

Open Pluggable Edge Services
Internet-Draft
Expires: June 12, 2003

A. Beck
M. Hofmann
Lucent Technologies
H. Orman
Purple Streak Development
R. Penno
Nortel Networks
A. Terzis
Individual Consultant
December 12, 2002

Requirements for OPES Callout Protocols
draft-ietf-opes-protocol-reqs-03

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC2026](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 12, 2003.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This document specifies the requirements that the OPES (Open Pluggable Edge Services) callout protocol must satisfy in order to support the remote execution of OPES services. The requirements are intended to help evaluating possible protocol candidates as well as to guide the development of such protocols.

Internet-Draft Requirements for OPES Callout Protocols December 2002

Table of Contents

1.	Terminology	3
2.	Introduction	4
3.	Functional Requirements	5
3.1	Reliability	5
3.2	Congestion Avoidance	5
3.3	Callout Transactions	5
3.4	Callout Connections	6
3.5	Asynchronous Message Exchange	6
3.6	Message Segmentation	7
3.7	Support for Keep-Alive Mechanism	7
3.8	Operation in NAT Environments	8
3.9	Multiple Callout Servers	8
3.10	Multiple OPES Processors	8
3.11	Support for Different Application Protocols	8
3.12	Capability and Parameter Negotiations	8
3.13	Meta Data and Instructions	9
4.	Performance Requirements	11
4.1	Protocol Efficiency	11
5.	Security Requirements	12
5.1	Authentication, Confidentiality, and Integrity	12
5.2	Hop-by-Hop Confidentiality	12
5.3	Operation Across Un-trusted Domains	12
5.4	Privacy	13
6.	Security Considerations	14
	Normative References	15
	Informative References	16
	Authors' Addresses	16
A.	Acknowledgments	18
B.	Change Log	19
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	21

Internet-Draft Requirements for OPES Callout Protocols December 2002

1. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [2].

Internet-Draft Requirements for OPES Callout Protocols December 2002

[2](#). Introduction

The Open Pluggable Edge Services (OPES) architecture [[1](#)] enables cooperative application services (OPES services) between a data provider, a data consumer, and zero or more OPES processors. The application services under consideration analyze and possibly transform application-level messages exchanged between the data provider and the data consumer.

The execution of such services is governed by a set of rules installed on the OPES processor. The rules enforcement can trigger the execution of service applications local to the OPES processor. Alternatively, the OPES processor can distribute the responsibility of service execution by communicating and collaborating with one or more remote callout servers. As described in [[1](#)], an OPES processor communicates with and invokes services on a callout server by using a callout protocol. This document presents the requirements for such a protocol.

The requirements in this document are divided into three categories - functional requirements, performance requirements, and security requirements. Each requirement is presented as one or more statements, followed by brief explanatory material as appropriate.

Internet-Draft Requirements for OPES Callout Protocols December 2002

[3.](#) Functional Requirements

[3.1](#) Reliability

The OPES callout protocol **MUST** be able to provide ordered reliability for the communication between OPES processor and callout server. Additionally, the callout protocol **SHOULD** be able to provide unordered reliability.

In order to satisfy the reliability requirements, the callout protocol **SHOULD** specify that it must be used with a transport protocol which provides ordered/unordered reliability at the transport-layer, for example TCP [\[6\]](#) or SCTP [\[7\]](#).

[3.2](#) Congestion Avoidance

The OPES callout protocol **MUST** ensure that congestion avoidance that matches the standard of [RFC 2914](#) [\[4\]](#) is applied on all communication between OPES processor and callout server. For this purpose, the callout protocol **SHOULD** use a congestion-controlled transport-layer protocol, presumably either TCP [\[6\]](#) or SCTP [\[7\]](#).

3.3 Callout Transactions

The OPES callout protocol MUST enable an OPES processor and a callout server to perform callout transactions with the purpose of exchanging partial or complete application-level protocol messages (or modifications thereof). More specifically, the callout protocol MUST enable an OPES processor to forward a partial or complete application message to a callout server so that one or more OPES services can process the forwarded application message (or parts thereof). The result of the service operation may be a modified application message. The callout protocol MUST therefore enable the callout server to return a modified application message or the modified parts of an application message to the OPES processor. Additionally, the callout protocol MUST enable a callout server to report back to the OPES processor the result of a callout transaction, e.g. in the form of a status code.

A callout transaction is defined as a message exchange between an OPES processor and a callout server consisting of a callout request and a callout response. Both, the callout request as well as the callout response, MAY each consist of one or more callout protocol messages, i.e. a series of protocol messages. A callout request MUST always contain a partial or complete application message. A callout response MUST always indicate the result of the callout transaction. A callout response MAY contain a modified application message.

Callout transactions are always initiated by a callout request from an OPES processor and typically terminated by a callout response from a callout server. The OPES callout protocol MUST, however, also provide a mechanism that allows either endpoint of a callout transaction to terminate a callout transaction before a callout request or response has been completely received by the corresponding callout endpoint. Such a mechanism MUST ensure that a premature termination of a callout transaction does not result in the loss of application message data.

A premature termination of a callout transaction is required to support OPES services which may terminate even before they have processed the entire application message. Content analysis services, for example, may be able to classify a Web object after having

processed just the first few bytes of a Web object.

[3.4](#) Callout Connections

The OPES callout protocol MUST enable an OPES processor and a callout server to perform multiple callout transactions over a callout connection. Additionally, the callout protocol MUST provide a method to associate callout transactions with callout connections. A callout connection is defined as a logical connection at the application-layer between an OPES processor and a callout server. A callout connection MAY have certain parameters associated with it, for example parameters that control the fail-over behavior of connection endpoints. Callout connection-specific parameters MAY be negotiated between OPES processors and callout servers (see [Section 3.12](#)).

The OPES callout protocol MAY choose to multiplex multiple callout connections over a single transport-layer connection so long as a flow control mechanism is applied which guarantees fairness among multiplexed callout connections.

Callout connections MUST always be initiated by an OPES processor. A callout connection MAY be closed by either endpoint of the connection provided that doing so does not affect the normal operation of on-going callout transactions associated with the callout connection.

[3.5](#) Asynchronous Message Exchange

The OPES callout protocol MUST support an asynchronous message exchange over callout connections.

In order to allow asynchronous processing on the OPES processor and callout server, it MUST be possible to separate request issuance from response processing. The protocol MUST therefore allow multiple

outstanding callout requests and provide a method to correlate callout responses to callout requests.

Additionally, the callout protocol MUST enable a callout server to respond to a callout request before it has received the entire request.

[3.6](#) Message Segmentation

The OPES callout protocol **MUST** allow an OPES processor to forward an application message to a callout server in a series of smaller message fragments. The callout protocol **MUST** further enable the receiving callout server to re-assemble the fragmented application message.

Likewise, the callout protocol **MUST** enable a callout server to return an application message to an OPES processor in a series of smaller message fragments. The callout protocol **MUST** enable the receiving OPES processor to re-assemble the fragmented application message.

Depending on the application-layer protocol used on the data path, application messages may be very large in size (for example in the case of audio/video streams) or of unknown size. In both cases, the OPES processor has to initiate a callout transaction before it has received the entire application message to avoid long delays for the data consumer. The OPES processor **MUST** therefore be able to forward fragments or chunks of an application message to a callout server as it receives them from the data provider or consumer. Likewise, the callout server **MUST** be able to process and return application message fragments as it receives them from the OPES processor.

Application message segmentation is also required if the OPES callout protocol provides a flow control mechanism in order to multiplex multiple callout connections over a single transport-layer connection (see [Section 3.4](#)).

[3.7](#) Support for Keep-Alive Mechanism

The OPES callout protocol **MUST** provide a keep-alive mechanism which, if used, would allow both endpoints of a callout connection to detect a failure of the other endpoint even in the absence of callout transactions. The callout protocol **MAY** specify that keep-alive messages be exchanged over existing callout connections or a separate connection between OPES processor and callout server. The callout protocol **MAY** also specify that the use of the keep-alive mechanism is optional.

The detection of a callout server failure may enable an OPES

processor to establish a callout connection with a stand-by callout server so that future callout transactions do not result in the loss of application message data. The detection of the failure of an OPES processor may enable a callout server to release resources which would otherwise not be available for callout transactions with other OPES processors.

[3.8](#) Operation in NAT Environments

The OPES protocol SHOULD be NAT-friendly, i.e. its operation should not be compromised by the presence of one or more NAT devices in the path between an OPES processor and a callout server.

[3.9](#) Multiple Callout Servers

The OPES callout protocol MUST allow an OPES processor to simultaneously communicate with more than one callout server.

In larger networks, OPES services are likely to be hosted by different callout servers. Therefore, an OPES processor will likely have to communicate with multiple callout servers. The protocol design MUST enable an OPES processor to do so.

[3.10](#) Multiple OPES Processors

The OPES callout protocol MUST allow a callout server to simultaneously communicate with more than one OPES processor.

The protocol design MUST support a scenario in which multiple OPES processors use the services of a single callout server.

[3.11](#) Support for Different Application Protocols

The OPES callout protocol SHOULD be application protocol-agnostic, i.e. it SHOULD not make any assumptions about the characteristics of the application-layer protocol used on the data path between data provider and data consumer. At a minimum, the callout protocol MUST be compatible with HTTP [\[5\]](#).

The OPES entities on the data path may use different application-layer protocols, including, but not limited to, HTTP [\[5\]](#) and RTP [\[8\]](#). It would be desirable to be able to use the same OPES callout protocol for any such application-layer protocol.

[3.12](#) Capability and Parameter Negotiations

The OPES callout protocol MUST support the negotiation of capabilities and callout connection parameters between an OPES

Internet-Draft Requirements for OPES Callout Protocols December 2002

processor and a callout server. This implies that the OPES processor and the callout server **MUST** be able to exchange their capabilities and preferences and engage into a deterministic negotiation process at the end of which the two endpoints have either agreed on the capabilities and parameters to be used for future callout connections/transactions or determined that their capabilities are incompatible.

Capabilities and parameters that could be negotiated between an OPES processor and a callout server include (but are not limited to): callout protocol version, fail-over behavior, heartbeat rate for keep-alive messages, security-related parameters etc.

The callout protocol **MUST NOT** negotiate the transport protocol to be used for callout connections. The callout protocol **MAY**, however, specify that a certain application message protocol (e.g. HTTP [5], RTP [8]) requires the use of a certain transport protocol (e.g. TCP [6], SCTP [7]).

Callout connection parameters may also pertain to the characteristics of OPES callout services if, for example, callout connections are associated with one or more specific OPES services. An OPES service-specific parameter may, for example, specify which parts of an application message an OPES service requires for its operation.

Callout connection parameters **MUST** be negotiated on a per-callout connection basis and before any callout transactions are performed over the corresponding callout connection. Other parameters and capabilities, such as the fail-over behavior, **MAY** be negotiated between the two endpoints independently of callout connections.

The parties to a callout protocol **MAY** use callout connections to negotiate all or some of their capabilities and parameters. Alternatively, a separate control connection **MAY** be used for this purpose.

[3.13](#) Meta Data and Instructions

The OPES callout protocol **MUST** provide a mechanism for the endpoints of a particular callout transaction to include in callout requests and responses meta data and instructions for the OPES processor or callout server.

Specifically, the callout protocol MUST enable an OPES processor to include information about the forwarded application message in a callout request, e.g. in order to specify the type of the forwarded application message or to specify what part(s) of the application message are forwarded to the callout server. Likewise, the callout

server MUST be able to include information about the returned application message.

The OPES processor MUST further be able to include an ordered list of one or more uniquely specified OPES services which are to be performed on the forwarded application message in the specified order. However, as the callout protocol MAY also choose to associate callout connections with specific OPES services, there may not be a need to identify OPES services on a per-callout transaction basis.

Additionally, the OPES callout protocol MUST allow the callout server to indicate to the OPES processor the cacheability of callout responses. This implies that callout responses may have to carry cache-control instructions for the OPES processor.

The OPES callout protocol MUST further enable the OPES processor to indicate to the callout server if it has kept a local copy of the forwarded application message (or parts thereof). This information enables the callout server to determine whether the forwarded application message must be returned to the OPES processor even it has not been modified by an OPES service.

The OPES callout protocol MUST also allow OPES processors to comply with the tracing requirements of the OPES architecture as laid out in [\[1\]](#) and [\[3\]](#). This implies that the callout protocol MUST enable a callout server to convey to the OPES processor information about the OPES service operations performed on the forwarded application message.

Internet-Draft Requirements for OPES Callout Protocols December 2002

[4.](#) Performance Requirements

[4.1](#) Protocol Efficiency

The OPES callout protocol SHOULD be efficient in that it minimizes the additionally introduced latency, for example by minimizing the protocol overhead.

As OPES callout transactions introduce additional latency to application protocol transactions on the data path, callout protocol efficiency is crucial.

[5.](#) Security Requirements

In the absence of any security mechanisms, sensitive information might be communicated between the OPES processor and the callout server in violation of either endpoint's security and privacy policy through misconfiguration or a deliberate insider attack. By using strong authentication, message encryption, and integrity checks, this threat can be minimized to a smaller set of insiders and/or operator configuration errors.

The OPES processor and the callout servers SHOULD have enforceable policies that limit the parties they communicate with, that determine the protections to use based on identities of the endpoints and other data (such as enduser policies). In order to enforce the policies, they MUST be able to authenticate the callout protocol endpoints using cryptographic methods.

[5.1](#) Authentication, Confidentiality, and Integrity

The parties to the callout protocol MUST have a sound basis for binding authenticated identities to the protocol endpoints, and they MUST verify that these identities are consistent with their security

policies.

The OPES callout protocol MUST provide for message authentication, confidentiality, and integrity between the OPES processor and the callout server. It MUST provide mutual authentication. For this purpose, the callout protocol SHOULD use existing security mechanisms. The callout protocol specification is not required to specify the security mechanisms, but it MAY instead refer to a lower-level security protocol and discuss how its mechanisms are to be used with the callout protocol.

[5.2](#) Hop-by-Hop Confidentiality

If end-to-end encryption is a requirement for the content path, then this confidentiality MUST be extended to the communication between the OPES processor and the callout server. While it is recommended that the communication between OPES processor and callout server always be encrypted, encryption MAY be optional if both the OPES processor and the callout server are co-located with each other in a single administrative domain with strong confidentiality guarantees.

In order to minimize data exposure, the callout protocol MUST use a different encryption key for each encrypted content stream.

[5.3](#) Operation Across Un-trusted Domains

The OPES callout protocol MUST operate securely across un-trusted domains between the OPES processor and the callout server.

If the communication channels between the OPES processor and callout server cross outside of the organization taking responsibility for the OPES services, then endpoint authentication and message protection (confidentiality and integrity) MUST be used.

[5.4](#) Privacy

Any communication carrying information relevant to privacy policies MUST protect the data using encryption.

[6](#). Security Considerations

The security requirements for the OPES callout protocol are discussed in [Section 5](#).

- [1] Barbir, A., "An Architecture for Open Pluggable Edge Services (OPES)", [draft-ietf-opes-architecture-04](#) (work in progress), December 2002.
- [2] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [3] Floyd, S. and L. Daigle, "IAB Architectural and Policy Considerations for Open Pluggable Edge Services", [RFC 3238](#), January 2002.
- [4] Floyd, S., "Congestion Control Principles", [BCP 41](#), [RFC 2914](#), September 2000.
- [5] Fielding, R., Gettys, J., Mogul, J., Nielsen, H., Masinter, L., Leach, P. and T. Berners-Lee, "Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1", [RFC 2616](#), June 1999.

Informative References

- [6] Postel, J., "Transmission Control Protocol", STD 7, [RFC 793](#), September 1981.
- [7] Stewart, R., Xie, Q., Morneault, K., Sharp, C., Schwarzbauer, H., Taylor, T., Rytina, I., Kalla, M., Zhang, L. and V. Paxson, "Stream Control Transmission Protocol", [RFC 2960](#), October 2000.
- [8] Schulzrinne, H., Casner, S., Frederick, R. and V. Jacobson, "RTP: A Transport Protocol for Real-Time Applications", [RFC 1889](#), January 1996.

Authors' Addresses

Andre Beck
Lucent Technologies
101 Crawfords Corner Road
Holmdel, NJ 07733
US

EMail: abeck@bell-labs.com

Markus Hofmann
Lucent Technologies
Room 4F-513
101 Crawfords Corner Road
Holmdel, NJ 07733
US

Phone: +1 732 332 5983
EMail: hofmann@bell-labs.com

Hilarie Orman
Purple Streak Development

EMail: ho@alum.mit.edu
URI: <http://www.purplestreak.com>

Internet-Draft Requirements for OPES Callout Protocols December 2002

Reinaldo Penno
Nortel Networks
2305 Mission College Boulevard
San Jose, CA 95134
US

EMail: rpenno@nortelnetworks.com

Andreas Terzis
Individual Consultant
150 Golf Course Dr.
Rohnert Park, CA 94928
US

Phone: +1 707 586 8864
EMail: aterzis@sbcglobal.net

Internet-Draft Requirements for OPES Callout Protocols December 2002

[Appendix A](#). Acknowledgments

This document is based in parts on previous work by Anca Dracinschi Sailer, Volker Hilt, and Rama R. Menon.

The authors would like to thank the participants of the OPES WG for their comments on this draft.

Internet-Draft Requirements for OPES Callout Protocols December 2002

[Appendix B](#). Change Log

Changes from [draft-ietf-opes-protocol-reqs-02.txt](#)

- o Re-ordered some sections in the functional requirements part of the draft
- o Clarified in [Section 3.3](#) what callout requests and responses must/may contain
- o Removed reference to explicit and implicit mechanism of terminating a callout transaction prematurely in [Section 3.3](#)
- o Added reference to [RFC 2914](#) in congestion avoidance requirement in [Section 3.2](#)
- o Added language that states that existing solutions should be used to achieve congestion avoidance and ordered/unordered reliability in [Section 3.2](#) and [Section 3.1](#)
- o Clarified concept of callout connections (previously referred to as "callout channels") in [Section 3.4](#)
- o Added statement about the possibility of multiplexing multiple callout connections over a transport connection to [Section 3.4](#)

- o Clarified in [Section 3.7](#) that use of a keep-alive mechanism is optional
- o Replaced "MUST" with "SHOULD" in OCP requirement to be application protocol-agnostic in [Section 3.11](#), added explicit requirement to support HTTP
- o Removed "transport protocol" from list of callout parameters which may be negotiated, added suggestion to pick transport protocol depending on the application protocol in [Section 3.12](#).
- o Explained that application message segmentation is also necessary for multiplexing callout connections over transport connections in [Section 3.6](#)
- o Added statement to [Section 5.2](#) that encryption between OPES processor and callout server may be optional if they are co-located with each other in a single administrative domain

Changes from [draft-ietf-opes-protocol-reqs-01.txt](#)

- o Reworded and clarified several statements of the draft

Changes from [draft-ietf-opes-protocol-reqs-00.txt](#)

- o Aligned terminology with [\[1\]](#)
- o Clarified in [Section 3.13](#) that OCP requests not only have to identify one or more OPES services, but also the order in which the services are to be executed
- o Removed requirement from [Section 4.1](#) that OCP must satisfy performance requirements of the application-layer protocol used between data consumer and provider

Internet-Draft Requirements for OPES Callout Protocols December 2002

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standards-related documentation can be found in [BCP-11](#). Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of

licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2002). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assignees.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.