Abstract

This specification proposes an additional JSON Web Token (JWT) secured response for OAuth 2.0 Token Introspection.
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1. Introduction

OAuth 2.0 Token Introspection [RFC7662] specifies a method for a protected resource to query an OAuth 2.0 authorization server to determine the state of an access token and obtain data associated with the access token. This enables deployments to implement opaque access tokens in an interoperable way.

The introspection response, as specified in OAuth 2.0 Token Introspection [RFC7662], is a plain JSON object. However, there are use cases where the resource server requires stronger assurance that the authorization server issued the token introspection response for an access token, including cases where the authorization server
assumes liability for the content of the token introspection response. An example is a resource server using verified person data to create certificates, which in turn are used to create qualified electronic signatures.

In such use cases it may be useful or even required to return a signed JWT [RFC7519] as the introspection response. This specification extends the token introspection endpoint with the capability to return responses as JWTs.

2. Requirements Notation and Conventions

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Resource Server Management

The authorization server (AS) and the resource server (RS) maintain a strong two-way trust relationship. The resource server relies on the authorization server to obtain authorization, user and other data as input to its access control decisions and service delivery. The authorization server relies on the resource server to handle the provided data appropriately.

In the context of this specification, the token introspection endpoint is used to convey such security data and potentially also privacy sensitive data related to an access token.

In order to process the introspection requests in a secure and privacy-preserving manner, the authorization server MUST be able to identify, authenticate and authorize resource servers.

The authorization server MAY additionally encrypt the token introspection response JWTs. If encryption is used the authorization server is provisioned with encryption keys and algorithms for the RS.

The authorization server MUST be able to determine whether an RS is the audience for a particular access token and what data it is
entitled to receive, otherwise the RS is not authorized to obtain data for the access token. The AS has the discretion how to fulfil this requirement. The AS could, for example, maintain a mapping between scope values and resource servers.

The requirements given above imply that the authorization server maintains credentials and other configuration data for each RS.

One way is by utilizing dynamic client registration [RFC7591] and treating every RS as an OAuth client. In this case, the authorization server is assumed to at least maintain a "client_id" and a "token_endpoint_auth_method" with complementary authentication method metadata, such as "jwks" or "client_secret". In cases where the AS needs to acquire consent to transmit data to a RS, the following client metadata fields are recommended: "client_name", "client_uri", "contacts", "tos_uri", "policy_uri".

The AS MUST restrict the use of client credentials by a RS to the calls it requires, e.g. the AS MAY restrict such a client to call the token introspection endpoint only. How the AS implements this restriction is beyond the scope of this specification.

This specification further introduces client metadata to manage the configuration options required to sign and encrypt token introspection response JWTs.

4. Requesting a JWT Response

A resource server requests a JWT introspection response by sending an introspection request with an "Accept" HTTP header field set to "application/token-introspection+jwt".

The AS MUST authenticate the caller at the token introspection endpoint. Authentication can utilize client authentication methods or a separate access token issued to the resource server and identifying it as subject.

The following is a non-normative example request, with the resource server authenticating with a private key JWT:

POST /introspect HTTP/1.1
5. JWT Response

The introspection endpoint responds with a JWT, setting the "Content-Type" HTTP header field to "application/token-introspection+jwt" and the JWT "typ" ("type") header parameter to "token-introspection+jwt".

The JWT MUST include the following top-level claims:

iss  MUST be set to the issuer URL of the authorization server.

aud  MUST identify the resource server receiving the token introspection response.

iat  MUST be set to the time when the introspection response was created by the authorization server.

token_introspection  A JSON object containing the members of the token introspection response as specified in [RFC7662], section 2.2. The separation of the introspection response members into a dedicated containing JWT claim is intended to prevent conflict and confusion with top-level JWT claims that may bear the same name.

If the access token is invalid, expired, revoked, or not intended for the calling resource server (audience), the authorization server MUST set the value of the "active" member in the "token_introspection" claim to "false" and MUST NOT include other members. Otherwise, the "active" member is set to "true".

The AS SHOULD narrow down the "scope" value to the scopes relevant to the particular RS.
As specified in section 2.2 of [RFC7662], implementations MAY extend the token introspection response with service-specific claims. In the context of this specification, such claims will be added as top-level members of the "token_introspection" claim.

Token introspection response parameter names intended to be used across domains MUST be registered in the OAuth Token Introspection Response registry [IANA.OAuth.Token.Introspection] defined by [RFC7662].

When the AS acts as a provider of resource owner identity claims to the RS, the AS determines based on its RS-specific policy what identity claims to return in the token introspection response. The AS MUST ensure the release of any privacy-sensitive data is legally based (see Section 9).

Further content of the introspection response is determined by the RS-specific policy at the AS.

The JWT MAY include other claims, including those from the "JSON Web Token Claims" registry established by [RFC7519]. The JWT SHOULD NOT include the "sub" and "exp" claims, as an additional prevention against misuse of the JWT as an access token (see Section 8.1).

This specification registers the "application/token-introspection+jwt" media type, which is used as value of the "typ" ("type") header parameter of the JWT to indicate that the payload is a token introspection response.

The JWT is cryptographically secured as specified in [RFC7519].

Depending on the specific resource server policy the JWT is either signed, or signed and encrypted. If the JWT is signed and encrypted it MUST be a Nested JWT, as defined in JWT [RFC7519].
Note: An AS compliant with this specification MUST refuse to serve introspection requests that don’t authenticate the caller, and return an HTTP status code 400. This is done to ensure token data is released to legitimate recipients only and prevent downgrading to [RFC7662] behavior (see Section 8.2).

The following is a non-normative example response (with line breaks for display purposes only):

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/token-introspection+jwt

eyJraWQiOiJ3RzZEiwiHlwioidjiojiodG9rZW4taW50cm9zcGVjdGlvdqvid3QiLCJhbGciOiJ3RzZEIiwibGFuZ3VhcyI6ICJ3RzZEIiwicm93c2VzcyI6ICJ3RzZEIn0..

The example response JWT header contains the following JSON document:

```json
{
  "typ": "token-introspection+jwt",
  "alg": "RS256",
  "kid": "wG6D"
}
```

The example response JWT payload contains the following JSON document:
6. Client Metadata

The authorization server determines the algorithm to secure the JWT for a particular introspection response. This decision can be based on registered metadata parameters for the resource server, supplied via dynamic client registration [RFC7591] with the resource server acting as a client, as specified below.

The parameter names follow the pattern established by OpenID Connect Dynamic Client Registration [OpenID.Registration] for configuring signing and encryption algorithms for JWT responses at the UserInfo endpoint.

The following client metadata parameters are introduced by this specification:

introspection_signed_response_alg OPTIONAL. JWS [RFC7515] algorithm

("alg" value) as defined in JWA [RFC7518] for signing
introspection responses. If this is specified, the response will be signed using JWS and the configured algorithm. The default, if omitted, is "RS256".

`introspection_encrypted_response_alg` OPTIONAL. JWE [RFC7516] algorithm ("alg" value) as defined in JWA [RFC7518] for content key encryption. If this is specified, the response will be encrypted using JWE and the configured content encryption algorithm ("introspection_encrypted_response_enc"). The default, if omitted, is that no encryption is performed. If both signing and encryption are requested, the response will be signed then encrypted, with the result being a Nested JWT, as defined in JWT [RFC7519].

`introspection_encrypted_response_enc` OPTIONAL. JWE [RFC7516] algorithm ("enc" value) as defined in JWA [RFC7518] for content encryption of introspection responses. The default, if omitted, is "A128CBC-HS256". Note: This parameter MUST NOT be specified without setting "introspection_encrypted_response_alg".

Resource servers may register their public encryption keys using the "jwks_uri" or "jwks" metadata parameters.

7. Authorization Server Metadata

Authorization servers SHOULD publish the supported algorithms for signing and encrypting the JWT of an introspection response by utilizing OAuth 2.0 Authorization Server Metadata [RFC8414] parameters. Resource servers use this data to parametrize their client registration requests.

The following parameters are introduced by this specification:

`introspection_signing_alg_values_supported` OPTIONAL. JSON array containing a list of the JWS [RFC7515] signing algorithms ("alg" values) as defined in JWA [RFC7518] supported by the introspection endpoint to sign the response.

`introspection_encryption_alg_values_supported` OPTIONAL. JSON array containing a list of the JWE [RFC7516] encryption algorithms ("alg" values) as defined in JWA [RFC7518] supported by the introspection endpoint to encrypt the content encryption key for introspection responses (content key encryption).

`introspection_encryption_enc_values_supported` OPTIONAL. JSON array
containing a list of the JWE [RFC7516] encryption algorithms ("enc" values) as defined in JWA [RFC7518] supported by the introspection endpoint to encrypt the response (content encryption).

8. Security Considerations

8.1. Cross-JWT Confusion

The "iss" and potentially the "aud" claim of a token introspection JWT can resemble those of a JWT-encoded access token. An attacker could try to exploit this and pass a JWT token introspection response as an access token to the resource server. The "typ" ("type") JWT header "token-introspection+jwt" and the encapsulation of the token introspection members such as "sub" and "scope" in the "token_introspection" claim is intended to prevent such substitution attacks. Resource servers MUST therefore check the "typ" JWT header value of received JWT-encoded access tokens and ensure all minimally required claims for a valid access token are present.

Resource servers MUST additionally apply the countermeasures against replay as described in [I-D.ietf-oauth-security-topics], section 3.2.

JWT Confusion and other attacks involving JWTs are discussed in [I-D.ietf-oauth-jwt-bcp].

8.2. Token Data Leakage

The authorization server MUST use Transport Layer Security (TLS) 1.2 (or higher) per BCP 195 [RFC7525] in order to prevent token data leakage.

Section 2.1 of [RFC7662] permits requests to the introspection endpoint to be authorized with an access token which doesn't identify the caller. To prevent introspection of tokens by parties that are not the intended consumer the authorization server MUST require all requests to the token introspection endpoint to be authenticated.

9. Privacy Considerations

The token introspection response can be used to transfer personal identifiable information (PII) from the AS to the RS. The AS MUST conform to legal and jurisdictional constraints for the data transfer before any data is released to a particular RS. The details and determining of these constraints varies by jurisdiction and is outside the scope of this document.
A commonly found way to establish the legal basis for releasing PII is by explicit user consent gathered from the resource owner by the AS during the authorization flow.

It is also possible that the legal basis is established out of band, for example in an explicit contract or by the client gathering the resource owner's consent.

If the AS and the RS belong to the same legal entity (1st party scenario), there is potentially no need for an explicit user consent but the terms of service and policy of the respective service provider MUST be enforced at all times.

In any case, the AS MUST ensure that the scope of the legal basis is enforced throughout the whole process. The AS MUST retain the scope of the legal basis with the access token, e.g. in the scope value, it MUST authenticate the RS, and the AS MUST determine the data a resource server is allowed to receive based on the resource server's identity and suitable token data, e.g. the scope value.

Implementers should be aware that a token introspection request lets the AS know when the client (and potentially the user) is accessing the RS, which is also an indication of when the user is using the client. If this implication is not acceptable, implementers MUST use other means to relay access token data, for example by directly transferring the data needed by the RS within the access token.
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11. IANA Considerations

11.1. OAuth Dynamic Client Registration Metadata Registration

This specification requests registration of the following client
metadata definitions in the IANA "OAuth Dynamic Client Registration Metadata" registry [IANA.OAuth.Parameters] established by [RFC7591]:

11.1.1. Registry Contents

* Client Metadata Name: "introspection_signed_response_alg"

* Client Metadata Description: String value indicating the client's desired introspection response signing algorithm.

* Change Controller: IESG

* Specification Document(s): Section 6 of [[ this specification ]]

* Client Metadata Name: "introspection_encrypted_response_alg"

* Client Metadata Description: String value specifying the desired introspection response content key encryption algorithm (alg value).

* Change Controller: IESG

* Specification Document(s): Section 6 of [[ this specification ]]

* Client Metadata Name: "introspection_encrypted_response_enc"

* Client Metadata Description: String value specifying the desired introspection response content encryption algorithm (enc value).

* Change Controller: IESG

* Specification Document(s): Section 6 of [[ this specification ]]

11.2. OAuth Authorization Server Metadata Registration

This specification requests registration of the following values in the IANA "OAuth Authorization Server Metadata" registry [IANA.OAuth.Parameters] established by [RFC8414].

11.2.1. Registry Contents

* Metadata Name: "introspection_signing_alg_values_supported"
11.3. Media Type Registration

This section registers the "application/token-introspection+jwt" media type in the "Media Types" registry [IANA.MediaTypes] in the manner described in [RFC6838], which can be used to indicate that the content is a token introspection response in JWT format.

11.3.1. Registry Contents

* Type name: application

* Subtype name: token-introspection+jwt
* Required parameters: N/A

* Optional parameters: N/A

* Encoding considerations: binary; A token introspection response is a JWT; JWT values are encoded as a series of base64url-encoded values (with trailing '=' characters removed), some of which may be the empty string, separated by period ('.') characters.

* Security considerations: See Section 7 of this specification

* Interoperability considerations: N/A

* Published specification: Section 4 of this specification

* Applications that use this media type: Applications that produce and consume OAuth Token Introspection Responses in JWT format

* Fragment identifier considerations: N/A

* Additional information:
  - Magic number(s): N/A

11.4. JWT Claim Registration
This section registers the "token_introspection" claim in the JSON Web Token (JWT) IANA registry [IANA.JWT] in the manner described in [RFC7519].

11.4.1. Registry Contents

* Claim name: token_introspection
* Claim description: Token introspection response
* Change Controller: IESG
* Specification Document(s): Section 5 of [[this specification]]
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