrieval of implicit hidden system configuration via <get><get-config> with "with-system" parameter to support non-NMDA servers.

*Provide system defined configuration classification.

*Define Static Characteristics and dynamic behavior for system defined configuration.

*Separate "ietf-system-datastore" Module from "ietf-netconf-with-system" Module.

*Provide usage examples for dynamic behaviors.

*Provide usage examples for two YANG modules.

*Provide three use cases related to system-defined configuration lifecycle management.

*Classify the relation with <factory-default>.

Appendix C. Open Issues tracking

*Should the "with-origin" parameter be supported for <intended>?

Authors' Addresses

Qiufang Ma (editor)
Huawei
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District
Nanjing
Jiangsu, 210012
China

Email: maqiufang1@huawei.com

Qin Wu
Huawei
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District
Nanjing
Jiangsu, 210012
China

Email: bill.wu@huawei.com

Feng Chong
Huawei
101 Software Avenue, Yuhua District
Nanjing
Jiangsu, 210012
China

Email: frank.fengchong@huawei.com