

Workgroup: Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft:  
draft-ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning-08  
Updates: [6020](#), [7950](#), [8407](#), [8525](#) (if approved)  
Published: 12 January 2023  
Intended Status: Standards Track  
Expires: 16 July 2023  
Authors: R. Wilton, Ed.                      R. Rahman, Ed.  
          Cisco Systems, Inc.      Graphiant  
          B. Lengyel, Ed.      J. Clarke                      J. Sterne  
          Ericsson                      Cisco Systems, Inc.      Nokia

### **Updated YANG Module Revision Handling**

#### **Abstract**

This document specifies a new YANG module update procedure that can document when non-backwards-compatible changes have occurred during the evolution of a YANG module. It extends the YANG import statement with a minimum revision suggestion to help document inter-module dependencies. It provides guidelines for managing the lifecycle of YANG modules and individual schema nodes. It provides a mechanism, via the revision label YANG extension, to specify a revision identifier for YANG modules and submodules. This document updates RFC 7950, RFC 6020, RFC 8407 and RFC 8525.

#### **Status of This Memo**

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 16 July 2023.

#### **Copyright Notice**

Copyright (c) 2023 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

## Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#)
  - [1.1. Updates to YANG RFCs](#)
- [2. Terminology and Conventions](#)
- [3. Refinements to YANG revision handling](#)
  - [3.1. Updating a YANG module with a new revision](#)
    - [3.1.1. Backwards-compatible rules](#)
    - [3.1.2. Non-backwards-compatible changes](#)
  - [3.2. non-backwards-compatible extension statement](#)
  - [3.3. Removing revisions from the revision history](#)
  - [3.4. Revision label](#)
    - [3.4.1. File names](#)
    - [3.4.2. Revision label scheme extension statement](#)
  - [3.5. Examples for updating the YANG module revision history](#)
- [4. Guidance for revision selection on imports](#)
  - [4.1. Recommending a minimum revision for module imports](#)
    - [4.1.1. Module import examples](#)
- [5. Updates to ietf-yang-library](#)
  - [5.1. Resolving ambiguous module imports](#)
  - [5.2. YANG library versioning augmentations](#)
    - [5.2.1. Advertising revision-label](#)
    - [5.2.2. Reporting how deprecated and obsolete nodes are handled](#)
- [6. Versioning of YANG instance data](#)
- [7. Guidelines for using the YANG module update rules](#)
  - [7.1. Guidelines for YANG module authors](#)
    - [7.1.1. Making non-backwards-compatible changes to a YANG module](#)
  - [7.2. Versioning Considerations for Clients](#)
- [8. Module Versioning Extension YANG Modules](#)
- [9. Security considerations](#)
  - [9.1. Security considerations for module revisions](#)
  - [9.2. Security considerations for the modules defined in this document](#)
- [10. IANA Considerations](#)
  - [10.1. YANG Module Registrations](#)
  - [10.2. Guidance for versioning in IANA maintained YANG modules](#)
- [11. References](#)
  - [11.1. Normative References](#)
  - [11.2. Informative References](#)
- [Appendix A. Examples of changes that are NBC](#)

## [Appendix B. Examples of applying the NBC change guidelines](#)

[B.1. Removing a data node](#)

[B.2. Changing the type of a leaf node](#)

[B.3. Reducing the range of a leaf node](#)

[B.4. Changing the key of a list](#)

[B.5. Renaming a node](#)

[Contributors](#)

[Authors' Addresses](#)

## **1. Introduction**

The current YANG [[RFC7950](#)] module update rules require that updates of YANG modules preserve strict backwards compatibility. This has caused problems as described in [[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-versioning-reqs](#)]. This document recognizes the need to sometimes allow YANG modules to evolve with non-backwards-compatible changes, which can cause breakage to clients and importing YANG modules. Accepting that non-backwards-compatible changes do sometimes occur, it is important to have mechanisms to report when these changes occur, and to manage their effect on clients and the broader YANG ecosystem.

This document defines a flexible versioning solution. Several other documents build on this solution with additional capabilities. [[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-schema-comparison](#)] specifies an algorithm that can be used to compare two revisions of a YANG schema and provide granular information to allow module users to determine if they are impacted by changes between the revisions. The [[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-semver](#)] document extends the module versioning work by introducing a revision label scheme based on semantic versioning. YANG packages [[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages](#)] provides a mechanism to group sets of related YANG modules together in order to manage schema and conformance of YANG modules as a cohesive set instead of individually. Finally, [[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-ver-selection](#)] provides a schema selection mechanism that allows a client to choose which schemas to use when interacting with a server from the available schema that are supported and advertised by the server. These other documents are mentioned here as informative references. Support of the other documents is not required in an implementation in order to take advantage of the mechanisms and functionality offered by this module versioning document.

The document comprises five parts:

- \*Refinements to the YANG 1.1 module revision update procedure, supported by new extension statements to indicate when a revision contains non-backwards-compatible changes, and an optional revision label.

\*Updated guidance for revision selection on imports and a YANG extension statement allowing YANG module imports to document an earliest module revision that may satisfy the import dependency.

\*Updates and augmentations to ietf-yang-library to include the revision label in the module and submodule descriptions, to report how "deprecated" and "obsolete" nodes are handled by a server, and to clarify how module imports are resolved when multiple revisions could otherwise be chosen.

\*Considerations of how versioning applies to YANG instance data.

\*Guidelines for how the YANG module update rules defined in this document should be used, along with examples.

Note to RFC Editor (To be removed by RFC Editor)

Open issues are tracked at <https://github.com/netmod-wg/yang-ver-dt/issues>.

### 1.1. Updates to YANG RFCs

This document updates [RFC7950] section 11 and [RFC6020] section 10. [Section 3](#) describes modifications to YANG revision handling and update rules, and [Section 4.1](#) describes a YANG extension statement to describe potential YANG import revision dependencies.

This document updates [RFC7950] section 5.2, [RFC6020] section 5.2 and [RFC8407] section 3.2. [Section 3.4.1](#) describes the use of a revision label in the name of a file containing a YANG module or submodule.

This document updates [RFC7950] section 5.6.5 and [RFC8525]. [Section 5.1](#) defines how a client of a YANG library datastore schema resolves ambiguous imports for modules which are not "import-only".

This document updates [RFC8407] section 4.7. [Section 7](#) provides guidelines on managing the lifecycle of YANG modules that may contain non-backwards-compatible changes and a branched revision history.

This document updates [RFC8525] with augmentations to include revision labels in the YANG library data and two boolean leafs to indicate whether status deprecated and status obsolete schema nodes are implemented by the server.

## 2. Terminology and Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and

"OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

This document makes use of the following terminology introduced in the YANG 1.1 Data Modeling Language [[RFC7950](#)]:

\*schema node

In addition, this document uses the following terminology:

\*YANG module revision: An instance of a YANG module, uniquely identified with a revision date, with no implied ordering or backwards compatibility between different revisions of the same module.

\*Backwards-compatible (BC) change: A backwards-compatible change between two YANG module revisions, as defined in [Section 3.1.1](#)

\*Non-backwards-compatible (NBC) change: A non-backwards-compatible change between two YANG module revisions, as defined in [Section 3.1.2](#)

### 3. Refinements to YANG revision handling

[[RFC7950](#)] and [[RFC6020](#)] assume, but do not explicitly state, that the revision history for a YANG module or submodule is strictly linear, i.e., it is prohibited to have two independent revisions of a YANG module or submodule that are both directly derived from the same parent revision.

This document clarifies [[RFC7950](#)] and [[RFC6020](#)] to explicitly allow non-linear development of YANG module and submodule revisions, so that they MAY have multiple revisions that directly derive from the same parent revision. As per [[RFC7950](#)] and [[RFC6020](#)], YANG module and submodule revisions continue to be uniquely identified by their revision date, and hence all revisions of a given module or submodule MUST have unique revision dates.

For a given YANG module revision, revision B is defined as being derived from revision A, if revision A is listed in the revision history of revision B. Although this document allows for a branched revision history, a given YANG module revision history does not contain all revisions in all possible branches, it only lists those from which it was derived, i.e., the module revision's history describes a single path of derived revisions back to the root of the module's revision history.

A corollary to the text above is that the ancestry (derived relationship) between two module or submodule revisions cannot be

determined by comparing the module or submodule revision date or label alone - the revision history must be consulted.

A module's name and revision date identifies a specific immutable definition of that module within its revision history. Hence, if a module includes submodules then to ensure that the module's content is uniquely defined, the module's "include" statements SHOULD use "revision-date" substatements to specify the exact revision date of each included submodule. When a module does not include its submodules by revision-date, the revision of submodules used cannot be derived from the including module. Mechanisms such as YANG packages [[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages](#)], and YANG library [[RFC8525](#)], MAY be used to specify the exact submodule revisions used when the submodule revision date is not constrained by the "include" statement.

[[RFC7950](#)] section 11 and [[RFC6020](#)] section 10 require that all updates to a YANG module are BC to the previous revision of the module. This document introduces a method to indicate that an NBC change has occurred between module revisions: this is done by using a new "non-backwards-compatible" YANG extension statement in the module revision history.

Two revisions of a module or submodule MAY have identical content except for the revision history. This could occur, for example, if a module or submodule has a branched history and identical changes are applied in multiple branches.

### **3.1. Updating a YANG module with a new revision**

This section updates [[RFC7950](#)] section 11 and [[RFC6020](#)] section 10 to refine the rules for permissible changes when a new YANG module revision is created.

A new module revision MAY contain NBC changes, e.g., the semantics of an existing data-node definition MAY be changed in an NBC manner without requiring a new data-node definition with a new identifier. A YANG extension, defined in [Section 3.2](#), is used to signal the potential for incompatibility to existing module users and readers.

Note that NBC changes often create problems for clients, thus it is recommended to avoid making them.

As per [[RFC7950](#)] and [[RFC6020](#)], all published revisions of a module are given a new unique revision date. This applies even for module revisions containing (in the module or included submodules) only changes to any whitespace, formatting, comments or line endings (e.g., DOS vs UNIX).

### 3.1.1. Backwards-compatible rules

A change between two module revisions is defined as being "backwards-compatible" if the change conforms to the module update rules specified in [\[RFC7950\]](#) section 11 and [\[RFC6020\]](#) section 10, updated by the following rules:

- \*A "status" "deprecated" statement MAY be added, or changed from "current" to "deprecated", but adding or changing "status" to "obsolete" is a non-backwards-compatible change.
- \*YANG schema nodes with a "status" "obsolete" substatement MAY be removed from published modules, and the removal is classified as a backwards-compatible change. In some circumstances it may be helpful to retain the obsolete definitions since their identifiers may still be referenced by other modules and to ensure that their identifiers are not reused with a different meaning.
- \*A statement that is defined using the YANG "extension" statement MAY be added, removed, or changed, if it does not change the semantics of the module. Extension statement definitions SHOULD specify whether adding, removing, or changing statements defined by that extension are backwards-compatible or non-backwards-compatible.
- \*Any change made to the "revision-date" or "recommended-min" substatements of an "import" statement, including adding new "revision-date" or "recommended-min" substatements, changing the argument of any "revision-date" or "recommended-min" substatements, or removing any "revision-date" or "recommended-min" substatements, is classified as backwards-compatible.
- \*Any changes (including whitespace or formatting changes) that do not change the semantic meaning of the module are backwards-compatible.

### 3.1.2. Non-backwards-compatible changes

Any changes to YANG modules that are not defined by [Section 3.1.1](#) as being backwards-compatible are classified as "non-backwards-compatible" changes.

## 3.2. non-backwards-compatible extension statement

The "rev:non-backwards-compatible" extension statement is used to indicate YANG module revisions that contain NBC changes.

If a revision of a YANG module contains changes, relative to the preceding revision in the revision history, that do not conform to

the module update rules defined in [Section 3.1.1](#), then a "rev:non-backwards-compatible" extension statement MUST be added as a substatement to the "revision" statement.

Adding, modifying or removing a "rev:non-backwards-compatible" extension statement is considered to be a BC change.

### **3.3. Removing revisions from the revision history**

Authors may wish to remove revision statements from a module or submodule. Removal of revision information may be desirable for a number of reasons including reducing the size of a large revision history, or removing a revision that should no longer be used or imported. Removing revision statements is allowed, but can cause issues and SHOULD NOT be done without careful analysis of the potential impact to users of the module or submodule. Doing so can lead to import breakages when import by recommended-min is used. Moreover, truncating history may cause loss of visibility of when non-backwards-compatible changes were introduced.

An author MAY remove a contiguous sequence of entries from the end (i.e., oldest entries) of the revision history. This is acceptable even if the first remaining (oldest) revision entry in the revision history contains a rev:non-backwards-compatible substatement.

An author MAY remove a contiguous sequence of entries in the revision history as long as the presence or absence of any existing rev:non-backwards-compatible substatements on all remaining entries still accurately reflect the compatibility relationship to their preceding entries remaining in the revision history.

The author MUST NOT remove the first (i.e., newest) revision entry in the revision history.

Example revision history:



```

revision 2020-11-11 {
    rev:label 4.0.0;
    rev:non-backwards-compatible;
}

revision 2020-08-09 {
    rev:label 3.0.0;
    rev:non-backwards-compatible;
}

revision 2020-06-07 {
    rev:label 2.1.0;
}

revision 2020-02-10 {
    rev:label 2.0.0;
    rev:non-backwards-compatible;
}

revision 2019-10-21 {
    rev:label 1.1.3;
}

revision 2019-03-04 {
    rev:label 1.1.2;
}

revision 2019-01-02 {
    rev:label 1.1.1;
}

```

In the revision history example above, removing the revision history entry for 2020-02-10 would also remove the `rev:non-backwards-compatible` annotation and hence the resulting revision history would incorrectly indicate that revision 2020-06-07 is backwards-compatible with revisions 2019-01-02 through 2019-10-21 when it is not, and so this change cannot be made. Conversely, removing one or more revisions out of 2019-03-04, 2019-10-21 and 2020-08-09 from the revision history would still retain a consistent revision history, and is acceptable, subject to an awareness of the concerns raised in the first paragraph of this section.

### 3.4. Revision label

Each revision entry in a module or submodule MAY have a revision label associated with it, providing an alternative alias to identify a particular revision of a module or submodule. The revision label could be used to provide an additional versioning identifier associated with the revision.

A revision label scheme is a set of rules describing how a particular type of revision label operates for versioning YANG modules and submodules. For example, YANG Semver [[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-semver](#)] defines a revision label scheme based on Semver 2.0.0 [[semver](#)]. Other documents may define other YANG revision label schemes.

Submodules MAY use a revision label scheme. When they use a revision label scheme, submodules MAY use a revision label scheme that is different from the one used in the including module.

The revision label space of submodules is separate from the revision label space of the including module. A change in one submodule MUST result in a new revision label of that submodule and the including module, but the actual values of the revision labels in the module and submodule could be completely different. A change in one submodule does not result in a new revision label in another submodule. A change in a module revision label does not necessarily mean a change to the revision label in all included submodules.

If a revision has an associated revision label, then it may be used instead of the revision date in a "rev:recommended-min" extension statement argument.

A specific revision label identifies a specific revision of the module. If two YANG modules contain the same module name and the same revision label (and hence also the same revision-date) in their latest revision statement, then the file contents of the two modules, including the revision history, MUST be identical.

#### **3.4.1. File names**

This section updates [[RFC7950](#)] section 5.2, [[RFC6020](#)] section 5.2 and [[RFC8407](#)] section 3.2

If a revision has an associated revision label, then it is RECOMMENDED that the name of the file for that revision be of the form:

```
module-or-submodule-name ['#' revision-label] ( '.yang' / '.yin' )
```

E.g., acme-router-module#2.0.3.yang

YANG module (or submodule) files may be identified using either the revision-date (as per [[RFC8407](#)] section 3.2) or the revision label.

### 3.4.2. Revision label scheme extension statement

The optional "rev:revision-label-scheme" extension statement is used to indicate which revision label scheme a module or submodule uses. There MUST NOT be more than one revision label scheme in a module or submodule. The mandatory argument to this extension statement:

- \*specifies the revision label scheme used by the module or submodule

- \*is defined in the document which specifies the revision label scheme

- \*MUST be an identity derived from "revision-label-scheme-base".

The revision label scheme used by a module or submodule SHOULD NOT change during the lifetime of the module or submodule. If the revision label scheme used by a module or submodule is changed to a new scheme, then all revision label statements that do not conform to the new scheme MUST be replaced or removed.

### 3.5. Examples for updating the YANG module revision history

The following diagram, explanation, and module history illustrates how the branched revision history, "non-backwards-compatible" extension statement, and revision "label" extension statement could be used:

Example YANG module with branched revision history.

Module revision date	Revision label
2019-01-01	<- 1.0.0
2019-02-01	<- 2.0.0
2019-03-01	<- 3.0.0
	2019-04-01 <- 2.1.0
	2019-05-01 <- 2.2.0
2019-06-01	<- 3.1.0

The tree diagram above illustrates how an example module's revision history might evolve, over time. For example, the tree might represent the following changes, listed in chronological order from the oldest revision to the newest revision:

Example module, revision 2019-06-01:

```

module example-module {

    namespace "urn:example:module";
    prefix "prefix-name";
    rev:revision-label-scheme "yangver:yang-semver";

    import ietf-yang-revisions { prefix "rev"; }
    import ietf-yang-semver { prefix "yangver"; }

    description
        "to be completed";

    revision 2019-06-01 {
        rev:label 3.1.0;
        description "Add new functionality.";
    }

    revision 2019-03-01 {
        rev:label 3.0.0;
        rev:non-backwards-compatible;
        description
            "Add new functionality. Remove some deprecated nodes.";
    }

    revision 2019-02-01 {
        rev:label 2.0.0;
        rev:non-backwards-compatible;
        description "Apply bugfix to pattern statement";
    }

    revision 2019-01-01 {
        rev:label 1.0.0;
        description "Initial revision";
    }

    //YANG module definition starts here
}

Example module, revision 2019-05-01:

```

```

module example-module {

    namespace "urn:example:module";
    prefix "prefix-name";
    rev:revision-label-scheme "yangver:yang-semver";

    import ietf-yang-revisions { prefix "rev"; }
    import ietf-yang-semver { prefix "yangver"; }

    description
        "to be completed";

    revision 2019-05-01 {
        rev:label 2.2.0;
        description "Backwards-compatible bugfix to enhancement.";
    }

    revision 2019-04-01 {
        rev:label 2.1.0;
        description "Apply enhancement to older release train.";
    }

    revision 2019-02-01 {
        rev:label 2.0.0;
        rev:non-backwards-compatible;
        description "Apply bugfix to pattern statement";
    }

    revision 2019-01-01 {
        rev:label 1.0.0;
        description "Initial revision";
    }

    //YANG module definition starts here
}

```

#### 4. Guidance for revision selection on imports

[[RFC7950](#)] and [[RFC6020](#)] allow YANG module "import" statements to optionally require the imported module to have a specific revision date. In practice, importing a module with an exact revision date can be too restrictive because it requires the importing module to be updated whenever any change to the imported module occurs, and hence section [Section 7.1](#) suggests that authors do not restrict YANG module imports to exact revision dates.

Instead, for conformance purposes (section 5.6 of [[RFC7950](#)]), the recommended approach for defining the relationship between specific YANG module revisions is to specify the relationships outside of the YANG modules, e.g., via YANG library [[RFC8525](#)], YANG packages

[\[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages\]](#), a filesystem directory containing a set of consistent YANG module revisions, or a revision control system commit label.

#### 4.1. Recommending a minimum revision for module imports

Although the previous section indicates that the actual relationship constraints between different revisions of YANG modules should be specified outside of the modules, in some scenarios YANG modules are designed to be loosely coupled, and implementors may wish to select sets of YANG module revisions that are expected to work together. For these cases it can be helpful for a module author to provide guidance on a recommended minimum revision that is expected to satisfy an YANG import. E.g., the module author may know of a dependency on a type or grouping that has been introduced in a particular imported YANG module revision. Although there can be no guarantee that all derived future revisions from the particular imported module will necessarily also be compatible, older revisions of the particular imported module are very unlikely to ever be compatible.

This document introduces a new YANG extension statement to provide guidance to module implementors on a recommended minimum module revision of an imported module that is anticipated to be compatible. This statement has been designed to be machine-readable so that tools can parse the minimum revision extension statement and generate warnings if appropriate, but this extension statement does not alter YANG module conformance of valid YANG module versions in any way, and specifically it does not alter the behavior of the YANG module import statement from that specified in [\[RFC7950\]](#).

The `ietf-revisions` module defines the "recommended-min" extension statement, a substatement to the YANG "import" statement, to allow for a "minimum recommended revision" to be documented:

The argument to the "recommended-min" extension statement is a revision date or a revision label.

A particular revision of an imported module adheres to an import's "recommended-min" extension statement if the imported module's revision history contains a revision statement with a matching revision date or revision label. Removing entries from a module's revision history may cause a particular revision to no longer satisfy an import's "recommended-min" statement if the revision-date or label is no longer present in the module's revision history; further described in [Section 3.3](#) and [Section 7.1](#).

The "recommended-min" extension statement MAY be specified multiple times, allowing a set of recommended minimum revisions to be documented. Module implementors are recommended to pick a module revision that adheres to any of the "recommended-min" statements.

Adding, modifying or removing a "recommended-min" extension statement is a BC change.

#### 4.1.1. Module import examples

Consider the example module "example-module" from [Section 3.5](#) that is hypothetically available in the following revision/label pairings: 2019-01-01/1.0.0, 2019-02-01/2.0.0, 2019-03-01/3.0.0, 2019-04-01/2.1.0, 2019-05-01/2.2.0 and 2019-06-01/3.1.0. The relationship between the revisions is as before:

Module revision date	Revision label
2019-01-01	<- 1.0.0
2019-02-01	<- 2.0.0
\	
2019-03-01 \	<- 3.0.0
\	
2019-04-01	<- 2.1.0
2019-05-01	<- 2.2.0
2019-06-01	<- 3.1.0

##### 4.1.1.1. Example 1

This example recommends module revisions for import that match, or are derived from the revision 2019-02-01. E.g., this dependency might be used if there was a new container added in revision 2019-02-01 that is augmented by the importing module. It includes revisions/labels: 2019-02-01/2.0.0, 2019-03-01/3.0.0, 2019-04-01/2.1.0, 2019-05-01/2.2.0 and 2019-06-01/3.1.0.

```
import example-module {  
  rev:recommended-min 2019-02-01;  
}
```

Alternatively, the first example could have used the revision label "2.0.0" instead, which selects the same set of revisions/labels.

```
import example-module {  
  rev:recommended-min 2.0.0;  
}
```

#### 4.1.1.2. Example 2

This example recommends module revisions for import that are derived from 2019-04-01 by using the revision label 2.1.0. It includes revisions/labels: 2019-04-01/2.1.0 and 2019-05-01/2.2.0. Even though 2019-06-01/3.1.0 has a higher revision label number than 2019-04-01/2.1.0 it is not a derived revision, and hence it is not a recommended revision for import.

```
import example-module {  
  rev:recommended-min 2.1.0;  
}
```

#### 4.1.1.3. Example 3

This example recommends module revisions for import that are derived from either 2019-04-01 or 2019-06-01. It includes revisions/labels: 2019-04-01/2.1.0, 2019-05-01/2.2.0, and 2019-06-01/3.1.0.

```
import example-module {  
  rev:recommended-min 2019-04-01;  
  rev:recommended-min 2019-06-01;  
}
```

### 5. Updates to ietf-yang-library

This document updates YANG 1.1 [[RFC7950](#)] and YANG library [[RFC8525](#)] to clarify how ambiguous module imports are resolved. It also defines the YANG module, `ietf-yang-library-revisions`, that augments YANG library [[RFC8525](#)] with revision labels and two leafs to indicate how a server implements deprecated and obsolete schema nodes.

#### 5.1. Resolving ambiguous module imports

A YANG datastore schema, defined in [[RFC8525](#)], can specify multiple revisions of a YANG module in the schema using the "import-only" list, with the requirement from [[RFC7950](#)] section 5.6.5 that only a single revision of a YANG module may be implemented.

If a YANG module import statement does not specify a specific revision within the datastore schema then it could be ambiguous as to which module revision the import statement should resolve to. Hence, a datastore schema constructed by a client using the information contained in YANG library may not exactly match the datastore schema actually used by the server.

The following two rules remove the ambiguity:



If a module import statement could resolve to more than one module revision defined in the datastore schema, and one of those revisions is implemented (i.e., not an "import-only" module), then the import statement MUST resolve to the revision of the module that is defined as being implemented by the datastore schema.

If a module import statement could resolve to more than one module revision defined in the datastore schema, and none of those revisions are implemented, then the import MUST resolve to the module revision with the latest revision date.

## 5.2. YANG library versioning augmentations

The "ietf-yang-library-revisions" YANG module has the following structure (using the notation defined in [[RFC8340](#)]):

```
module: ietf-yang-library-revisions
  augment /yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set/yanglib:module:
    +--ro revision-label?   rev:revision-label
  augment /yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set/yanglib:module
    /yanglib:submodule:
    +--ro revision-label?   rev:revision-label
  augment /yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set
    /yanglib:import-only-module/yanglib:submodule:
    +--ro revision-label?   rev:revision-label
  augment /yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:schema:
    +--ro deprecated-nodes-implemented?  boolean
    +--ro obsolete-nodes-absent?         boolean
```

### 5.2.1. Advertising revision-label

The ietf-yang-library-revisions YANG module augments the "module" and "submodule" lists in ietf-yang-library with "revision-label" leafs to optionally declare the revision label associated with each module and submodule.

### 5.2.2. Reporting how deprecated and obsolete nodes are handled

The ietf-yang-library-revisions YANG module augments YANG library with two boolean leafs to allow a server to report how it implements status "deprecated" and status "obsolete" schema nodes. The leafs are:

**deprecated-nodes-implemented:** If set to "true", this leaf indicates that all schema nodes with a status "deprecated" are implemented equivalently as if they had status "current"; otherwise deviations MUST be used to explicitly remove "deprecated" nodes

from the schema. If this leaf is set to "false" or absent, then the behavior is unspecified.

**obsolete-nodes-absent:** If set to "true", this leaf indicates that the server does not implement any status "obsolete" schema nodes. If this leaf is set to "false" or absent, then the behaviour is unspecified.

Servers SHOULD set both the "deprecated-nodes-implemented" and "obsolete-nodes-absent" leafs to "true".

If a server does not set the "deprecated-nodes-implemented" leaf to "true", then clients MUST NOT rely solely on the "rev:non-backwards-compatible" statements to determine whether two module revisions are backwards-compatible, and MUST also consider whether the status of any nodes has changed to "deprecated" and whether those nodes are implemented by the server.

## 6. Versioning of YANG instance data

Instance data sets [[RFC9195](#)] do not directly make use of the updated revision handling rules described in this document, as compatibility for instance data is undefined.

However, instance data specifies the content-schema of the data-set. This schema SHOULD make use of versioning using revision dates and/or revision labels for the individual YANG modules that comprise the schema or potentially for the entire schema itself (e.g., [[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages](#)]).

In this way, the versioning of a content-schema associated with an instance data set may help a client to determine whether the instance data could also be used in conjunction with other revisions of the YANG schema, or other revisions of the modules that define the schema.

## 7. Guidelines for using the YANG module update rules

The following text updates section 4.7 of [[RFC8407](#)] to revise the guidelines for updating YANG modules.

### 7.1. Guidelines for YANG module authors

All IETF YANG modules MUST include revision label statements for all newly published YANG modules, and all newly published revisions of existing YANG modules. The revision label MUST take the form of a YANG semantic version number [[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-semver](#)].

NBC changes to YANG modules may cause problems to clients, who are consumers of YANG models, and hence YANG module authors SHOULD minimize NBC changes and keep changes BC whenever possible.

When NBC changes are introduced, consideration should be given to the impact on clients and YANG module authors SHOULD try to mitigate that impact.

A "rev:non-backwards-compatible" statement MUST be added if there are NBC changes relative to the previous revision.

Removing old revision statements from a module's revision history could break import by revision, and hence it is RECOMMENDED to retain them. If all dependencies have been updated to not import specific revisions of a module, then the corresponding revision statements can be removed from that module. An alternative solution, if the revision section is too long, would be to remove, or curtail, the older description statements associated with the previous revisions.

The "rev:recommended-min" extension MAY be used in YANG module imports to indicate revision dependencies between modules in preference to the "revision-date" statement, which causes overly strict import dependencies and SHOULD NOT be used.

A module that includes submodules SHOULD use the "revision-date" statement to include specific submodule revisions. The revision of the including module MUST be updated when any included submodule has changed.

In some cases a module or submodule revision that is not strictly NBC by the definition in [Section 3.1.2](#) of this specification may include the "non-backwards-compatible" statement. Here is an example when adding the statement may be desirable:

\*A "config false" leaf had its value space expanded (for example, a range was increased, or additional enum values were added) and the author or server implementor feels there is a significant compatibility impact for clients and users of the module or submodule

#### **7.1.1. Making non-backwards-compatible changes to a YANG module**

There are various valid situations where a YANG module has to be modified in an NBC way. Here are some guidelines on how non-backwards-compatible changes can be made incrementally, with the

assumption that deprecated nodes are implemented by the server, and obsolete nodes are not:

1. The changes should be made gradually, e.g., a data node's status SHOULD NOT be changed directly from "current" to "obsolete" (see Section 4.7 of [\[RFC8407\]](#)), instead the status SHOULD first be marked "deprecated". At some point in the future, when support is removed for the data node, there are two options. The first, and preferred, option is to keep the data node definition in the model and change the status to "obsolete". The second option is to simply remove the data node from the model, but this has the risk of breaking modules which import the modified module, and the removed identifier may be accidentally reused in a future revision.
2. For deprecated data nodes the "description" statement SHOULD also indicate until when support for the node is guaranteed (if known). If there is a replacement data node, rpc, action or notification for the deprecated node, this SHOULD be stated in the "description". The reason for deprecating the node can also be included in the "description" if it is deemed to be of potential interest to the user.
3. For obsolete data nodes, it is RECOMMENDED to keep the above information, from when the node had status "deprecated", which is still relevant.
4. When obsoleting or deprecating data nodes, the "deprecated" or "obsolete" status SHOULD be applied at the highest possible level in the data tree. For clarity, the "status" statement SHOULD also be applied to all descendent data nodes, but the additional status related information does not need to be repeated if it does not introduce any additional information.
5. NBC changes which can break imports SHOULD be avoided because of the impact on the importing module. The importing modules could get broken, e.g., if an augmented node in the importing module has been removed from the imported module. Alternatively, the schema of the importing modules could undergo an NBC change due to the NBC change in the imported module, e.g., if a node in a grouping has been removed. As described in [Appendix B.1](#), instead of removing a node, that node SHOULD first be deprecated and then obsoleted.

See [Appendix B](#) for examples on how NBC changes can be made.

## **7.2. Versioning Considerations for Clients**

Guidelines for clients of modules using the new module revision update procedure:

- \*Clients SHOULD be liberal when processing data received from a server. For example, the server may have increased the range of an operational node causing the client to receive a value which is outside the range of the YANG model revision it was coded against.
- \*Clients SHOULD monitor changes to published YANG modules through their revision history, and use appropriate tooling to understand the specific changes between module revision. In particular, clients SHOULD NOT migrate to NBC revisions of a module without understanding any potential impact of the specific NBC changes.
- \*Clients SHOULD plan to make changes to match published status changes. When a node's status changes from "current" to "deprecated", clients SHOULD plan to stop using that node in a timely fashion. When a node's status changes to "obsolete", clients MUST stop using that node.

## **8. Module Versioning Extension YANG Modules**

YANG module with extension statements for annotating NBC changes, revision label, revision label scheme, and importing by revision.

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-yang-revisions@2022-11-29.yang"

```
module ietf-yang-revisions {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-revisions";
  prefix rev;

  organization
    "IETF NETMOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";
  contact
    "WG Web:  <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
    WG List:  <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>

    Author:   Joe Clarke
              <mailto:jclarke@cisco.com>

    Author:   Reshad Rahman
              <mailto:reshad@yahoo.com>

    Author:   Robert Wilton
              <mailto:rwilton@cisco.com>

    Author:   Balazs Lengyel
              <mailto:balazs.lengyel@ericsson.com>

    Author:   Jason Sterne
              <mailto:jason.sterne@nokia.com>";
  description
    "This YANG 1.1 module contains definitions and extensions to
    support updated YANG revision handling.

    Copyright (c) 2002 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
    authors of the code.  All rights reserved.

    Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
    without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to
    the license terms contained in, the Revised BSD License set
    forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
    Relating to IETF Documents
    (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

    This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see
    the RFC itself for full legal notices.

    The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL
    NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'NOT RECOMMENDED',
    'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as
    described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when,
    they appear in all capitals, as shown here.";
```

```
// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
// and remove this note.
// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX (inc above) with actual RFC number and
// remove this note.
```

```
revision 2022-11-29 {
  rev:label "1.0.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning-08";
  description
    "Initial version.";
  reference
    "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling";
}
```

```
typedef revision-date {
  type string {
    pattern '[0-9]{4}-(1[0-2]|0[1-9])-(0[1-9]|[1-2][0-9]|3[0-1])';
  }
  description
    "A date associated with a YANG revision.

    Matches dates formatted as YYYY-MM-DD.";
  reference
    "RFC 7950: The YANG 1.1 Data Modeling Language";
}
```

```
typedef revision-label {
  type string {
    length "1..255";
    pattern '[a-zA-Z0-9,\-_.+]+';
    pattern '[0-9]{4}-[0-9]{2}-[0-9]{2}' {
      modifier "invert-match";
      error-message
        "The revision-label must not match a revision-date.";
    }
  }
  description
    "A label associated with a YANG revision.

    Alphanumeric characters, comma, hyphen, underscore, period
    and plus are the only accepted characters. MUST NOT match
    revision-date or pattern similar to a date.";
  reference
    "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
    Section 3.3, Revision label";
}
```

```
typedef revision-date-or-label {
  type union {
    type revision-date;
```

```

    type revision-label;
}
description
    "Represents either a YANG revision date or a revision label";
}

extension non-backwards-compatible {
    description
        "This statement is used to indicate YANG module revisions that
        contain non-backwards-compatible changes.

        The statement MUST only be a substatement of the 'revision'
        statement. Zero or one 'non-backwards-compatible' statements
        per parent statement is allowed. No substatements for this
        extension have been standardized.

        If a revision of a YANG module contains changes, relative to
        the preceding revision in the revision history, that do not
        conform to the backwards-compatible module update rules
        defined in RFC-XXX, then the 'non-backwards-compatible'
        statement MUST be added as a substatement to the revision
        statement.

        Conversely, if a revision does not contain a
        'non-backwards-compatible' statement then all changes,
        relative to the preceding revision in the revision history,
        MUST be backwards-compatible.

        A new module revision that only contains changes that are
        backwards-compatible SHOULD NOT include the
        'non-backwards-compatible' statement. An example of when an
        author might add the 'non-backwards-compatible' statement is
        if they believe a change could negatively impact clients even
        though the backwards compatibility rules defined in RFC-XXXX
        classify it as a backwards-compatible change.

        Add, removing, or changing a 'non-backwards-compatible'
        statement is a backwards-compatible version change.";
    reference
        "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
        Section 3.2,
        non-backwards-compatible revision extension statement";
}

extension label {
    argument revision-label;
    description
        "The revision label can be used to provide an additional
        versioning identifier associated with a module or submodule

```



revision. One such scheme that could be used is [XXXX:  
ietf-netmod-yang-semver].

The format of the revision label argument MUST conform to the pattern defined for the revision label typedef in this module.

The statement MUST only be a substatement of the revision statement. Zero or one revision label statements per parent statement are allowed. No substatements for this extension have been standardized.

Revision labels MUST be unique amongst all revisions of a module or submodule.

Adding a revision label is a backwards-compatible version change. Changing or removing an existing revision label in the revision history is a non-backwards-compatible version change, because it could impact any references to that revision label.";

reference

"XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;  
Section 3.3, Revision label";

}

extension revision-label-scheme {

argument revision-label-scheme-base;

description

"The revision label scheme specifies which revision label scheme the module or submodule uses.

The mandatory revision-label-scheme-base argument MUST be an identity derived from revision-label-scheme-base.

This extension is only valid as a top-level statement, i.e., given as a substatement to 'module' or 'submodule'. No substatements for this extension have been standardized.

This extension MUST be used if there is a revision label statement in the module or submodule.

Adding a revision label scheme is a backwards-compatible version change. Changing a revision label scheme is a non-backwards-compatible version change, unless the new revision label scheme is backwards-compatible with the replaced revision label scheme. Removing a revision label scheme is a non-backwards-compatible version change.";

reference

"XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;  
Section 3.3.1, Revision label scheme extension statement";

}

```

extension recommended-min {
  argument revision-date-or-label;
  description
    "Recommends the revision of the module that may be imported to
    one that matches or is derived from the specified
    revision-date or revision label.

    The argument value MUST conform to the
    'revision-date-or-label' defined type.

    The statement MUST only be a substatement of the import
    statement. Zero, one or more 'recommended-min' statements per
    parent statement are allowed. No substatements for this
    extension have been standardized.

    If specified multiple times, then any module revision that
    satisfies at least one of the 'recommended-min' statements is
    an acceptable recommended revision for import.

    A particular revision of an imported module adheres to an
    import's 'recommended-min' extension statement if the imported
    module's revision history contains a revision statement with a
    matching revision date or revision label.

    Adding, removing or updating a 'recommended-min' statement to
    an import is a backwards-compatible change.";
  reference
    "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling; Section 4,
    Recommending a minimum revision for module imports";
}

identity revision-label-scheme-base {
  description
    "Base identity from which all revision label schemes are
    derived.";
  reference
    "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
    Section 3.3.1, Revision label scheme extension statement";
}
}

<CODE ENDS>

```

YANG module with augmentations to YANG Library to revision labels

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-yang-library-revisions@2021-11-04.yang"

```
module ietf-yang-library-revisions {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace
    "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-library-revisions";
  prefix yl-rev;

  import ietf-yang-revisions {
    prefix rev;
    reference
      "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling";
  }
  import ietf-yang-library {
    prefix yanglib;
    reference
      "RFC 8525: YANG Library";
  }

  organization
    "IETF NETMOD (Network Modeling) Working Group";
  contact
    "WG Web:   <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/netmod/>
     WG List:  <mailto:netmod@ietf.org>

    Author:   Joe Clarke
              <mailto:jclarke@cisco.com>

    Author:   Reshad Rahman
              <mailto:reshad@yahoo.com>

    Author:   Robert Wilton
              <mailto:rwilton@cisco.com>

    Author:   Balazs Lengyel
              <mailto:balazs.lengyel@ericsson.com>

    Author:   Jason Sterne
              <mailto:jason.sterne@nokia.com>";
  description
    "This module contains augmentations to YANG Library to add module
     level revision label and to provide an indication of how
     deprecated and obsolete nodes are handled by the server.

    Copyright (c) 2002 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
    authors of the code. All rights reserved.

    Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
    without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to
    the license terms contained in, the Revised BSD License set
```

forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions  
Relating to IETF Documents  
(<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see  
the RFC itself for full legal notices.

The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL  
NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'NOT RECOMMENDED',  
'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as  
described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when,  
they appear in all capitals, as shown here.";

```
// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
// and remove this note.
// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX (including in the imports above) with
// actual RFC number and remove this note.
// RFC Ed.: please replace label version with 1.0.0 and
// remove this note.
```

```
revision 2021-11-04 {
  rev:label "1.0.0-draft-ietf-netmod-yang-module-versioning-05";
  description
    "Initial revision";
  reference
    "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling";
}
```

```
// library 1.0 modules-state is not augmented with revision-label
```

```
augment "/yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set/yanglib:module" {
  description
    "Add a revision label to module information";
  leaf revision-label {
    type rev:revision-label;
    description
      "The revision label associated with this module revision.
       The label MUST match the revision label value in the
       specific revision of the module loaded in this module-set.";
    reference
      "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
       Section 5.2.1, Advertising revision-label";
  }
}
```

```
augment
  "/yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set/yanglib:module/"
+ "yanglib:submodule" {
  description
```

```

    "Add a revision label to submodule information";
  leaf revision-label {
    type rev:revision-label;
    description
      "The revision label associated with this submodule revision.
       The label MUST match the revision label value in the
       specific revision of the submodule included by the module
       loaded in this module-set.";
    reference
      "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
       Section 5.2.1, Advertising revision-label";
  }
}

augment "/yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set/"
  + "yanglib:import-only-module" {
  description
    "Add a revision label to module information";
  leaf revision-label {
    type rev:revision-label;
    description
      "The revision label associated with this module revision.
       The label MUST match the revision label value in the
       specific revision of the module included in this
       module-set.";
    reference
      "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
       Section 5.2.1, Advertising revision-label";
  }
}

augment "/yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:module-set/"
  + "yanglib:import-only-module/yanglib:submodule" {
  description
    "Add a revision label to submodule information";
  leaf revision-label {
    type rev:revision-label;
    description
      "The revision label associated with this submodule revision.
       The label MUST match the rev:label value in the specific
       revision of the submodule included by the import-only-module
       loaded in this module-set.";
    reference
      "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
       Section 5.2.1, Advertising revision-label";
  }
}

augment "/yanglib:yang-library/yanglib:schema" {

```

```

description
  "Augmentations to the ietf-yang-library module to indicate how
    deprecated and obsoleted nodes are handled for each datastore
    schema supported by the server.";
leaf deprecated-nodes-implemented {
  type boolean;
  description
    "If set to true, this leaf indicates that all schema nodes
      with a status 'deprecated' are implemented equivalently as
      if they had status 'current'; otherwise deviations MUST be
      used to explicitly remove deprecated nodes from the schema.
      If this leaf is absent or set to false, then the behavior is
      unspecified.";
  reference
    "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling;
      Section 5.2.2, Reporting how deprecated and obsolete nodes
      are handled";
}
leaf obsolete-nodes-absent {
  type boolean;
  description
    "If set to true, this leaf indicates that the server does not
      implement any status 'obsolete' schema nodes. If this leaf
      is absent or set to false, then the behaviour is
      unspecified.";
  reference
    "XXXX: Updated YANG Module Revision Handling; Section 5.2.2,
      Reporting how deprecated and obsolete nodes are handled";
}
}
}

```

<CODE ENDS>

## 9. Security considerations

### 9.1. Security considerations for module revisions

As discussed in the introduction of this document, YANG modules occasionally undergo changes that are not backwards compatible. This occurs in both standards and vendor YANG modules despite the prohibitions in RFC 7950. RFC 7950 also allows nodes to change to status 'obsolete' which can change behavior and compatibility for a client.

The fact that YANG modules change in a non-backwards-compatible manner may have security implications. Such changes should be carefully considered, including the scenarios described below. The `rev:non-backwards-compatible` extension statement introduced in this document provides an alert that the module or submodule may contain changes that impact users and need to be examined more closely for both compatibility and potential security implications. Flagging the change reduces the risk of introducing silent exploitable vulnerabilities.

When a module undergoes a non-backwards-compatible change, a server may implement different semantics for a given leaf than a client using an older version of the module is expecting. If the particular leaf controls any security functions of the device, or is related to parts of the configuration or state that are sensitive from a security point of view, then the difference in behavior between the old and new revisions needs to be considered carefully. In particular, changes to the default of the leaf should be examined.

Implementors and users should also consider impact to data node access control rules (e.g. The Network Configuration Access Control Model (NACM) [[RFC8341](#)]) in the face of non-backwards-compatible changes. Access rules may need to be adjusted when a new module revision is introduced that contains a non-backwards-compatible change.

If the changes to a module or submodule have security implications, it is recommended to highlight those implications in the description of the revision statement.

### 9.2. Security considerations for the modules defined in this document

The YANG module specified in this document defines a schema for data that is designed to be accessed via network management protocols such as NETCONF [[RFC6241](#)] or RESTCONF [[RFC8040](#)]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [[RFC6242](#)]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [[RFC8446](#)].



The NETCONF access control model [[RFC8341](#)] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content.

This document does not define any new protocol or data nodes that are writable.

This document updates YANG Library [[RFC8525](#)] with augmentations to include revision labels in the YANG library data and two boolean leafs to indicate whether status deprecated and status obsolete schema nodes are implemented by the server. These read-only augmentations do not add any new security considerations beyond those already present in [[RFC8525](#)].

## **10. IANA Considerations**

### **10.1. YANG Module Registrations**

This document requests IANA to registers a URI in the "IETF XML Registry" [[RFC3688](#)]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registrations are requested.

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-revisions  
Registrant Contact: The IESG.  
XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.  
URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-library-revisions  
Registrant Contact: The IESG.  
XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

The following YANG module is requested to be registred in the "IANA Module Names" [[RFC6020](#)]. Following the format in RFC 6020, the following registrations are requested:

The ietf-yang-revisions module:

Name: ietf-yang-revisions  
  
XML Namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-revisions  
  
Prefix: rev  
  
Reference: [RFCXXXX]

The ietf-yang-library-revisions module:

Name: ietf-yang-library-revisions  
  
XML Namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-yang-library-revisions

Prefix: yl-rev

Reference: [RFCXXXX]

## 10.2. Guidance for versioning in IANA maintained YANG modules

Note for IANA (to be removed by the RFC editor): Please check that the registries and IANA YANG modules are referenced in the appropriate way.

IANA is responsible for maintaining and versioning YANG modules that are derived from other IANA registries. For example, ["iana-if-type.yang"](#) [[IfTypeYang](#)] is derived from the ["Interface Types \(ifType\) IANA registry"](#) [[IfTypesReg](#)], and ["iana-routing-types.yang"](#) [[RoutingTypesYang](#)] is derived from the ["Address Family Numbers"](#) [[AddrFamilyReg](#)] and ["Subsequent Address Family Identifiers \(SAFI\) Parameters"](#) [[SAFIReg](#)] IANA registries.

Normally, updates to the registries cause any derived YANG modules to be updated in a backwards-compatible way, but there are some cases where the registry updates can cause non-backward-compatible updates to the derived YANG module. An example of such an update is the 2020-12-31 revision of [iana-routing-types.yang](#) [[RoutingTypesDecRevision](#)], where the enum name for two SAFI values was changed.

In all cases, IANA MUST follow the versioning guidance specified in [Section 3.1](#), and MUST include a "rev:non-backwards-compatible" substatement to the latest revision statement whenever an IANA maintained module is updated in a non-backwards-compatible way, as described in [Section 3.2](#).

Note: For published IANA maintained YANG modules that contain non-backwards-compatible changes between revisions, a new revision should be published with the "rev:non-backwards-compatible" substatement retrospectively added to any revisions containing non-backwards-compatible changes.

Non-normative examples of updates to enumeration types in IANA maintained modules that would be classified as non-backwards-compatible changes are: Changing the status of an enumeration typedef to obsolete, changing the status of an enum entry to obsolete, removing an enum entry, changing the identifier of an enum entry, or changing the described meaning of an enum entry.

Non-normative examples of updates to enumeration types in IANA maintained modules that would be classified as backwards-compatible changes are: Adding a new enum entry to the end of the enumeration, changing the status of an enum entry to deprecated, or improving the

description of an enumeration that does not change its defined meaning.

Non-normative examples of updates to identity types in IANA maintained modules that would be classified as non-backwards-compatible changes are: Changing the status of an identity to obsolete, removing an identity, renaming an identity, or changing the described meaning of an identity.

Non-normative examples of updates to identity types in IANA maintained modules that would be classified as backwards-compatible changes are: Adding a new identity, changing the status or an identity to deprecated, or improving the description of an identity that does not change its defined meaning.

## 11. References

### 11.1. Normative References

#### [I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-semver]

Clarke, J., Wilton, R., Rahman, R., Lengyel, B., Sterne, J., and B. Claise, "YANG Semantic Versioning", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-netmod-yang-semver-08, 24 October 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-netmod-yang-semver-08.txt>>.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

[RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688, DOI 10.17487/RFC3688, January 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3688>>.

[RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed. and RFC Publisher, "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, DOI 10.17487/RFC6020, October 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020>>.

[RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., Bierman, A., Ed., and RFC Publisher, "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6241, DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>>.

[RFC6242] Wasserman, M., "Using the NETCONF Protocol over Secure Shell (SSH)", RFC 6242, DOI 10.17487/RFC6242, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6242>>.

**[RFC7950]**

Bjorklund, M., Ed. and RFC Publisher, "The YANG 1.1 Data Modeling Language", RFC 7950, DOI 10.17487/RFC7950, August 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7950>>.

**[RFC8040]**

Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", RFC 8040, DOI 10.17487/RFC8040, January 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040>>.

**[RFC8174]**

Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

**[RFC8341]**

Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and RFC Publisher, "Network Configuration Access Control Model", STD 91, RFC 8341, DOI 10.17487/RFC8341, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8341>>.

**[RFC8407]**

Bierman, A., "Guidelines for Authors and Reviewers of Documents Containing YANG Data Models", BCP 216, RFC 8407, DOI 10.17487/RFC8407, October 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8407>>.

**[RFC8446]**

Rescorla, E. and RFC Publisher, "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", RFC 8446, DOI 10.17487/RFC8446, August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8446>>.

**[RFC8525]**

Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., Schoenwaelder, J., Watsen, K., and R. Wilton, "YANG Library", RFC 8525, DOI 10.17487/RFC8525, March 2019, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8525>>.

## **11.2. Informative References**

**[AddrFamilyReg]**

"Address Family Numbers IANA Registry", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/address-family-numbers/address-family-numbers.xhtml>>.

**[I-D.claccla-netmod-yang-model-update]**

Claise, B., Clarke, J., Lengyel, B., and K. D'Souza, "New YANG Module Update Procedure", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-claccla-netmod-yang-model-update-06, 2 July 2018, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-claccla-netmod-yang-model-update-06.txt>>.

**[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-packages]**

Wilton, R., Rahman, R., Clarke, J., Sterne, J., and B. Wu, "YANG Packages", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-netmod-yang-packages-03, 4

March 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-netmod-yang-packages-03.txt>>.

**[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-schema-comparison]**

Wilton, R., "YANG Schema Comparison", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-netmod-yang-schema-comparison-01, 2 November 2020, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-netmod-yang-schema-comparison-01.txt>>.

**[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-ver-selection]**

Wilton, R., Rahman, R., Clarke, J., Sterne, J., and B. Wu, "YANG Schema Selection", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-netmod-yang-ver-selection-00, 17 March 2020, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-netmod-yang-ver-selection-00.txt>>.

**[I-D.ietf-netmod-yang-versioning-reqs]**

Clarke, J., "YANG Module Versioning Requirements", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-netmod-yang-versioning-reqs-07, 10 July 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-netmod-yang-versioning-reqs-07.txt>>.

**[IfTypesReg]** "Interface Types (ifType) IANA Registry", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/smi-numbers/smi-numbers.xhtml#smi-numbers-5>>.

**[IfTypeYang]** "iana-if-type YANG Module", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/iana-if-type/iana-if-type.xhtml>>.

**[RFC8340]** Bjorklund, M., Berger, L., Ed., and RFC Publisher, "YANG Tree Diagrams", BCP 215, RFC 8340, DOI 10.17487/RFC8340, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8340>>.

**[RFC9195]** Lengyel, B. and B. Claise, "A File Format for YANG Instance Data", RFC 9195, DOI 10.17487/RFC9195, February 2022, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9195>>.

**[RoutingTypesDecRevision]** "2020-12-31 revision of iana-routing-types.yang", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/yang-parameters/iana-routing-types@2020-12-31.yang>>.

**[RoutingTypesYang]** "iana-routing-types YANG Module", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/iana-routing-types/iana-routing-types.xhtml>>.

**[SAFIReg]** "Subsequent Address Family Identifiers (SAFI) Parameters IANA Registry", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/safi-namespace/safi-namespace.xhtml>>.

[semver]

"Semantic Versioning 2.0.0", <<https://www.semver.org>>.

## Appendix A. Examples of changes that are NBC

Examples of NBC changes include:

- \*Deleting a data node, or changing it to status obsolete.
- \*Changing the name, type, or units of a data node.
- \*Modifying the description in a way that changes the semantic meaning of the data node.
- \*Any changes that remove any previously allowed values from the allowed value set of the data node, either through changes in the type definition, or the addition or changes to "must" statements, or changes in the description.
- \*Adding or modifying "when" statements that reduce when the data node is available in the schema.
- \*Making the statement conditional on if-feature.

## Appendix B. Examples of applying the NBC change guidelines

The following sections give steps that could be taken for making NBC changes to a YANG module or submodule using the incremental approach described in section [Section 7.1.1](#).

The examples are all for "config true" nodes.

### B.1. Removing a data node

Removing a leaf or container from the data tree, e.g., because support for the corresponding feature is being removed:

1. The schema node's status is changed to "deprecated" and the node is supported for some period of time (e.g. one year). This is a BC change.
2. When the schema node is not supported anymore, its status is changed to "obsolete" and the "description" updated. This is an NBC change.

## B.2. Changing the type of a leaf node

Changing the type of a leaf node. e.g., a "vpn-id" node of type integer being changed to a string:

1. The status of schema node "vpn-id" is changed to "deprecated" and the node is supported for some period of time (e.g. one year). This is a BC change. The description is updated to indicate that "vpn-name" is replacing this node.
2. A new schema node, e.g., "vpn-name", of type string is added to the same location as the existing node "vpn-id". This new node has status "current" and its description explains that it is replacing node "vpn-id".
3. During the period of time when both schema nodes are supported, the interactions between the two nodes is outside the scope of this document and will vary on a case by case basis. One possible option is to have the server prevent the new node from being set if the old node is already set (and vice-versa). The new node could have a "when" statement added to it to achieve this. The old node, however, must not have a "when" statement added, or an existing "when" modified to be more restrictive, since this would be an NBC change. In any case, the server could reject the old node from being set if the new node is already set.
4. When the schema node "vpn-id" is not supported anymore, its status is changed to "obsolete" and the "description" is updated. This is an NBC change.

## B.3. Reducing the range of a leaf node

Reducing the range of values of a leaf-node, e.g., consider a "vpn-id" schema node of type uint32 being changed from range 1..5000 to range 1..2000:

1. If all values which are being removed were never supported, e.g., if a vpn-id of 2001 or higher was never accepted, this is a BC change for the functionality (no functionality change). Even if it is an NBC change for the YANG model, there should be no impact for clients using that YANG model.
2. If one or more values being removed was previously supported, e.g., if a vpn-id of 3333 was accepted previously, this is an NBC change for the YANG model. Clients using the old YANG model will be impacted, so a change of this nature should be done carefully, e.g., by using the steps described in [Appendix B.2](#)

#### **B.4. Changing the key of a list**

Changing the key of a list has a big impact to the client. For example, consider a "sessions" list which has a key "interface" and there is a need to change the key to "dest-address". Such a change can be done in steps:

1. The status of list "sessions" is changed to "deprecated" and the list is supported for some period of time (e.g. one year). This is a BC change. The description is updated to indicate the new list that is replacing this list.
2. A new list is created in the same location with the same descendant schema nodes but with "dest-address" as key. Finding an appropriate name for the new list can be difficult. In this case the new list is called "sessions-address", has status "current" and its description should explain that it is replacing list "session".
3. During the period of time when both lists are supported, the interactions between the two lists is outside the scope of this document and will vary on a case by case basis. One possible option is to have the server prevent entries in the new list from being created if the old list already has entries (and vice-versa).
4. When list "sessions" is not available anymore, its status is changed to "obsolete" and the "description" is updated. This is an NBC change.

#### **B.5. Renaming a node**

A leaf or container schema node may be renamed, either due to a spelling error in the previous name or because of a better name. For example a node "ip-adress" could be renamed to "ip-address":

1. The status of the existing node "ip-adress" is changed to "deprecated" and is supported for some period of time (e.g. one year). This is a BC change. The description is updated to indicate the node that is replacing this node.
2. The new schema node "ip-address" is added to the same location as the existing node "ip-adress". This new node has status "current" and its description should explain that it is replacing node "ip-adress".
3. During the period of time when both nodes are available, the interactions between the two nodes is outside the scope of this document and will vary on a case by case basis. One possible option is to have the server prevent the new node from being



set if the old node is already set (and vice-versa). The new node could have a "when" statement added to it to achieve this. The old node, however, must not have a "when" statement added, or an existing "when" modified to be more restrictive, since this would be an NBC change. In any case, the server could reject the old node from being set if the new node is already set.

4. When node "ip-adress" is not available anymore, its status is changed to "obsolete" and the "description" is updated. This is an NBC change.

## Contributors

This document grew out of the YANG module versioning design team that started after IETF 101. The authors and the following individuals are (or have been) members of the design team and have worked on the YANG versioning project:

Benoit Claise  
benoit.claise@huawei.com

Bo Wu  
lana.wubo@huawei.com

Ebben Aries  
exa@juniper.net

Jan Lindblad  
lindbla@cisco.com

Juergen Schoenwaelder  
j.schoenwaelder@jacobs-university.de

Mahesh Jethanandani  
mjethanandani@gmail.com

Michael (Wangzitao)  
wangzitao@huawei.com

Per Andersson  
perander@cisco.com

Qin Wu  
bill.wu@huawei.com

The initial revision of this document was refactored and built upon [[I-D.clacla-netmod-yang-model-update](#)]. We would like to thank Kevin D'Souza and Benoit Claise for their initial work in this problem space.

Discussions on the use of Semver for YANG versioning has been held with authors of the OpenConfig YANG models. We would like to thank both Anees Shaikh and Rob Shakir for their input into this problem space.

We would also like to thank Lou Berger, Andy Bierman, Martin Bjorklund, Italo Busi, Tom Hill, Scott Mansfield, and Kent Watsen for their contributions and review comments.

#### **Authors' Addresses**

Robert Wilton (editor)  
Cisco Systems, Inc.

Email: [rwilton@cisco.com](mailto:rwilton@cisco.com)

Reshad Rahman (editor)  
Graphiant

Email: [reshad@yahoo.com](mailto:reshad@yahoo.com)

Balazs Lengyel (editor)  
Ericsson

Email: [balazs.lengyel@ericsson.com](mailto:balazs.lengyel@ericsson.com)

Joe Clarke  
Cisco Systems, Inc.

Email: [jclarke@cisco.com](mailto:jclarke@cisco.com)

Jason Sterne  
Nokia

Email: [jason.sterne@nokia.com](mailto:jason.sterne@nokia.com)