Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: October 20, 2010

A. Lindem
Ericsson
A. Roy
S. Mirtorabi
Cisco Systems

April 18, 2010

OSPF Multi-Instance Extensions
draft-ietf-ospf-multi-instance-02.txt

Abstract

OSPFv3 includes a mechanism for supporting multiple instances on the same link. OSPFv2 could benefit from such a mechanism in order to support multiple routing domains on the same subnet. The OSPFv2 instance ID is reserved for support of separate OSPFv2 protocol instances. This is different from OSPFv3 where it could be used for other purposes such as putting the same link in multiple areas. OSPFv2 supports this capability using a separate subnet or the OSPF multi-area adjacency capability.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 20, 2010.

Copyright Notice

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

OSPFv3 [OSPFV3] includes a mechanism for supporting multiple instances on the same link. OSPFv2 [OSPFV2] could benefit from such a mechanism in order to support multiple routing domains on the same subnet. The OSPFv2 instance ID is reserved for support of separate OSPFv2 protocol instances. This is different from OSPFv3 where it could be used for other purposes such as putting the same link in multiple areas. OSPFv2 supports this capability using a separate subnet or the OSPF multi-area adjacency capability [MULTI-AREA].

1.1. Requirements notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC-KEYWORDS].

2. OSPFv2 Instance Packet Encoding

OSPFv2 currently doesn't offer a mechanism to differentiate packets for different instances sent and received on the same interface. In support of this capability, this document introduces a modified packet header format when the Authentication Type field is split into an instance ID and type.



The OSPFv2 Packet Header

Version #

The OSPFv2 version number - 2

Type
The OSPFv2 packet type as specified [OSPFV2].

Packet length
The length of the OSPF protocol packet in bytes. This length includes the standard OSPF header.

Router ID
The Router ID of the packet's source.

Area ID
A 32-bit number identifying the area corresponding the packet as specified in [OSPFV2].

Checksum
OSPFv2 standard checksum calculation as specified in specified in [OSPFV2].

Instance ID
Enables multiple instances of OSPF to be run over a single link. Each protocol instance would be assigned a separate Instance ID; the Instance ID has local subnet significance only. Received packets with an Instance ID not equal to one of the configured OSPF Instance IDs on the receiving interface MUST be discarded.

AuType
OSPFv2 authentication type as specified in specified in [OSPFV2].

Authentication
A 64-bit field for Authentication type dependent authentication data.

3. OSPF Interface Instance ID

OSPF [OSPFV2] describes the conceptual interface data structure in section 9. The OSPF Interface ID will be added to this structure. The Interface Instance ID will default to 0. Its setting to a non-zero value may be accomplished through configuration or implied by some usage beyond the scope of this document.

3.1. Sending and Receiving OSPF packets

When sending OSPF packets, if the interface instance ID has a non-zero value, it will be set in the OSPF packet header. When receiving OSPF packets, the OSPFv2 Header Instance ID will be used to aid in demultiplexing the packet and routing it to the correct OSPFv2 instance. Received packets with an Instance ID not equal to one of

the configured OSPF Instance IDs on the receiving interface MUST be discarded.

4. State Sharing Optimizations between OSPF instances

This is beyond the scope of this draft and is an area for further study.

5. Backward Compatibility and Deployment Considerations

When there are OSPF routers that support this capability on the same broadcast capable link as those that do not, packets with non-zero Instance IDs will be received by those legacy routers. Since the authentication type will be unknown to them they will not process the packet. This is exactly what is desired.

Previously, a concern was that some implementations will log every single authentication type mismatch. However, discussions with implementers have led us to the conclusion that this is not as current a problem as we'd first thought and it will be even less of a problem by the time the mechanism in this draft is standardized, implemented, and deployed. Hence, the controversial mechanisms to avoid legacy routers receiving multicast OSPF packets with a non-zero instance ID have been removed.

6. Security Considerations

The enhancement described herein doesn't add any additional security considerations to OSPFv2. Security considerations for OSPFv2 are described in [OSPFV2].

Given that only three OSPFv2 authentication types have been standardized, it seems reasonable to reduce the OSPF packet header field to 8 bits.

7. IANA Considerations

A new registry will be added for OSPF Instance IDs. The allocation is TBD.

Dependent on the approach, two new multicast addresses from the IPv4 Multicast Addresses registry would need to be allocated.

Dependent on the approach, a new protocol ID may need to be allocated from the Protocol Numbers registry.

8. Normative References

[MULTI-AREA]
Mirtorabi, S., Psenak, P., Lindem, A., and A. Oswal, "OSPF Multi-Area Adjacency", RFC 5185, May 2008.

[OSPFV2] Moy, J., "OSPF Version 2", RFC 2328, April 1998.

[OSPFV3] Coltun, R., Ferguson, D., Moy, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPF for IPv6", RFC 5340, July 2008.

[RFC-KEYWORDS]
Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFC's to Indicate Requirement Levels", RFC 2119, March 1997.

Appendix A. Acknowledgments

The RFC text was produced using Marshall Rose's xml2rfc tool.

Thanks to Paul Wells for commenting on the backward compatibility issues.

Authors' Addresses

Acee Lindem
Ericsson
102 Carric Bend Court
Cary, NC 27519
USA

Email: acee.lindem@ericsson.com

Abhay Roy
Cisco Systems
225 West Tasman Drive
San Jose, CA 95134
USA

Email: akr@cisco.com

Sina Mirtorabi
Cisco Systems
3 West Plumeria Drive
San Jose, CA 95134
USA

Email: sina@cisco.com