                 OSPFv3 Extensions for Segment Routing
            draft-ietf-ospf-ospfv3-segment-routing-extensions-20

Abstract

   Segment Routing (SR) allows a flexible definition of end-to-end paths
   within IGP topologies by encoding paths as sequences of topological
   sub-paths, called "segments". These segments are advertised by the
   link-state routing protocols (IS-IS and OSPF).

   This draft describes the OSPFv3 extensions required for Segment
   Routing with MPLS data plane.

Requirements Language

   The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT",
   "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this
   document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Status of This Memo

Copyright Notice

Table of Contents

[1](#). Introduction

   Segment Routing (SR) allows a flexible definition of end-to-end paths
   within IGP topologies by encoding paths as sequences of topological
   sub-paths, called "segments". These segments are advertised by the
   link-state routing protocols (IS-IS and OSPF). Prefix segments
   represent an ECMP-aware shortest-path to a prefix (or a node), as per
   the state of the IGP topology. Adjacency segments represent a hop
   over a specific adjacency between two nodes in the IGP. A prefix

segment is typically a multi-hop path while an adjacency segment, in most cases, is a one-hop path. SR's control-plane can be applied to both IPv6 and MPLS data-planes, and does not require any additional signalling (other than IGP extensions). The IPv6 data plane is out of the scope of this specification - OSPFv3 extension for SR with IPv6 data plane will be specified in a separate document. When used in MPLS networks, SR paths do not require any LDP or RSVP-TE signalling. However, SR can interoperate in the presence of LSPs established with RSVP or LDP.

There are additional segment types, e.g., Binding SID defined in [RFC8402].

This draft describes the OSPFv3 extensions required for Segment Routing with MPLS data plane.

Segment Routing architecture is described in [RFC8402].

Segment Routing use cases are described in [RFC7855].

2. Terminology

This section lists some of the terminology used in this document:

   ABR - Area Border Router

   Adj-SID - Adjacency Segment Identifier

   AS - Autonomous System

   ASBR - Autonomous System Boundary Router

   IS-IS - Intermediate System to Intermediate System

   LDP - Label Distribution Protocol

   LSP - Label Switched Path

   MPLS - Multi Protocol Label Switching

   OSPF - Open Shortest Path First

   SPF - Shortest Path First

   RSVP - Resource Reservation Protocol

   SID - Segment Identifier

   SR - Segment Routing

   SRGB - Segment Routing Global Block

   SRLB - Segment Routing Local Block

   SRMS - Segment Routing Mapping Server

   TLV - Type Length Value

3. Segment Routing Identifiers

   Segment Routing defines various types of Segment Identifiers (SIDs):
   Prefix-SID, Adjacency-SID, LAN Adjacency SID, and Binding SID.

3.1. SID/Label Sub-TLV

   The SID/Label Sub-TLV appears in multiple TLVs or Sub-TLVs defined
   later in this document. It is used to advertise the SID or label
   associated with a prefix or adjacency. The SID/Label Sub-TLV has
   following format:

```
 0                   1                   2                   3
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|              Type             |             Length            |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                      SID/Label (variable)                     |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

   where:

      Type: 7

      Length: Either 3 or 4 octets

      SID/Label: If length is set to 3, then the 20 rightmost bits
      represent a label. If length is set to 4, then the value
      represents a 32-bit SID.

      The receiving router MUST ignore the SID/Label Sub-TLV if the
      length is other than 3 or 4.

4. Segment Routing Capabilities

   Segment Routing requires some additional router capabilities to be
   advertised to other routers in the area.

These SR capabilities are advertised in the OSPFv3 Router Information Opaque LSA (defined in [RFC7770]) and specified in [I-D.ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions].

5. OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV

In some cases it is useful to advertise attributes for a range of prefixes. The Segment Routing Mapping Server, which is described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop], is an example of where a single advertisement is needed to advertise SIDs for multiple prefixes from a contiguous address range.

The OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV is defined for this purpose.

The OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV is a top-level TLV of the following LSAs defined in [RFC8362]:

    E-Intra-Area-Prefix-LSA

    E-Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA

    E-AS-External-LSA

    E-Type-7-LSA

Multiple OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLVs MAY be advertised in each LSA mentioned above. The OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV has the following format:

```
 0                   1                   2                   3
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|             Type              |            Length             |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| Prefix Length |      AF       |          Range Size           |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|    Flags      |                  Reserved                     |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                    Address Prefix (variable)                  |
|                             ...                               |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                     Sub-TLVs (variable)                       |
+-                                                            -+
|                                                               |
```

where:

    Type: 9

Length: Variable, in octets, dependent on Sub-TLVs.

Prefix length: Length of prefix in bits.

AF: Address family for the prefix.

   AF: 0 - IPv4 unicast

   AF: 1 - IPv6 unicast

Range size: Represents the number of prefixes that are covered by
the advertisement. The Range Size MUST NOT exceed the number of
prefixes that could be satisfied by the prefix length without
including:

   Addresses from the IPv4 multicast address range (224.0.0.0/3),
   if the AF is IPv4 unicast

   Addresses other than the IPv6 unicast addresses, if the AF is
   IPv6 unicast

Flags: Reserved. MUST be zero when sent and are ignored when
received.

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored
on reception.

Address Prefix:

   For the address family IPv4 unicast, the prefix itself is
   encoded as a 32-bit value. The default route is represented by
   a prefix of length 0.

   For the address family IPv6 unicast, the prefix, encoded as an
   even multiple of 32-bit words, padded with zeroed bits as
   necessary. This encoding consumes ((PrefixLength + 31) / 32)
   32-bit words.

   Prefix encoding for other address families is beyond the scope
   of this specification.

If the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLVs advertising the exact same
range appears in multiple LSAs of the same type, originated by the
same OSPFv3 router, the LSA with the numerically smallest Instance ID
MUST be used and subsequent instances of the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix
Range TLVs MUST be ignored.

6. Prefix SID Sub-TLV

The Prefix SID Sub-TLV is a Sub-TLV of the following OSPFv3 TLVs as
defined in [RFC8362] and in Section 5:

   Intra-Area Prefix TLV

   Inter-Area Prefix TLV

   External Prefix TLV

   OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV

It MAY appear more than once in the parent TLV and has the following
format:

```
 0                   1                   2                   3
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|             Type              |             Length            |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|     Flags     |   Algorithm   |            Reserved           |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                     SID/Index/Label (variable)                |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```
where:

   Type: 4

   Length: 7 or 8 octets, dependent on the V-flag

   Flags: Single octet field. The following flags are defined:

```
   0  1  2  3  4  5  6  7
 +--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
 |  |NP|M |E |V |L |  |  |
 +--+--+--+--+--+--+--+--+
```
where:

      NP-Flag: No-PHP flag. If set, then the penultimate hop MUST
      NOT pop the Prefix-SID before delivering packets to the node
      that advertised the Prefix-SID.

      M-Flag: Mapping Server Flag. If set, the SID was advertised by
      a Segment Routing Mapping Server as described in
      [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop].

E-Flag: Explicit-Null Flag. If set, any upstream neighbor of
the Prefix-SID originator MUST replace the Prefix-SID with the
Explicit-NULL label (0 for IPv4, 2 for IPv6) before forwarding
the packet.

V-Flag: Value/Index Flag. If set, then the Prefix-SID carries
an absolute value. If not set, then the Prefix-SID carries an
index.

L-Flag: Local/Global Flag. If set, then the value/index
carried by the Prefix-SID has local significance. If not set,
then the value/index carried by this Sub-TLV has global
significance.

Other bits: Reserved. These MUST be zero when sent and are
ignored when received.

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored
on reception.

Algorithm: Single octet identifying the algorithm the Prefix-SID
is associated with as defined in
[I-D.ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions].

A router receiving a Prefix-SID from a remote node and with an
algorithm value that such remote node has not advertised in the
SR-Algorithm Sub-TLV [I-D.ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions]
MUST ignore the Prefix-SID Sub-TLV.

SID/Index/Label: According to the V-Flag and L-Flag, it contains:

V-flag is set to 0 and L-flag is set to 0: The SID/Index/Label
field is a 4 octet index defining the offset in the SID/Label
space advertised by this router

V-flag is set to 1 and L-flag is set to 1: The SID/Index/Label
field is a 3 octet local label where the 20 rightmost bits are
used for encoding the label value.

All other combinations of V-flag and L-flag are invalid and any
SID advertisement received with an invalid setting for V and L
flags MUST be ignored.

If an OSPFv3 router advertises multiple Prefix-SIDs for the same
prefix, topology, and algorithm, all of them MUST be ignored.

When calculating the outgoing label for the prefix, the router MUST
take into account, as described below, the E, NP, and M flags

advertised by the next-hop router if that router advertised the SID
for the prefix. This MUST be done regardless of whether the next-hop
router contributes to the best path to the prefix.

The NP-Flag (No-PHP) MUST be set and the E-flag MUST be clear for
Prefix-SIDs allocated to prefixes that are propagated between areas
by an ABR based on intra-area or inter-area reachability, unless the
advertised prefix is directly attached to such ABR.

The NP-Flag (No-PHP) MUST be set and the E-flag MUST be clear for
Prefix-SIDs allocated to redistributed prefixes, unless the
redistributed prefix is directly attached to the advertising
Autonomous System Boundary Router (ASBR).

If the NP-Flag is not set, then any upstream neighbor of the Prefix-
SID originator MUST pop the Prefix-SID. This is equivalent to the
penultimate hop popping mechanism used in the MPLS dataplane. If the
NP-flag is not set, then the received E-flag is ignored.

If the NP-flag is set then:

   If the E-flag is not set, then any upstream neighbor of the
   Prefix-SID originator MUST keep the Prefix-SID on top of the
   stack. This is useful when the originator of the Prefix-SID needs
   to stitch the incoming packet into a continuing MPLS LSP to the
   final destination. This could occur at an Area Border Router
   (prefix propagation from one area to another) or at an AS Boundary
   Router (prefix propagation from one domain to another).

   If the E-flag is set, then any upstream neighbor of the Prefix-SID
   originator MUST replace the Prefix-SID with an Explicit-NULL
   label. This is useful, e.g., when the originator of the Prefix-
   SID is the final destination for the related prefix and the
   originator wishes to receive the packet with the original EXP
   bits.

When the M-Flag is set, the NP-flag and the E-flag MUST be ignored on
reception.

As the Mapping Server does not specify the originator of a prefix
advertisement, it is not possible to determine PHP behavior solely
based on the Mapping Server advertisement. However, PHP behavior
SHOULD be done in following cases:

   The Prefix is intra-area type and the downstream neighbor is the
   originator of the prefix.

The Prefix is inter-area type and the downstream neighbor is an
ABR, which is advertising prefix reachability and is setting the
LA-bit in the Prefix Options as described in [RFC8362].

The Prefix is external type and the downstream neighbor is an
ASBR, which is advertising prefix reachability and is setting the
LA-bit in the Prefix Options as described in [RFC8362].

When a Prefix-SID is advertised in the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range
TLV, then the value advertised in the Prefix SID Sub-TLV is
interpreted as a starting SID/Label value.

Example 1: If the following router addresses (loopback addresses)
need to be mapped into the corresponding Prefix SID indexes:

            Router-A: 2001:DB8::1/128, Prefix-SID: Index 1
            Router-B: 2001:DB8::2/128, Prefix-SID: Index 2
            Router-C: 2001:DB8::3/128, Prefix-SID: Index 3
            Router-D: 2001:DB8::4/128, Prefix-SID: Index 4

then the Address Prefix field in the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV
would be set to 2001:DB8::1, the Prefix Length would be set to 128,
the Range Size would be set to 4, and the Index value in the Prefix-
SID Sub-TLV would be set to 1.

Example 2: If the following prefixes need to be mapped into the
corresponding Prefix-SID indexes:

            2001:DB8:1::0/120,   Prefix-SID: Index 51
            2001:DB8:1::100/120, Prefix-SID: Index 52
            2001:DB8:1::200/120, Prefix-SID: Index 53
            2001:DB8:1::300/120, Prefix-SID: Index 54
            2001:DB8:1::400/120, Prefix-SID: Index 55
            2001:DB8:1::500/120, Prefix-SID: Index 56
            2001:DB8:1::600/120, Prefix-SID: Index 57

then the Prefix field in the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV would
be set to 2001:DB8:1::0, the Prefix Length would be set to 120, the
Range Size would be set to 7, and the Index value in the Prefix-SID
Sub-TLV would be set to 51.

7. Adjacency Segment Identifier (Adj-SID)

An Adjacency Segment Identifier (Adj-SID) represents a router
adjacency in Segment Routing.

7.1. Adj-SID Sub-TLV

The Adj-SID Sub-TLV is an optional Sub-TLV of the Router-Link TLV as defined in [RFC8362]. It MAY appear multiple times in the Router-Link TLV. The Adj-SID Sub-TLV has the following format:

```
 0                   1                   2                   3
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|             Type              |             Length            |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
| Flags         |    Weight     |            Reserved           |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                    SID/Label/Index (variable)                 |
+--------------------------------------------------------------+
```

where:

Type: 5

Length: 7 or 8 octets, dependent on the V flag.

Flags: Single octet field containing the following flags:

```
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|B|V|L|G|P|      |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

where:

B-Flag: Backup Flag. If set, the Adj-SID refers to an adjacency that is eligible for protection (e.g., using IPFRR or MPLS-FRR) as described in section 3.5 of [RFC8402].

The V-Flag: Value/Index Flag. If set, then the Adj-SID carries an absolute value. If not set, then the Adj-SID carries an index.

The L-Flag: Local/Global Flag. If set, then the value/index carried by the Adj-SID has local significance. If not set, then the value/index carried by this Sub-TLV has global significance.

The G-Flag: Group Flag. When set, the G-Flag indicates that the Adj-SID refers to a group of adjacencies (and therefore MAY be assigned to other adjacencies as well).

P-Flag. Persistent flag. When set, the P-Flag indicates that
the Adj-SID is persistently allocated, i.e., the Adj-SID value
remains the same across router restart and/or interface flap.

Other bits: Reserved. These MUST be zero when sent and are
ignored when received.

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored
on reception.

Weight: Weight used for load-balancing purposes. The use of the
weight is defined in [RFC8402].

SID/Index/Label: as described in Section 6.

An SR-capable router MAY allocate an Adj-SID for each of its
adjacencies and set the B-Flag when the adjacency is eligible for
protection by an FRR mechanism (IP or MPLS) as described in
[RFC8402].

An SR-capable router MAY allocate more than one Adj-SID to an
adjacency.

An SR-capable router MAY allocate the same Adj-SID to different
adjacencies.

When the P-flag is not set, the Adj-SID MAY be persistent. When the
P-flag is set, the Adj-SID MUST be persistent.

7.2. LAN Adj-SID Sub-TLV

The LAN Adj-SID Sub-TLV is an optional Sub-TLV of the Router-Link
TLV. It MAY appear multiple times in the Router-Link TLV. It is
used to advertise a SID/Label for an adjacency to a non-DR router on
a broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid [RFC6845] network.

```
 0                   1                   2                   3
 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|              Type             |             Length            |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|     Flags     |    Weight     |            Reserved           |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                          Neighbor ID                          |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                     SID/Label/Index (variable)                |
+---------------------------------------------------------------+
```

where:

   Type: 6

   Length: 11 or 12 octets, dependent on V-flag.

   Flags: same as in Section 7.1

   Weight: Weight used for load-balancing purposes. The use of the
   weight is defined in [RFC8402].

   Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored
   on reception.

   Neighbor ID: The Router ID of the neighbor for which the LAN-Adj-
   SID is advertised.

   SID/Index/Label: as described in Section 6.

   When the P-flag is not set, the Adj-SID MAY be persistent. When
   the P-flag is set, the Adj-SID MUST be persistent.

8. Elements of Procedure

8.1. Intra-area Segment routing in OSPFv3

   An OSPFv3 router that supports segment routing MAY advertise Prefix-
   SIDs for any prefix to which it is advertising reachability (e.g., a
   loopback IP address as described in Section 6).

   A Prefix-SID can also be advertised by SR Mapping Servers (as
   described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop]). A
   Mapping Server advertises Prefix-SIDs for remote prefixes that exist
   in the OSPFv3 routing domain. Multiple Mapping Servers can advertise
   Prefix-SIDs for the same prefix, in which case the same Prefix-SID
   MUST be advertised by all of them. The SR Mapping Server could use

either area flooding scope or autonomous system flooding scope when
advertising Prefix SIDs for prefixes, based on the configuration of
the SR Mapping Server. Depending on the flooding scope used, the SR
Mapping Server chooses the OSPFv3 LSA type that will be used. If the
area flooding scope is needed, an E-Intra-Area-Prefix-LSA [RFC8362]
is used. If autonomous system flooding scope is needed, an E-AS-
External-LSA [RFC8362] is used.

When a Prefix-SID is advertised by the Mapping Server, which is
indicated by the M-flag in the Prefix-SID Sub-TLV (Section 6), the
route type as implied by the LSA type is ignored and the Prefix-SID
is bound to the corresponding prefix independent of the route type.

Advertisement of the Prefix-SID by the Mapping Server using an Inter-
Area Prefix TLV, External-Prefix TLV, or Intra-Area-Prefix TLV
[RFC8362] does not itself contribute to the prefix reachability. The
NU-bit MUST be set in the PrefixOptions field of the LSA which is
used by the Mapping Server to advertise SID or SID Range, which
prevents the advertisement from contributing to prefix reachability.

An SR Mapping Server MUST use the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLVs
when advertising SIDs for prefixes. Prefixes of different route-
types can be combined in a single OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV
advertised by an SR Mapping Server.

Area-scoped OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLVs are propagated between
areas. Similar to propagation of prefixes between areas, an ABR only
propagates the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV that it considers to
be the best from the set it received. The rules used to pick the
best OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV are described in Section 5.

8.2. Inter-area Segment routing in OSPFv3

In order to support SR in a multi-area environment, OSPFv3 MUST
propagate Prefix-SID information between areas. The following
procedure is used to propagate Prefix SIDs between areas.

When an OSPFv3 ABR advertises an Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA from an intra-
area prefix to all its connected areas, it will also include the
Prefix-SID Sub-TLV, as described in Section 6. The Prefix-SID value
will be set as follows:

   The ABR will look at its best path to the prefix in the source
   area and find the advertising router associated with the best path
   to that prefix.

The ABR will then determine if such router advertised a Prefix-SID
for the prefix and use it when advertising the Prefix-SID to other
connected areas.

If no Prefix-SID was advertised for the prefix in the source area
by the router that contributes to the best path to the prefix, the
originating ABR will use the Prefix-SID advertised by any other
router when propagating the Prefix-SID for the prefix to other
areas.

When an OSPFv3 ABR advertises Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA LSAs from an
inter-area route to all its connected areas, it will also include the
Prefix-SID Sub-TLV, as described in Section 6. The Prefix-SID value
will be set as follows:

The ABR will look at its best path to the prefix in the backbone
area and find the advertising router associated with the best path
to that prefix.

The ABR will then determine if such router advertised a Prefix-SID
for the prefix and use it when advertising the Prefix-SID to other
connected areas.

If no Prefix-SID was advertised for the prefix in the backbone
area by the ABR that contributes to the best path to the prefix,
the originating ABR will use the Prefix-SID advertised by any
other router when propagating the Prefix-SID for the prefix to
other areas.

8.3. Segment Routing for External Prefixes

AS-External-LSAs are flooded domain wide. When an ASBR, which
supports SR, originates an E-AS-External-LSA, it SHOULD also include
a Prefix-SID Sub-TLV, as described in Section 6. The Prefix-SID
value will be set to the SID that has been reserved for that prefix.

When an NSSA [RFC3101] ABR translates an E-NSSA-LSA into an E-AS-
External-LSA, it SHOULD also advertise the Prefix-SID for the prefix.
The NSSA ABR determines its best path to the prefix advertised in the
translated E-NSSA-LSA and finds the advertising router associated
with that path. If the advertising router has advertised a Prefix-
SID for the prefix, then the NSSA ABR uses it when advertising the
Prefix-SID for the E-AS-External-LSA. Otherwise, the Prefix-SID
advertised by any other router will be used.

8.4. Advertisement of Adj-SID

The Adjacency Segment Routing Identifier (Adj-SID) is advertised
using the Adj-SID Sub-TLV as described in Section 7.

8.4.1. Advertisement of Adj-SID on Point-to-Point Links

An Adj-SID MAY be advertised for any adjacency on a P2P link that is
in neighbor state 2-Way or higher. If the adjacency on a P2P link
transitions from the FULL state, then the Adj-SID for that adjacency
MAY be removed from the area. If the adjacency transitions to a
state lower than 2-Way, then the Adj-SID advertisement MUST be
withdrawn from the area.

8.4.2. Adjacency SID on Broadcast or NBMA Interfaces

Broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid [RFC6845] networks in OSPFv3 are
represented by a star topology where the Designated Router (DR) is
the central point to which all other routers on the broadcast, NBMA,
or hybrid network connect. As a result, routers on the broadcast,
NBMA, or hybrid network advertise only their adjacency to the DR.
Routers that do not act as DR do not form or advertise adjacencies
with each other. They do, however, maintain 2-Way adjacency state
with each other and are directly reachable.

When Segment Routing is used, each router on the broadcast, NBMA, or
hybrid network MAY advertise the Adj-SID for its adjacency to the DR
using the Adj-SID Sub-TLV as described in Section 7.1.

SR-capable routers MAY also advertise a LAN-Adj-SID for other
neighbors (e.g., BDR, DR-OTHER) on the broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid
network using the LAN-Adj-SID Sub-TLV as described in Section 7.2.

9. IANA Considerations

This specification updates several existing OSPFv3 registries.

9.1. OSPFv3 Extended-LSA TLV Registry

Following values are allocated:

o 9 - OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV

9.2. OSPFv3 Extended-LSA Sub-TLV registry

o 4 - Prefix SID Sub-TLV

o 5 - Adj-SID Sub-TLV

   o 6 - LAN Adj-SID Sub-TLV

   o 7 - SID/Label Sub-TLV

10. Security Considerations

   With the OSPFv3 segment routing extensions defined herein, OSPFv3
   will now program the MPLS data plane [RFC3031]. Previously, LDP
   [RFC5036] or another label distribution mechanism was required to
   advertise MPLS labels and program the MPLS data plane.

   In general, the same types of attacks that can be carried out on the
   IP control plane can be carried out on the MPLS control plane
   resulting in traffic being misrouted in the respective data planes.
   However, the latter can be more difficult to detect and isolate.

   Existing security extensions as described in [RFC5340] and [RFC8362]
   apply to these segment routing extensions. While OSPFv3 is under a
   single administrative domain, there can be deployments where
   potential attackers have access to one or more networks in the OSPFv3
   routing domain. In these deployments, stronger authentication
   mechanisms such as those specified in [RFC4552] or [RFC7166] SHOULD
   be used.

   Implementations MUST assure that malformed TLV and Sub-TLV defined in
   this document are detected and do not provide a vulnerability for
   attackers to crash the OSPFv3 router or routing process. Reception
   of a malformed TLV or Sub-TLV SHOULD be counted and/or logged for
   further analysis. Logging of malformed TLVs and Sub-TLVs SHOULD be
   rate-limited to prevent a Denial of Service (DoS) attack (distributed
   or otherwise) from overloading the OSPFv3 control plane.

11. Contributors

   The following people gave a substantial contribution to the content
   of this document and should be considered as co-authors:

          Clarence Filsfils
          Cisco Systems, Inc.
          Brussels
          Belgium

          Email: cfilsfil@cisco.com

          Hannes Gredler
          RtBrick Inc.
          Austria

          Email: hannes@rtbrick.com

          Rob Shakir
          Google, Inc.
          1600 Amphitheatre Parkway
          Mountain View, CA   94043
          US

          Email: robjs@google.com

          Wim Henderickx
          Nokia
          Copernicuslaan 50
          Antwerp   2018
          BE

          Email: wim.henderickx@nokia.com

          Jeff Tantsura
          Nuage Networks
          US

          Email: jefftant.ietf@gmail.com

12. References

12.1. Normative References

   [ALGOREG] "IGP Algorithm Types", <https://www.iana.org/assignments/
              igp-parameters/igp-parameters.xhtml#igp-algorithm-types>.

[I-D.ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions]
          Psenak, P., Previdi, S., Filsfils, C., Gredler, H.,
          Shakir, R., Henderickx, W., and J. Tantsura, "OSPF
          Extensions for Segment Routing", draft-ietf-ospf-segment-
          routing-extensions-26 (work in progress), November 2018.

[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop]
          Bashandy, A., Filsfils, C., Previdi, S., Decraene, B., and
          S. Litkowski, "Segment Routing interworking with LDP",
          draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop-15 (work in
          progress), September 2018.

[I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls]
          Bashandy, A., Filsfils, C., Previdi, S., Decraene, B.,
          Litkowski, S., and R. Shakir, "Segment Routing with MPLS
          data plane", draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls-16
          (work in progress), November 2018.

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
          Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
          DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
          <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

[RFC3031] Rosen, E., Viswanathan, A., and R. Callon, "Multiprotocol
          Label Switching Architecture", RFC 3031,
          DOI 10.17487/RFC3031, January 2001,
          <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3031>.

[RFC3101] Murphy, P., "The OSPF Not-So-Stubby Area (NSSA) Option",
          RFC 3101, DOI 10.17487/RFC3101, January 2003,
          <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3101>.

[RFC5036] Andersson, L., Ed., Minei, I., Ed., and B. Thomas, Ed.,
          "LDP Specification", RFC 5036, DOI 10.17487/RFC5036,
          October 2007, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5036>.

[RFC5340] Coltun, R., Ferguson, D., Moy, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPF
          for IPv6", RFC 5340, DOI 10.17487/RFC5340, July 2008,
          <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5340>.

[RFC6845] Sheth, N., Wang, L., and J. Zhang, "OSPF Hybrid Broadcast
          and Point-to-Multipoint Interface Type", RFC 6845,
          DOI 10.17487/RFC6845, January 2013,
          <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6845>.

   [RFC7770] Lindem, A., Ed., Shen, N., Vasseur, JP., Aggarwal, R., and
              S. Shaffer, "Extensions to OSPF for Advertising Optional
              Router Capabilities", RFC 7770, DOI 10.17487/RFC7770,
              February 2016, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7770>.

   [RFC8362] Lindem, A., Roy, A., Goethals, D., Reddy Vallem, V., and
              F. Baker, "OSPFv3 Link State Advertisement (LSA)
              Extensibility", RFC 8362, DOI 10.17487/RFC8362, April
              2018, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8362>.

   [RFC8402] Filsfils, C., Ed., Previdi, S., Ed., Ginsberg, L.,
              Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., and R. Shakir, "Segment
              Routing Architecture", RFC 8402, DOI 10.17487/RFC8402,
              July 2018, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8402>.

12.2. Informative References

   [RFC4552] Gupta, M. and N. Melam, "Authentication/Confidentiality
              for OSPFv3", RFC 4552, DOI 10.17487/RFC4552, June 2006,
              <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4552>.

   [RFC7166] Bhatia, M., Manral, V., and A. Lindem, "Supporting
              Authentication Trailer for OSPFv3", RFC 7166,
              DOI 10.17487/RFC7166, March 2014,
              <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7166>.

   [RFC7855] Previdi, S., Ed., Filsfils, C., Ed., Decraene, B.,
              Litkowski, S., Horneffer, M., and R. Shakir, "Source
              Packet Routing in Networking (SPRING) Problem Statement
              and Requirements", RFC 7855, DOI 10.17487/RFC7855, May
              2016, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7855>.

Authors' Addresses

   Peter Psenak (editor)
   Cisco Systems, Inc.
   Eurovea Centre, Central 3
   Pribinova Street 10
   Bratislava 81109
   Slovakia

   Email: ppsenak@cisco.com

   Stefano Previdi (editor)
   Individual

   Email: stefano.previdi@net