Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Expires: March 21, 2002

M. Daniele Consultant J. Schoenwaelder TU Braunschweig September 20, 2001

# **Textual Conventions for Transport Addresses** draft-ops-taddress-mib-01.txt

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of Section 10 of RFC2026.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 21, 2002.

# Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2001). All Rights Reserved.

### Abstract

This document introduces a MIB module which defines textual conventions to represent commonly used transport layer addressing information. The definitions are compatible with the concept of TAddress/TDomain pairs introduced by the SMIv2 and support the Internet transport protocols over IPv4 and IPv6.

Tn	+ 0	rn	\ \ +	-D	ra	F+
ΤI	ıle		ıυι	- v	Ιd	ΙL

# TCs for Transport Addresses September 2001

# Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction								3
<u>2</u> .	SNMP Management Framework	,							3
<u>3</u> .	Overview								4
3.1	Relationship to Other MIBs								5
3.1.1	SNMPv2-TC (TAddress, TDomain)								<u>5</u>
3.1.2	${\sf SNMPv2-TM}  .  .  .  .  .  .  .  .  .  $								<u>5</u>
3.1.3	<pre>INET-ADDRESS-MIB (InetAddressType,</pre>		InetAdo	dres	s)				<u>5</u>
<u>4</u> .	Definitions								<u>6</u>
<u>5</u> .	Examples								<u>13</u>
<u>6</u> .	Security Considerations								<u>14</u>
<u>7</u> .	Acknowledgments								<u>14</u>
<u>8</u> .	Intellectual Property Notice								<u>14</u>
	References								<u>14</u>
	Authors' Addresses								<u>16</u>
<u>A</u> .	Open Issues								<u>16</u>
	Full Copyright Statement								<u>18</u>

#### 1. Introduction

Many MIB modules have the need to represent transport layer addresses in a generic way. Typical examples are MIBs for application protocols that can run over several different transports or application management MIBs that need to model generic communication endpoints.

The SMIv2 defines in RFC 2579 [7] the textual conventions TDomain and TAddress to represent generic transports layer endpoints. A generic TAddress value is interpreted in a given transport domain which is identified by a TDomain value. The TDomain is an object identifier which allows MIB authors to extend the set of supported transport domains by providing suitable definitions in enterprise specific MIB modules.

A set of TDomain values and concrete TAddress formats has been standardized in RFC 1906 [11]. These definitions are however mixed up with SNMP semantics and definitions for Internet transport protocols over IPv4 and IPv6 are missing.

The purpose of this memo is to introduce a set of well-known textual conventions to represent commonly used transport layer addressing information which is compatible with the original TDomain and TAddress approach and which includes definitions for Internet transport protocols over IPv4 and IPv6. This memo also defines a new textual convention which enumerates the well-known transport domains since such an enumeration provides in many cases enough flexibility and is more efficient compared to object identifiers.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT" and "MAY" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <a href="RFC 2119">RFC 2119</a> [1].

#### 2. SNMP Management Framework

The SNMP Management Framework presently consists of five major components:

- o An overall architecture, described in <a href="RFC 2571">RFC 2571</a> [2].
- o Mechanisms for describing and naming objects and events for the purpose of management. The first version of this Structure of Management Information (SMI) is called SMIv1 and described in STD 16, RFC 1155 [3], STD 16, RFC 1212 [4] and RFC 1215 [5]. The second version, called SMIv2, is described in STD 58, RFC 2578 [6], STD 58, RFC 2579 [7] and STD 58, RFC 2580 [8].
- o Message protocols for transferring management information. The

first version of the SNMP message protocol is called SNMPv1 and described in STD 15, RFC 1157 [9]. A second version of the SNMP message protocol, which is not an Internet standards track protocol, is called SNMPv2c and described in RFC 1901 [10] and RFC 1906 [11]. The third version of the message protocol is called SNMPv3 and described in  $\overline{RFC}$  1906 [11],  $\overline{RFC}$  2572 [12] and  $\overline{RFC}$  2574 [13].

- o Protocol operations for accessing management information. The first set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in STD 15, <a href="RFC 1157">RFC 1157</a> [9]. A second set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in RFC 1905 [<u>14</u>].
- o A set of fundamental applications described in RFC 2573 [15] and the view-based access control mechanism described in RFC 2575 [16].

A more detailed introduction to the current SNMP Management Framework can be found in RFC 2570 [17].

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. Objects in the MIB are defined using the mechanisms defined in the SMI.

This memo specifies a MIB module that is compliant to the SMIv2. A MIB conforming to the SMIv1 can be produced through the appropriate translations. The resulting translated MIB must be semantically equivalent, except where objects or events are omitted because no translation is possible (use of Counter64). Some machine readable information in SMIv2 will be converted into textual descriptions in SMIv1 during the translation process. However, this loss of machine readable information is not considered to change the semantics of the MIB.

#### Overview

This MIB module contains definitions for commonly used transport layer addressing information. In particular, it provides the following definitions:

- 1. Textual conventions for generic transport addresses and generic transport domains.
- 2. Object identifier registrations for well-known transport domains.
- 3. An enumeration of the well-known transport domains, called a transport address type.

4. A set of textual conventions for the address formats used by well-known transport domains.

It is expected that this MIB module will be updated by subsequent RFCs which register additional well-known transport domains and which introduce new textual conventions for the address formats used by those new transport domains.

This module does NOT define the transport mappings of any particular protocol. Rather, it defines a set of common identifiers and textual conventions that are intended to be used within various transport mappings documents.

# 3.1 Relationship to Other MIBs

This section discusses how the definitions provided by this memo relate to definitions in related MIB modules.

#### 3.1.1 SNMPv2-TC (TAddress, TDomain)

The SNMPv2-TC module [7] defines the textual conventions TAddress and TDomain to represent generic transport addresses.

A TAddress is an octet string with a size between 1 and 255 octets. Experience has shown that there is sometimes a need to represent unknown transport addresses. This module therefore introduces a new textual convention TransportAddress which is an octet string with a size between 0 and 255 octets and otherwise identical semantics.

This module also introduces a new textual convention TransportDomain which is compatible with the TDomain definition so that a complete set of definitions is contained in a single module.

# 3.1.2 SNMPv2-TM

The transport domains defined in the SNMPv2-TM module [11] all contain "snmp" as the prefix in their name and are registered under `snmpDomains' (from RFC 2578 [6]). There has been some confusion as to whether these definitions are appropriate for designating transport endpoints for non-SNMP traffic. These definitions are also incomplete since new transport address domains are needed to support (at least) SNMP over IPv6.

# 3.1.3 INET-ADDRESS-MIB (InetAddressType, InetAddress)

The INET-ADDRESS-MIB module [18] defines the textual conventions InetAddressType and InetAddress to represent Internet network layer endpoints. Several MIBs use these textual conventions in conjunction with the InetPortNumber textual convention to represent Internet transport layer endpoints. This is approach is fine as long as a MIB models protocols or applications that are specific to the Internet suite of transport protocols. For protocols or applications that can potentially use other transport protocols, the use of the definitions contained in this memo is more appropriate.

#### 4. Definitions

```
TRANSPORT-ADDRESS-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN
IMPORTS
    MODULE-IDENTITY, OBJECT-IDENTITY, mib-2
                                                FROM SNMPv2-SMI
    TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
                                                FROM SNMPv2-TC;
transportAddressMIB MODULE-IDENTITY
    LAST-UPDATED "200109170000Z"
    ORGANIZATION
        "IETF Operations and Management Area"
    CONTACT-INFO
        "Juergen Schoenwaelder (Editor)
         TU Braunschweig
         Bueltenweg 74/75
         38106 Braunschweig, Germany
         Phone: +49 531 391-3289
         EMail: schoenw@ibr.cs.tu-bs.de
         Send comments to <mibs@ops.ietf.org>."
    DESCRIPTION
        "This MIB module provides commonly-used transport
        address definitions."
               "200109170000Z"
    REVISION
    DESCRIPTION
        "Initial version, published as RFC XXXX."
    ::= { mib-2 XXXX } -- to be assigned by IANA
transportDomains OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { transportAddressMIB 1 }
transportDomainUdpIpv4 OBJECT-IDENTITY
               current
    STATUS
    DESCRIPTION
        "The UDP over IPv4 transport domain. The corresponding
         transport address is of type TransportAddressIPv4 for
         global IPv4 addresses."
    ::= { transportDomains 1 }
```

```
transportDomainUdpIpv6 OBJECT-IDENTITY
   STATUS
               current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The UDP over IPv6 transport domain. The corresponding
        transport address is of type TransportAddressIPv6 for
         global IPv6 addresses."
    ::= { transportDomains 2 }
transportDomainUdpIpv4z OBJECT-IDENTITY
   STATUS
               current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The UDP over IPv4 transport domain. The corresponding
        transport address is of type TransportAddressIPv4z for
        non-global IPv4 addresses."
    ::= { transportDomains 3 }
transportDomainUdpIpv6z OBJECT-IDENTITY
   STATUS
               current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The UDP over IPv6 transport domain. The corresponding
        transport address is of type TransportAddressIPv6z for
        non-global IPv6 addresses."
    ::= { transportDomains 4 }
transportDomainTcpIpv4 OBJECT-IDENTITY
   STATUS
               current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The TCP over IPv4 transport domain. The corresponding
         transport address is of type TransportAddressIPv4 for
         global IPv4 addresses."
    ::= { transportDomains 5 }
transportDomainTcpIpv6 OBJECT-IDENTITY
   STATUS
               current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The TCP over IPv6 transport domain. The corresponding
         transport address is of type TransportAddressIPv6 for
        global IPv6 addresses."
    ::= { transportDomains 6 }
transportDomainTcpIpv4z OBJECT-IDENTITY
   STATUS
               current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The TCP over IPv4 transport domain. The corresponding
         transport address is of type TransportAddressIPv4z for
        non-global IPv4 addresses."
    ::= { transportDomains 7 }
```

```
transportDomainTcpIpv6z OBJECT-IDENTITY
   STATUS
               current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The TCP over IPv6 transport domain. The corresponding
        transport address is of type TransportAddressIPv6z for
        non-global IPv6 addresses."
    ::= { transportDomains 8 }
transportDomainLocal OBJECT-IDENTITY
   STATUS
               current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The Posix Local IPC transport domain. The corresponding
        transport address is of type TransportAddressLocal.
        The Posix Local IPC transport domain incorporates the
        well known UNIX domain sockets."
    ::= { transportDomains 9 }
transportDomainClns OBJECT-IDENTITY
   STATUS
               current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The CLNS transport domain. The corresponding
        transport address is of type TransportAddressOSI."
    ::= { transportDomains 10 }
transportDomainCons OBJECT-IDENTITY
   STATUS
           current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The CONS transport domain. The corresponding
        transport address is of type TransportAddressOSI."
    ::= { transportDomains 11 }
transportDomainDdp OBJECT-IDENTITY
   STATUS current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The DDP transport domain. The corresponding
        transport address is of type TransportAddressNBP."
    ::= { transportDomains 12 }
transportDomainIpx OBJECT-IDENTITY
   STATUS
              current
   DESCRIPTION
        "The IPX transport domain. The corresponding
        transport address is of type TransportAddressIPX."
    ::= { transportDomains 13 }
```

TransportDomain ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

```
STATUS
                current
   DESCRIPTION
        "A value that represents a transport domain.
         Some possible values, such as transportDomainUdpIpv4, are
         defined in this module. Other possible values are defined
         in other MIB modules."
   SYNTAX
                OBJECT IDENTIFIER
-- The enumerated values of the textual convention below SHOULD
-- be identical to the last sub-identifier of the OID registered
-- for the same domain.
TransportAddressType ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
   STATUS
                current
   DESCRIPTION
        "A value that represents a transport domain. This is the
      enumerated version of the transport domain registrations
      in this MIB module. The enumerated values have the
      following meaning:
         unknown(0)
                        unknown transport address type
         udpIpv4(1)
                        transportDomainUdpIpv4
         udpIpv6(2)
                        transportDomainUdpIpv6
         udpIpv4z(3)
                        transportDomainUdpIpv4z
         udpIpv6z(4)
                        transportDomainUdpIpv6z
         tcpIpv4(5)
                        transportDomainTcpIpv4
                        transportDomainTcpIpv6
         tcpIpv6(6)
         tcpIpv4z(7)
                        transportDomainTcpIpv4z
         tcpIpv6z(8)
                        transportDomainTcpIpv6z
                        transportDomainLocal
         local(9)
         clns(10)
                        transportDomainClns
         cons(11)
                        transportDomainCons
         ddp(12)
                        transportDomainDdp
                        transportDomainIpx
         ipx(13)
         This textual convention can be used to represent transport
         domains in situations where a syntax of TransportDomain is
         unwieldy (for example, when used as an index)."
   SYNTAX
                INTEGER {
                    unknown(0),
                    udpIpv4(1),
                    udpIpv6(2),
                    udpIpv4z(3),
                    udpIpv6z(4),
```

tcpIpv4(5),

```
tcpIpv6(6),
tcpIpv4z(7),
tcpIpv6z(8),
local(9),
clns(10),
cons(11),
ddp(12),
ipx(13)
```

TransportAddress ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION

"Denotes a generic transport address.

A TransportAddress value is always interpreted within the context of a TransportAddressType or TransportDomain value. The TransportAddressType or TransportDomain object which defines the format of the TransportAddress value MUST be registered before the object(s) which use the TransportAddress textual convention.

The value of a TransportAddress object must always be consistent with the value of the associated TransportAddressType or TransportDomain object. Attempts to set a TransportAddress object to a value which is inconsistent with the associated TransportAddressType or TransportDomain must fail with an inconsistentValue error.

When this textual convention is used as a syntax of an index object, there may be issues with the limit of 128 sub-identifiers specified in SMIv2, STD 58. In this case, the OBJECT-TYPE declaration MUST include a 'SIZE' clause to limit the number of potential instance sub-identifiers."

SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (0..255))

```
TransportAddressIPv4 ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
DISPLAY-HINT "1d.1d.1d.1d:2d"
STATUS current
DESCRIPTION
"Represents a TCP-over-IPv4 or a UDP-over-IPv4
```

transport address:

octets contents encoding
1-4 IPv4 address network-byte order
5-6 TCP or UDP port network-byte order"
SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (6))

TransportAddressIPv6 ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

DISPLAY-HINT "0a[2x:2x:2x:2x:2x:2x:2x]0a:2d"

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Represents a TCP-over-IPv6 or a UDP-over-IPv6 transport address for global IPv6 addresses:

octets	contents	encoding
1-16	IPv6 address	network-byte order
17-18	TCP or UDP port	network-byte order

This textual convention must not be used for non-global scoped IPv6 addresses."

#### REFERENCE

"IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture (RFC 2373)" SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (18))

TransportAddressIPv4z ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

DISPLAY-HINT "1d.1d.1d.1d%4d:2d"

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Represents a TCP-over-IPv4 or a UDP-over-IPv4 transport address for scoped IPv6 addresses:

octets	contents	encoding
1-4	IPv4 address	network-byte order
5-8	zone index	network-byte order
9-10	TCP or UDP port	network-byte order

This textual convention must not be used for global IPv4 addresses."

SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (10))

TransportAddressIPv6z ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION

DISPLAY-HINT "0a[2x:2x:2x:2x:2x:2x:2x:4d]0a:2d"

STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Represents a TCP-over-IPv6 or a UDP-over-IPv6 transport address for scoped IPv6 addresses:

octets	contents	encoding
1-16	IPv6 address	network-byte order
17-20	scope identifier	network-byte order
21-22	TCP or UDP port	network-byte order

This textual convention must not be used for global IPv6 addresses."

REFERENCE

```
"IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture (RFC 2373)"
    SYNTAX
                OCTET STRING (SIZE (22))
TransportAddressLocal ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    DISPLAY-HINT "1a"
    STATUS
               current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Represents a POSIX Local IPC transport address:
          octets
                       contents
                                                       encoding
           all
                       POSIX Local IPC address
                                                  string
         The Posix Local IPC transport domain subsumes UNIX domain
         sockets."
    REFERENCE
        "Protocol Independent Interfaces (IEEE POSIX 1003.1g)"
                OCTET STRING (SIZE (1..255))
TransportAddressOSI ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    DISPLAY-HINT "*1x:/1x:"
    STATUS
                current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Represents an OSI transport-address:
          octets
                       contents
                                          encoding
            1
                       length of NSAP
                                          'n' as an unsigned-integer
                                          (either 0 or from 3 to 20)
          2..(n+1)
                       NSAP
                                          concrete binary representation
          (n+2)..m
                       TSEL
                                          string of (up to 64) octets"
                OCTET STRING (SIZE (1 | 4..85))
    SYNTAX
TransportAddressNBP ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    STATUS
                current
    DESCRIPTION
        "Represents an NBP name:
             octets
                           contents
                                             encoding
                           length of object 'n' as an unsigned integer
                1
                                             string of (up to 32) octets
             2..(n+1)
                           object
                           length of type
                                             'p' as an unsigned integer
               n+2
                                             string of (up to 32) octets
          (n+3)..(n+2+p)
                           type
              n+3+p
                           length of zone
                                             'q' as an unsigned integer
        (n+4+p)..(n+3+p+q) zone
                                             string of (up to 32) octets
```

For comparison purposes, strings are case-insensitive. All strings may contain any octet other than 255 (hex ff)."

SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (3..99))

TransportAddressIPX ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
DISPLAY-HINT "4x.1x:1x:1x:1x:1x:2d"
STATUS current

DESCRIPTION

"Represents an IPX address:

octets contents encoding

1-4 network-number network-byte order 5-10 physical-address network-byte order 11-12 socket-number network-byte order"

SYNTAX OCTET STRING (SIZE (12))

**END** 

#### 5. Examples

This section shows some examples how transport addresses are encoded and rendered using some of the initial transport domain and address definitions.

Description: Unspecified IPv4 address on port 80.

Encoding (hex): 000000000050 Display: 0.0.0.0:80

Description: Global IPv4 address on port 80.

Encoding (hex): 86A922010050 Display: 134.169.34.1:80

Display: [0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0:0]:80

Description: Global IPv6 address on port 80.

Encoding (hex): 10800000000000000080800200C417A0050

Display: [1080:0:0:0:8:800:200C:417A]:80

Description: Link-local IPv6 address with zone-index 42 on port 80.

Display: [FE80:0:0:0:1:0:0:200%42]:80

Description: Posix Local IPC address (UNIX domain). Encoding (hex): 2F7661722F6167656E74782F6D6173746572

Display: /var/agentx/master

#### 6. Security Considerations

The MIB module contained in this memo does not define any management objects. Instead, it defines a set of textual conventions which may be used by other MIB modules to define management objects.

Meaningful security considerations can only be written for MIB modules that define concrete management objects. This document has therefore no impact on the security of the Internet.

### 7. Acknowledgments

This document was produced by the Operations and Management Area "IPv6MIB" design team. Some of the definitions in this module are taken from <a href="RFC 1906">RFC 1906</a> [11]. The authors would like to thank Mark Ellison, Brian Haberman, Erik Nordmark, Bill Strahm and Dave Thaler for their comments and suggestions.

#### 8. Intellectual Property Notice

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standards-related documentation can be found in BCP-11. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

#### References

- [1] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.
- [2] Harrington, D., Presuhn, R. and B. Wijnen, "An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management Frameworks", RFC 2571, April 1999.

- [3] Rose, M. and K. McCloghrie, "Structure and Identification of Management Information for TCP/IP-based Internets", STD 16, RFC 1155, May 1990.
- [4] Rose, M. and K. McCloghrie, "Concise MIB Definitions", STD 16, RFC 1212, March 1991.
- [5] Rose, M., "A Convention for Defining Traps for use with the SNMP", RFC 1215, March 1991.
- [6] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, RFC 2578, April 1999.
- [7] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Textual Conventions for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2579, April 1999.
- [8] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Conformance Statements for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2580, April 1999.
- [9] Case, J., Fedor, M., Schoffstall, M. and J. Davin, "A Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", STD 15, <u>RFC 1157</u>, May 1990.
- [10] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Introduction to Community-based SNMPv2", <u>RFC 1901</u>, January 1996.
- [11] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Transport Mappings for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", RFC 1906, January 1996.
- [12] Case, J., Harrington, D., Presuhn, R. and B. Wijnen, "Message Processing and Dispatching for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", RFC 2572, April 1999.
- [13] Blumenthal, U. and B. Wijnen, "User-based Security Model (USM) for version 3 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv3)", RFC 2574, April 1999.
- [14] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Protocol Operations for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", RFC 1905, January 1996.
- [15] Levi, D., Meyer, P. and B. Stewart, "SNMP Applications", RFC 2573, April 1999.

- [16] Wijnen, B., Presuhn, R. and K. McCloghrie, "View-based Access Control Model (VACM) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", <u>RFC 2575</u>, April 1999.
- [17] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D. and B. Stewart, "Introduction to Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework", RFC 2570, April 1999.
- [18] Daniele, M., Haberman, B., Routhier, S. and J. Schoenwaelder, "Textual Conventions for Internet Network Addresses", ID draftietf-ops-rfc2851-update-03.txt, September 2001.
- [19] Hinden, R. and S. Deering, "IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture", RFC 2373, July 1998.
- [20] IEEE, "Protocol Independent Interfaces", IEEE Std 1003.1g, DRAFT 6.6, March 1997.
- [21] Deering, S., Haberman, B., Jinmei, T., Nordmark, E., Onoe, A. and B. Zill, "IPv6 Scoped Address Architecture", draft-ietfipngwg-scoping-arch-02.txt, September 2001.

#### Authors' Addresses

Mike Daniele Consultant 19 Pinewood Rd Hudson, NH 03051 USA

Phone: +1 603 883-6365

EMail: mwdaniele@adelphia.net

Juergen Schoenwaelder TU Braunschweig Bueltenweg 74/75 38106 Braunschweig Germany

Phone: +49 531 391-3289

EMail: schoenw@ibr.cs.tu-bs.de

# Appendix A. Open Issues

 Provide suitable transport domain and address format definitions for DNS names, e.g. www.tu-bs.de:80?

- 2. This version adopts a URL format wherever possible, e.g. 10.1.2.3:80 instead of 10.1.2.3/80 for IPv4 and [00:00:00:00:0A:01:02:03]:80 instead of 00:00:00:00:0A:01:02:03/80 for IPv6 (RFC 2732). Is this useful? Are the DISPLAY-HINTs to achieve the desired output format acceptable?
- 3. Need to find experts to review the TC definitions for protocols we are not familiar with (TransportAddressOSI, TransportAddressNBP, TransportAddressIPX). Remove the TCs if no expert can be found.
- 4. Add references and REFERENCE clauses for the various address formats? Probably copying stuff from <a href="RFC 1906">RFC 1906</a>? Are the references in RFC 1906 still valid?
- 5. Shall we add more explicit guidelines and examples for the usage of the TransportAddressType TC, similar to what is in the INET-ADDRESS-MIB document?
- 6. Support for SCTP? How does it work with SCTP failover?
- 7. Should we give guidance when to use the RFC 1906 definitions and when to use the definitions provided by this memo?
- 8. Any ideas for a better descriptor prefix to be used throughout this MIB module?

# Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2001). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

### Acknowledgement

Funding for the RFC Editor function is currently provided by the Internet Society.