Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Expires: December 30, 2001

M. Daniele
Consultant
B. Haberman
Nortel Networks
S. Routhier
Wind River Systems, Inc.
J. Schoenwaelder
TU Braunschweig
July 2001

Textual Conventions for Internet Network Addresses
draft-ietf-ops-rfc2851-update-01.txt

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of Section 10 of RFC2026.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 30, 2001.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2001). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This MIB module defines textual conventions to represent commonly used Internet network layer addressing information. The intent is that these textual conventions will be imported and used in MIB modules that would otherwise define their own representations.

This document obsoletes RFC 2851.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 3
2. The SNMP Management Framework 4
3. Definitions 5
4. Usage Hints 11
4.1 Table Indexing 11
4.2 Uniqueness of Addresses 11
4.3 Multiple InetAddresses per Host 12
4.4 Resolving DNS Names 12
5. Table Indexing Example 13
6. Security Considerations 15
7. Acknowledgments 15
8. Intellectual Property Notice 15
9. Changes from RFC 2851 16
10. Open Issues 16
References 16
Authors' Addresses 18
Full Copyright Statement 20

1. Introduction

Several standard-track MIB modules use the IpAddress SMIv2 base type. This limits the applicability of these MIB modules to IP Version 4 (IPv4) since the IpAddress SMIv2 base type can only contain 4 byte IPv4 addresses. The IpAddress SMIv2 base type has become problematic with the introduction of IP Version 6 (IPv6) addresses [19].

This document defines multiple textual conventions as a mechanism to express generic Internet network layer addresses within MIB module specifications. The solution is compatible with SMIv2 (STD 58) and SMIv1 (STD 16). New MIB definitions which need to express network layer Internet addresses SHOULD use the textual conventions defined in this memo. New MIB modules SHOULD NOT use the SMIv2 IpAddress base type anymore.

A generic Internet address consists of two objects, one whose syntax is InetAddressType, and another whose syntax is InetAddress. The value of the first object determines how the value of the second object is encoded. The InetAddress textual convention represents an opaque Internet address value. The InetAddressType enumeration is used to "cast" the InetAddress value into a concrete textual convention for the address type. This usage of multiple textual conventions allows expression of the display characteristics of each address type and makes the set of defined Internet address types extensible.

The textual conventions defined in this document can be used to define Internet addresses by using DNS domain names in addition to IPv4 and IPv6 addresses. A MIB designer can write compliance statements to express that only a subset of the possible address types must be supported by a compliant implementation.

MIB developers who need to represent Internet addresses SHOULD use these definitions whenever applicable, as opposed to defining their own constructs. Even MIB modules that only need to represent IPv4 or IPv6 addresses SHOULD use the textual conventions defined in this memo.

There are many widely deployed MIB modules that use IPv4 addresses and which need to be revised to support IPv6. These MIBs can be categorized as follows:

1. MIB modules which define management information that is in principle IP version neutral, but the MIB currently uses addressing constructs specific to a certain IP version.

2. MIB modules which define management information that is specific

to particular IP version (either IPv4 or IPv6) and which is very unlikely to be ever applicable to another IP version.

MIB modules of the first type SHOULD provide object definitions (e.g. tables) that work with all versions of IP. In particular, when revising a MIB module which contains IPv4 specific tables, it is suggested to define new tables using the textual conventions defined in this memo which support all versions of IP. The status of the new tables SHOULD be "current" while the status of the old IP version specific tables SHOULD be changed to "deprecated". The other approach of having multiple similar tables for different IP versions is strongly discouraged.

MIB modules of the second type, which are inherently IP version specific, do not need to be redefined. Note that even in this case, any additions to these MIB modules or new IP version specific MIB modules SHOULD use the textual conventions defined in this memo.

MIB developers SHOULD NOT use the textual conventions defined in this document to represent generic transport layer addresses. Instead the SMIv2 TAddress textual convention and associated definitions should be used for transport layer addresses.

This memo introduces some ordering constraints in order to achieve the following two goals:

1. Enable programs to identify the InetAddressType object which discriminates a certain InetAddress object. This allows tools such as MIB compilers to understand the dependencies and to generate code to e.g. handle some error conditions.

2. Provide some rules that prevent MIB module authors from doing certain mistakes which can make future extensions of tables with new objects impossible.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT" and "MAY" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [1].

2. The SNMP Management Framework

The SNMP Management Framework presently consists of five major components:

- An overall architecture, described in RFC 2571 [2].

- Mechanisms for describing and naming objects and events for the purpose of management. The first version of this Structure of Management Information (SMI) is called SMIv1 and described in STD

16, RFC 1155 [3], STD 16, RFC 1212 [4] and RFC 1215 [5]. The second version, called SMIv2, is described in STD 58, RFC 2578 [6], STD 58, RFC 2579 [7] and STD 58, RFC 2580 [8].

- Message protocols for transferring management information. The first version of the SNMP message protocol is called SNMPv1 and described in STD 15, RFC 1157 [9]. A second version of the SNMP message protocol, which is not an Internet standards track protocol, is called SNMPv2c and described in RFC 1901 [10] and RFC 1906 [11]. The third version of the message protocol is called SNMPv3 and described in RFC 1906 [11], RFC 2572 [12] and RFC 2574 [13].

- Protocol operations for accessing management information. The first set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in STD 15, RFC 1157 [9]. A second set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in RFC 1905 [14].

- A set of fundamental applications described in RFC 2573 [15] and the view-based access control mechanism described in RFC 2575 [16].

A more detailed introduction to the current SNMP Management Framework can be found in RFC 2570 [17].

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. Objects in the MIB are defined using the mechanisms defined in the SMI.

This memo specifies a MIB module that is compliant to the SMIv2. A MIB conforming to the SMIv1 can be produced through the appropriate translations. The resulting translated MIB must be semantically equivalent, except where objects or events are omitted because no translation is possible (use of Counter64). Some machine readable information in SMIv2 will be converted into textual descriptions in SMIv1 during the translation process. However, this loss of machine readable information is not considered to change the semantics of the MIB.

3. Definitions

```
INET-ADDRESS-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN

IMPORTS
    MODULE-IDENTITY, mib-2, Unsigned32 FROM SNMPv2-SMI
    TEXTUAL-CONVENTION                 FROM SNMPv2-TC;
```

```
   inetAddressMIB MODULE-IDENTITY
       LAST-UPDATED "200107130000Z"
       ORGANIZATION
           "IETF Operations and Management Area"
       CONTACT-INFO
           "Juergen Schoenwaelder (Editor)
            TU Braunschweig
            Bueltenweg 74/75
            38106 Braunschweig, Germany

            Phone: +49 531 391-3289
            EMail: schoenw@ibr.cs.tu-bs.de

            Send comments to <mibs@ops.ietf.org>."

       DESCRIPTION
           "This MIB module defines textual conventions for
            representing Internet addresses. An Internet
            address can be an IPv4 address, an IPv6 address
            or a DNS domain name."

       REVISION     "200107130000Z"
       DESCRIPTION
           "Second version, published as RFC XXXX. This
            revisions contains several clarifications and it
            introduces some new textual conventions:
            InetAddressPrefixLength, InetPortNumber, and
            InetAutonomousSystemNumber."

       REVISION     "200006080000Z"
       DESCRIPTION
           "Initial version, published as RFC 2851."
       ::= { mib-2 76 }

   InetAddressType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
       STATUS      current
       DESCRIPTION
           "A value that represents a type of Internet address.

            unknown(0)  An unknown address type. This value MUST
                        be used if the value of the corresponding
                        InetAddress object is a zero-length string.
                        It may also be used to indicate an IP address
                        which is not in one of the formats defined
                        below.

            ipv4(1)     An IPv4 address as defined by the
                        InetAddressIPv4 textual convention.
```

```
            ipv6(2)     An IPv6 address as defined by the
                        InetAddressIPv6 textual convention.

            dns(16)     A DNS domain name as defined by the
                        InetAddressDNS textual convention.

            Each definition of a concrete InetAddressType value must be
            accompanied by a definition of a textual convention for use
            with that InetAddressType.

            The InetAddressType textual convention SHOULD NOT be sub-typed
            in object type definitions to support future extensions. It
            MAY be sub-typed in compliance statements in order to require
            only a subset of these address types for a compliant
            implementation.

            Implementations must ensure that InetAddressType objects
            and any dependent objects (e.g. InetAddress objects) are
            consistent.  An inconsistentValue error must be generated
            if an attempt to change an InetAddressType object would,
            for example, lead to an undefined InetAddress value.  In
            particular, InetAddressType/InetAddress pairs must be
            changed together if the address type changes (e.g. from
            ipv6(2) to ipv4(1))."
        SYNTAX      INTEGER {
                        unknown(0),
                        ipv4(1),    -- these named numbers are aligned
                        ipv6(2),    -- with AddressFamilyNumbers from
                        dns(16)     -- IANA-ADDRESS-FAMILY-NUMBERS-MIB
                    }

    InetAddress ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
        STATUS      current
        DESCRIPTION
            "Denotes a generic Internet address.

            An InetAddress value is always interpreted within the
            context of an InetAddressType value. The InetAddressType
            object which defines the the format of the InetAddress
            value MUST be registered immediately before the object(s)
            which use the InetAddress textual convention.

            In other words, an (InetAddressType, InetAddress) tuple
            must be registered in exactly this order while and
            (InetAddressType, InetAddress, InetAddress) triple
            must be registered in exactly this order.

            The value of an InetAddress object must always be
```

```
            consistent with the value of the associated InetAddressType
            object. Attempts to set an InetAddress object to a value
            which is inconsistent with the associated InetAddressType
            must fail with an inconsistentValue error.

            When this textual convention is used as the syntax of an
            index object, there may be issues with the limit of 128
            sub-identifiers specified in SMIv2, STD 58. In this case,
            the OBJECT-TYPE declaration MUST include a 'SIZE' clause
            to limit the number of potential instance sub-identifiers."
        SYNTAX      OCTET STRING (SIZE (0..255))

    InetAddressIPv4 ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
        DISPLAY-HINT "1d.1d.1d.1d"
        STATUS       current
        DESCRIPTION
            "Represents an IPv4 network address:

              octets   contents         encoding
               1-4     IP address       network-byte order

            The corresponding InetAddressType value is ipv4(1)."
        SYNTAX       OCTET STRING (SIZE (4))

    InetAddressIPv6 ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
        DISPLAY-HINT "2x:2x:2x:2x:2x:2x:2x:2x%4d"
        STATUS       current
        DESCRIPTION
            "Represents an IPv6 network address:

              octets   contents         encoding
               1-16    IPv6 address     network-byte order
              17-20    scope identifier network-byte order

            The corresponding InetAddressType value is ipv6(2).

            The scope identifier (bytes 17-20) MUST NOT be present
            for global IPv6 addresses. For non-global IPv6 addresses
            (e.g. link-local or site-local addresses), the scope
            identifier MUST be present if there is no other way to
            disambiguate non-global IPv6 addresses. The scope
            identifier contains a link identifier for link-local
            and a site identifier for site-local IPv6 addresses.

            The scope identifier MUST disambiguate identical address
            values. For link-local addresses, the scope identifier
            will typically be the interface index (ifIndex as defined
            in the IF-MIB, RFC 2863) of the interface on which the
```

```
            address is configured.

            The scope identifier may contain the special value 0
            which refers to the default scope. The default scope
            may be used in cases where the valid scope identifier
            is not known (e.g., a management application needs to
            write a site-local InetAddressIPv6 address without
            knowing the site identifier value). The default scope
            SHOULD NOT be used as an easy way out in cases where
            the scope identifier for a non-global IPv6 address
            is known."
        SYNTAX       OCTET STRING (SIZE (16|20))

    InetAddressDNS ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
        DISPLAY-HINT "255a"
        STATUS       current
        DESCRIPTION
            "Represents a DNS domain name. The name SHOULD be
             fully qualified whenever possible.

             The corresponding InetAddressType is dns(16).

             The DESCRIPTION clause of InetAddress objects that
             may have InetAddressDNS values must fully describe
             how (and when) such names are to be resolved to IP
             addresses."
        SYNTAX       OCTET STRING (SIZE (1..255))

    InetAddressPrefixLength ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
        STATUS      current
        DESCRIPTION
            "Denotes the length of a generic Internet network address
             prefix. A value of n corresponds to an IP address mask
             which has n contiguous 1-bits from the most significant
             bit (MSB) and all other bits set to 0.

             An InetAddressPrefixLength value is always interpreted
             within the context of an InetAddressType value. The
             InetAddressType object must be registered before the
             object which uses the InetAddressPrefixLength textual
             convention.

             InetAddressPrefixLength values that are larger than
             the maximum length of an IP address for a specific
             InetAddressType are treated as the maximum significant
             value applicable for the InetAddressType. The maximum
             significant value is 32 for the InetAddressType
             'ipv4(1)' and 128 for the InetAddressType 'ipv6(2)'.
```

```
            The maximum significant value for the InetAddressType
            'dns(16)' is 0.

            The value zero is object-specific and must be defined as
            part of the description of any object which uses this
            syntax. Examples of the usage of zero might include
            situations where the Internet network address prefix
            is unknown or does not apply."
        SYNTAX      Unsigned32

    InetPortNumber ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
        STATUS      current
        DESCRIPTION
            "Represents a 16 bit port number of an Internet transport
             layer protocol. Port numbers are assigned by IANA. A
             current list of all assignments is available from
             <http://www.iana.org/>.

             The value zero is object-specific and must be defined as
             part of the description of any object which uses this
             syntax. Examples of the usage of zero might include
             situations where a port number is unknown, or when the
             value zero is used as a wildcard in a filter."
        REFERENCE  "STD 6 (RFC 768), STD 7 (RFC 793) and RFC 2960"
        SYNTAX      Unsigned32 (0..65535)

    InetAutonomousSystemNumber ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
        STATUS      current
        DESCRIPTION
            "Represents an autonomous system number which identifies an
             Autonomous System (AS). An AS is a set of routers under a
             single technical administration, using an interior gateway
             protocol and common metrics to route packets within the AS,
             and using an exterior gateway protocol to route packets to
             other ASs'. IANA maintains the AS number space and has
             delegated large parts to the regional registries.

             Autonomous system numbers are currently limited to 16 bits
             (0..65535). There is however work in progress to enlarge the
             autonomous system number space to 32 bits. This textual
             convention therefore uses an Unsigned32 value without a
             range restriction in order to support a larger autonomous
             system number space."
        REFERENCE  "RFC 1771, RFC 1930"
        SYNTAX      Unsigned32

    END
```

4. Usage Hints

One particular usage of InetAddressType/InetAddress pairs is to avoid over-constraining an object definition by the use of the IpAddress SMI base type. An InetAddressType/InetAddress pair allows to represent IP addresses in various formats.

The InetAddressType and InetAddress objects SHOULD NOT be sub-typed. Sub-typing binds the MIB module to specific address formats, which may cause serious problems if new address formats need to be introduced. Note that it is possible to write compliance statements in order to express that only a subset of the defined address types must be implemented to be compliant.

The InetAddressType object must be registered immediately before the InetAddress object(s) or InetAddressPrefixLength object(s). In other words, the object identifiers for the InetAddressType object and the InetAddress object MUST have the same length and the last sub-identifier of the InetAddressType object MUST be less than the last sub-identifier of the InetAddress object. This rule allows programs such as MIB compilers to identify the InetAddressType of a given InetAddress or InetAddressPrefixLength object by searching for the InetAddressType object which precedes InetAddress or InetAddressPrefixLength registration.

4.1 Table Indexing

When a generic Internet address is used as an index, both the InetAddressType and InetAddress objects MUST be used. The InetAddressType object MUST be listed immediately before the InetAddress object in the INDEX clause.

The IMPLIED keyword MUST NOT be used for an object of type InetAddress in an INDEX clause. Instance sub-identifiers are then of the form T.N.O1.O2...On, where T is the value of the InetAddressType object, O1...On are the octets in the InetAddress object, and N is the number of those octets.

There is a meaningful lexicographical ordering to tables indexed in this fashion. Command generator applications may lookup specific addresses of known type and value, issue GetNext requests for addresses of a single type, or issue GetNext requests for a specific type and address prefix.

4.2 Uniqueness of Addresses

IPv4 addresses were intended to be globally unique, current usage notwithstanding. IPv6 addresses were architected to have different

scopes and hence uniqueness [19]. In particular, IPv6 "link-local" and "site-local" addresses are not guaranteed to be unique on any particular node. In such cases, the duplicate addresses must be configured on different interfaces. So the combination of an IPv6 address and an interface number is unique. The interface number may therefore be used as a scope identifier.

The InetAddressIPv6 textual convention has been defined to represent global and non-global IPv6 addresses. MIB designers who use InetAddressType/InetAddress pairs therefore do not need define additional objects in order to support link-local or site-local addresses.

The size of the scope identifier has been chosen so that it matches the sin6_scope_id field of the sockaddr_in6 structure defined in RFC 2553 [20].

4.3 Multiple InetAddresses per Host

A single host system may be configured with multiple addresses (IPv4 or IPv6), and possibly with multiple DNS names. Thus it is possible for a single host system to be accessible by multiple InetAddressType/InetAddress pairs.

If this could be an implementation or usage issue, then the DESCRIPTION clause of the relevant objects MUST fully describe required behavior.

4.4 Resolving DNS Names

DNS names must be resolved to IP addresses when communication with the named host is required. This raises a temporal aspect to defining MIB objects whose value is a DNS name: When is the name translated to an address?

For example, consider an object defined to indicate a forwarding destination, and whose value is a DNS name. When does the forwarding entity resolve the DNS name? Each time forwarding occurs or just once when the object was instantiated?

The DESCRIPTION clause of such objects SHOULD precisely define how and when any required name to address resolution is done.

Similarly, the DESCRIPTION clause of such objects SHOULD precisely define how and when a reverse lookup is being done if an agent has accessed instrumentation that knows about an IP address and the MIB module or implementation requires to map the IP address to a DNS name.

5. Table Indexing Example

This example shows a table listing communication peers that are identified by either an IPv4 address, an IPv6 address or a DNS name. The table definition also prohibits entries with an empty address (whose type would be "unknown"). The size of a DNS name is limited to 64 characters.

```
peerTable OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      SEQUENCE OF PeerEntry
    MAX-ACCESS  not-accessible
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A list of communication peers."
    ::= { somewhere 1 }

peerEntry OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      PeerEntry
    MAX-ACCESS  not-accessible
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "An entry containing information about a particular peer."
    INDEX       { peerAddressType, peerAddress }
    ::= { peerTable 1 }

PeerEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    peerAddressType     InetAddressType,
    peerAddress         InetAddress,
    peerStatus          INTEGER
}

peerAddressType OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      InetAddressType
    MAX-ACCESS  not-accessible
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The type of Internet address by which the peer
         is reachable."
    ::= { peerEntry 1 }

peerAddress OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX      InetAddress (SIZE (1..64))
    MAX-ACCESS  not-accessible
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The Internet address for the peer. Note that
         implementations must limit themselves to a single
```

```
            entry in this table per reachable peer.

            The peerAddress may not be empty due to the SIZE
            restriction.

            If a row is created administratively by an SNMP
            operation and the address type value is dns(16), then
            the agent stores the DNS name internally. A DNS name
            lookup must be performed on the internally stored DNS
            name whenever it is being used to contact the peer.

            If a row is created by the managed entity itself and
            the address type value is dns(16), then the agent
            stores the IP address internally. A DNS reverse lookup
            must be performed on the internally stored IP address
            whenever the value is retrieved via SNMP."
        ::= { peerEntry 2 }
```

The following compliance statement specifies that implementations need only support IPv4 addresses and globally unique IPv6 addresses to be compliant. Support for DNS names or scoped IPv6 addresses is not required.

```
peerCompliance MODULE-COMPLIANCE
    STATUS      current
    DESCRIPTION
        "The compliance statement the peer MIB."

    MODULE      -- this module
    MANDATORY-GROUPS    { peerGroup }

    OBJECT  peerAddressType
    SYNTAX  InetAddressType { ipv4(1), ipv6(2) }
    DESCRIPTION
        "An implementation is only required to support IPv4
         and IPv6 addresses."

    OBJECT  peerAddress
    SYNTAX  InetAddress (SIZE(4|16))
    DESCRIPTION
        "An implementation is only required to support IPv4
         and globally unique IPv6 addresses."

    ::= { somewhere 2 }
```

Note that the SMIv2 does not permit inclusion of not-accessible objects in an object group (see section 3.1 in STD 58, RFC 2580 [8]).

It is therefore not possible to formally refine the syntax of auxiliary objects which are not-accessible. In such a case, it is suggested to express the refinement informally in the DESCRIPTION clause of the MODULE-COMPLIANCE macro invocation.

6. Security Considerations

This module does not define any management objects. Instead, it defines a set of textual conventions which may be used by other MIB modules to define management objects.

Meaningful security considerations can only be written in the MIB modules that define management objects. This document has therefore no impact on the security of the Internet.

7. Acknowledgments

This document was produced by the Operations and Management Area "IPv6MIB" design team. The authors would like to thank Fred Baker, Randy Bush, Richard Draves, Mark Ellison, Bill Fenner, Jun-ichiro Hagino, Tim Jenkins, Glenn Mansfield, Keith McCloghrie, Thomas Narten, Erik Nordmark, Peder Chr. Norgaard, Randy Presuhn, Andrew Smith, Dave Thaler, Kenneth White, Bert Wijnen, and Brian Zill for their comments and suggestions.

8. Intellectual Property Notice

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standards-related documentation can be found in BCP-11. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

9. Changes from RFC 2851

The following changes have been made relative to RFC 2851:

- Added new TCs: InetAddressPrefixLength, InetPortNumber, InetAutonomousSystemNumber

- Rewrote the introduction to say clearly that in general, one should define MIB tables that work with all versions of IP. The other approach of multiple tables for different IP versions is strongly discouraged. (kzm)

- Added text to the InetAddressType and InetAddress descriptions which requires that implementations must reject set operations with an inconsistentValue error if they lead to inconsistencies.

- Relaxed the rules to make it possible to register tuples where multiple objects share an InetAddressType value, which is needed for filters of the form (InetAddressType, InetAddress, InetPortNumber, InetAddress InetPortNumber).

- Added a paragraph in the Introduction which explains the motivation for the ordering constraints.

10. Open Issues

- Check that the document is consistent with draft-ietf-ipngwg-scoping-arch-02.txt and add a reference to it.

- Addition of an InetScopeIdentifier TC? (Bill Fenner)

References

[1] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

[2] Harrington, D., Presuhn, R. and B. Wijnen, "An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management Frameworks", RFC 2571, April 1999.

[3] Rose, M. and K. McCloghrie, "Structure and Identification of Management Information for TCP/IP-based Internets", STD 16, RFC 1155, May 1990.

[4] Rose, M. and K. McCloghrie, "Concise MIB Definitions", STD 16, RFC 1212, March 1991.

[5] Rose, M., "A Convention for Defining Traps for use with the

SNMP", RFC 1215, March 1991.

[6] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, RFC 2578, April 1999.

[7] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Textual Conventions for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2579, April 1999.

[8] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Conformance Statements for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2580, April 1999.

[9] Case, J., Fedor, M., Schoffstall, M. and J. Davin, "A Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", STD 15, RFC 1157, May 1990.

[10] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Introduction to Community-based SNMPv2", RFC 1901, January 1996.

[11] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Transport Mappings for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", RFC 1906, January 1996.

[12] Case, J., Harrington, D., Presuhn, R. and B. Wijnen, "Message Processing and Dispatching for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", RFC 2572, April 1999.

[13] Blumenthal, U. and B. Wijnen, "User-based Security Model (USM) for version 3 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv3)", RFC 2574, April 1999.

[14] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Protocol Operations for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", RFC 1905, January 1996.

[15] Levi, D., Meyer, P. and B. Stewart, "SNMP Applications", RFC 2573, April 1999.

[16] Wijnen, B., Presuhn, R. and K. McCloghrie, "View-based Access Control Model (VACM) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", RFC 2575, April 1999.

[17] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D. and B. Stewart, "Introduction to Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework", RFC 2570, April 1999.

[18] McCloghrie, K. and F. Kastenholz, "The Interfaces Group MIB", RFC 2863, June 2000.

[19] Hinden, R. and S. Deering, "IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture", RFC 2373, July 1998.

[20] Gilligan, R., Thomson, S., Bound, J. and W. Stevens, "Basic Socket Interface Extensions for IPv6", RFC 2553, March 1999.

Authors' Addresses

Mike Daniele
Consultant
19 Pinewood Rd
Hudson, NH 03051
USA

Phone: +1 603 883-6365
EMail: mwdaniele@adelphia.net

Brian Haberman
Nortel Networks
4039 Emperor Blvd., Suite 200
Durham, NC 27703
USA

Phone: +1 919 992-4439
EMail: haberman@nortelnetworks.com

Shawn A. Routhier
Wind River Systems, Inc.
1 Tara Blvd, Suite 403
Nashua, NH 03062
USA

Phone: +1 603 897-2000
EMail: sar@epilogue.com

Juergen Schoenwaelder
TU Braunschweig
Bueltenweg 74/75
38106 Braunschweig
Germany

Phone: +49 531 391-3289
EMail: schoenw@ibr.cs.tu-bs.de

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2001). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.