Network Working Group

Internet-Draft

Updates: <u>5838</u> (if approved)

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: October 19, 2013

A. Retana
Cisco Systems, Inc.
D. Cheng
Huawei Technologies

April 17, 2013

# OSPFv3 Instance ID Registry Update draft-ietf-ospf-ospfv3-iid-registry-update-03

#### Abstract

This document modifies the "Unassigned" number space in the IANA "OSPFv3 Instance ID Address Family Values" registry.

#### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 19, 2013.

### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(<a href="http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction									2
<u>2</u> .	OSPFv3 Instance ID Address Family Values Re	egis	str	У	Up	da	ate	è		2
<u>3</u> .	IANA Considerations									<u>3</u>
<u>4</u> .	Security Considerations									<u>3</u>
<u>5</u> .	Acknowledgements									<u>3</u>
<u>6</u> .	References									3
6	<u>6.1</u> . Normative References									<u>3</u>
6	<u>6.2</u> . Informative References									3
Appe	pendix A. Change Log									3
<u>A</u>	A.1. Changes between the -00 and -01 version	ıs.								3
A	A.2. Changes between the -01 and -02 version	ıs.								4
A	A.3. Changes between the -02 and -03 version	ıs.								4
Auth	thors' Addresses									4

## 1. Introduction

[RFC5838] defined the "OSPFv3 Instance ID Address Family Values" registry for the purpose of mapping OSPFv3 Instance IDs to different address families. The following table lists the value ranges as currently allocated.

Instance ID Range   Description   Assignment Policy   ++	+	+	++
	Instance ID Range	Description	Assignment Policy
Instance ID # 0 - # 31	Instance ID # 0 - # 31   Instance ID # 32 - # 63   Instance ID # 64 - # 95   Instance ID # 96 - # 127   Instance ID # 128 - # 255	IPv6 unicast AF   IPv6 multicast AF   IPv4 unicast AF   IPv4 multicast AF   Unassigned	Already Assigned     Already Assigned     Already Assigned     Already Assigned     Standards Action

In some networks additional OSPFv3 instances may be required to operationally identify specific applications. For example, [I-D.ietf-ospf-ipv4-embedded-ipv6-routing] describes an application in which IPv4-embedded IPv6 addresses are used to transport IPv4 packets over an IPv6 network. While the IPv4-embedded IPv6 addresses do in fact represent IPv6 destinations, it would be operationally benefitial to be able to easily identify the the specific application by having a separate space to do so.

## 2. OSPFv3 Instance ID Address Family Values Registry Update

The IANA "OSPFv3 Instance ID Address Family Values" registry must be updated as follows:

+	-+	++
Instance ID Range		Assignment Policy
+	-+	+
Instance ID # 128 - # 191	Unassigned	Standards Action
Instance ID # 192 - # 255	Reserved	Private Use [RFC5226]
_	_	

### 3. IANA Considerations

This document requests the modification of the "OSPFv3 Instance ID Address Family Values" registry as described in  $\underline{\text{Section 2}}$ . The reference to  $\underline{[\text{RFC5838}]}$  should be removed from the registry for the modified ranges.

### 4. Security Considerations

This document modifies the assignment policy of an IANA registry defined in [RFC5838]. It does not introduce any new security issues.

## 5. Acknowledgements

Many thanks to Acee Lindem, Stewart Bryant, Nevil Brownlee, Pearl Liang and Ben Campbell for their review and input.

#### 6. References

## 6.1. Normative References

[RFC5226] Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", <u>BCP 26</u>, <u>RFC 5226</u>, May 2008.

## 6.2. Informative References

[RFC5838] Lindem, A., Mirtorabi, S., Roy, A., Barnes, M., and R. Aggarwal, "Support of Address Families in OSPFv3", RFC 5838, April 2010.

### Appendix A. Change Log

## A.1. Changes between the -00 and -01 versions.

- o Eliminated <u>rfc2119</u> keywords, section about Requirements Language and corresponding reference.
- o Updated acks.

## A.2. Changes between the -01 and -02 versions.

- o Added indicated that this draft updates rfc5838.
- o Clarified the description of the 'Private Use' range to 'Reserved'.
- o Added the need to remove the reference to  $\underline{\text{rfc5838}}$  from the updated registry fields.
- o Updated acks.

## A.3. Changes between the -02 and -03 versions.

- o Inserted example of the motivation.
- o Updated acks.

#### Authors' Addresses

Alvaro Retana Cisco Systems, Inc. 7025 Kit Creek Rd. Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 **USA** 

Email: aretana@cisco.com

Dean Cheng Huawei Technologies 2330 Central Expressway Santa Clara, California 95050 USA

Email: dean.cheng@huawei.com