PCE Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: September 25, 2019

D. Dhody, Ed. Huawei Technologies J. Hardwick Metaswitch V. Beeram Juniper Networks J. Tantsura March 24, 2019

A YANG Data Model for Path Computation Element Communications Protocol (PCEP) draft-ietf-pce-pcep-yang-10

Abstract

This document defines a YANG data model for the management of Path Computation Element communications Protocol (PCEP) for communications between a Path Computation Client (PCC) and a Path Computation Element (PCE), or between two PCEs. The data model includes configuration and state data.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 25, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents

Internet-Draft PCE-YANG March 2019

carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

$\underline{1}$. Introduction	<u>2</u>
2. Requirements Language	<u>3</u>
$\underline{3}$. Terminology and Notation	<u>3</u>
<u>3.1</u> . Tree Diagrams	<u>4</u>
3.2. Prefixes in Data Node Names	<u>5</u>
3.3. Refrences in the Model	
$\underline{4}$. Objectives	<u> 7</u>
$\underline{5}$. The Design of PCEP Data Model	<u>8</u>
<u>5.1</u> . The Overview of PCEP Data Model	<u>8</u>
<u>5.2</u> . The Entity	<u>9</u>
<u>5.3</u> . The Peer Lists	<u>9</u>
<u>5.4</u> . The Session Lists	
<u>5.5</u> . Notifications	<u>10</u>
<u>5.6</u> . RPC	
<u>5.7</u> . The Full PCEP Data Model	<u>11</u>
$\underline{6}$. The Design of PCEP Statistics Data Model	<u> 17</u>
7. Advanced PCE Features	<u>20</u>
7.1. Stateful PCE's LSP-DB	<u>20</u>
$\underline{8}$. Open Issues and Next Step	<u>21</u>
<u>8.1</u> . The PCE-Initiated LSP	<u>21</u>
$\underline{9}$. Other Considerations	<u>21</u>
<u>9.1</u> . PCEP over TLS (PCEPS)	<u>21</u>
10. PCEP YANG Modules	<u>21</u>
<u>10.1</u> . ietf-pcep module	<u>21</u>
<u>10.2</u> . ietf-pcep-stats module	<u>78</u>
11. Security Considerations	98
$\underline{12}$. IANA Considerations	99
13. Acknowledgements	99
<u>14</u> . References	99
$\underline{14.1}$. Normative References	99
<u>14.2</u> . Informative References	<u>103</u>
Appendix A. Example	<u>104</u>
<u>Appendix B</u> . Contributor Addresses	<u>107</u>
Authors' Addresses	<u> 108</u>

1. Introduction

The Path Computation Element (PCE) defined in [RFC4655] is an entity that is capable of computing a network path or route based on a network graph, and applying computational constraints. A Path

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 2]

Computation Client (PCC) may make requests to a PCE for paths to be computed.

PCEP is the communication protocol between a PCC and PCE and is defined in [RFC5440]. PCEP interactions include path computation requests and path computation replies as well as notifications of specific states related to the use of a PCE in the context of Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) and Generalized MPLS (GMPLS) Traffic Engineering (TE). [RFC8231] specifies extensions to PCEP to enable stateful control of MPLS TE LSPs.

This document defines a YANG [RFC7950] data model for the management of PCEP speakers. It is important to establish a common data model for how PCEP speakers are identified, configured, and monitored. The data model includes configuration data and state data.

This document contains a specification of the PCEP YANG module, "ietf-pcep" which provides the PCEP [RFC5440] data model.

The PCEP operational state is included in the same tree as the PCEP configuration consistent with Network Management Datastore Architecture [RFC8342]. The origin of the data is indicated as per the origin metadata annotation.

2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Terminology and Notation

This document uses the terminology defined in $[\underbrace{RFC4655}]$ and $[\underbrace{RFC5440}]$. In particular, it uses the following acronyms.

- o Path Computation Request message (PCReq).
- o Path Computation Reply message (PCRep).
- o Notification message (PCNtf).
- o Error message (PCErr).
- o Request Parameters object (RP).
- o Synchronization Vector object (SVEC).

o Explicit Route object (ERO).

This document also uses the following terms defined in [RFC7420]:

- o PCEP entity: a local PCEP speaker.
- o PCEP peer: to refer to a remote PCEP speaker.
- o PCEP speaker: where it is not necessary to distinguish between local and remote.

Further, this document also uses the following terms defined in $[\mbox{RFC8231}]$:

- o Stateful PCE, Passive Stateful PCE, Active Stateful PCE
- o Delegation, Revocation, Redelegation
- o LSP State Report, Path Computation Report message (PCRpt).
- o LSP State Update, Path Computation Update message (PCUpd).

[RFC8281] :

o PCE-initiated LSP, Path Computation LSP Initiate Message (PCInitiate).

[RFC8408] :

o Path Setup Type (PST).

[I-D.ietf-pce-segment-routing] :

o Segment Routing (SR).

[RFC6241] :

- o Configuration data.
- o State data.

3.1. Tree Diagrams

A simplified graphical representation of the data model is used in this document. The meaning of the symbols in these diagrams is defined in [RFC8340].

3.2. Prefixes in Data Node Names

In this document, names of data nodes and other data model objects are often used without a prefix, as long as it is clear from the context in which YANG module each name is defined. Otherwise, names are prefixed using the standard prefix associated with the corresponding YANG module, as shown in Table 1.

+			++
- 1	Prefix	YANG module	Reference
+		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	++
İ	yang	ietf-yang-types	[RFC6991]
	inet	ietf-inet-types	[<u>RFC6991</u>]
	te	ietf-te	[<u>I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te</u>]
	te-types	ietf-te-types	[<u>I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te</u>]
	key-chain	ietf-key-chain	[<u>RFC8177</u>]
	nacm	ietf-netconf-	[<u>RFC8341</u>]
		acm	I
	tls-	ietf-tls-server	[I-D.ietf-netconf-tls-client-server
	server]
	tls-	ietf-tls-client	[I-D.ietf-netconf-tls-client-server
	client]
	ospf	ietf-ospf	[I-D.ietf-ospf-yang]
	isis	ietf-isis	[I-D.ietf-isis-yang-isis-cfg]
+		·	++

Table 1: Prefixes and corresponding YANG modules

3.3. Refrences in the Model

Following documents are refrenced in the model defined in this document -

.+
Reference
·Т
[<u>RFC3209</u>]
[RFC5088]
[<u>RFC5089</u>]
[<u>RFC5440</u>]
1
1
[RFC5520]

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 5]

	Confidentiality in Inter-	I. I.
	Domain Path Computation	
	Using a Path-Key-Based	
	Mechanism	
	Encoding of Objective	[RFC5541]
	Functions in the Path	
	Computation Element	
	Communication Protocol	
	(PCEP)	
	Path Computation Element	[<u>RFC5557</u>]
	Communication Protocol	
	(PCEP) Requirements and	
	Protocol Extensions in	
	Support of Global	
	Concurrent Optimization	l
	Common YANG Data Types	[<u>RFC6991</u>]
	YANG Data Model for Key	[RFC8177]
	Chains	
!	Path Computation Element	[RFC8231]
ļ	Communication Protocol	
!	(PCEP) Extensions for	
- !	Stateful PCE	
-	Optimizations of Label	[RFC8232]
-	Switched Path State	
-	Synchronization Procedures	
-	for a Stateful PCE	
-	PCEPS: Usage of TLS to Provide a Secure Transport	[RFC8253]
-	for the Path Computation	
 	Element Communication	
1	Protocol (PCEP)	
	Path Computation Element	 [RFC8281]
i	Communication Protocol	[N 00201]
i	(PCEP) Extensions for PCE-	
i	Initiated LSP Setup in a	i i
i	Stateful PCE Model	i
i	Extensions to the Path	[RFC8306]
i	Computation Element	
i	Communication Protocol	İ
ĺ	(PCEP) for Point-to-	ĺ
ĺ	Multipoint Traffic	ĺ
	Engineering Label Switched	İ
	Paths	I
	Network Configuration	[RFC8341]
	Access Control Model	l I
	Conveying Path Setup Type	[RFC8408]
	in PCE Communication	l I
	Protocol (PCEP) Messages	I

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 6]

-	Traffic Engineering Common	[<u>I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te-types</u>]
	YANG Types	
	A YANG Data Model for	[<u>I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te</u>]
	Traffic Engineering	
	Tunnels and Interfaces	
	YANG Groupings for TLS	<pre>[I-D.ietf-netconf-tls-client-server] </pre>
	Clients and TLS Servers	
	PCEP Extensions for	<pre>[I-D.ietf-pce-segment-routing]</pre>
	Segment Routing	
	PCEP Extensions for	<pre>[I-D.ietf-pce-association-group]</pre>
	Establishing Relationships	
	Between Sets of LSPs	
	YANG Data Model for OSPF	<pre>[I-D.ietf-ospf-yang]</pre>
	Protocol	
	YANG Data Model for IS-IS	<pre>[I-D.ietf-isis-yang-isis-cfg]</pre>
	Protocol	I
	PCEP extensions for GMPLS	<pre>[I-D.ietf-pce-gmpls-pcep-extensions] </pre>
+	+	+

Table 2: Refrences in the YANG modules

4. Objectives

This section describes some of the design objectives for the model:

- o In case of existing implementations, it needs to map the data model defined in this document to their proprietary native data model. To facilitate such mappings, the data model should be simple.
- o The data model should be suitable for new implementations to use as is.
- o Mapping to the PCEP MIB Module should be clear.
- o The data model should allow for static configurations of peers.
- o The data model should include read-only counters in order to gather statistics for sent and received PCEP messages, received messages with errors, and messages that could not be sent due to errors. This could be in a separate model which augments the base data model.
- o It should be fairly straightforward to augment the base data model for advanced PCE features.

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 7]

5. The Design of PCEP Data Model

5.1. The Overview of PCEP Data Model

The PCEP YANG module defined in this document has all the common building blocks for the PCEP protocol.

```
module: ietf-pcep
   +--rw pcep!
      +--rw entity
         +--rw addr
                                             inet:ip-address
         +--rw enabled?
                                             boolean
         +--rw role
                                             pcep-role
         +--rw description?
                                             string
         +--rw speaker-entity-id?
                                             string
                 {stateful-sync-opt}?
         +--rw admin-status?
                                             pcep-admin-status
         +--ro index?
                                             uint32
         +--ro oper-status?
                                            pcep-oper-status
         +--rw domain
         | +--rw domain* [domain-type domain]
         +--rw capability
         +--rw pce-info
         +--rw scope
         | | ...
         | +--rw neigh-domains
          | | ...
         +--rw path-key {path-key}?
                 . . . .
         +--ro lsp-db {stateful}?
         | +--ro db-ver?
                                      uint64
                  {stateful-sync-opt}?
          | +--ro association-list*
          | | [id source global-source extended-id]
         | +--ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id]
         +--ro path-keys {path-key}?
         | +--ro path-keys* [path-key]
         +--rw peers
            +--rw peer* [addr]
```

+--ro sessions

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 8]

5.2. The Entity

The PCEP yang module may contain status information for the local PCEP entity.

The entity has an IP address (using ietf-inet-types [RFC6991]) and a "role" leaf (the local entity PCEP role) as mandatory.

Note that, the PCEP MIB module [RFC7420] uses an entity list and a system generated entity index as a primary index to the read only entity table. If the device implements the PCEP MIB, the "index" leaf MUST contain the value of the corresponding pcePcepEntityIndex and only one entity is assumed.

5.3. The Peer Lists

The peer list contains peer(s) that the local PCEP entity knows about. A PCEP speaker is identified by its IP address. If there is a PCEP speaker in the network that uses multiple IP addresses then it looks like multiple distinct peers to the other PCEP speakers in the network.

Since PCEP sessions can be ephemeral, the peer list tracks a peer even when no PCEP session currently exists to that peer. The statistics contained are an aggregate of the statistics for all successive sessions to that peer.

To limit the quantity of information that is stored, an implementation MAY choose to discard this information if and only if no PCEP session exists to the corresponding peer.

The data model for PCEP peer presented in this document uses a flat list of peers. Each peer in the list is identified by its IP address (addr-type, addr).

There is a list for static peer configuration and operational state of all peers (i.e.static as well as discovered)("/pcep/entity/peers"). The list is used to enable remote PCE configuration at PCC (or PCE) and has the operational state of these peers as well as the remote PCE peer which were discovered and PCC peers that have initiated session.

5.4. The Session Lists

The session list contains PCEP session that the PCEP entity (PCE or PCC) is currently participating in. The statistics in session are semantically different from those in peer since the former applies to the current session only, whereas the latter is the aggregate for all sessions that have existed to that peer.

Although [RFC5440] forbids more than one active PCEP session between a given pair of PCEP entities at any given time, there is a window during session establishment where two sessions may exist for a given pair, one representing a session initiated by the local PCEP entity and the other representing a session initiated by the peer. If either of these sessions reaches active state first, then the other is discarded.

The data model for PCEP session presented in this document uses a flat list of sessions. Each session in the list is identified by its initiator. This index allows two sessions to exist transiently for a given peer, as discussed above.

5.5. Notifications

This YANG model defines a list of notifications to inform client of important events detected during the protocol operation. The notifications defined cover the PCEP MIB notifications.

5.6. RPC

This YANG model defines a RPC to trigger state resynchronization to a particular PCEP peer.

5.7. The Full PCEP Data Model

The module, "ietf-pcep", defines the basic components of a PCE speaker. The tree depth in the tree is set to 10.

```
module: ietf-pcep
   +--rw pcep!
      +--rw entity
         +--rw addr
                                             inet:ip-address
         +--rw enabled?
                                             boolean
         +--rw role
                                             pcep-role
         +--rw description?
                                             string
         +--rw speaker-entity-id?
                                             string {sync-opt}?
         +--rw admin-status?
                                             boolean
         +--ro index?
                                             uint32
         +--ro oper-status?
                                             pcep-oper-status
         +--rw domain
          | +--rw domain* [domain-type domain]
               +--rw domain-type domain-type
               +--rw domain
                                    domain
         +--rw capability
          | +--rw capability?
                                          bits
            +--rw pce-initiated?
                                          boolean {pce-initiated}?
                                          boolean
          +--rw include-db-ver?
                    {stateful, sync-opt}?
          | +--rw trigger-resync?
                                          boolean
                    {stateful, sync-opt}?
          | +--rw trigger-initial-sync?
                                          boolean
                    {stateful, sync-opt}?
          | +--rw incremental-sync?
                                          boolean
                    {stateful, sync-opt}?
          | +--rw sr {sr}?
                                  boolean
               +--rw enabled?
               +--rw msd-limit?
                                  boolean
               +--rw nai?
                                  boolean
         +--rw msd?
                                             uint8 {sr}?
         +--rw pce-info
           +--rw scope
                                         bits
          | | +--rw path-scope?
            | +--rw intra-area-pref?
                                         uint8
          | | +--rw inter-area-pref?
                                         uint8
            | +--rw inter-as-pref?
                                         uint8
           | +--rw inter-layer-pref?
                                         uint8
            +--rw neigh-domains
          | | +--rw domain* [domain-type domain]
                 +--rw domain-type
                                       domain-type
                  +--rw domain
                                       domain
            +--rw path-key {path-key}?
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 11]

```
+--rw enabled?
                             boolean
     +--rw discard-timer?
                             uint32
     +--rw reuse-time?
                             uint32
     +--rw pce-id?
                             inet:ip-address
                                    uint16
+--rw connect-timer?
+--rw connect-max-retry?
                                    uint32
+--rw init-backoff-timer?
                                    uint16
+--rw max-backoff-timer?
                                    uint32
+--rw open-wait-timer?
                                    uint16
+--rw keep-wait-timer?
                                    uint16
+--rw keep-alive-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw dead-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw allow-negotiation?
                                    boolean
+--rw max-keep-alive-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw max-dead-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw min-keep-alive-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw min-dead-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw sync-timer?
                                    uint16 {svec}?
+--rw request-timer?
                                    uint16
+--rw max-sessions?
                                    uint32
+--rw max-unknown-regs?
                                    uint32
+--rw max-unknown-msgs?
                                    uint32
+--rw pcep-notification-max-rate
                                    uint32
+--rw stateful-parameter {stateful}?
+--rw state-timeout?
                                uint32
+--rw redelegation-timeout?
                                uint32
| +--rw rpt-non-pcep-lsp?
                                boolean
+--rw of-list {objective-function}?
| +--rw objective-function* [of]
     +--rw of
                 identityref
+--ro lsp-db {stateful}?
  +--ro db-ver?
                            uint64 {sync-opt}?
| +--ro association-list*
           [type id source global-source extended-id]
           {association}?
    +--ro type
                             identityref
  | +--ro id
                             uint16
   | +--ro source
                             inet:ip-address
   | +--ro global-source
                             uint32
   | +--ro extended-id
                             string
    +--ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id]
        +--ro plsp-id -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/lsp/plsp-id
        +--ro pcc-id
                          -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/lsp/pcc-id
 +--ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id]
                                 uint32
     +--ro plsp-id
                                  inet:ip-address
     +--ro pcc-id
     +--ro lsp-ref
      | +--ro source?
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 12]

```
-> /te:te/lsps-state/lsp/source
        +--ro destination?
                -> /te:te/lsps-state/lsp/destination
        +--ro tunnel-id?
                -> /te:te/lsps-state/lsp/tunnel-id
        +--ro lsp-id?
                -> /te:te/lsps-state/lsp/lsp-id
        +--ro extended-tunnel-id? leafref
     +--ro admin-state?
                                boolean
     +--ro operational-state? operational-state
     +--ro delegated
     | +--ro enabled? boolean
     | +--ro peer? -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
     | +--ro srp-id?
                        uint32
     +--ro initiation {pce-initiated}?
     | +--ro enabled? boolean
     | +--ro peer?
                         -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
     +--ro symbolic-path-name? string
     +--ro last-error?
                                identityref
     +--ro pst?
                                identityref
     +--ro association-list*
             [type id source global-source extended-id]
             {association}?
        +--ro type
                              leafref
        +--ro id
                -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/association-list/id
        +--ro source
                              leafref
        +--ro global-source
                              leafref
        +--ro extended-id
                              leafref
+--ro path-keys {path-key}?
  +--ro path-keys* [path-key]
     +--ro path-key
                          uint16
     +--ro cps
       +--ro explicit-route-objects* [index]
           +--ro index
                                       uint32
           +--ro (type)?
              +--: (numbered-node-hop)
              | +--ro numbered-node-hop
                    +--ro node-id
                                     te-node-id
                    +--ro hop-type?
                                     te-hop-type
              +--:(numbered-link-hop)
              | +--ro numbered-link-hop
                   +--ro link-tp-id
                                     te-tp-id
                   +--ro hop-type?
                                       te-hop-type
                                       te-link-direction
                    +--ro direction?
             +--:(unnumbered-link-hop)
                +--ro unnumbered-link-hop
                   +--ro link-tp-id te-tp-id
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 13]

```
+--ro node-id
                                        te-node-id
                    +--ro hop-type?
                                        te-hop-type
                    +--ro direction?
                                        te-link-direction
              +--: (as-number)
              | +--ro as-number-hop
                    +--ro as-number
                                       inet:as-number
                    +--ro hop-type?
                                       te-hop-type
              +--:(label)
                 +--ro label-hop
                    +--ro te-label
     +--ro pcc-original?
                           -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
     +--ro req-id?
                            uint32
                            boolean
     +--ro retrieved?
                            -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
     +--ro pcc-retrieved?
     +--ro creation-time?
                           yang:timestamp
     +--ro discard-time?
                            uint32
     +--ro reuse-time?
                            uint32
+--rw peers
  +--rw peer* [addr]
     +--rw addr
                                   inet:ip-address
     +--rw role
                                   pcep-role
     +--rw description?
                                   string
     +--rw domain
        +--rw domain* [domain-type domain]
           +--rw domain-type
                               domain-type
           +--rw domain
                                domain
     +--rw capability
     | +--rw capability?
                                      bits
        +--rw pce-initiated?
                                      boolean
                {pce-initiated}?
        +--rw include-db-ver?
                                      boolean
                {stateful, sync-opt}?
        +--rw trigger-resync?
                                      boolean
                {stateful, sync-opt}?
        +--rw trigger-initial-sync?
                                      boolean
                {stateful, sync-opt}?
        +--rw incremental-sync?
                                      boolean
                {stateful, sync-opt}?
        +--rw sr {sr}?
           +--rw enabled?
                              boolean
           +--rw msd-limit?
                              boolean
           +--rw nai?
                              boolean
     +--rw msd?
                                   uint8 {sr}?
     +--rw pce-info
      | +--rw scope
        | +--rw path-scope?
                                     bits
        | +--rw intra-area-pref?
                                     uint8
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 14]

```
| +--rw inter-area-pref?
                                uint8
    +--rw inter-as-pref?
                                uint8
   | +--rw inter-layer-pref?
                                uint8
  +--rw neigh-domains
     +--rw domain* [domain-type domain]
         +--rw domain-type domain-type
        +--rw domain
                              domain
+--rw delegation-pref?
                              uint8 {stateful}?
+--rw auth
  +--rw (auth-type-selection)?
     +--:(auth-key-chain)
      | +--rw key-chain?
                                     kc:key-chain-ref
     +--: (auth-key)
      | +--rw crypto-algorithm
                                     identityref
        +--rw (key-string-style)?
           +--:(keystring)
           | +--rw keystring?
                                           string
           +--:(hexadecimal) {kc:hex-key-string}?
               +--rw hexadecimal-string?
                       yang:hex-string
     +--:(auth-tls) {tls}?
         +--rw (role)?
            +--:(server)
            | +--rw tls-server
            +--:(client)
               +--rw tls-client
+--ro discontinuity-time?
                              yang:timestamp
+--ro initiate-session?
                              boolean
+--ro session-exists?
                              boolean
+--ro session-up-time?
                              yang:timestamp
+--ro session-fail-time?
                              yang:timestamp
+--ro session-fail-up-time?
                              yang:timestamp
+--ro sessions
  +--ro session* [initiator]
     +--ro initiator
                                    pcep-initiator
     +--ro role?
                                    -> /pcep/entity/role
      +--ro state-last-change?
                                    yang:timestamp
     +--ro state?
                                    pcep-sess-state
      +--ro session-creation?
                                    yang:timestamp
     +--ro connect-retry?
                                    vang:counter32
     +--ro local-id?
                                    uint8
     +--ro remote-id?
                                    uint8
     +--ro keepalive-timer?
                                    uint8
     +--ro peer-keepalive-timer?
                                    uint8
     +--ro dead-timer?
                                    uint8
     +--ro peer-dead-timer?
                                    uint8
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 15]

```
+--ro ka-hold-time-rem?
                                                uint8
                   +--ro overloaded?
                                                boolean
                   +--ro overload-time?
                                                uint32
                   +--ro peer-overloaded?
                                                boolean
                   +--ro peer-overload-time?
                                                uint32
                   +--ro lspdb-sync?
                                                sync-state
                           {stateful}?
                   +--ro recv-db-ver?
                                                uint64
                           {stateful, sync-opt}?
                   +--ro of-list {objective-function}?
                     +--ro objective-function* [of]
                         +--ro of identityref
                   +--ro pst-list
                     +--ro path-setup-type* [pst]
                        +--ro pst identityref
                   +--ro assoc-type-list {association}?
                   | +--ro assoc-type* [at]
                        +--ro at identityref
                   +--ro speaker-entity-id?
                                                string
                           {sync-opt}?
                   +--ro discontinuity-time? yang:timestamp
rpcs:
 +---x trigger-resync {stateful, sync-opt}?
    +---w input
       +---w pcc? -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
notifications:
 +---n pcep-session-up
 | +--ro peer-addr?
                               -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
 | +--ro session-initiator?
 -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/sessions/session/initiator
 +--ro state-last-change? yang:timestamp
 | +--ro state?
                               pcep-sess-state
 +---n pcep-session-down
 | +--ro peer-addr?
                              -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
 +--ro session-initiator?
                              pcep-initiator
 +--ro state-last-change?
                              yang:timestamp
 | +--ro state?
                               pcep-sess-state
 +---n pcep-session-local-overload
 | +--ro peer-addr?
                               -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
 | +--ro session-initiator?
           -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/sessions/session/initiator
  | +--ro overloaded?
                              boolean
 | +--ro overload-time?
                             uint32
 +---n pcep-session-local-overload-clear
 +--ro peer-addr? -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
 | +--ro overloaded?
                        boolean
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 16]

6. The Design of PCEP Statistics Data Model

The module, "ietf-pcep-stats", augments the ietf-pcep module to include statistics at the PCEP peer and session level.

```
module: ietf-pcep-stats
  augment /p:pcep/p:entity/p:peers/p:peer:
    +--ro num-sess-setup-ok?
                                 yang:counter32
    +--ro num-sess-setup-fail?
                                 yang:counter32
    +--ro pcep-stats
       +--ro avg-rsp-time?
                                          uint32
       +--ro lwm-rsp-time?
                                         uint32
       +--ro hwm-rsp-time?
                                          uint32
       +--ro num-pcreq-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-pcreq-rcvd?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-pcrep-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-pcrep-rcvd?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-pcerr-sent?
                                         vang:counter32
       +--ro num-pcerr-rcvd?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-pcntf-sent?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-pcntf-rcvd?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-keepalive-sent?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-keepalive-rcvd?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-unknown-rcvd?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-corrupt-rcvd?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-req-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-reg-sent-pend-rep?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-reg-sent-ero-rcvd?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-req-sent-nopath-rcvd?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-req-sent-cancel-rcvd?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-req-sent-error-rcvd?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-req-sent-timeout?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-req-sent-cancel-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-req-rcvd?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-req-rcvd-pend-rep?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-req-rcvd-ero-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 17]

```
+--ro num-req-rcvd-nopath-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-rcvd-cancel-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-rcvd-error-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-reg-rcvd-cancel-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-rep-rcvd-unknown?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-rcvd-unknown?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro svec {p:svec}?
       +--ro num-svec-sent?
                                   yang:counter32
       +--ro num-svec-reg-sent?
                                   yang:counter32
       +--ro num-svec-rcvd?
                                   yang:counter32
       +--ro num-svec-reg-rcvd?
                                   yang:counter32
    +--ro stateful {p:stateful}?
       +--ro num-pcrpt-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-pcrpt-rcvd?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-pcupd-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-pcupd-rcvd?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-rpt-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-rpt-rcvd?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-rpt-rcvd-error-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-upd-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-upd-rcvd?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-upd-rcvd-unknown?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-upd-rcvd-undelegated?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-upd-rcvd-error-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro initiation {p:pce-initiated}?
                                                 yang:counter32
           +--ro num-pcinitiate-sent?
           +--ro num-pcinitiate-rcvd?
                                                 yang:counter32
           +--ro num-initiate-sent?
                                                 yang:counter32
           +--ro num-initiate-rcvd?
                                                 yang:counter32
           +--ro num-initiate-rcvd-error-sent?
                                                 yang:counter32
    +--ro path-key {p:path-key}?
       +--ro num-unknown-path-key?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-exp-path-key?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-dup-path-key?
                                         yang:counter32
       +--ro num-path-key-no-attempt?
                                         yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-sent-closed?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-rcvd-closed?
                                       yang:counter32
augment /p:pcep/p:entity/p:peers/p:peer/p:sessions/p:session:
 +--ro pcep-stats
    +--ro avg-rsp-time?
                                       uint32
    +--ro lwm-rsp-time?
                                       uint32
    +--ro hwm-rsp-time?
                                       uint32
    +--ro num-pcreq-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcreq-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcrep-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcrep-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcerr-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcerr-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 18]

```
+--ro num-pcntf-sent?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-pcntf-rcvd?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-keepalive-sent?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-keepalive-rcvd?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-unknown-rcvd?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-corrupt-rcvd?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-req-sent?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-req-sent-pend-rep?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-reg-sent-ero-rcvd?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-reg-sent-nopath-rcvd?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-reg-sent-cancel-rcvd?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-req-sent-error-rcvd?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-req-sent-timeout?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-req-sent-cancel-sent?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-req-rcvd?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-req-rcvd-pend-rep?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-req-rcvd-ero-sent?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-req-rcvd-nopath-sent?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-req-rcvd-cancel-sent?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-req-rcvd-error-sent?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-reg-rcvd-cancel-rcvd?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-rep-rcvd-unknown?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro num-reg-rcvd-unknown?
                                  yang:counter32
+--ro svec {p:svec}?
  +--ro num-svec-sent?
                              vang:counter32
  +--ro num-svec-reg-sent?
                              yang:counter32
  +--ro num-svec-rcvd?
                              yang:counter32
  +--ro num-svec-req-rcvd?
                              yang:counter32
+--ro stateful {p:stateful}?
  +--ro num-pcrpt-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
  +--ro num-pcrpt-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
  +--ro num-pcupd-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
  +--ro num-pcupd-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
  +--ro num-rpt-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
  +--ro num-rpt-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
  +--ro num-rpt-rcvd-error-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
  +--ro num-upd-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
  +--ro num-upd-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
  +--ro num-upd-rcvd-unknown?
                                      yang:counter32
  +--ro num-upd-rcvd-undelegated?
                                      yang:counter32
  +--ro num-upd-rcvd-error-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
  +--ro initiation {p:pce-initiated}?
      +--ro num-pcinitiate-sent?
                                             yang:counter32
      +--ro num-pcinitiate-rcvd?
                                             yang:counter32
      +--ro num-initiate-sent?
                                             yang:counter32
      +--ro num-initiate-rcvd?
                                             yang:counter32
      +--ro num-initiate-rcvd-error-sent?
                                             yang:counter32
+--ro path-key {p:path-key}?
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 19]

```
+--ro num-unknown-path-key? yang:counter32
+--ro num-exp-path-key? yang:counter32
+--ro num-dup-path-key? yang:counter32
+--ro num-path-key-no-attempt? yang:counter32
```

7. Advanced PCE Features

This document contains a specification of the base PCEP YANG module, "ietf-pcep" which provides the basic PCEP [RFC5440] data model.

This document further handles advanced PCE features like -

- o Capability and Scope
- o Domain information (local/neighbour)
- o Path-Key
- o OF
- o GCO
- o P2MP
- o GMPLS
- o Inter-Layer
- o Stateful PCE
- o Segement Routing
- o Authentication including PCEPS (TLS)

7.1. Stateful PCE's LSP-DB

In the operational state of PCEP which supports stateful PCE mode, the list of LSP state are maintained in LSP-DB. The key is the PLSP-ID and the PCC IP address.

The PCEP data model contains the operational state of LSPs (/pcep/entity/lsp-db/lsp/) with PCEP specific attributes. The generic TE attributes of the LSP are defined in [I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te]. A reference to LSP state in TE model is maintained.

8. Open Issues and Next Step

This section is added so that open issues can be tracked. This section would be removed when the document is ready for publication.

8.1. The PCE-Initiated LSP

The TE Model at [I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te] should support creating of tunnels at the controller (PCE) and marking them as PCE-Initiated. The LSP-DB in the PCEP Yang (/pcep/entity/lsp-db/lsp/initiation) also marks the LSPs which are PCE-initiated.

9. Other Considerations

9.1. PCEP over TLS (PCEPS)

[RFC8253] describe the use of TLS in PCEP. The peer acting as the PCEP client MUST act as the TLS client. The TLS client actively opens the TLS connection and the TLS server passively listens for the incoming TLS connections. The well-known TCP port number 4189 is used by PCEP servers to listen for TCP connections established by PCEP over TLS clients. The TLS client MUST send the TLS ClientHello message to begin the TLS handshake. The TLS server MUST send a CertificateRequest in order to request a certificate from the TLS client. Once the TLS handshake has finished, the client and the server MAY begin to exchange PCEP messages. Client and server identity verification is done before the PCEP open message is sent. This means that the identity verification is completed before the PCEP session is started..

10. PCEP YANG Modules

<u>10.1</u>. ietf-pcep module

RFC Ed.: In this section, replace all occurrences of 'XXXX' with the actual RFC number and all occurrences of the revision date below with the date of RFC publication (and remove this note).

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-pcep@2019-03-24.yang"
module ietf-pcep {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-pcep";
  prefix p;
  import ietf-inet-types {
```

```
prefix "inet";
  reference
    "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types";
}
import ietf-yang-types {
 prefix "yang";
 reference
    "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types";
}
import ietf-te {
 prefix "te";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te: A YANG Data Model for Traffic
   Engineering Tunnels and Interfaces";
}
import ietf-te-types {
  prefix "te-types";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te-types: Traffic Engineering Common YANG
   Types";
}
import ietf-key-chain {
 prefix "kc";
 reference
    "RFC 8177: YANG Data Model for Key Chains";
}
import ietf-netconf-acm {
 prefix "nacm";
 reference
    "RFC 8341: Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF) Access
   Control Model";
 }
import ietf-tls-server {
  prefix "ts";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-netconf-tls-client-server: YANG Groupings for TLS
   Clients and TLS Servers";
 }
import ietf-tls-client {
  prefix "tc";
   reference
```

```
"I-D.ietf-netconf-tls-client-server: YANG Groupings for TLS
      Clients and TLS Servers";
}
import ietf-ospf {
  prefix "ospf";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-ospf-yang: YANG Data Model for OSPF Protocol";
}
import ietf-isis {
  prefix "isis";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-isis-yang-isis-cfg: YANG Data Model for IS-IS
   Protocol";
}
organization
  "IETF PCE (Path Computation Element) Working Group";
contact
  "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/pce/>
 WG List: <mailto:pce@ietf.org>
  Editor: Dhruv Dhody
            <mailto:dhruv.ietf@gmail.com>";
description
  "The YANG module defines a generic configuration and
  operational model for PCEP.
  Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
  authors of the code. All rights reserved.
  Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
 without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
  to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
  set forth in <u>Section 4</u>.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
  Relating to IETF Documents
  (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).
 This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the
  RFC itself for full legal notices.";
revision 2019-03-24 {
  description "Initial revision.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Path Computation
    Element Communications Protocol (PCEP)";
```

```
}
/*
 * Typedefs
 */
typedef pcep-role {
  type enumeration {
    enum unknown {
      value "0";
      description
        "An unknown role";
    }
    enum pcc {
      value "1";
      description
        "The role of a Path Computation Client";
    enum pce {
      value "2";
      description
        "The role of Path Computation Element";
    enum pcc-and-pce {
      value "3";
      description
        "The role of both Path Computation Client and
        Path Computation Element";
    }
  }
  description
    "The role of a PCEP speaker.
    Takes one of the following values
    - unknown(0): the role is not known.
    - pcc(1): the role is of a Path Computation
      Client (PCC).
    - pce(2): the role is of a Path Computation
      Server (PCE).
    - pccAndPce(3): the role is of both a PCC and
      a PCE.";
}
typedef pcep-oper-status {
  type enumeration {
    enum oper-status-up {
      value "1";
      description
        "The PCEP entity is active";
    }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 24]

enum oper-status-down {

```
value "2";
      description
        "The PCEP entity is inactive";
      enum oper-status-going-up {
      value "3";
      description
        "The PCEP entity is activating";
    enum oper-status-going-down {
      value "4";
      description
        "The PCEP entity is deactivating";
    enum oper-status-failed {
      value "5";
      description
        "The PCEP entity has failed and will recover
        when possible.";
    }
    enum oper-status-failed-perm {
      value "6";
      description
        "The PCEP entity has failed and will not recover
        without operator intervention";
    }
  description
    "The operational status of the PCEP entity.
    Takes one of the following values
    - oper-status-up(1): Active
    - oper-status-down(2): Inactive
    - oper-status-going-up(3): Activating
    - oper-status-going-down(4): Deactivating
    - oper-status-failed(5): Failed
    - oper-status-failed-perm(6): Failed Permanantly";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
typedef pcep-initiator {
  type enumeration {
   enum local {
      value "1";
      description
        "The local PCEP entity initiated the session";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 25]

```
}
   enum remote {
     value "2";
      description
        "The remote PCEP peer initiated the session";
   }
  }
  description
    "The initiator of the session, that is, whether the TCP
   connection was initiated by the local PCEP entity or
   the remote peer.
   Takes one of the following values
    - local(1): Initiated locally
    - remote(2): Initiated remotely";
}
typedef pcep-sess-state {
  type enumeration {
   enum tcp-pending {
      value "1";
      description
        "The tcp-pending state of PCEP session.";
   enum open-wait {
      value "2";
      description
        "The open-wait state of PCEP session.";
    enum keep-wait {
      value "3";
      description
        "The keep-wait state of PCEP session.";
   enum session-up {
      value "4";
      description
        "The session-up state of PCEP session.";
   }
  }
  description
   "The current state of the session.
   The set of possible states excludes the idle state
   since entries do not exist in the idle state.
   Takes one of the following values
    - tcp-pending(1): PCEP TCP Pending state
    - open-wait(2): PCEP Open Wait state
    - keep-wait(3): PCEP Keep Wait state
    session-up(4): PCEP Session Up state";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 26]

```
reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
   Protocol (PCEP)";
}
typedef domain-type {
  type enumeration {
    enum ospf-area {
      value "1";
      description
        "The OSPF area.";
    }
    enum isis-area {
     value "2";
      description
        "The IS-IS area.";
    enum as {
      value "3";
      description
        "The Autonomous System (AS).";
   }
  }
 description
    "The PCE Domain Type";
}
typedef domain-ospf-area {
  type ospf:area-id-type;
  description
    "OSPF Area ID.";
 reference
    "I-D.ietf-ospf-yang: YANG Data Model for OSPF Protocol";
}
typedef domain-isis-area {
  type isis:area-address;
  description
    "IS-IS Area ID.";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-isis-yang-isis-cfg: YANG Data Model for IS-IS
   Protocol";
}
typedef domain-as {
  type inet:as-number;
  description
    "Autonomous System number.";
```

```
}
typedef domain {
  type union {
    type domain-ospf-area;
    type domain-isis-area;
    type domain-as;
 }
 description
    "The Domain Information";
}
typedef operational-state {
  type enumeration {
    enum down {
      value "0";
      description
        "not active.";
    enum up {
      value "1";
      description
       "signalled.";
    }
    enum active {
      value "2";
      description
        "up and carrying traffic.";
    enum going-down {
      value "3";
      description
        "LSP is being torn down, resources are
        being released.";
    enum going-up {
      value "4";
      description
        "LSP is being signalled.";
    }
  }
  description
    "The operational status of the LSP";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 28]

```
typedef sync-state {
  type enumeration {
   enum pending {
      value "0";
      description
        "The state synchronization
        has not started.";
    enum ongoing {
      value "1";
      description
        "The state synchronization
        is ongoing.";
    }
    enum finished {
      value "2";
      description
        "The state synchronization
        is finished.";
    }
  }
  description
    "The LSP-DB state synchronization operational
    status.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
 * Features
feature svec {
  description
    "Support synchronized path computation.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
feature gmpls {
  description
    "Support GMPLS.";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-pce-gmpls-pcep-extensions: PCEP extensions for
    GMPLS";
}
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 29]

```
feature objective-function {
  description
    "Support OF as per RFC 5541.";
  reference
    "RFC 5541: Encoding of Objective Functions in the Path
    Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
feature global-concurrent {
  description
    "Support GCO as per RFC 5557.";
  reference
    "RFC 5557: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Requirements and Protocol Extensions in Support of
    Global Concurrent Optimization";
}
feature path-key {
  description
    "Support path-key as per RFC 5520.";
  reference
    "RFC 5520: Preserving Topology Confidentiality in Inter-
    Domain Path Computation Using a Path-Key-Based Mechanism";
}
feature p2mp {
  description
    "Support P2MP as per RFC 8306.";
  reference
    "RFC 8306: Extensions to the Path Computation Element
    Communication Protocol (PCEP) for Point-to-Multipoint
    Traffic Engineering Label Switched Paths";
}
feature stateful {
  description
    "Support stateful PCE as per RFC 8231.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
feature sync-opt {
  description
    "Support stateful sync optimization
    as per <u>RFC 8232</u>";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched Path State
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 30]

```
Synchronization Procedures for a Stateful PCE";
}
feature pce-initiated {
  description
    "Support PCE-Initiated LSP as per
    RFC 8281.";
  reference
    "RFC 8281: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for PCE-Initiated LSP Setup in a Stateful
    PCE Model";
}
feature tls {
  description
    "Support PCEP over TLS as per
    RFC 8253.";
  reference
    "RFC 8253: PCEPS: Usage of TLS to Provide a Secure Transport
    for the Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP)";
}
feature sr {
  description
    "Support Segment Routing for PCE.";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-pce-segment-routing: PCEP Extensions for Segment
    Routing";
}
feature association {
  description
    "Support Association in PCEP.";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-pce-association-group: PCEP Extensions for
    Establishing Relationships Between Sets of LSPs";
}
* Identities
identity lsp-error {
 if-feature stateful;
  description
    "Base LSP error";
  reference
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 31]

```
"RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity no-error-lsp-error {
  base lsp-error;
 if-feature stateful;
  description
    "No error, LSP is fine.";
 reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity unknown-lsp-error {
  base lsp-error;
  if-feature stateful;
  description
    "Unknown reason.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity limit-lsp-error {
  base lsp-error;
  if-feature stateful;
  description
    "Limit reached for PCE-controlled LSPs.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity pending-lsp-error {
  base lsp-error;
  if-feature stateful;
  description
    "Too many pending LSP update requests.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity unacceptable-lsp-error {
 base lsp-error;
  if-feature stateful;
  description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 32]

```
"Unacceptable parameters.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity internal-lsp-error {
  base lsp-error;
  if-feature stateful;
  description
    "Internal error.";
 reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity admin-lsp-error {
  base lsp-error;
  if-feature stateful;
  description
    "LSP administratively brought down.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity preempted-lsp-error {
  base lsp-error;
  if-feature stateful;
  description
    "LSP preempted.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity rsvp-lsp-error {
  base lsp-error;
  if-feature stateful;
  description
    "RSVP signaling error.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
 * Groupings
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 33]

```
*/
grouping pce-scope{
  description
    "This grouping defines PCE path computation scope
   information which maybe relevant to PCE selection.
   This information corresponds to PCE auto-discovery
    information.";
  reference
    "RFC 5088: OSPF Protocol Extensions for Path
   Computation Element (PCE) Discovery
   RFC 5089: IS-IS Protocol Extensions for Path
   Computation Element (PCE) Discovery";
  leaf path-scope{
    type bits{
      bit intra-area-scope{
        description
          "PCE can compute intra-area paths.";
      bit inter-area-scope{
        description
          "PCE can compute inter-area paths.";
      }
      bit inter-area-scope-default{
        description
          "PCE can act as a default PCE for inter-area
          path computation.";
      }
      bit inter-as-scope{
        description
          "PCE can compute inter-AS paths.";
      bit inter-as-scope-default{
        description
          "PCE can act as a default PCE for inter-AS
         path computation.";
      bit inter-layer-scope{
        description
          "PCE can compute inter-layer paths.";
      }
   }
   description
      "The field corresponding to the path scope bits";
 }
 leaf intra-area-pref{
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 34]

```
type uint8{
      range "0..7";
    description
      "The PCE's preference for intra-area TE LSP
      computation.";
  }
  leaf inter-area-pref{
    type uint8{
      range "0..7";
    description
      "The PCE's preference for inter-area TE LSP
      computation.";
  }
 leaf inter-as-pref{
    type uint8{
      range "0..7";
    description
      "The PCE's preference for inter-AS TE LSP
      computation.";
 }
 leaf inter-layer-pref{
    type uint8{
    range "0..7";
    }
    description
      "The PCE's preference for inter-layer TE LSP
      computation.";
 }
}//pce-scope
grouping domain{
  description
    "This grouping specifies a Domain where the
    PCEP speaker has topology visibility.";
 leaf domain-type{
    type domain-type;
    description
      "The domain type.";
  }
 leaf domain{
    type domain;
    description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 35]

```
"The domain Information.";
  }
}//domain
grouping info{
  description
    "This grouping specifies all information which
    maybe relevant to both PCC and PCE.
    This information corresponds to PCE auto-discovery
    information.";
  container domain{
    description
      "The local domain for the PCEP entity";
    list domain{
      key "domain-type domain";
      description
        "The local domain.";
      uses domain{
        description
          "The local domain for the PCEP entity.";
      }
    }
  container capability{
    description
      "The PCEP entity capability information of local
      PCEP entity. This maybe relevant to PCE selection
      as well. This information corresponds to PCE auto-
      discovery information.";
    reference
      "RFC 5088: OSPF Protocol Extensions for Path
      Computation Element (PCE) Discovery
      RFC 5089: IS-IS Protocol Extensions for Path
      Computation Element (PCE) Discovery";
    leaf capability{
      type bits{
        bit gmpls{
          if-feature gmpls;
          description
            "Path computation with GMPLS link
            constraints.";
        bit bi-dir{
          description
            "Bidirectional path computation.";
        }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 36]

```
bit diverse{
  description
    "Diverse path computation.";
bit load-balance{
  description
    "Load-balanced path computation.";
bit synchronize{
 if-feature svec;
 description
    "Synchronized paths computation.";
bit objective-function{
  if-feature objective-function;
 description
    "Support for multiple objective functions.";
}
bit add-path-constraint{
  description
    "Support for additive path constraints (max
    hop count, etc.).";
bit prioritization{
  description
    "Support for request prioritization.";
}
bit multi-request{
 description
    "Support for multiple requests per message.";
bit global-concurrent{
  if-feature global-concurrent;
  description
    "Support for Global Concurrent Optimization
    (GCO).";
}
bit p2mp{
  if-feature p2mp;
  description
    "Support for P2MP path computation.";
bit active{
  if-feature stateful;
  description
    "Support for active stateful PCE.";
bit passive{
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 37]

```
if-feature stateful;
      description
        "Support for passive stateful PCE.";
    bit p2mp-active{
      if-feature stateful;
      if-feature p2mp;
      description
        "Support for active stateful PCE for P2MP.";
    bit p2mp-passive{
      if-feature stateful;
      if-feature p2mp;
      description
        "Support for passive stateful PCE for P2MP.";
    }
    bit p2mp-pce-initiated{
      if-feature stateful;
      if-feature pce-initiated;
      if-feature p2mp;
      description
        "Support for PCE-initiated LSP for P2MP.";
    }
  }
  description
    "The bits string indicating the capabiliies";
  reference
    "RFC 5088: OSPF Protocol Extensions for Path
    Computation Element (PCE) Discovery
    RFC 5089: IS-IS Protocol Extensions for Path
    Computation Element (PCE) Discovery";
leaf pce-initiated{
  if-feature pce-initiated;
  type boolean;
  description
    "Set to true if PCE-initiated LSP capability is
    enabled.";
  reference
    "RFC 8281: Path Computation Element Communication
    Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for PCE-Initiated LSP
    Setup in a Stateful PCE Model";
leaf include-db-ver{
  if-feature stateful;
  if-feature sync-opt;
  type boolean;
  description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 38]

```
"Support inclusion of LSP-DB-VERSION
    in LSP object";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched Path State
    Synchronization Procedures for a Stateful PCE";
leaf trigger-resync{
  if-feature stateful;
  if-feature sync-opt;
  type boolean;
  description
    "Support PCE triggered re-synchronization";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched Path State
    Synchronization Procedures for a Stateful PCE";
leaf trigger-initial-sync{
  if-feature stateful;
  if-feature sync-opt;
  type boolean;
  description
    "PCE triggered initial synchronization";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched Path State
    Synchronization Procedures for a Stateful PCE";
leaf incremental-sync{
  if-feature stateful;
  if-feature sync-opt;
  type boolean;
  description
    "Support incremental (delta) sync";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched Path State
    Synchronization Procedures for a Stateful PCE";
}
container sr{
  if-feature sr;
  description
    "If segment routing is supported";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-pce-segment-routing: PCEP Extensions for Segment
    Routing";
  leaf enabled{
    type boolean;
    description
      "Set to true if SR is enabled";
  }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 39]

```
leaf msd-limit{
        type boolean;
        default false;
        description
          "True indicates no limit on MSD, the
          leaf msd is ignored";
      }
      leaf nai{
        type boolean;
        default false;
        description
          "True indicates capability to resolve NAI
          to SID";
      }
    }//sr
  }//capability
  leaf msd{
    if-feature sr;
    type uint8;
    description
      "Maximum SID Depth for SR";
    reference
      "I-D.ietf-pce-segment-routing: PCEP Extensions for Segment
      Routing";
 }
}//info
grouping pce-info{
  description
    "This grouping specifies all PCE information
    which maybe relevant to the PCE selection.
    This information corresponds to PCE auto-discovery
    information.";
  container scope{
    description
      "The path computation scope";
   uses pce-scope;
  }
  container neigh-domains{
    description
      "The list of neighbour PCE-Domain
      toward which a PCE can compute
      paths";
    list domain{
        key "domain-type domain";
        description
          "The neighbour domain.";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 40]

```
uses domain{
          description
            "The PCE neighbour domain.";
        }
    }
}//pce-info
grouping notification-instance-hdr {
  description
    "This group describes common instance specific data
    for notifications.";
  leaf peer-addr {
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr";
    description
      "Reference to peer address";
}// notification-instance-hdr
grouping notification-session-hdr {
  description
    "This group describes common session instance specific
    data for notifications.";
 leaf session-initiator {
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/sessions/" +
           "session/initiator";
    }
    description
      "Reference to pcep session initiator leaf";
}// notification-session-hdr
grouping of-list {
  description
    "List of OF";
  reference
    "RFC 5541: Encoding of Objective Functions in the Path
    Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
  list objective-function{
    key "of";
    description
      "The list of authorized OF";
    leaf of {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 41]

```
type identityref{
        base te-types:objective-function-type;
      }
      description
        "The OF authorized";
    }
 }
}
 * Configuration data nodes
container pcep{
  presence
    "The PCEP is enabled";
  description
    "Parameters for list of configured PCEP entities
    on the device.";
  container entity {
    description
      "The configured PCEP entity on the device.";
    leaf addr {
      type inet:ip-address;
      mandatory true;
      description
        "The local Internet address of this PCEP entity.
        If operating as a PCE server, the PCEP entity
        listens on this address. If operating as a PCC,
        the PCEP entity binds outgoing TCP connections
        to this address. It is possible for the PCEP entity
        to operate both as a PCC and a PCE Server, in which
        case it uses this address both to listen for incoming
        TCP connections and to bind outgoing TCP connections.";
    }
    leaf enabled {
      type boolean;
      default true;
      description
        "The administrative status of this PCEP
        Entity.";
    }
    leaf role {
```

```
type pcep-role;
  mandatory true;
  description
    "The role that this entity can play.
    Takes one of the following values.
    - unknown(0): this PCEP Entity role is not
    known.
    - pcc(1): this PCEP Entity is a PCC.
    - pce(2): this PCEP Entity is a PCE.
    - pcc-and-pce(3): this PCEP Entity is both
    a PCC and a PCE.";
}
leaf description {
  type string;
  description
    "Description of the PCEP entity configured
    by the user";
}
leaf speaker-entity-id{
  if-feature sync-opt;
  type string;
  description
    "The Speaker Entity Identifier";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched
    Path State Synchronization Procedures for
    a Stateful PCE";
}
leaf admin-status {
  type boolean;
  default true;
  description
    "The administrative status of this PCEP Entity.
    The value true represents admin status as up.
    This is the desired operational status as
    currently set by an operator or by default in
    the implementation. The value of oper-status
    represents the current status of an attempt to
    reach this desired status.";
}
leaf index{
  type uint32;
  config "false";
  description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 43]

```
"The index of the operational PECP entity";
}
leaf oper-status {
  type pcep-oper-status;
  config "false";
  description
    "The operational status of the PCEP entity.
    Takes one of the following values.
    - oper-status-up(1): the PCEP entity is active.
    - oper-status-down(2): the PCEP entity is inactive.
    - oper-status-going-up(3): the PCEP entity is
    activating.
    - oper-status-going-down(4): the PCEP entity is
    deactivating.
    - oper-status-failed(5): the PCEP entity has
    failed and will recover when possible.
    - oper-status-failed-perm(6): the PCEP entity
    has failed and will not recover without
    operator intervention.";
}
uses info {
  description
    "Local PCEP entity information";
container pce-info {
  when "../role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "These fields are applicable when the role is PCE.";
  }
  description
    "The Local PCE Entity PCE information";
  uses pce-info {
    description
      "Local PCE information";
  container path-key {
    if-feature path-key;
    description
      "Path-Key Configuration";
    reference
      "RFC 5520: Preserving Topology Confidentiality in Inter-
      Domain Path Computation Using a Path-Key-Based Mechanism";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 44]

```
leaf enabled {
      type boolean;
      description
        "Enabled or Disabled";
    leaf discard-timer {
      type uint32;
      units "minutes";
      default 10;
      description
        "A timer to discard unwanted path-keys";
    leaf reuse-time {
      type uint32;
      units "minutes";
      default 30;
      description
        "A time after which the path-keys could be reused";
    }
    leaf pce-id {
      type inet:ip-address;
      description
        "PCE Address to be used in each Path-Key Subobject
        (PKS)";
    }
  }
leaf connect-timer {
  type uint16 {
    range "1..65535";
  }
  units "seconds";
  default 60;
  description
    "The time in seconds that the PCEP entity will wait
    to establish a TCP connection with a peer. If a
    TCP connection is not established within this time
    then PCEP aborts the session setup attempt.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
leaf connect-max-retry {
  type uint32;
  default 5;
  description
    "The maximum number of times the system tries to
    establish a TCP connection to a peer before the
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 45]

```
session with the peer transitions to the idle
    state.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf init-backoff-timer {
  type uint16 {
    range "1..65535";
  units "seconds";
  description
    "The initial back-off time in seconds for retrying
    a failed session setup attempt to a peer.
    The back-off time increases for each failed
    session setup attempt, until a maximum back-off
    time is reached. The maximum back-off time is
    max-backoff-timer.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf max-backoff-timer {
  type uint32;
    units "seconds";
  description
    "The maximum back-off time in seconds for retrying
    a failed session setup attempt to a peer.
    The back-off time increases for each failed session
    setup attempt, until this maximum value is reached.
    Session setup attempts then repeat periodically
    without any further increase in back-off time.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf open-wait-timer {
  type uint16 {
    range "1..65535";
  }
  units "seconds";
  default 60;
  description
    "The time in seconds that the PCEP entity will wait
    to receive an Open message from a peer after the
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 46]

```
TCP connection has come up.
    If no Open message is received within this time then
    PCEP terminates the TCP connection and deletes the
    associated sessions.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf keep-wait-timer {
  type uint16 {
    range "1..65535";
  units "seconds";
  default 60;
  description
    "The time in seconds that the PCEP entity will wait
    to receive a Keepalive or PCErr message from a peer
    during session initialization after receiving an
    Open message. If no Keepalive or PCErr message is
    received within this time then PCEP terminates the
    TCP connection and deletes the associated
    sessions.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf keep-alive-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  default 30;
  description
    "The keep alive transmission timer that this PCEP
    entity will propose in the initial OPEN message of
    each session it is involved in. This is the
    maximum time between two consecutive messages sent
    to a peer. Zero means that the PCEP entity prefers
    not to send Keepalives at all.
    Note that the actual Keepalive transmission
    intervals, in either direction of an active PCEP
    session, are determined by negotiation between the
    peers as specified by RFC 5440, and so may differ
    from this configured value.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 47]

```
leaf dead-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  must "(. > ../keep-alive-timer)" {
    error-message "The dead timer must be "
       + "larger than the keep alive timer";
  }
  default 120;
  description
    "The dead timer that this PCEP entity will propose
    in the initial OPEN message of each session it is
    involved in. This is the time after which a peer
    should declare a session down if it does not
    receive any PCEP messages. Zero suggests that the
    peer does not run a dead timer at all.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf allow-negotiation{
  type boolean;
  description
    "Whether the PCEP entity will permit negotiation of
    session parameters.";
}
leaf max-keep-alive-timer{
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  description
    "In PCEP session parameter negotiation in seconds,
    the maximum value that this PCEP entity will
    accept from a peer for the interval between
    Keepalive transmissions. Zero means that the PCEP
    entity will allow no Keepalive transmission at
    all.";
}
leaf max-dead-timer{
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  description
    "In PCEP session parameter negotiation in seconds,
    the maximum value that this PCEP entity will accept
    from a peer for the Dead timer. Zero means that
    the PCEP entity will allow not running a Dead
    timer.";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 48]

```
}
leaf min-keep-alive-timer{
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  description
    "In PCEP session parameter negotiation in seconds,
    the minimum value that this PCEP entity will
    accept for the interval between Keepalive
    transmissions. Zero means that the PCEP entity
    insists on no Keepalive transmission at all.";
}
leaf min-dead-timer{
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  description
    "In PCEP session parameter negotiation in
    seconds, the minimum value that this PCEP entity
    will accept for the Dead timer. Zero means that
    the PCEP entity insists on not running a Dead
    timer.";
}
leaf sync-timer{
  if-feature svec;
  type uint16;
  units "seconds";
  default 60:
  description
    "The value of SyncTimer in seconds is used in the
    case of synchronized path computation request
    using the SVEC object. Consider the case where a
    PCReq message is received by a PCE that contains
    the SVEC object referring to M synchronized path
    computation requests. If after the expiration of
    the SyncTimer all the M path computation requests
    have not been, received a protocol error is
    triggered and the PCE MUST cancel the whole set
    of path computation requests.
    The aim of the SyncTimer is to avoid the storage
    of unused synchronized requests should one of
    them get lost for some reasons (for example, a
    misbehaving PCC).
    Zero means that the PCEP entity does not use the
    SyncTimer.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 49]

```
Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf request-timer{
  type uint16 {
    range "1..65535";
  }
  units "seconds";
  description
    "The maximum time that the PCEP entity will wait
    for a response to a PCReq message.";
}
leaf max-sessions{
  type uint32;
  description
    "Maximum number of sessions involving this PCEP
    entity that can exist at any time.";
}
leaf max-unknown-reqs{
  type uint32;
  default 5;
  description
    "The maximum number of unrecognized requests and
    replies that any session on this PCEP entity is
    willing to accept per minute before terminating
    the session.
    A PCRep message contains an unrecognized reply
    if it contains an RP object whose request ID
    does not correspond to any in-progress request
    sent by this PCEP entity.
    A PCReq message contains an unrecognized request
    if it contains an RP object whose request ID is
    zero.";
    reference
    ""RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf max-unknown-msgs{
  type uint32;
  default 5;
  description
    "The maximum number of unknown messages that any
    session on this PCEP entity is willing to accept
    per minute before terminating the session.";
  reference
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 50]

```
"RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf pcep-notification-max-rate {
  type uint32;
  mandatory true;
  description
    "This variable indicates the maximum number of
    notifications issued per second. If events
    occur more rapidly, the implementation may
    simply fail to emit these notifications during
    that period, or may queue them until an
    appropriate time. A value of 0 means no
    notifications are emitted and all should be
    discarded (that is, not queued).";
container stateful-parameter{
  if-feature stateful;
  description
    "The configured stateful PCE parameters";
  leaf state-timeout{
    type uint32;
    units "seconds";
    description
      "When a PCEP session is terminated, a PCC
      waits for this time period before flushing
      LSP state associated with that PCEP session
      and reverting to operator-defined default
      parameters or behaviours.";
  }
  leaf redelegation-timeout{
    when "../../role = 'pcc'" +
         "or " +
         "../../role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    {
      description
        "This field is applicable when the role is
        PCC";
    }
    type uint32;
    units "seconds";
    description
      "When a PCEP session is terminated, a PCC
      waits for this time period before revoking
      LSP delegation to a PCE and attempting to
      redelegate LSPs associated with the
      terminated PCEP session to an alternate
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 51]

Internet-Draft PCE-YANG March 2019

```
PCE.";
}
leaf rpt-non-pcep-lsp{
 when "../../role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    description
      "This field is applicable when the role is
      PCC";
  }
  type boolean;
  default true;
 description
    "If set, a PCC reports LSPs that are not
   controlled by any PCE (for example, LSPs
   that are statically configured at the
   PCC). ";
 }
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
    (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
container of-list{
 when "../role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "These field are applicable when the role is
      PCE";
 if-feature objective-function;
  uses of-list;
  description
    "The authorized OF-List at PCE for all peers";
}
container lsp-db{
  if-feature stateful;
 config false;
 description
    "The LSP-DB";
  leaf db-ver{
   when "../../role = 'pcc'" +
         "or " +
         "../../role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 52]

```
{
    description
      "This field is applicable when the role is
      PCC";
  if-feature sync-opt;
  type uint64;
  description
    "The LSP State Database Version Number";
list association-list {
  if-feature association;
  key "type id source global-source extended-id";
  description
    "List of all PCEP associations";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-pce-association-group: PCEP
    Extensions for Establishing Relationships
    Between Sets of LSPs";
  leaf type {
    type identityref {
      base te-types:association-type;
    }
    description
      "The PCEP association type";
  leaf id {
    type uint16;
    description
      "PCEP Association ID";
  leaf source {
    type inet:ip-address;
    description
      "PCEP Association Source.";
  leaf global-source {
    type uint32;
    description
      "PCEP Association Global Source.";
  }
  leaf extended-id{
    type string;
    description
      "Additional information to support unique
      identification.";
  list lsp {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 53]

```
key "plsp-id pcc-id";
    description
      "List of all LSP in this association";
   leaf plsp-id {
      type leafref {
        path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
             + "lsp/plsp-id";
      }
      description
        "Reference to PLSP-ID in LSP-DB";
    }
   leaf pcc-id {
      type leafref {
        path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
             + "lsp/pcc-id";
      }
      description
        "Reference to PCC-ID in LSP-DB";
    }
  }
}
list lsp {
  key "plsp-id pcc-id";
 description
    "List of all LSPs in LSP-DB";
 leaf plsp-id{
    type uint32{
      range "1..1048575";
    }
    description
      "A PCEP-specific identifier for the LSP. A PCC
      creates a unique PLSP-ID for each LSP that is
      constant for the lifetime of a PCEP session.
      PLSP-ID is 20 bits with 0 and 0xFFFFF are
      reserved";
  }
 leaf pcc-id{
    type inet:ip-address;
    description
      "The local internet address of the PCC, that
      generated the PLSP-ID.";
 container lsp-ref{
   description
      "reference to ietf-te lsp state";
    leaf source {
      type leafref {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 54]

```
path "/te:te/te:lsps-state/te:lsp/te:source";
  }
  description
    "Tunnel sender address extracted from
    SENDER_TEMPLATE object";
  reference
    "RFC 3209: RSVP-TE: Extensions to RSVP for
    LSP Tunnels";
leaf destination {
  type leafref {
    path "/te:te/te:lsps-state/te:lsp/te:"
         + "destination";
  }
  description
    "Tunnel endpoint address extracted from
    SESSION object";
  reference
    "RFC 3209: RSVP-TE: Extensions to RSVP for
    LSP Tunnels";
}
leaf tunnel-id {
  type leafref {
    path "/te:te/te:lsps-state/te:lsp/te:tunnel-id";
  }
  description
    "Tunnel identifier used in the SESSION
    that remains constant over the life
    of the tunnel.";
  reference
    "RFC 3209: RSVP-TE: Extensions to RSVP for
    LSP Tunnels";
}
leaf lsp-id {
  type leafref {
    path "/te:te/te:lsps-state/te:lsp/te:lsp-id";
  description
    "Identifier used in the SENDER_TEMPLATE
    and the FILTER_SPEC that can be changed
    to allow a sender to share resources with
    itself.";
  reference
    "RFC 3209: RSVP-TE: Extensions to RSVP for
    LSP Tunnels";
}
leaf extended-tunnel-id {
  type leafref {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 55]

```
path "/te:te/te:lsps-state/te:lsp/te:"
           + "extended-tunnel-id";
    }
    description
      "Extended Tunnel ID of the LSP.";
    reference
      "RFC 3209: RSVP-TE: Extensions to RSVP for
      LSP Tunnels";
  }
}
leaf admin-state{
  type boolean;
  description
    "The desired operational state";
leaf operational-state{
 type operational-state;
  description
    "The operational status of the LSP";
}
container delegated{
  description
    "The delegation related parameters";
  leaf enabled{
    type boolean;
    description
      "LSP is delegated or not";
  }
 leaf peer{
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr";
    must "(../enabled = true())"
      error-message
        "The LSP must be delegated";
    }
    description
      "At the PCC, the reference to the PCEP peer to
      which LSP is delegated; At the PCE, the
      reference to the PCEP peer which delegated this
      LSP";
  }
  leaf srp-id{
    type uint32;
    description
      "The last SRP-ID-number associated with this
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 56]

Internet-Draft PCE-YANG March 2019

```
LSP.";
  }
}
container initiation {
 if-feature pce-initiated;
  description
    "The PCE initiation related parameters";
  reference
    "RFC 8281: Path Computation Element Communication
    Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for PCE-Initiated LSP
    Setup in a Stateful PCE Model";
 leaf enabled{
    type boolean;
    description
      "Set to true if this LSP is initiated by a PCE";
  }
 leaf peer{
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr";
    must "(../enabled = true())"
      error-message
        "The LSP must be PCE-Initiated";
    }
    description
      "At the PCC, the reference to the PCEP peer
      that initiated this LSP; At the PCE, the
      reference to the PCEP peer where the LSP
      is initiated";
  }
}
leaf symbolic-path-name{
  type string;
  description
    "The symbolic path name associated with the LSP.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication
    Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
leaf last-error{
  type identityref {
   base lsp-error;
  }
  description
    "The last error for the LSP.";
leaf pst{
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 57]

```
type identityref{
    base te-types:path-signaling-type;
 default "te-types:path-setup-rsvp";
  description
    "The Path Setup Type";
  reference
    "RFC 8408: Conveying Path Setup Type in PCE
    Communication Protocol (PCEP) Messages";
}
list association-list {
 if-feature association;
  key "type id source global-source extended-id";
  description
    "List of all PCEP associations";
 leaf type {
    type leafref {
    path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
         + "association-list/type";
    }
    description
      "PCEP Association Type";
  }
  leaf id {
    type leafref {
    path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
         + "association-list/id";
    }
    description
      "PCEP Association ID";
  }
  leaf source {
    type leafref {
    path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
         + "association-list/source";
    }
    description
      "PCEP Association Source.";
  leaf global-source {
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
           + "association-list/global-source";
    }
    description
      "PCEP Association Global Source.";
 leaf extended-id{
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 58]

```
type leafref {
          path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
               + "association-list/extended-id";
        }
        description
          "Additional information to
          support unique identification.";
      }
      reference
        "I-D.ietf-pce-association-group: PCEP
        Extensions for Establishing Relationships
        Between Sets of LSPs";
    }
  }
}
container path-keys {
 when "../role = 'pce' or ../role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "These fields are applicable when the role is
      PCE";
  }
  if-feature path-key;
  config false;
  description
    "The path-keys generated by the PCE";
  reference
    "RFC 5520: Preserving Topology Confidentiality
    in Inter-Domain Path Computation Using a Path-
    Key-Based Mechanism";
  list path-keys{
    key "path-key";
    description
      "The list of path-keys generated by the PCE";
    leaf path-key {
      type uint16;
      description
        "The identifier, or token used to represent
        the Confidential Path Segment (CPS) within
        the context of the PCE";
    }
    container cps {
      description
        "The Confidential Path Segment (CPS)";
      list explicit-route-objects {
        key "index";
        description
          "List of explicit route objects";
        leaf index {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 59]

```
type uint32;
      description
        "ERO subobject index";
    }
   uses te-types:explicit-route-hop;
  }
}
leaf pcc-original {
  type leafref {
   path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr";
  }
  description
    "Reference to PCC peer address of
    the original request";
}
leaf req-id {
  type uint32;
 description
    "The request ID of the original PCReq.";
leaf retrieved {
  type boolean;
 description
    "If path-key has been retrieved yet";
leaf pcc-retrieved {
  type leafref {
    path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr";
  }
 must "(../retrieved = true())"
    error-message
      "The Path-key should be retreived";
  description
    "Reference to PCC peer address which
    retreived the path-key";
}
leaf creation-time {
  type yang:timestamp;
 description
    "The timestamp value at the time this Path-Key
   was created.";
}
leaf discard-time {
  type uint32;
  units "minutes";
  description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 60]

```
"A time after which this path-keys will be
        discarded";
    }
    leaf reuse-time {
      type uint32;
      units "minutes";
      description
        "A time after which this path-keys could be
        reused";
   }
  }
}
container peers{
 description
    "The list of configured peers for the
    entity (remote PCE)";
  list peer{
    key "addr";
    description
      "The peer configured for the entity.
      (remote PCE)";
    leaf addr {
      type inet:ip-address;
      description
        "The local Internet address of this
        PCEP peer.";
    leaf role {
      type pcep-role;
      mandatory true;
      description
        "The role of the PCEP Peer.
        Takes one of the following values.
        - unknown(0): this PCEP peer role is not
        known.
        - pcc(1): this PCEP peer is a PCC.
        - pce(2): this PCEP peer is a PCE.
        - pcc-and-pce(3): this PCEP peer
        is both a PCC and a PCE.";
   }
    leaf description {
      type string;
      description
        "Description of the PCEP peer
        configured by the user";
    }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 61]

```
uses info {
  description
    "PCE Peer information";
}
container pce-info {
  uses pce-info {
 description
    "PCE Peer information";
  }
 description
    "The PCE Peer information";
}
leaf delegation-pref{
  if-feature stateful;
  type uint8{
    range "0..7";
  }
  description
    "The PCE peer delegation preference.";
}
container auth {
  description
    "The Authentication options";
  choice auth-type-selection {
    description
      "Options for expressing authentication
      setting.";
    case auth-key-chain {
      leaf key-chain {
        type kc:key-chain-ref;
        description
          "key-chain name.";
      }
    }
    case auth-key {
      leaf crypto-algorithm {
        type identityref {
          base kc:crypto-algorithm;
        }
        mandatory true;
        description
          "Cryptographic algorithm associated
          with key.";
      }
      choice key-string-style {
        description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 62]

```
"Key string styles";
    case keystring {
      leaf keystring {
        nacm:default-deny-all;
        type string;
        description
          "Key string in ASCII format.";
      }
    }
    case hexadecimal {
      if-feature "kc:hex-key-string";
      leaf hexadecimal-string {
        nacm:default-deny-all;
        type yang:hex-string;
        description
          "Key in hexadecimal string format. When
          compared to ASCII, specification in
          hexadecimal affords greater key entropy
          with the same number of internal
          key-string octets. Additionally, it
          discourages usage of well-known words or
          numbers.";
      }
    }
  }
}
case auth-tls {
  if-feature tls;
  choice role{
    description
      "The role of the local entity";
  case server {
    container tls-server {
      uses ts:tls-server-grouping {
    description
      "Server TLS information.";
    description
      "TLS related information";
    }
  }
  case client{
    container tls-client {
      uses tc:tls-client-grouping {
      description
        "Client TLS information.";
      }
      description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 63]

```
"TLS related information";
          }
        }
     }
    }
 }
}
leaf discontinuity-time {
  type yang:timestamp;
  config false;
 description
    "The timestamp of the time when the information and
    statistics were last reset.";
}
leaf initiate-session {
  type boolean;
  config false;
  description
    "Indicates whether the local PCEP entity initiates
    sessions to this peer, or waits for the peer to
    initiate a session.";
}
leaf session-exists{
  type boolean;
  config false;
 description
    "Indicates whether a session with
    this peer currently exists.";
}
leaf session-up-time{
  type yang:timestamp;
  config false;
  description
    "The timestamp value of the last time a
    session with this peer was successfully
    established.";
}
leaf session-fail-time{
  type yang:timestamp;
  config false;
  description
    "The timestamp value of the last time a
    session with this peer failed to be
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 64]

```
established.";
}
leaf session-fail-up-time{
  type yang:timestamp;
 config false;
 description
    "The timestamp value of the last time a
    session with this peer failed from
    active.";
}
container sessions {
  config false;
 description
    "This entry represents a single PCEP
    session in which the local PCEP entity participates.
    This entry exists only if the corresponding PCEP
    session has been initialized by some event, such as
    manual user configuration, auto-discovery of a peer,
    or an incoming TCP connection.";
  list session {
    key "initiator";
    description
      "The list of sessions, note that for a time being
      two sessions may exist for a peer";
   leaf initiator {
      type pcep-initiator;
      description
        "The initiator of the session, that is, whether
        the TCP connection was initiated by the local
        PCEP entity or the peer.
        There is a window during session
        initialization where two sessions can exist
        between a pair of PCEP speakers, each
        initiated by one of the speakers. One of
        these sessions is always discarded before it
        leaves OpenWait state. However, before it is
        discarded, two sessions to the given peer
        appear transiently in this yang module. The
        sessions are distinguished by who initiated
        them, and so this field is the key.";
    }
   leaf role {
      type leafref {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 65]

```
path "/pcep/entity/role";
  }
 description
    "The reference to peer role .";
}
leaf state-last-change {
  type yang:timestamp;
  description
    "The timestamp value at the time this
    session entered its current state as
    denoted by the state leaf.";
}
leaf state {
  type pcep-sess-state;
  description
    "The current state of the session.
    The set of possible states excludes the
    idle state since entries do not exist
    in the idle state.";
}
leaf session-creation {
  type yang:timestamp;
  description
    "The timestamp value at the time this
    session was created.";
}
leaf connect-retry {
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of times that the local PCEP
    entity has attempted to establish a TCP
    connection for this session without
    success. The PCEP entity gives up when
    this reaches connect-max-retry.";
}
leaf local-id {
  type uint8;
  description
    "The value of the PCEP session ID used by
    the local PCEP entity in the Open message
    for this session. If state is tcp-pending
    then this is the session ID that will be
    used in the Open message. Otherwise, this
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 66]

Internet-Draft PCE-YANG March 2019

```
is the session ID that was sent in the
    Open message.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf remote-id {
  type uint8;
  must "((../state != 'tcp-pending'" +
       "and " +
       "../state != 'open-wait' )" +
       "or " +
       "((../state = 'tcp-pending'" +
       " or " +
       "../state = 'open-wait' )" +
       "and (. = 0))" {
    error-message
      "Invalid remote-id";
    description
      "If state is tcp-pending or open-wait then
      this leaf is not used and MUST be set to
      zero.";
  }
  description
    "The value of the PCEP session ID used by the
    peer in its Open message for this session.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf keepalive-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  must "(../state = 'session-up'" +
       "or " +
       "(../state != 'session-up'" +
       "and (. = 0))" {
    error-message
      "Invalid keepalive timer";
    description
    "This field is used if and only if state is
    session-up. Otherwise, it is not used and
    MUST be set to zero.";
  }
  description
    "The agreed maximum interval at which the local
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 67]

Internet-Draft PCE-YANG March 2019

```
PCEP entity transmits PCEP messages on this PCEP
    session. Zero means that the local PCEP entity
    never sends Keepalives on this session.";
  reference
    ""RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf peer-keepalive-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  must "(../state = 'session-up'" +
       "or " +
       "(../state != 'session-up'" +
       "and " +
       "(. = 0))"
    error-message
      "Invalid Peer keepalive timer";
    description
      "This field is used if and only if state is
      session-up. Otherwise, it is not used and MUST
      be set to zero.";
  }
  description
    "The agreed maximum interval at which the peer
    transmits PCEP messages on this PCEP session.
    Zero means that the peer never sends Keepalives
    on this session.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf dead-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  description
    "The dead timer interval for this PCEP session.";
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf peer-dead-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  must "((../state != 'tcp-pending'" +
       "and " +
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 68]

```
"../state != 'open-wait' )" +
       "or " +
       "((../state = 'tcp-pending'" +
       " or " +
       "../state = 'open-wait' )" +
       "and " +
       "(. = 0))"
    error-message
      "Invalid Peer Dead timer";
    description
    "If state is tcp-pending or open-wait then this
    leaf is not used and MUST be set to zero.";
  }
  description
    "The peer's dead-timer interval
    for this PCEP session.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf ka-hold-time-rem {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  must "((../state != 'tcp-pending'" +
       "and " +
       "../state != 'open-wait' ) " +
       "or " +
       "((../state = 'tcp-pending'" +
       "or " +
       "../state = 'open-wait' )" +
       "and " +
       "(. = 0)))" {
    error-message
      "Invalid Keepalive hold time remaining";
    description
      "If state is tcp-pending or open-wait then this
      field is not used and MUST be set to zero.";
  }
  description
    "The keep alive hold time remaining for this
    session.";
leaf overloaded {
  type boolean;
  description
    "If the local PCEP entity has informed the peer that
    it is currently overloaded, then this is set to true.
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 69]

```
Otherwise, it is set to false.";
  reference
    ""RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf overload-time {
  type uint32;
  units "seconds";
  must "((../overloaded = true()) " +
       "or ((../overloaded != true()) " +
       "and (. = 0))" {
    error-message
      "Invalid overload-time";
    description
      "This field is only used if overloaded is set to
      true. Otherwise, it is not used and MUST be set
      to zero.";
  }
  description
    "The interval of time that is remaining until the
    local PCEP entity will cease to be overloaded on
    this session.";
  reference
    ""RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
leaf peer-overloaded {
  type boolean;
  description
    "If the peer has informed the local PCEP entity
    that it is currently overloaded, then this is
    set to true. Otherwise, it is set to false.";
  reference
    ""RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf peer-overload-time {
  type uint32;
  units "seconds";
  must "((../peer-overloaded = " +
       "true()) or " +
       "((../peer-overloaded !=" +
       "true())" +
       " and " +
       "(. = 0)))" {
    error-message
      "Invalid peer overload time";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 70]

Internet-Draft PCE-YANG March 2019

```
description
        "This field is only used if peer-overloaded
        is set to true. Otherwise, it is not used
        and MUST be set to zero.";
 description
    "The interval of time that is remaining until
    the peer will cease to be overloaded. If it
    is not known how long the peer will stay in
    overloaded state, this leaf is set to zero.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf lspdb-sync {
 if-feature stateful;
 type sync-state;
 description
    "The LSP-DB state synchronization status.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication
    Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
leaf recv-db-ver{
 when "../role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    description
      "This field is applicable when the role is
      PCC";
  }
  if-feature stateful;
  if-feature sync-opt;
  type uint64;
  description
    "The last received LSP State Database Version
    Number";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication
    Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
container of-list{
 when "../role = 'pce'" +
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 71]

```
"or " +
       "../role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    description
      "These fields are applicable when the role is
      PCE";
  if-feature objective-function;
 uses of-list;
 description
    "Indicate the list of supported OF on this
    session";
  reference
    "RFC 5541: Encoding of Objective Functions in
    the Path Computation Element Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
container pst-list{
 when "../role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "These fields are applicable when the role is
     PCE";
 }
 description
    "Indicate the list of supported
    PST on this session";
  reference
    "RFC 8408: Conveying Path Setup Type in PCE
    Communication Protocol (PCEP) Messages";
 list path-setup-type{
    key "pst";
    description
      "The list of authorized PST";
    leaf pst {
      type identityref{
        base te-types:path-signaling-type;
      }
      description
```

```
"The PST authorized";
        }
      }
    }
    container assoc-type-list{
      if-feature association;
      description
        "Indicate the list of supported association types
        on this session";
      reference
        "I-D.ietf-pce-association-group: PCEP
        Extensions for Establishing Relationships
        Between Sets of LSPs";
      list assoc-type{
        key "at";
        description
          "The list of authorized association types";
        leaf at {
          type identityref {
            base te-types:association-type;
          }
          description
            "The association type authorized";
        }
      }
    }
    leaf speaker-entity-id{
      if-feature sync-opt;
      type string;
      description
        "The Speaker Entity Identifier";
      reference
        "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched
        Path State Synchronization Procedures for
        a Stateful PCE";
    }
   leaf discontinuity-time {
      type yang:timestamp;
      description
        "The timestamp value of the time when the
        statistics were last reset.";
    }
  } // session
} // sessions
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 73]

```
}//peer
   }//peers
 }//entity
}//pcep
* Notifications
notification pcep-session-up {
  description
    "This notification is sent when the value of
    '/pcep/peers/peer/sessions/session/state'
   enters the 'session-up' state.";
 uses notification-instance-hdr;
 uses notification-session-hdr;
 leaf state-last-change {
    type yang:timestamp;
   description
      "The timestamp value at the time this session
      entered its current state as denoted by the state
      leaf.";
  }
 leaf state {
    type pcep-sess-state;
   description
      "The current state of the session.
      The set of possible states excludes the idle state
      since entries do not exist in the idle state.";
   }
    reference
      "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
      Protocol (PCEP)";
} //notification
notification pcep-session-down {
  description
    "This notification is sent when the value of
    '/pcep/peers/peer/sessions/session/state'
   leaves the 'session-up' state.";
 uses notification-instance-hdr;
 leaf session-initiator {
    type pcep-initiator;
```

```
description
      "The initiator of the session.";
 }
 leaf state-last-change {
   type yang:timestamp;
   description
      "The timestamp value at the time this session
      entered its current state as denoted by the state
      leaf.";
 }
 leaf state {
  type pcep-sess-state;
 description
    "The current state of the session.
   The set of possible states excludes the idle state
   since entries do not exist in the idle state.";
 }
 reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
   Protocol (PCEP)";
} //notification
notification pcep-session-local-overload {
  description
    "This notification is sent when the local PCEP entity
   enters overload state for a peer.";
 uses notification-instance-hdr;
 uses notification-session-hdr;
 leaf overloaded {
    type boolean;
   description
      "If the local PCEP entity has informed the peer
      that it is currently overloaded, then this is set
      to true. Otherwise, it is set to false.";
 }
  leaf overload-time {
  type uint32;
  units "seconds";
  description
    "The interval of time that is remaining until the
   local PCEP entity will cease to be overloaded on
   this session.";
```

```
}
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
} //notification
notification pcep-session-local-overload-clear {
  description
    "This notification is sent when the local PCEP entity
    leaves overload state for a peer.";
 uses notification-instance-hdr;
 leaf overloaded {
    type boolean;
    description
      "If the local PCEP entity has informed the peer
      that it is currently overloaded, then this is set
      to true. Otherwise, it is set to false.";
    }
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
} //notification
notification pcep-session-peer-overload {
  description
    "This notification is sent when a peer enters overload
    state.";
 uses notification-instance-hdr;
 uses notification-session-hdr;
  leaf peer-overloaded {
    type boolean;
    description
      "If the peer has informed the local PCEP entity that
      it is currently overloaded, then this is set to
      true. Otherwise, it is set to false.";
  }
  leaf peer-overload-time {
    type uint32;
    units "seconds";
    description
      "The interval of time that is remaining until the
      peer will cease to be overloaded. If it is not
```

```
known how long the peer will stay in overloaded
      state, this leaf is set to zero.";
 }
 reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
   Protocol (PCEP)";
} //notification
notification pcep-session-peer-overload-clear {
  description
    "This notification is sent when a peer leaves overload
    state.";
 uses notification-instance-hdr;
 leaf peer-overloaded {
    type boolean;
   description
      "If the peer has informed the local PCEP entity that
      it is currently overloaded, then this is set to
      true. Otherwise, it is set to false.";
   reference
      "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
      Protocol (PCEP)";
} //notification
 * RPC
 */
rpc trigger-resync {
 if-feature stateful;
 if-feature sync-opt;
 description
    "Trigger the resyncrinization at the PCE";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched Path State
   Synchronization Procedures for a Stateful PCE";
 input {
   leaf pcc {
      type leafref {
       path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr";
      }
      description
        "The IP address to identify the PCC. The state
        syncronization is re-triggered for all LSPs from
        the PCC. The rpc on the PCC will be ignored.";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 77]

```
}
     }//input
  }//rpc
 }//module
 <CODE ENDS>
10.2. ietf-pcep-stats module
   <CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-pcep-stats@2019-03-24.yang"
   module ietf-pcep-stats {
    yang-version 1.1;
    namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-pcep-stats";
     prefix ps;
     import ietf-pcep {
      prefix p;
      reference
         "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Path Computation
         Element Communications Protocol (PCEP)";
     }
     import ietf-yang-types {
      prefix "yang";
       reference
         "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types";
     }
     organization
       "IETF PCE (Path Computation Element) Working Group";
     contact
       "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/pce/>
       WG List: <mailto:pce@ietf.org>
       Editor: Dhruv Dhody
                 <mailto:dhruv.ietf@gmail.com>";
     description
       "The YANG module augments the PCEP yang operational
       model with statistics, counters and telemetry data.
       Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
       authors of the code. All rights reserved.
```

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or

```
without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
  to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
  set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
  Relating to IETF Documents
  (<a href="http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>).
  This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the
  RFC itself for full legal notices.";
revision 2019-03-24 {
  description "Initial revision.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Path Computation
    Element Communications Protocol (PCEP)";
}
 * Groupings
grouping pcep-stats{
  description
    "This grouping defines statistics for PCEP. It is used
    for both peer and current session.";
  leaf avg-rsp-time{
    when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
         "or " +
         "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    {
      description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
    type uint32;
    units "milliseconds";
    description
      "The average response time. If an average response time
      has not been calculated then this leaf has the value
      zero.";
  }
  leaf lwm-rsp-time{
    when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
         "or " +
         "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
      description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 79]

```
"Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
  type uint32;
  units "milliseconds";
  description
    "The smallest (low-water mark) response time seen.
    If no responses have been received then this leaf has
    the value zero.";
}
leaf hwm-rsp-time{
  when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type uint32;
  units "milliseconds";
  description
    "The greatest (high-water mark) response time seen.
    If no responses have been received then this object
    has the value zero.";
}
leaf num-pcreq-sent{
    when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
        "or " +
         "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
      description
       "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
    type yang:counter32;
    description
     "The number of PCReq messages sent.";
}
leaf num-pcreq-rcvd{
  when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 80]

```
}
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCReq messages received.";
}
leaf num-pcrep-sent{
  when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
      "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCRep messages sent.";
  }
  leaf num-pcrep-rcvd{
    when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
        "or " +
         "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
      description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of PCRep messages received.";
  }
  leaf num-pcerr-sent{
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of PCErr messages sent.";
  leaf num-pcerr-rcvd{
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of PCErr messages received.";
  }
  leaf num-pcntf-sent{
    type yang:counter32;
```

```
description
    "The number of PCNtf messages sent.";
}
leaf num-pcntf-rcvd{
  type yang:counter32;
 description
    "The number of PCNtf messages received.";
}
leaf num-keepalive-sent{
  type yang:counter32;
 description
    "The number of Keepalive messages sent.";
}
leaf num-keepalive-rcvd{
 type yang:counter32;
 description
    "The number of Keepalive messages received.";
}
leaf num-unknown-rcvd{
 type yang:counter32;
 description
  "The number of unknown messages received.";
}
leaf num-corrupt-rcvd{
  type yang:counter32;
 description
    "The number of corrupted PCEP message received.";
}
leaf num-req-sent{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests sent. A request corresponds
    1:1 with an RP object in a PCReq message. This might
   be greater than num-pcreq-sent because multiple
    requests can be batched into a single PCReq
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 82]

```
message.";
}
leaf num-req-sent-pend-rep{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that have been sent for
   which a response is still pending.";
}
leaf num-req-sent-ero-rcvd{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that have been sent for
   which a response with an ERO object was received.
   Such responses indicate that a path was
    successfully computed by the peer.";
}
leaf num-req-sent-nopath-rcvd{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that have been sent for
   which a response with a NO-PATH object was
    received. Such responses indicate that the peer
    could not find a path to satisfy the
    request.";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 83]

```
}
leaf num-req-sent-cancel-rcvd{
  when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that were cancelled with
    a PCNtf message. This might be different than
    num-pcntf-rcvd because not all PCNtf messages are
    used to cancel requests, and a single PCNtf message
    can cancel multiple requests.";
}
leaf num-req-sent-error-rcvd{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that were rejected with a
   PCErr message. This might be different than
    num-pcerr-rcvd because not all PCErr messages are
   used to reject requests, and a single PCErr message
   can reject multiple requests.";
}
leaf num-req-sent-timeout{
  when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that have been sent to a peer
    and have been abandoned because the peer has taken too
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 84]

```
long to respond to them.";
}
leaf num-req-sent-cancel-sent{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that were sent to the peer and
    explicitly cancelled by the local PCEP entity sending
    a PCNtf.";
}
leaf num-req-rcvd{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests received. A request
   corresponds 1:1 with an RP object in a PCReq
   message.
    This might be greater than num-pcreq-rcvd because
   multiple requests can be batched into a single
   PCReq message.";
}
leaf num-req-rcvd-pend-rep{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that have been received for
    which a response is still pending.";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 85]

```
}
leaf num-req-rcvd-ero-sent{
  when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that have been received for
   which a response with an ERO object was sent. Such
    responses indicate that a path was successfully
    computed by the local PCEP entity.";
}
leaf num-req-rcvd-nopath-sent{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that have been received for
   which a response with a NO-PATH object was sent. Such
    responses indicate that the local PCEP entity could
    not find a path to satisfy the request.";
}
leaf num-req-rcvd-cancel-sent{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests received that were cancelled
    by the local PCEP entity sending a PCNtf message.
    This might be different than num-pcntf-sent because
    not all PCNtf messages are used to cancel requests,
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 86]

```
and a single PCNtf message can cancel multiple
    requests.";
}
leaf num-req-rcvd-error-sent{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
      "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests received that were cancelled
    by the local PCEP entity sending a PCErr message.
    This might be different than num-pcerr-sent because
    not all PCErr messages are used to cancel requests,
    and a single PCErr message can cancel multiple
    requests.";
}
leaf num-req-rcvd-cancel-rcvd{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that were received from the
    peer and explicitly cancelled by the peer sending
    a PCNtf.";
leaf num-rep-rcvd-unknown{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of responses to unknown requests
    received. A response to an unknown request is a
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 87]

```
response whose RP object does not contain the
    request ID of any request that is currently
    outstanding on the session.";
}
leaf num-req-rcvd-unknown{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of unknown requests that have been
    received. An unknown request is a request
   whose RP object contains a request ID of zero.";
}
container svec{
  if-feature p:svec;
 description
    "If synchronized path computation is supported";
  leaf num-svec-sent{
    when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
         "or " +
         "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    {
     description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of SVEC objects sent in PCReq messages.
      An SVEC object represents a set of synchronized
      requests.";
  leaf num-svec-req-sent{
   when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
         "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
     description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
    }
    type yang:counter32;
    description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 88]

```
"The number of requests sent that appeared in one
     or more SVEC objects.";
  }
  leaf num-svec-rcvd{
   when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
        "or " +
         "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
      description
       "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
    type yang:counter32;
   description
      "The number of SVEC objects received in PCReq
      messages. An SVEC object represents a set of
      synchronized requests.";
  }
  leaf num-svec-req-rcvd{
   when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
         "or " +
         "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    {
      description
       "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
   }
   type yang:counter32;
   description
      "The number of requests received that appeared
      in one or more SVEC objects.";
  }
}//svec
container stateful{
  if-feature p:stateful;
  description
    "Stateful PCE related statistics";
  leaf num-pcrpt-sent{
   when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
         "or " +
         "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    {
     description
       "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of PCRpt messages sent.";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 89]

```
}
leaf num-pcrpt-rcvd{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
     "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
 description
    "The number of PCRpt messages received.";
}
leaf num-pcupd-sent{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
   description
     "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCUpd messages sent.";
}
leaf num-pcupd-rcvd{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
      "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
   description
     "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCUpd messages received.";
}
leaf num-rpt-sent{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 90]

```
}
  type yang:counter32;
  description
   "The number of LSP Reports sent. A LSP report
   corresponds 1:1 with an LSP object in a PCRpt
   message. This might be greater than
   num-pcrpt-sent because multiple reports can
   be batched into a single PCRpt message.";
}
leaf num-rpt-rcvd{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
      "or " +
      "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of LSP Reports received. A LSP report
   corresponds 1:1 with an LSP object in a PCRpt
   message.
   This might be greater than num-pcrpt-rcvd because
   multiple reports can be batched into a single
   PCRpt message.";
}
leaf num-rpt-rcvd-error-sent{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
      "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
 description
   "The number of reports of LSPs received that were
   responded by the local PCEP entity by sending a
   PCErr message.";
}
leaf num-upd-sent{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 91]

```
description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
 }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of LSP updates sent. A LSP update
    corresponds 1:1 with an LSP object in a PCUpd
    message. This might be greater than
    num-pcupd-sent because multiple updates can
    be batched into a single PCUpd message.";
}
leaf num-upd-rcvd{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
      "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    description
     "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of LSP Updates received. A LSP update
   corresponds 1:1 with an LSP object in a PCUpd
   message.
    This might be greater than num-pcupd-rcvd because
    multiple updates can be batched into a single
    PCUpd message.";
}
leaf num-upd-rcvd-unknown{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of updates to unknown LSPs
    received. An update to an unknown LSP is a
    update whose LSP object does not contain the
    PLSP-ID of any LSP that is currently
    present.";
}
leaf num-upd-rcvd-undelegated{
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 92]

```
when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
         "or " +
         "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    {
      description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of updates to not delegated LSPs
      received. An update to an undelegated LSP is a
      update whose LSP object does not contain the
      PLSP-ID of any LSP that is currently
      delegated to current PCEP session.";
  }
  leaf num-upd-rcvd-error-sent{
   when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
         "or " +
         "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    {
      description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
   type yang:counter32;
   description
      "The number of updates to LSPs received that were
      responded by the local PCEP entity by sending a
     PCErr message.";
 }
container initiation {
  if-feature p:pce-initiated;
 description
    "PCE-Initiated related statistics";
  leaf num-pcinitiate-sent{
   when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
         "or " +
         "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
    {
      description
       "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
    type yang:counter32;
   description
      "The number of PCInitiate messages sent.";
  }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 93]

```
leaf num-pcinitiate-rcvd{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
      "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
   description
     "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
 description
   "The number of PCInitiate messages received.";
}
leaf num-initiate-sent{
 when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
      "or " +
      "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
   description
     "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
   "The number of LSP Initiation sent via PCE.
   A LSP initiation corresponds 1:1 with an LSP
   object in a PCInitiate message. This might be
   greater than num-pcinitiate-sent because
   multiple initiations can be batched into a
   single PCInitiate message.";
}
leaf num-initiate-rcvd{
 when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
      "or " +
      "../../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
   description
     "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of LSP Initiation received from
   PCE. A LSP initiation corresponds 1:1 with
   an LSP object in a PCInitiate message. This
   might be greater than num-pcinitiate-rcvd
   because multiple initiations can be batched
   into a single PCInitiate message.";
}
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 94]

```
leaf num-initiate-rcvd-error-sent{
     when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
           "../../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
        description
          "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
      type yang:counter32;
      description
        "The number of initiations of LSPs received
        that were responded by the local PCEP entity
        by sending a PCErr message.";
    }
  }//initiation
}//stateful
container path-key {
  when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
       "or " +
       "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
  {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  if-feature p:path-key;
  description
    "If Path-Key is supported";
  leaf num-unknown-path-key{
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of attempts to expand an unknown
     path-key.";
  }
  leaf num-exp-path-key{
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of attempts to expand an expired
      path-key.";
  }
  leaf num-dup-path-key{
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of duplicate attempts to expand same
     path-key.";
  }
  leaf num-path-key-no-attempt{
    type yang:counter32;
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 95]

```
description
        "The number of expired path-keys with no attempt to
       expand it.";
   }
 }//path-key
}//pcep-stats
 * Augment modules to add statistics
*/
augment "/p:pcep/p:entity/p:peers/p:peer" {
 description
    "Augmenting the statistics";
   leaf num-sess-setup-ok{
      type yang:counter32;
     config false;
     description
        "The number of PCEP sessions successfully established with
        the peer, including any current session. This counter is
        incremented each time a session with this peer is
        successfully established.";
   }
   leaf num-sess-setup-fail{
        type yang:counter32;
       config false;
       description
           "The number of PCEP sessions with the peer
            that have been attempted but failed
            before being fully established. This
            counter is incremented each time a
            session retry to this peer fails.";
   }
   container pcep-stats {
      config false;
     description
        "The container for all statistics at peer level.";
      uses pcep-stats{
       description
        "Since PCEP sessions can be ephemeral, the peer statistics
       tracks a peer even when no PCEP session currently exists
        to that peer. The statistics contained are an aggregate of
       the statistics for all successive sessions to that peer.";
      }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 96]

```
leaf num-req-sent-closed{
         when "../../p:role = 'pce'" +
               "or " +
               "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
            description
              "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
          type yang:counter32;
          description
            "The number of requests that were sent to the peer and
            implicitly cancelled when the session they were sent
            over was closed.";
        }
        leaf num-req-rcvd-closed{
         when "../../p:role = 'pcc'" +
               "or " +
               "../../p:role = 'pcc-and-pce'"
            description
              "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
          type yang:counter32;
          description
            "The number of requests that were received from the peer
            and implicitly cancelled when the session they were
            received over was closed.";
        }
      }//pcep-stats
  }//augment
  augment "/p:pcep/p:entity/p:peers/p:peer/" +
          "p:sessions/p:session" {
   description
      "Augmenting the statistics";
   container pcep-stats {
      description
        "The container for all statistics at session level.";
      uses pcep-stats{
      description
        "The statistics contained are for the current sessions to
        that peer. These are lost when the session goes down.";
      }
   }//pcep-stats
 }//augment
}//module
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 97]

<CODE ENDS>

11. Security Considerations

The YANG module defined in this document is designed to be accessed via network management protocol such as NETCONF [RFC6241] or RESTCONF [RFC8040]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is SSH [RFC6242]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [RFC8446]

The NETCONF access control model [RFC8341] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content.

There are a number of data nodes defined in the YANG module which are writable/creatable/deletable (i.e., config true, which is the default). These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g., <edit-config>) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

/pcep/entity/ - configure local parameters, capabilities etc.

/pcep/entity/peers - configure remote peers to setup PCEP session.

Unauthorized access to above list can adversely affect the PCEP session between the local entity and the peers. This may lead to inability to compute new paths, stateful operations on the delegated as well as PCE-initiated LSPs.

Some of the readable data nodes in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control read access (e.g., via get, get-config, or notification) to these data nodes. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

/pcep/lsp-db - All the LSPs in the network. Unauthorized access to this could provide the all path and network usage information.

/pcep/path-keys/ - The Confidential Path Segments (CPS) are hidden using path-keys. Unauthorized access to this could leak confidential path information.

Some of the RPC operations in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus

important to control access to these operations. These are the operations and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

trigger-resync - trigger resynchronization with the PCE. Unauthorized access to this could force a PCEP session into continuous state synchronization.

12. IANA Considerations

This document registers a URI in the "IETF XML Registry" [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registration has been made.

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-pcep

Registrant Contact: The PCE WG of the IETF.

XML: N/A; the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers a YANG module in the "YANG Module Names" registry [RFC6020].

Name: ietf-pcep

Namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-pcep

Prefix: pcep Reference: This I-D

13. Acknowledgements

The initial document is based on the PCEP MIB $[{\tt RFC7420}]$. We would like to thank the authors of aforementioned documents.

14. References

14.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

- [RFC5088] Le Roux, JL., Ed., Vasseur, JP., Ed., Ikejiri, Y., and R.
 Zhang, "OSPF Protocol Extensions for Path Computation
 Element (PCE) Discovery", RFC 5088, DOI 10.17487/RFC5088,
 January 2008, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5088>.
- [RFC5089] Le Roux, JL., Ed., Vasseur, JP., Ed., Ikejiri, Y., and R.
 Zhang, "IS-IS Protocol Extensions for Path Computation
 Element (PCE) Discovery", RFC 5089, DOI 10.17487/RFC5089,
 January 2008, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5089>.
- [RFC5520] Bradford, R., Ed., Vasseur, JP., and A. Farrel,
 "Preserving Topology Confidentiality in Inter-Domain Path
 Computation Using a Path-Key-Based Mechanism", RFC 5520,
 DOI 10.17487/RFC5520, April 2009,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5520>.
- [RFC5541] Le Roux, JL., Vasseur, JP., and Y. Lee, "Encoding of
 Objective Functions in the Path Computation Element
 Communication Protocol (PCEP)", RFC 5541,
 DOI 10.17487/RFC5541, June 2009,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5541>.
- [RFC5557] Lee, Y., Le Roux, JL., King, D., and E. Oki, "Path
 Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP)
 Requirements and Protocol Extensions in Support of Global
 Concurrent Optimization", RFC 5557, DOI 10.17487/RFC5557,
 July 2009, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5557.
- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, DOI 10.17487/RFC6020, October 2010, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020>.

- [RFC6242] Wasserman, M., "Using the NETCONF Protocol over Secure Shell (SSH)", RFC 6242, DOI 10.17487/RFC6242, June 2011, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6242.

- [RFC8177] Lindem, A., Ed., Qu, Y., Yeung, D., Chen, I., and J.
 Zhang, "YANG Data Model for Key Chains", RFC 8177,
 DOI 10.17487/RFC8177, June 2017,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8177.
- [RFC8040] Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", <u>RFC 8040</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC8040, January 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>.

- [RFC8281] Crabbe, E., Minei, I., Sivabalan, S., and R. Varga, "Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP)

 Extensions for PCE-Initiated LSP Setup in a Stateful PCE Model", RFC 8281, DOI 10.17487/RFC8281, December 2017, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8281.

Internet-Draft PCE-YANG March 2019

- [RFC8306] Zhao, Q., Dhody, D., Ed., Palleti, R., and D. King,
 "Extensions to the Path Computation Element Communication
 Protocol (PCEP) for Point-to-Multipoint Traffic
 Engineering Label Switched Paths", RFC 8306,
 DOI 10.17487/RFC8306, November 2017,
 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8306.

- [RFC8408] Sivabalan, S., Tantsura, J., Minei, I., Varga, R., and J.
 Hardwick, "Conveying Path Setup Type in PCE Communication
 Protocol (PCEP) Messages", RFC 8408, DOI 10.17487/RFC8408,
 July 2018, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8408>.
- [RFC8446] Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", <u>RFC 8446</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC8446, August 2018, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8446>.
- [I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te]
 Saad, T., Gandhi, R., Liu, X., Beeram, V., and I. Bryskin,
 "A YANG Data Model for Traffic Engineering Tunnels and
 Interfaces", draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-19 (work in progress), February 2019.
- [I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te-types]
 Saad, T., Gandhi, R., Liu, X., Beeram, V., and I. Bryskin,
 "Traffic Engineering Common YANG Types", draft-ietf-teasyang-te-types-06 (work in progress), February 2019.
- [I-D.ietf-netconf-tls-client-server]
 Watsen, K., Wu, G., and L. Xia, "YANG Groupings for TLS
 Clients and TLS Servers", draft-ietf-netconf-tls-client-server-10 (work in progress), March 2019.
- [I-D.ietf-pce-association-group]
 Minei, I., Crabbe, E., Sivabalan, S., Ananthakrishnan, H.,
 Dhody, D., and Y. Tanaka, "PCEP Extensions for
 Establishing Relationships Between Sets of LSPs", draft ietf-pce-association-group-08 (work in progress), March
 2019.

- [I-D.ietf-isis-yang-isis-cfg]
 Litkowski, S., Yeung, D., Lindem, A., Zhang, Z., and L.
 Lhotka, "YANG Data Model for IS-IS Protocol", draft-ietf isis-yang-isis-cfg-35 (work in progress), March 2019.
- [I-D.ietf-ospf-yang]
 Yeung, D., Qu, Y., Zhang, Z., Chen, I., and A. Lindem,
 "YANG Data Model for OSPF Protocol", draft-ietf-ospf yang-21 (work in progress), January 2019.
- [I-D.ietf-pce-gmpls-pcep-extensions]

 Margaria, C., Dios, O., and F. Zhang, "PCEP extensions for GMPLS", draft-ietf-pce-gmpls-pcep-extensions-13 (work in progress), January 2019.

14.2. Informative References

March 2019.


- [RFC7420] Koushik, A., Stephan, E., Zhao, Q., King, D., and J.
 Hardwick, "Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
 (PCEP) Management Information Base (MIB) Module",

 RFC 7420, DOI 10.17487/RFC7420, December 2014,

 https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7420.
- [RFC8342] Bjorklund, M., Schoenwaelder, J., Shafer, P., Watsen, K., and R. Wilton, "Network Management Datastore Architecture (NMDA)", RFC 8342, DOI 10.17487/RFC8342, March 2018, https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8342.

Appendix A. Example

The example below provide an overview of PCEP peer session informations and LSP-DB in the Yang Module.



Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 104]

```
"pcc-id" :"192.0.2.1",
        "lsp-ref" : {
          "source": "192.0.2.1",
          "destination": "192.0.2.4"
          "tunnel-id": 16,
          "lsp-id": 3,
          "extended-tunnel-id": 0
        },
        "oper-status": "oper-status-up",
        "delegated": true,
        "symbolic-path-name": "iewauh",
   "lsp" : {
        "plsp-id": 4,
        "pcc-id" :"192.0.2.2",
        "lsp-ref" : {
          "source": "192.0.2.2",
          "destination": "192.0.2.5"
          "tunnel-id": 17,
          "lsp-id": 4
          "extended-tunnel-id": 0
        },
        "oper-status": "oper-status-up",
        "delegated": true,
        "symbolic-path-name": "iewauhiewauh",
        "extended-tunnel-id": 0
        }
"peers":[
    {
        "peer": {
             "addr":"192.0.2.1",
             "role": "pcc",
             "capability": {
                 "stateful" : {
                      "enabled": true,
                      "active": yes,
                 }
             }
             "sessions": [
                 {
                     "session": {
                         "initiator": "remote",
                         "role": "pcc",
                     }
                 }
             ]
```

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 105]

```
}
         },
         {
             "peer": {
                   "addr":"192.0.2.2",
                   "role": "pcc",
                   "capability": {
                       "stateful" : {
                            "enabled": true,
                            "active": true,
                       }
                   }
                   "sessions": [
                       {
                           "session": {
                               "initiator": "remote",
                               "role": "pcc",
                           }
                      }
                   ]
              }
         }
     ]
},
{
     "addr": "2001:DB8::3",
     "oper-status": "oper-status-up",
     "role":"pce",
     "peers":[
         {
             "peer": {
                   "addr":"2001:DB8::4",
                   "role": "pcc",
                   "sessions": [
                       {
                           "session": {
                               "initiator": "remote",
                               "role": "pcc",
                           }
                       }
                   ]
              }
         }
    ]
}
```

}

Dhody, et al. Expires September 25, 2019 [Page 106]

Appendix B. Contributor Addresses

Rohit Pobbathi Huawei Technologies Divyashree Techno Park, Whitefield Bangalore, Karnataka 560066 India

EMail: rohit.pobbathi@huawei.com

Vinod KumarS Huawei Technologies Divyashree Techno Park, Whitefield Bangalore, Karnataka 560066 India

EMail: vinods.kumar@huawei.com

Zafar Ali Cisco Systems Canada

EMail: zali@cisco.com

Xufeng Liu Jabil 8281 Greensboro Drive, Suite 200 McLean VA 22102 USA

EMail: Xufeng_Liu@jabil.com

Young Lee Huawei Technologies 5340 Legacy Drive, Building 3 Plano, TX 75023, USA

Phone: (469) 277-5838 EMail: leeyoung@huawei.com

Udayasree Palle Huawei Technologies Divyashree Techno Park, Whitefield Bangalore, Karnataka 560066 India

EMail: udayasreereddy@gmail.com

Xian Zhang Huawei Technologies Bantian, Longgang District Shenzhen 518129 P.R.China

EMail: zhang.xian@huawei.com

Avantika Huawei Technologies Divyashree Techno Park, Whitefield Bangalore, Karnataka 560066 India

EMail: s.avantika.avantika@gmail.com

Shashikanth Huawei Technologies Divyashree Techno Park, Whitefield Bangalore, Karnataka 560066 India

EMail: shashikanth.vh@huawei.com

Authors' Addresses

Dhruv Dhody (editor) Huawei Technologies Divyashree Techno Park, Whitefield Bangalore, Karnataka 560066 India

EMail: dhruv.ietf@gmail.com

Jonathan Hardwick Metaswitch 100 Church Street Enfield EN2 6BQ UK

EMail: jonathan.hardwick@metaswitch.com

Vishnu Pavan Beeram Juniper Networks USA

EMail: vbeeram@juniper.net

Jeff Tantsura USA

EMail: jefftant@gmail.com