PCE Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: August 26, 2021

D. Dhody, Ed.
Huawei Technologies
J. Hardwick
Metaswitch
V. Beeram
Juniper Networks
J. Tantsura
Apstra, Inc.
February 22, 2021

# A YANG Data Model for Path Computation Element Communications Protocol (PCEP) draft-ietf-pce-pcep-yang-16

#### Abstract

This document defines a YANG data model for the management of Path Computation Element communications Protocol (PCEP) for communications between a Path Computation Client (PCC) and a Path Computation Element (PCE), or between two PCEs. The data model includes configuration and state data.

#### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{BCP}$  78 and  $\underline{BCP}$  79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <a href="https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/">https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</a>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 26, 2021.

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <u>BCP 78</u> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<a href="https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of

Internet-Draft PCE-YANG February 2021

publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

### Table of Contents

<u>1</u> . Introduction	<u>2</u>
2. Requirements Language	<u>3</u>
3. Terminology and Notation	<u>3</u>
<u>3.1</u> . Tree Diagrams	<u>4</u>
3.2. Prefixes in Data Node Names	<u>5</u>
3.3. Refrences in the Model	<u>5</u>
<u>4</u> . Objectives	<u>7</u>
$\underline{5}$ . The Design of PCEP Data Model	<u>7</u>
<u>5.1</u> . The Overview of PCEP Data Model	8
<u>5.2</u> . The Entity	9
<u>5.3</u> . The Peer Lists	<u>13</u>
<u>5.4</u> . The Session Lists	<u>15</u>
<u>5.5</u> . Notifications	<u>16</u>
<u>5.6</u> . RPC	<u>17</u>
$\underline{6}$ . The Design of PCEP Statistics Data Model	<u>17</u>
7. Advanced PCE Features	<u>20</u>
7.1. Stateful PCE's LSP-DB	<u>21</u>
$\underline{8}$ . Other Considerations	<u>21</u>
8.1. PCEP over TLS (PCEPS)	<u>21</u>
$\underline{9}$ . PCEP YANG Modules	<u>22</u>
<u>9.1</u> . ietf-pcep module	<u>22</u>
<u>9.2</u> . ietf-pcep-stats module	<u>76</u>
10. Security Considerations	<u>94</u>
11. IANA Considerations	<u>95</u>
$\underline{12}$ . Implementation Status	<u>96</u>
13. Acknowledgements	<u>97</u>
<u>14</u> . References	<u>97</u>
<u>14.1</u> . Normative References	<u>97</u>
<u>14.2</u> . Informative References	
<u>Appendix A</u> . The Full PCEP Data Model	<u> 102</u>
	<u> 108</u>
<u>Appendix C</u> . Contributor Addresses	<u>111</u>
Authors' Addresses	112

# 1. Introduction

The Path Computation Element (PCE) defined in [RFC4655] is an entity that is capable of computing a network path or route based on a network graph, and applying computational constraints. A Path

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 2]

Computation Client (PCC) may make requests to a PCE for paths to be computed.

PCEP is the communication protocol between a PCC and PCE and is defined in [RFC5440]. PCEP interactions include path computation requests and path computation replies as well as notifications of specific states related to the use of a PCE in the context of Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) and Generalized MPLS (GMPLS) Traffic Engineering (TE). [RFC8231] specifies extensions to PCEP to enable stateful control of MPLS TE LSPs.

This document defines a YANG [RFC7950] data model for the management of PCEP speakers. It is important to establish a common data model for how PCEP speakers are identified, configured, and monitored. The data model includes configuration data and state data.

This document contains a specification of the PCEP YANG module, "ietf-pcep" which provides the PCEP [RFC5440] data model.

The PCEP operational state is included in the same tree as the PCEP configuration consistent with Network Management Datastore Architecture (NMDA) [RFC8342]. The origin of the data is indicated as per the origin metadata annotation.

## 2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <a href="https://example.com/BCP14">BCP 14 [RFC2119]</a> [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

### 3. Terminology and Notation

This document uses the terminology defined in  $[\underbrace{RFC4655}]$  and  $[\underbrace{RFC5440}]$ . In particular, it uses the following acronyms.

- o Path Computation Request message (PCReq).
- o Path Computation Reply message (PCRep).
- o Notification message (PCNtf).
- o Error message (PCErr).
- o Request Parameters object (RP).
- o Synchronization Vector object (SVEC).

o Explicit Route object (ERO).

This document also uses the following terms defined in [RFC7420]:

- o PCEP entity: a local PCEP speaker.
- o PCEP peer: to refer to a remote PCEP speaker.
- o PCEP speaker: where it is not necessary to distinguish between local and remote.

Further, this document also uses the following terms defined in  $[\mbox{RFC8231}]$ :

- o Stateful PCE, Passive Stateful PCE, Active Stateful PCE
- o Delegation, Revocation, Redelegation
- o LSP State Report, Path Computation Report message (PCRpt).
- o LSP State Update, Path Computation Update message (PCUpd).

[RFC8281] :

o PCE-initiated LSP, Path Computation LSP Initiate Message (PCInitiate).

[RFC8408] :

o Path Setup Type (PST).

[RFC8664] :

o Segment Routing (SR).

[RFC6241] :

- o Configuration data.
- o State data.

## 3.1. Tree Diagrams

A simplified graphical representation of the data model is used in this document. The meaning of the symbols in these diagrams is defined in [RFC8340].

## 3.2. Prefixes in Data Node Names

In this document, names of data nodes and other data model objects are often used without a prefix, as long as it is clear from the context in which YANG module each name is defined. Otherwise, names are prefixed using the standard prefix associated with the corresponding YANG module, as shown in Table 1.

+		+	+
	Prefix	YANG module	Reference
÷			
	yang	ietf-yang-types	[ <u>RFC6991</u> ]
	inet	ietf-inet-types	[ <u>RFC6991</u> ]
	te-types	ietf-te-types	[ <u>RFC8776</u> ]
	key-	ietf-key-chain	[RFC8177]
	chain		]
	nacm	ietf-netconf-acm	[ <u>RFC8341</u> ]
	tlss	ietf-tls-server	[I-D.ietf-netconf-tls-client-server
			[ ]
	tlsc	ietf-tls-client	[I-D.ietf-netconf-tls-client-server
			[ ]
	ospf	ietf-ospf	[ <u>I-D.ietf-ospf-yang</u> ]
	isis	ietf-isis	[ <u>I-D.ietf-isis-yang-isis-cfg</u> ]
+		+	+

Table 1: Prefixes and corresponding YANG modules

# 3.3. Refrences in the Model

Following documents are refrenced in the model defined in this document -

+		++
	Documents	Reference
 	OSPF Protocol Extensions for Path Computation Element (PCE) Discovery	[RFC5088]
; 	IS-IS Protocol Extensions for Path Computation Element (PCE) Discovery	[RFC5089]
	Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication Protocol (PCEP)	[RFC5440]
	Preserving Topology Confidentiality in Inter- Domain Path Computation Using a Path-Key-Based	[RFC5520]

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 5]

ı	Mechanism	1
i	Encoding of Objective	[RFC5541]
i	Functions in the Path	
i	Computation Element	
İ	Communication Protocol	
İ	(PCEP)	į
ĺ	Path Computation Element	[ <u>RFC5557</u> ]
İ	Communication Protocol	
-	(PCEP) Requirements and	
-	Protocol Extensions in	
-	Support of Global	
	Concurrent Optimization	
	Common YANG Data Types	[ <u>RFC6991</u> ]
	YANG Data Model for Key	[ <u>RFC8177</u> ]
	Chains	I
	Path Computation Element	[RFC8231]
	Communication Protocol	
	(PCEP) Extensions for	
	Stateful PCE	
	Optimizations of Label	[RFC8232]
	Switched Path State	
	Synchronization Procedures	
	for a Stateful PCE	
!	PCEPS: Usage of TLS to	[RFC8253]
	Provide a Secure Transport	
	for the Path Computation	
-	Element Communication	
	Protocol (PCEP)	[DECO204]
-	Path Computation Element	[ <u>RFC8281</u> ]
-	Communication Protocol   (PCEP) Extensions for PCE-	
-	Initiated LSP Setup in a	
1	Stateful PCE Model	
	Extensions to the Path	[ <u>RFC8306</u> ]
i	Computation Element	[14 00000]
i	Communication Protocol	
i	(PCEP) for Point-to-	
i	Multipoint Traffic	
i	Engineering Label Switched	
i	Paths	i
i	Network Configuration	[RFC8341]
i	Access Control Model	
i	Conveying Path Setup Type	[ <u>RFC8408</u> ]
i	in PCE Communication	
İ	Protocol (PCEP) Messages	İ
-	Traffic Engineering Common	[RFC8776]
	YANG Types	I
	A YANG Data Model for	[I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te]

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 6]

Internet-Draft PCE-YANG February 2021

```
| Traffic Engineering
| Tunnels and Interfaces
| YANG Groupings for TLS
                            [I-D.ietf-netconf-tls-client-server] |
| Clients and TLS Servers
| PCEP Extensions for
                            [<u>RFC8664</u>]
| Segment Routing
| PCEP Extensions for
                       | [RFC8697]
| Establishing Relationships |
| Between Sets of LSPs
| YANG Data Model for OSPF
                            [I-D.ietf-ospf-yang]
| Protocol
| YANG Data Model for IS-IS | [I-D.ietf-isis-yang-isis-cfg]
| Protocol
| PCEP extensions for GMPLS | [RFC8779]
```

Table 2: Refrences in the YANG modules

## 4. Objectives

This section describes some of the design objectives for the model:

- o In case of existing implementations, it needs to map the data model defined in this document to their proprietary native data model. To facilitate such mappings, the data model should be simple.
- o The data model should be suitable for new implementations to use as is.
- o Mapping to the PCEP MIB Module should be clear.
- o The data model should allow for static configurations of peers.
- o The data model should include read-only counters in order to gather statistics for sent and received PCEP messages, received messages with errors, and messages that could not be sent due to errors. This could be in a separate model which augments the base data model.
- o It should be fairly straightforward to augment the base data model for advanced PCE features.

## 5. The Design of PCEP Data Model

### 5.1. The Overview of PCEP Data Model

The PCEP YANG module defined in this document has all the common building blocks for the PCEP protocol.

```
module: ietf-pcep
 +--rw pcep!
    +--rw entity
       +--rw addr
                                           inet:ip-address
       +--rw enabled?
                                           boolean
       +--rw role
                                           pcep-role
       +--rw description?
                                          string
       +--rw speaker-entity-id?
                                          string {sync-opt}?
       +--rw admin-status?
                                          boolean
       +--ro index?
                                          uint32
       +--ro oper-status?
                                          pcep-oper-status
       +--rw domain
        | +--rw domain* [domain-type domain]
       +--rw capability
         . . .
       +--rw pce-info
        +--rw scope
        | | ...
        | +--rw neigh-domains
        | | ...
        +--rw path-key {path-key}?
        | | ...
       | ...
       +--ro lsp-db {stateful}?
        | +--ro db-ver?
                                  uint64 {sync-opt}?
        | +--ro association-list*
               [type id source global-source extended-id]
                 {association}?
        | | +--ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id lsp-id]
         +--ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id lsp-id]
       +--ro path-keys {path-key}?
        | +--ro path-keys* [path-key]
             +--...
        1 ...
       +--rw peers
          +--rw peer* [addr]
             . . .
             +--ro sessions
                +--ro session* [initiator]
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 8]

. . .

# 5.2. The Entity

The PCEP yang module may contain status information for the local PCEP entity.

The entity has an IP address (using ietf-inet-types [RFC6991]) and a "role" leaf (the local entity PCEP role) as mandatory.

Note that, the PCEP MIB module [RFC7420] uses an entity list and a system generated entity index as a primary index to the read only entity table. If the device implements the PCEP MIB, the "index" leaf MUST contain the value of the corresponding pcePcepEntityIndex and only one entity is assumed.

The various information related to this entity such as its domain, capcabilities etc. Further incase when the entity is PCE it could also have path-key and the LSP-DB information.

```
module: ietf-pcep
+--rw pcep!
+--rw entity
+--rw addr inet:ip-address
+--rw enabled? boolean
+--rw role pcep-role
+--rw description? string
+--rw speaker-entity-id? string {sync-opt}?
+--rw admin-status? boolean
```

```
+--ro index?
                                    uint32
+--ro oper-status?
                                    pcep-oper-status
+--rw domain
   +--rw domain* [domain-type domain]
      +--rw domain-type
                           identityref
      +--rw domain
                           domain
+--rw capability
  +--rw capability?
                                 bits
   +--rw pce-initiated?
                                 boolean {pce-initiated}?
  +--rw include-db-ver?
                                 boolean {stateful, sync-opt}?
  +--rw trigger-resync?
                                 boolean {stateful,sync-opt}?
  +--rw trigger-initial-sync?
                                 boolean {stateful, sync-opt}?
  +--rw incremental-sync?
                                 boolean {stateful, sync-opt}?
  +--rw sr {sr}?
      +--rw enabled?
                         boolean
      +--rw msd-limit?
                         boolean
      +--rw nai?
                         boolean
+--rw msd?
                                    uint8 {sr}?
+--rw pce-info
 +--rw scope
                                bits
   | +--rw path-scope?
   | +--rw intra-area-pref?
                                uint8
   | +--rw inter-area-pref?
                                uint8
   | +--rw inter-as-pref?
                                uint8
   | +--rw inter-layer-pref?
                                uint8
   +--rw neigh-domains
   | +--rw domain* [domain-type domain]
        +--rw domain-type
                              identityref
        +--rw domain
                              domain
   +--rw path-key {path-key}?
     +--rw enabled?
                             boolean
      +--rw discard-timer?
                             uint32
      +--rw reuse-time?
                             uint32
      +--rw pce-id?
                             inet:ip-address
+--rw connect-timer?
                                    uint16
+--rw connect-max-retry?
                                    uint32
+--rw init-backoff-timer?
                                    uint16
+--rw max-backoff-timer?
                                    uint32
+--ro open-wait-timer?
                                    uint16
+--ro keep-wait-timer?
                                    uint16
+--rw keep-alive-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw dead-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw allow-negotiation?
                                    boolean
+--rw max-keep-alive-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw max-dead-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw min-keep-alive-timer?
                                    uint8
                                    uint8
+--rw min-dead-timer?
+--rw sync-timer?
                                    uint16 {svec}?
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 10]

```
+--rw request-timer?
                                   uint16
+--rw max-sessions?
                                   uint32
+--rw max-unknown-reqs?
                                   uint32
+--rw max-unknown-msgs?
                                   uint32
+--rw pcep-notification-max-rate
                                   uint32
+--rw stateful-parameter {stateful}?
 +--rw state-timeout?
                                uint32
| +--rw redelegation-timeout?
                                uint32
  +--rw rpt-non-pcep-lsp?
                                boolean
+--rw of-list {objective-function}?
  +--rw objective-function* [of]
     +--rw of
                identityref
+--ro lsp-db {stateful}?
  +--ro db-ver?
                            uint64 {sync-opt}?
  +--ro association-list*
          [type id source global-source extended-id]
          {association}?
   | +--ro type
                            identityref
   | +--ro id
                            uint16
   | +--ro source
                            inet:ip-address
   | +--ro global-source uint32
    +--ro extended-id
                            string
     +--ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id lsp-id]
        +--ro plsp-id -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/lsp/plsp-id
        +--ro pcc-id
                       -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/lsp/pcc-id
        +--ro lsp-id
                         -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/lsp/lsp-id
  +--ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id lsp-id]
     +--ro plsp-id
                                 uint32
     +--ro pcc-id
                                 inet:ip-address
                                 inet:ip-address
     +--ro source?
     +--ro destination?
                                 inet:ip-address
     +--ro tunnel-id?
                                 uint16
     +--ro lsp-id
                                 uint16
     +--ro extended-tunnel-id?
                                 inet:ip-address
     +--ro admin-state?
                                 boolean
     +--ro operational-state?
                                 operational-state
     +--ro delegated
      | +--ro enabled?
                         boolean
      | +--ro peer?
                         -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
     | +--ro srp-id?
                        uint32
     +--ro initiation {pce-initiated}?
     | +--ro enabled?
                       boolean
     | +--ro peer?
                         -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
     +--ro symbolic-path-name?
                                 string
     +--ro last-error?
                                 identityref
     +--ro pst?
                                 identityref
     +--ro association-list*
             [type id source global-source extended-id]
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 11]

```
{association}?
        +--ro type
                 -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/association-list/type
         +--ro id
                 -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/association-list/id
                               leafref
        +--ro source
                               leafref
        +--ro global-source
        +--ro extended-id
                               leafref
+--ro path-keys {path-key}?
  +--ro path-keys* [path-key]
      +--ro path-key
                            uint16
      +--ro cps
        +--ro explicit-route-objects* [index]
           +--ro index
                                              uint32
           +--ro (type)?
               +--: (numbered-node-hop)
                 +--ro numbered-node-hop
                                       te-node-id
                    +--ro node-id
                    +--ro hop-type?
                                       te-hop-type
               +--:(numbered-link-hop)
                 +--ro numbered-link-hop
                    +--ro link-tp-id
                                        te-tp-id
                    +--ro hop-type?
                                        te-hop-type
                     +--ro direction?
                                        te-link-direction
               +--: (unnumbered-link-hop)
                 +--ro unnumbered-link-hop
                    +--ro link-tp-id
                                        te-tp-id
                    +--ro node-id
                                        te-node-id
                    +--ro hop-type?
                                       te-hop-type
                    +--ro direction?
                                       te-link-direction
               +--:(as-number)
                 +--ro as-number-hop
                    +--ro as-number
                                       inet:as-number
                    +--ro hop-type?
                                       te-hop-type
              +--:(label)
                 +--ro label-hop
                    +--ro te-label
                             -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
     +--ro pcc-original?
                            uint32
     +--ro req-id?
      +--ro retrieved?
                             boolean
     +--ro pcc-retrieved?
                            -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
     +--ro creation-time?
                             yang:timestamp
     +--ro discard-time?
                            uint32
     +--ro reuse-time?
                            uint32
+--rw peers
   +--rw peer* [addr]
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 12]

#### 5.3. The Peer Lists

The peer list contains peer(s) that the local PCEP entity knows about. A PCEP speaker is identified by its IP address. If there is a PCEP speaker in the network that uses multiple IP addresses then it looks like multiple distinct peers to the other PCEP speakers in the network.

Since PCEP sessions can be ephemeral, the peer list tracks a peer even when no PCEP session currently exists to that peer. The statistics contained are an aggregate of the statistics for all successive sessions to that peer.

To limit the quantity of information that is stored, an implementation MAY choose to discard this information if and only if no PCEP session exists to the corresponding peer.

The data model for PCEP peer presented in this document uses a flat list of peers. Each peer in the list is identified by its IP address (addr-type, addr).

There is a list for static peer configuration and operational state of all peers (i.e.static as well as discovered)("/pcep/entity/peers"). The list is used to enable remote PCE configuration at PCC (or PCE) and has the operational state of these peers as well as the remote PCE peer which were discovered and PCC peers that have initiated session.

```
module: ietf-pcep
  +--rw pcep!
     +--rw entity
       +--rw peers
           +--rw peer* [addr]
             +--rw addr
                                            inet:ip-address
             +--rw role
                                            pcep-role
             +--rw description?
                                            string
              +--rw domain
              | +--rw domain* [domain-type domain]
                   +--rw domain-type
                                        identityref
                    +--rw domain
                                         domain
              +--rw capability
              | +--rw capability?
                                               bits
              | +--rw pce-initiated?
                                               boolean
                        {pce-initiated}?
              | +--rw include-db-ver?
                                               boolean
                       {stateful,sync-opt}?
              | +--rw trigger-resync?
                                              boolean
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 13]

```
{stateful, sync-opt}?
  +--rw trigger-initial-sync?
                                 boolean
          {stateful, sync-opt}?
  +--rw incremental-sync?
                                boolean
          {stateful, sync-opt}?
  +--rw sr {sr}?
                        boolean
     +--rw enabled?
     +--rw msd-limit?
                        boolean
     +--rw nai?
                        boolean
+--rw msd?
                             uint8 {sr}?
+--rw pce-info
 +--rw scope
   | +--rw path-scope?
                               bits
   | +--rw intra-area-pref?
                               uint8
   | +--rw inter-area-pref?
                               uint8
  | +--rw inter-as-pref?
                               uint8
  | +--rw inter-layer-pref?
                               uint8
  +--rw neigh-domains
     +--rw domain* [domain-type domain]
        +--rw domain-type
                             identityref
        +--rw domain
                             domain
                             uint8 {stateful}?
+--rw delegation-pref?
+--rw auth
 +--rw (auth-type-selection)?
     +--: (auth-key-chain)
      | +--rw key-chain?
                key-chain:key-chain-ref
     +--: (auth-key)
      | +--rw crypto-algorithm
                                          identityref
      | +--rw (key-string-style)?
           +--:(keystring)
           | +--rw keystring?
                                          string
           +--:(hexadecimal)
                    {key-chain:hex-key-string}?
              +--rw hexadecimal-string?
                      yang:hex-string
     +--:(auth-tls) {tls}?
        +--rw (role)?
           +--:(server)
            | +--rw tls-server
            +--:(client)
              +--rw tls-client
+--ro discontinuity-time?
                             yang:timestamp
+--ro initiate-session?
                             boolean
+--ro session-exists?
                             boolean
+--ro session-up-time?
                             yang:timestamp
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 14]

Internet-Draft PCE-YANG February 2021

#### 5.4. The Session Lists

The session list contains PCEP session that the PCEP entity (PCE or PCC) is currently participating in. The statistics in session are semantically different from those in peer since the former applies to the current session only, whereas the latter is the aggregate for all sessions that have existed to that peer.

Although [RFC5440] forbids more than one active PCEP session between a given pair of PCEP entities at any given time, there is a window during session establishment where two sessions may exist for a given pair, one representing a session initiated by the local PCEP entity and the other representing a session initiated by the peer. If either of these sessions reaches active state first, then the other is discarded.

The data model for PCEP session presented in this document uses a flat list of sessions. Each session in the list is identified by its initiator. This index allows two sessions to exist transiently for a given peer, as discussed above.

Internet-Draft PCE-YANG February 2021

```
module: ietf-pcep
  +--rw pcep!
    +--rw entity
        +--rw peers
           +--rw peer* [addr]
              +--ro sessions
                 +--ro session* [initiator]
                    +--ro initiator
                                                  pcep-initiator
                    +--ro role?
                            -> /pcep/entity/role
                    +--ro state-last-change?
                                                  yang:timestamp
                    +--ro state?
                                                  pcep-sess-state
                    +--ro session-creation?
                                                  yang:timestamp
                    +--ro connect-retry?
                                                  yang:counter32
                    +--ro local-id?
                                                  uint8
                    +--ro remote-id?
                                                  uint8
                    +--ro keepalive-timer?
                                                  uint8
                    +--ro peer-keepalive-timer?
                                                  uint8
                    +--ro dead-timer?
                                                  uint8
                    +--ro peer-dead-timer?
                                                  uint8
                    +--ro ka-hold-time-rem?
                                                  uint8
                    +--ro overloaded?
                                                  boolean
                    +--ro overload-time?
                                                  uint32
                    +--ro peer-overloaded?
                                                  boolean
                    +--ro peer-overload-time?
                                                  uint32
                    +--ro lspdb-sync?
                                                  sync-state
                            {stateful}?
                    +--ro recv-db-ver?
                                                  uint64
                            {stateful, sync-opt}?
                    +--ro of-list {objective-function}?
                    | +--ro objective-function* [of]
                          +--ro of
                                      identityref
                    +--ro pst-list
                    | +--ro path-setup-type* [pst]
                                      identityref
                          +--ro pst
                    +--ro assoc-type-list {association}?
                      +--ro assoc-type* [at]
                          +--ro at
                                      identityref
                    +--ro speaker-entity-id?
                                               string {sync-opt}?
                    +--ro discontinuity-time? yang:timestamp
```

### 5.5. Notifications

This YANG model defines a list of notifications to inform client of important events detected during the protocol operation. The notifications defined cover the PCEP MIB notifications.

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 16]

```
notifications:
 +---n pcep-session-up
 | +--ro peer-addr?
                             -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
  +--ro session-initiator?
    -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/sessions/session/initiator
 | +--ro state-last-change? yang:timestamp
   +--ro state?
                              pcep-sess-state
 +---n pcep-session-down
   +--ro peer-addr?
                             -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
 +--ro session-initiator? pcep-initiator
   +--ro state-last-change?
                             yang:timestamp
 +--ro state?
                              pcep-sess-state
 +---n pcep-session-local-overload
 | +--ro peer-addr?
                              -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
   +--ro session-initiator?
           -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/sessions/session/initiator
  | +--ro overloaded?
                             boolean
 | +--ro overload-time?
                            uint32
 +---n pcep-session-local-overload-clear
 | +--ro peer-addr?
                       -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
 | +--ro overloaded?
                       boolean
 +---n pcep-session-peer-overload
 | +--ro peer-addr?
                              -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
 +--ro session-initiator?
          -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/sessions/session/initiator
 | +--ro peer-overloaded? boolean
 | +--ro peer-overload-time? uint32
 +---n pcep-session-peer-overload-clear
    +--ro peer-addr? -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
    +--ro peer-overloaded? boolean
```

## 5.6. RPC

This YANG model defines a RPC to trigger state resynchronization to a particular PCEP peer.

```
rpcs:
    +---x trigger-resync {stateful,sync-opt}?
    +---w input
    +---w pcc? -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
```

# **6**. The Design of PCEP Statistics Data Model

The module, "ietf-pcep-stats", augments the ietf-pcep module to include statistics at the PCEP peer and session level.

```
module: ietf-pcep-stats
  augment /pcep:pcep/pcep:entity/pcep:peers/pcep:peer:
```

```
+--ro num-sess-setup-ok?
                             yang:counter32
+--ro num-sess-setup-fail?
                             yang:counter32
+--ro pcep-stats
   +--ro avg-rsp-time?
                                      uint32
   +--ro lwm-rsp-time?
                                      uint32
   +--ro hwm-rsp-time?
                                      uint32
   +--ro num-pcreq-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-pcreq-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-pcrep-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-pcrep-rcvd?
                                     yang:counter32
   +--ro num-pcerr-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-pcerr-rcvd?
                                     yang:counter32
   +--ro num-pcntf-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-pcntf-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-keepalive-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-keepalive-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-unknown-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-corrupt-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-req-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-req-sent-pend-rep?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-reg-sent-ero-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-req-sent-nopath-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-reg-sent-cancel-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-req-sent-error-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-reg-sent-timeout?
                                      vang:counter32
   +--ro num-req-sent-cancel-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-req-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-reg-rcvd-pend-rep?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-req-rcvd-ero-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-req-rcvd-nopath-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-req-rcvd-cancel-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-req-rcvd-error-sent?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-req-rcvd-cancel-rcvd?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-rep-rcvd-unknown?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro num-reg-rcvd-unknown?
                                      yang:counter32
   +--ro svec {pcep:svec}?
   +--ro num-svec-sent?
                                 yang:counter32
     +--ro num-svec-req-sent?
                                 yang:counter32
     +--ro num-svec-rcvd?
                                 yang:counter32
     +--ro num-svec-req-rcvd?
                                 yang:counter32
   +--ro stateful {pcep:stateful}?
     +--ro num-pcrpt-sent?
                                         yang:counter32
     +--ro num-pcrpt-rcvd?
                                         yang:counter32
   +--ro num-pcupd-sent?
                                         yang:counter32
     +--ro num-pcupd-rcvd?
                                         yang:counter32
     +--ro num-rpt-sent?
                                         yang:counter32
     +--ro num-rpt-rcvd?
                                         yang:counter32
     +--ro num-rpt-rcvd-error-sent?
                                         yang:counter32
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 18]

```
+--ro num-upd-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-upd-rcvd?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-upd-rcvd-unknown?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-upd-rcvd-undelegated?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro num-upd-rcvd-error-sent?
                                          yang:counter32
       +--ro initiation {pcep:pce-initiated}?
           +--ro num-pcinitiate-sent?
                                                 yang:counter32
           +--ro num-pcinitiate-rcvd?
                                                 yang:counter32
           +--ro num-initiate-sent?
                                                 yang:counter32
           +--ro num-initiate-rcvd?
                                                 yang:counter32
           +--ro num-initiate-rcvd-error-sent?
                                                 yang:counter32
    +--ro path-key {pcep:path-key}?
       +--ro num-unknown-path-key?
                                         yang:counter32
     +--ro num-exp-path-key?
                                         yang:counter32
      +--ro num-dup-path-key?
                                         yang:counter32
     +--ro num-path-key-no-attempt?
                                         yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-sent-closed?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-rcvd-closed?
                                       yang:counter32
augment /pcep:pcep/pcep:entity/pcep:peers/pcep:peer/pcep:sessions
          /pcep:session:
 +--ro pcep-stats
    +--ro avg-rsp-time?
                                       uint32
    +--ro lwm-rsp-time?
                                       uint32
    +--ro hwm-rsp-time?
                                       uint32
    +--ro num-pcreq-sent?
                                       vang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcreq-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcrep-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcrep-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcerr-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcerr-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcntf-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-pcntf-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-keepalive-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-keepalive-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-unknown-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-corrupt-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-sent-pend-rep?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-reg-sent-ero-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-reg-sent-nopath-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-reg-sent-cancel-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-sent-error-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-sent-timeout?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-sent-cancel-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-rcvd?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-rcvd-pend-rep?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-rcvd-ero-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
    +--ro num-req-rcvd-nopath-sent?
                                       yang:counter32
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 19]

```
+--ro num-req-rcvd-cancel-sent?
                                 yang:counter32
+--ro num-reg-rcvd-error-sent?
                                 yang:counter32
+--ro num-reg-rcvd-cancel-rcvd?
                                 yang:counter32
+--ro num-rep-rcvd-unknown?
                                 yang:counter32
+--ro num-reg-rcvd-unknown?
                                 yang:counter32
+--ro svec {pcep:svec}?
+--ro num-svec-sent?
                             yang:counter32
| +--ro num-svec-req-sent?
                             yang:counter32
+--ro num-svec-rcvd?
                             yang:counter32
+--ro num-svec-reg-rcvd?
                             yang:counter32
+--ro stateful {pcep:stateful}?
+--ro num-pcrpt-sent?
                                    vang:counter32
  +--ro num-pcrpt-rcvd?
                                    yang:counter32
+--ro num-pcupd-sent?
                                    yang:counter32
  +--ro num-pcupd-rcvd?
                                    yang:counter32
+--ro num-rpt-sent?
                                    yang:counter32
  +--ro num-rpt-rcvd?
                                    yang:counter32
+--ro num-rpt-rcvd-error-sent?
                                    yang:counter32
  +--ro num-upd-sent?
                                    yang:counter32
  +--ro num-upd-rcvd?
                                    yang:counter32
  +--ro num-upd-rcvd-unknown?
                                    yang:counter32
  +--ro num-upd-rcvd-undelegated?
                                    yang:counter32
  +--ro num-upd-rcvd-error-sent?
                                    yang:counter32
  +--ro initiation {pcep:pce-initiated}?
     +--ro num-pcinitiate-sent?
                                           vang:counter32
     +--ro num-pcinitiate-rcvd?
                                           yang:counter32
     +--ro num-initiate-sent?
                                           yang:counter32
     +--ro num-initiate-rcvd?
                                           yang:counter32
     +--ro num-initiate-rcvd-error-sent?
                                           yang:counter32
+--ro path-key {pcep:path-key}?
   +--ro num-unknown-path-key?
                                   yang:counter32
   +--ro num-exp-path-key?
                                   yang:counter32
   +--ro num-dup-path-key?
                                   yang:counter32
  +--ro num-path-key-no-attempt?
                                   yang:counter32
```

## 7. Advanced PCE Features

This document contains a specification of the base PCEP YANG module, "ietf-pcep" which provides the basic PCEP [RFC5440] data model.

This document further handles advanced PCF features like -

- o Capability and Scope
- o Domain information (local/neighbour)
- o Path-Key

- o Objective Function (OF)
- o Global Concurrent Optimization (GCO)
- o P2MP
- o GMPLS
- o Inter-Layer
- o Stateful PCE
- o Segment Routing (SR)
- o Authentication including PCEPS (TLS)

## 7.1. Stateful PCE's LSP-DB

In the operational state of PCEP which supports stateful PCE mode, the list of LSP state are maintained in LSP-DB. The key is the PLSP-ID and the PCC IP address.

The PCEP data model contains the operational state of LSPs (/pcep/entity/lsp-db/lsp/) with PCEP specific attributes. The generic TE attributes of the LSP are defined in [I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te]. A reference to LSP state in TE model is maintained.

#### 8. Other Considerations

# 8.1. PCEP over TLS (PCEPS)

[RFC8253] describe the use of TLS in PCEP. The peer acting as the PCEP client must act as the TLS client. The TLS client actively opens the TLS connection and the TLS server passively listens for the incoming TLS connections. The well-known TCP port number 4189 is used by PCEP servers to listen for TCP connections established by PCEP over TLS clients. The TLS client sends the TLS ClientHello message to begin the TLS handshake. The TLS server sends a CertificateRequest in order to request a certificate from the TLS client. Once the TLS handshake has finished, the client and the server begin to exchange PCEP messages. Client and server identity verification is done before the PCEP open message is sent. This means that the identity verification is completed before the PCEP session is started.

## 9. PCEP YANG Modules

## 9.1. ietf-pcep module

RFC Ed.: In this section, replace all occurrences of 'XXXX' with the actual RFC number and all occurrences of the revision date below with the date of RFC publication (and remove this note).

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-pcep@2021-02-22.yang"
module ietf-pcep {
 yang-version 1.1;
 namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-pcep";
 prefix pcep;
  import ietf-inet-types {
    prefix inet;
    reference
      "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types";
  import ietf-yang-types {
    prefix yang;
    reference
      "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types";
  import ietf-te-types {
   prefix te-types;
    reference
      "RFC 8776: Common YANG Data Types for Traffic Engineering";
  import ietf-key-chain {
    prefix key-chain;
    reference
      "RFC 8177: YANG Data Model for Key Chains";
  import ietf-netconf-acm {
   prefix nacm;
    reference
      "RFC 8341: Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF) Access
      Control Model";
  import ietf-tls-server {
   prefix tlss;
    reference
      "I-D.ietf-netconf-tls-client-server: YANG Groupings for TLS
      Clients and TLS Servers";
  }
  import ietf-tls-client {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 22]

```
prefix tlsc;
 reference
    "I-D.ietf-netconf-tls-client-server: YANG Groupings for TLS
    Clients and TLS Servers";
import ietf-ospf {
 prefix ospf;
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-ospf-yang: YANG Data Model for OSPF Protocol";
import ietf-isis {
 prefix isis;
  reference
   "I-D.ietf-isis-yang-isis-cfg: YANG Data Model for IS-IS
    Protocol";
}
organization
  "IETF PCE (Path Computation Element) Working Group";
contact
  "WG Web: <https://tools.ietf.org/wg/pce/>
  WG List: <mailto:pce@ietf.org>
  Editor: Dhruv Dhody
            <mailto:dhruv.ietf@gmail.com>";
description
  "The YANG module defines a generic configuration and
  operational model for PCEP.
  The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL
  NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'NOT RECOMMENDED',
   'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as
   described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when,
   they appear in all capitals, as shown here.
   Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
   authors of the code. All rights reserved.
  Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
  without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
   to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
   set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
   Relating to IETF Documents
   (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).
  This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the
   RFC itself for full legal notices.";
revision 2021-02-22 {
```

```
description
    "Initial revision.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Path Computation
    Element Communications Protocol (PCEP)";
}
 * Typedefs
typedef pcep-role {
  type enumeration {
    enum unknown {
      value 0;
      description
        "An unknown role";
    }
    enum pcc {
      value 1;
      description
        "The role of a Path Computation Client";
    enum pce {
      value 2;
      description
        "The role of Path Computation Element";
    }
    enum pcc-and-pce {
      value 3;
      description
        "The role of both Path Computation Client and
         Path Computation Element";
    }
  }
  description
    "The role of a PCEP speaker.
    Takes one of the following values
     - unknown(0): the role is not known.
     - pcc(1): the role is of a Path Computation
     Client (PCC).
     - pce(2): the role is of a Path Computation
      Server (PCE).
     - pccAndPce(3): the role is of both a PCC and
      a PCE.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 24]

```
}
typedef pcep-oper-status {
  type enumeration {
    enum oper-status-up {
      value 1;
      description
        "The PCEP entity is active";
    }
    enum oper-status-down {
      value 2;
      description
        "The PCEP entity is inactive";
    enum oper-status-going-up {
      value 3;
      description
        "The PCEP entity is activating";
    enum oper-status-going-down {
      value 4;
      description
        "The PCEP entity is deactivating";
    }
    enum oper-status-failed {
      value 5;
      description
        "The PCEP entity has failed and will recover
         when possible.";
    enum oper-status-failed-perm {
      value 6;
      description
        "The PCEP entity has failed and will not recover
        without operator intervention";
    }
  }
  description
    "The operational status of the PCEP entity.
    Takes one of the following values
     - oper-status-up(1): Active
     - oper-status-down(2): Inactive
     - oper-status-going-up(3): Activating
     - oper-status-going-down(4): Deactivating
     - oper-status-failed(5): Failed
     - oper-status-failed-perm(6): Failed Permanantly";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
```

```
Protocol (PCEP)";
}
typedef pcep-initiator {
  type enumeration {
    enum local {
      value 1;
      description
        "The local PCEP entity initiated the session";
    }
    enum remote {
      value 2;
      description
        "The remote PCEP peer initiated the session";
   }
  }
  description
    "The initiator of the session, that is, whether the TCP
     connection was initiated by the local PCEP entity or
     the remote peer.
     Takes one of the following values
     - local(1): Initiated locally
     - remote(2): Initiated remotely";
}
typedef pcep-sess-state {
  type enumeration {
    enum tcp-pending {
      value 1;
      description
        "The tcp-pending state of PCEP session.";
    }
    enum open-wait {
      value 2;
      description
        "The open-wait state of PCEP session.";
    }
    enum keep-wait {
      value 3;
      description
        "The keep-wait state of PCEP session.";
    enum session-up {
      value 4;
      description
        "The session-up state of PCEP session.";
  }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 26]

```
description
    "The current state of the session.
     The set of possible states excludes the idle state
     since entries do not exist in the idle state.
     Takes one of the following values
     - tcp-pending(1): PCEP TCP Pending state
     - open-wait(2): PCEP Open Wait state
     - keep-wait(3): PCEP Keep Wait state
     - session-up(4): PCEP Session Up state";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
}
typedef domain-ospf-area {
  type ospf:area-id-type;
  description
    "OSPF Area ID.";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-ospf-yang: YANG Data Model for OSPF Protocol";
}
typedef domain-isis-area {
  type isis:area-address;
  description
    "IS-IS Area ID.";
  reference
    "I-D.ietf-isis-yang-isis-cfg: YANG Data Model for IS-IS
     Protocol";
}
typedef domain-as {
  type inet:as-number;
  description
    "Autonomous System number.";
}
typedef domain {
  type union {
    type domain-ospf-area;
    type domain-isis-area;
   type domain-as;
  }
  description
    "The Domain Information";
}
typedef operational-state {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 27]

```
type enumeration {
    enum down {
      value 0;
      description
        "not active.";
    }
    enum up {
      value 1;
      description
        "signalled.";
    }
    enum active {
      value 2;
      description
        "up and carrying traffic.";
    }
    enum going-down {
      value 3;
      description
        "LSP is being torn down, resources are
         being released.";
    enum going-up {
      value 4;
      description
        "LSP is being signalled.";
    }
  description
    "The operational status of the LSP";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
typedef sync-state {
  type enumeration {
    enum pending {
      value 0;
      description
        "The state synchronization
         has not started.";
    }
    enum ongoing {
      value 1;
      description
        "The state synchronization
         is ongoing.";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 28]

```
}
    enum finished {
      value 2;
      description
        "The state synchronization
         is finished.";
    }
  }
  description
    "The LSP-DB state synchronization operational
     status.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
 * Features
 */
feature svec {
  description
    "Support synchronized path computation.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
}
feature gmpls {
  description
    "Support GMPLS.";
  reference
    "RFC 8779: PCEP extensions for GMPLS";
}
feature objective-function {
  description
    "Support OF as per <a href="RFC 5541">RFC 5541</a>.";
  reference
    "RFC 5541: Encoding of Objective Functions in the Path
     Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
feature global-concurrent {
  description
    "Support GCO as per RFC 5557.";
  reference
    "RFC 5557: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 29]

```
(PCEP) Requirements and Protocol Extensions in Support of
     Global Concurrent Optimization";
}
feature path-key {
  description
    "Support path-key as per RFC 5520.";
  reference
    "RFC 5520: Preserving Topology Confidentiality in Inter-
     Domain Path Computation Using a Path-Key-Based Mechanism";
}
feature p2mp {
  description
    "Support P2MP as per RFC 8306.";
  reference
    "RFC 8306: Extensions to the Path Computation Element
     Communication Protocol (PCEP) for Point-to-Multipoint
     Traffic Engineering Label Switched Paths";
}
feature stateful {
  description
    "Support stateful PCE as per RFC 8231.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
feature sync-opt {
  description
    "Support stateful sync optimization
     as per RFC 8232";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched Path State
     Synchronization Procedures for a Stateful PCE";
}
feature pce-initiated {
  description
    "Support PCE-Initiated LSP as per
     RFC 8281.";
  reference
    "RFC 8281: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for PCE-Initiated LSP Setup in a Stateful
     PCE Model";
}
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 30]

```
feature tls {
  description
    "Support PCEP over TLS as per
     RFC 8253.";
  reference
    "RFC 8253: PCEPS: Usage of TLS to Provide a Secure Transport
     for the Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP)";
}
feature sr {
  description
    "Support Segment Routing for PCE.";
  reference
    "RFC 8664: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Segment Routing";
}
feature association {
  description
    "Support Association in PCEP.";
  reference
    "RFC 8697: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Establishing Relationships between
     Sets of Label Switched Paths (LSPs)";
}
 * Identities
 */
identity domain-type {
  description
    "Base Domain Type for PCE";
}
identity ospf-area {
  base domain-type;
  description
    "The OSPF area.";
}
identity isis-area {
  base domain-type;
  description
    "The IS-IS area.";
}
```

```
identity autonomous-system {
  base domain-type;
  description
    "The Autonomous System (AS).";
}
identity lsp-error {
  if-feature "stateful";
  description
    "Base LSP error";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity no-error-lsp-error {
  if-feature "stateful";
  base lsp-error;
  description
    "No error, LSP is fine.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity unknown-lsp-error {
  if-feature "stateful";
  base lsp-error;
  description
    "Unknown reason.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity limit-lsp-error {
  if-feature "stateful";
  base lsp-error;
  description
    "Limit reached for PCE-controlled LSPs.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity pending-lsp-error {
  if-feature "stateful";
  base lsp-error;
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 32]

```
description
    "Too many pending LSP update requests.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity unacceptable-lsp-error {
  if-feature "stateful";
  base lsp-error;
  description
    "Unacceptable parameters.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity internal-lsp-error {
  if-feature "stateful";
  base lsp-error;
  description
    "Internal error.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity admin-lsp-error {
  if-feature "stateful";
  base lsp-error;
  description
    "LSP administratively brought down.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity preempted-lsp-error {
  if-feature "stateful";
  base lsp-error;
  description
    "LSP preempted.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
identity rsvp-lsp-error {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 33]

```
if-feature "stateful";
  base lsp-error;
  description
    "RSVP signaling error.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
 * Groupings
grouping pce-scope {
  description
    "This grouping defines PCE path computation scope
     information which maybe relevant to PCE selection.
     This information corresponds to PCE auto-discovery
     information.";
  reference
    "RFC 5088: OSPF Protocol Extensions for Path
     Computation Element (PCE) Discovery
     RFC 5089: IS-IS Protocol Extensions for Path
     Computation Element (PCE) Discovery";
  leaf path-scope {
    type bits {
      bit intra-area-scope {
        description
          "PCE can compute intra-area paths.";
      }
      bit inter-area-scope {
        description
          "PCE can compute inter-area paths.";
      bit inter-area-scope-default {
        description
          "PCE can act as a default PCE for inter-area
           path computation.";
      }
      bit inter-as-scope {
        description
          "PCE can compute inter-AS paths.";
      }
      bit inter-as-scope-default {
        description
          "PCE can act as a default PCE for inter-AS
           path computation.";
      }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 34]

```
bit inter-layer-scope {
        description
          "PCE can compute inter-layer paths.";
      }
    }
    description
      "The field corresponding to the path scope bits";
  leaf intra-area-pref {
    type uint8 {
      range "0..7";
    }
    description
      "The PCE's preference for intra-area TE LSP
       computation.";
  }
  leaf inter-area-pref {
    type uint8 {
      range "0..7";
    description
      "The PCE's preference for inter-area TE LSP
       computation.";
  }
  leaf inter-as-pref {
    type uint8 {
      range "0..7";
    description
      "The PCE's preference for inter-AS TE LSP
       computation.";
  }
  leaf inter-layer-pref {
    type uint8 {
      range "0..7";
    }
    description
      "The PCE's preference for inter-layer TE LSP
       computation.";
  }
}
//pce-scope
grouping domain {
 description
    "This grouping specifies a Domain where the
     PCEP speaker has topology visibility.";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 35]

```
leaf domain-type {
    type identityref {
     base domain-type;
   description
      "The domain type.";
  leaf domain {
   type domain;
   description
      "The domain Information.";
 }
}
//domain
grouping info {
 description
    "This grouping specifies all information which
    maybe relevant to both PCC and PCE.
    This information corresponds to PCE auto-discovery
    information.";
  container domain {
   description
      "The local domain for the PCEP entity";
   list domain {
      key "domain-type domain";
      description
        "The local domain.";
     uses domain {
        description
          "The local domain for the PCEP entity.";
      }
   }
  }
  container capability {
   description
      "The PCEP entity capability information of local
       PCEP entity. This maybe relevant to PCE selection
       as well. This information corresponds to PCE auto-
       discovery information.";
    reference
      "RFC 5088: OSPF Protocol Extensions for Path
       Computation Element (PCE) Discovery
       RFC 5089: IS-IS Protocol Extensions for Path
       Computation Element (PCE) Discovery";
   leaf capability {
      type bits {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 36]

```
bit gmpls {
 if-feature "gmpls";
 description
    "Path computation with GMPLS link
    constraints.";
}
bit bi-dir {
 description
    "Bidirectional path computation.";
bit diverse {
 description
    "Diverse path computation.";
bit load-balance {
 description
    "Load-balanced path computation.";
bit synchronize {
 if-feature "svec";
 description
    "Synchronized paths computation.";
bit objective-function {
  if-feature "objective-function";
 description
    "Support for multiple objective functions.";
bit add-path-constraint {
 description
    "Support for additive path constraints (max
    hop count, etc.).";
}
bit prioritization {
 description
    "Support for request prioritization.";
bit multi-request {
 description
    "Support for multiple requests per message.";
bit global-concurrent {
  if-feature "global-concurrent";
 description
    "Support for Global Concurrent Optimization
    (GCO).";
bit p2mp {
```

```
if-feature "p2mp";
      description
        "Support for P2MP path computation.";
    bit active {
      if-feature "stateful";
      description
        "Support for active stateful PCE.";
    bit passive {
      if-feature "stateful";
      description
        "Support for passive stateful PCE.";
    }
    bit p2mp-active {
      if-feature "stateful";
      if-feature "p2mp";
      description
        "Support for active stateful PCE for P2MP.";
    bit p2mp-passive {
      if-feature "stateful";
      if-feature "p2mp";
      description
        "Support for passive stateful PCE for P2MP.";
    bit p2mp-pce-initiated {
      if-feature "stateful";
      if-feature "pce-initiated";
      if-feature "p2mp";
      description
        "Support for PCE-initiated LSP for P2MP.";
    }
  }
  description
    "The bits string indicating the capabiliies";
  reference
    "RFC 5088: OSPF Protocol Extensions for Path
     Computation Element (PCE) Discovery
     RFC 5089: IS-IS Protocol Extensions for Path
     Computation Element (PCE) Discovery";
leaf pce-initiated {
  if-feature "pce-initiated";
  type boolean;
  description
    "Set to true if PCE-initiated LSP capability is
     enabled.";
```

}

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 38]

```
reference
    "RFC 8281: Path Computation Element Communication
     Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for PCE-Initiated LSP
     Setup in a Stateful PCE Model";
leaf include-db-ver {
 if-feature "stateful";
  if-feature "sync-opt";
  type boolean;
  description
    "Support inclusion of LSP-DB-VERSION
     in LSP object";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched Path State
     Synchronization Procedures for a Stateful PCE";
}
leaf trigger-resync {
  if-feature "stateful";
  if-feature "sync-opt";
  type boolean;
  description
    "Support PCE triggered re-synchronization";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched Path State
     Synchronization Procedures for a Stateful PCE";
}
leaf trigger-initial-sync {
  if-feature "stateful";
  if-feature "sync-opt";
  type boolean;
  description
    "PCE triggered initial synchronization";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched Path State
     Synchronization Procedures for a Stateful PCE";
leaf incremental-sync {
  if-feature "stateful";
  if-feature "sync-opt";
  type boolean;
  description
    "Support incremental (delta) sync";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched Path State
     Synchronization Procedures for a Stateful PCE";
}
container sr {
  if-feature "sr";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 39]

description

```
"If segment routing is supported";
      reference
        "RFC 8664: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
         (PCEP) Extensions for Segment Routing";
      leaf enabled {
        type boolean;
        description
          "Set to true if SR is enabled";
      }
      leaf msd-limit {
        type boolean;
        default "false";
        description
          "True indicates no limit on MSD, the
           leaf msd is ignored";
      }
      leaf nai {
        type boolean;
        default "false";
        description
          "True indicates capability to resolve NAI
           to SID";
      }
    }
    //sr
  }
  //capability
  leaf msd {
    if-feature "sr";
    type uint8;
    description
      "Maximum SID Depth for SR";
    reference
      "RFC 8664: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
       (PCEP) Extensions for Segment Routing";
}
//info
grouping pce-info {
  description
    "This grouping specifies all PCE information
     which maybe relevant to the PCE selection.
     This information corresponds to PCE auto-discovery
     information.";
  container scope {
```

```
description
      "The path computation scope";
    uses pce-scope;
  container neigh-domains {
    description
      "The list of neighbour PCE-Domain
       toward which a PCE can compute
       paths";
    list domain {
      key "domain-type domain";
      description
        "The neighbour domain.";
      uses domain {
        description
          "The PCE neighbour domain.";
      }
   }
  }
}
//pce-info
grouping notification-instance-hdr {
  description
    "This group describes common instance specific data
     for notifications.";
  leaf peer-addr {
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr";
    description
      "Reference to peer address";
}
// notification-instance-hdr
grouping notification-session-hdr {
  description
    "This group describes common session instance specific
     data for notifications.";
  leaf session-initiator {
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/sessions/"
         + "session/initiator";
    description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 41]

```
"Reference to pcep session initiator leaf";
  }
}
// notification-session-hdr
grouping of-list {
 description
   "List of OF";
  reference
    "RFC 5541: Encoding of Objective Functions in the Path
     Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
  list objective-function {
    key "of";
   description
      "The list of authorized OF";
   leaf of {
      type identityref {
        base te-types:objective-function-type;
      }
      description
        "The OF authorized";
   }
  }
}
 * Configuration data nodes
container pcep {
  presence "The PCEP is enabled";
  description
   "Parameters for list of configured PCEP entities
    on the device.";
  container entity {
   description
      "The configured PCEP entity on the device.";
   leaf addr {
      type inet:ip-address;
      mandatory true;
      description
        "The local Internet address of this PCEP entity.
         If operating as a PCE server, the PCEP entity
         listens on this address. If operating as a PCC,
         the PCEP entity binds outgoing TCP connections
         to this address. It is possible for the PCEP entity
         to operate both as a PCC and a PCE Server, in which
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 42]

```
case it uses this address both to listen for incoming
     TCP connections and to bind outgoing TCP connections.";
}
leaf enabled {
  type boolean;
  default "true";
  description
    "The administrative status of this PCEP
    Entity.";
}
leaf role {
  type pcep-role;
  mandatory true;
  description
    "The role that this entity can play.
     Takes one of the following values.
     - unknown(0): this PCEP Entity role is not
     known.
     - pcc(1): this PCEP Entity is a PCC.
     - pce(2): this PCEP Entity is a PCE.
     - pcc-and-pce(3): this PCEP Entity is both
     a PCC and a PCE.";
}
leaf description {
  type string;
  description
    "Description of the PCEP entity configured
     by the user";
}
leaf speaker-entity-id {
  if-feature "sync-opt";
  type string;
  description
    "The Speaker Entity Identifier";
  reference
    "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched
     Path State Synchronization Procedures for
     a Stateful PCE";
leaf admin-status {
  type boolean;
  default "true";
  description
    "The administrative status of this PCEP Entity.
     The value true represents admin status as up.
     This is the desired operational status as
     currently set by an operator or by default in
     the implementation. The value of oper-status
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 43]

```
represents the current status of an attempt to
     reach this desired status.";
}
leaf index {
  type uint32;
  config false;
  description
    "The index of the operational PECP entity";
leaf oper-status {
  type pcep-oper-status;
  config false;
  description
    "The operational status of the PCEP entity.
     Takes one of the following values.
     - oper-status-up(1): the PCEP entity is active.
     - oper-status-down(2): the PCEP entity is inactive.
     - oper-status-going-up(3): the PCEP entity is
     activating.
     - oper-status-going-down(4): the PCEP entity is
     deactivating.
     - oper-status-failed(5): the PCEP entity has
     failed and will recover when possible.
     - oper-status-failed-perm(6): the PCEP entity
     has failed and will not recover without
     operator intervention.";
}
uses info {
 description
    "Local PCEP entity information";
container pce-info {
 when "../role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
    + "../role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "These fields are applicable when the role is PCE.";
  }
  description
    "The Local PCE Entity PCE information";
  uses pce-info {
    description
      "Local PCE information";
  }
  container path-key {
    if-feature "path-key";
    description
      "Path-Key Configuration";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 44]

reference

```
"RFC 5520: Preserving Topology Confidentiality in Inter-
       Domain Path Computation Using a Path-Key-Based Mechanism";
    leaf enabled {
      type boolean;
      description
        "Enabled or Disabled";
    leaf discard-timer {
      type uint32;
      units "minutes";
      default "10";
      description
        "A timer to discard unwanted path-keys";
    leaf reuse-time {
      type uint32;
      units "minutes";
      default "30";
      description
        "A time after which the path-keys could be reused";
    leaf pce-id {
      type inet:ip-address;
      description
        "PCE Address to be used in each Path-Key Subobject
         (PKS)";
    }
  }
}
leaf connect-timer {
  type uint16 {
    range "1..65535";
  }
  units "seconds";
  default "60";
  description
    "The time in seconds that the PCEP entity will wait
     to establish a TCP connection with a peer. If a
     TCP connection is not established within this time
     then PCEP aborts the session setup attempt.";
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
leaf connect-max-retry {
  type uint32;
  default "5";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 45]

```
description
    "The maximum number of times the system tries to
     establish a TCP connection to a peer before the
     session with the peer transitions to the idle
     state.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
leaf init-backoff-timer {
  type uint16 {
    range "1..65535";
  units "seconds";
  description
    "The initial back-off time in seconds for retrying
     a failed session setup attempt to a peer.
     The back-off time increases for each failed
     session setup attempt, until a maximum back-off
     time is reached. The maximum back-off time is
     max-backoff-timer.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf max-backoff-timer {
  type uint32;
  units "seconds";
  description
    "The maximum back-off time in seconds for retrying
     a failed session setup attempt to a peer.
     The back-off time increases for each failed session
     setup attempt, until this maximum value is reached.
     Session setup attempts then repeat periodically
     without any further increase in back-off time.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
leaf open-wait-timer {
  type uint16;
  units "seconds";
  default "60";
  config false;
  description
    "The time in seconds that the PCEP entity will wait
     to receive an Open message from a peer after the
     TCP connection has come up.
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 46]

```
If no Open message is received within this time then
     PCEP terminates the TCP connection and deletes the
     associated sessions.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf keep-wait-timer {
  type uint16;
  units "seconds";
  default "60";
  config false;
  description
    "The time in seconds that the PCEP entity will wait
     to receive a Keepalive or PCErr message from a peer
     during session initialization after receiving an
     Open message. If no Keepalive or PCErr message is
     received within this time then PCEP terminates the
     TCP connection and deletes the associated
     sessions.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf keep-alive-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  default "30";
  description
    "The keep alive transmission timer that this PCEP
     entity will propose in the initial OPEN message of
     each session it is involved in. This is the
     maximum time between two consecutive messages sent
     to a peer. Zero means that the PCEP entity prefers
     not to send Keepalives at all.
     Note that the actual Keepalive transmission
     intervals, in either direction of an active PCEP
     session, are determined by negotiation between the
     peers as specified by RFC 5440, and so may differ
     from this configured value.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf dead-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  must '(. > ../keep-alive-timer)' {
```

```
error-message "The dead timer must be "
                + "larger than the keep alive timer";
  }
  default "120";
  description
    "The dead timer that this PCEP entity will propose
     in the initial OPEN message of each session it is
     involved in. This is the time after which a peer
     should declare a session down if it does not
     receive any PCEP messages. Zero suggests that the
     peer does not run a dead timer at all.";
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf allow-negotiation {
  type boolean;
  description
    "Whether the PCEP entity will permit negotiation of
     session parameters.";
}
leaf max-keep-alive-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  description
    "In PCEP session parameter negotiation in seconds,
     the maximum value that this PCEP entity will
     accept from a peer for the interval between
     Keepalive transmissions. Zero means that the PCEP
     entity will allow no Keepalive transmission at
     all.";
}
leaf max-dead-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  description
    "In PCEP session parameter negotiation in seconds,
     the maximum value that this PCEP entity will accept
     from a peer for the Dead timer. Zero means that
     the PCEP entity will allow not running a Dead
     timer.";
}
leaf min-keep-alive-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  description
    "In PCEP session parameter negotiation in seconds,
     the minimum value that this PCEP entity will
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 48]

```
accept for the interval between Keepalive
     transmissions. Zero means that the PCEP entity
     insists on no Keepalive transmission at all.";
}
leaf min-dead-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  description
    "In PCEP session parameter negotiation in
     seconds, the minimum value that this PCEP entity
     will accept for the Dead timer. Zero means that
     the PCEP entity insists on not running a Dead
     timer.";
}
leaf sync-timer {
  if-feature "svec";
  type uint16;
  units "seconds";
  default "60";
  description
    "The value of SyncTimer in seconds is used in the
     case of synchronized path computation request
     using the SVEC object. Consider the case where a
     PCReq message is received by a PCE that contains
     the SVEC object referring to M synchronized path
     computation requests. If after the expiration of
     the SyncTimer all the M path computation requests
     have not been, received a protocol error is
     triggered and the PCE must cancel the whole set
     of path computation requests.
     The aim of the SyncTimer is to avoid the storage
     of unused synchronized requests should one of
     them get lost for some reasons (for example, a
     misbehaving PCC).
     Zero means that the PCEP entity does not use the
     SyncTimer.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
     Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf request-timer {
  type uint16 {
    range "1..65535";
  }
  units "seconds";
  description
    "The maximum time that the PCEP entity will wait
     for a response to a PCReq message.";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 49]

```
}
leaf max-sessions {
  type uint32;
  description
    "Maximum number of sessions involving this PCEP
     entity that can exist at any time.";
}
leaf max-unknown-reqs {
  type uint32;
  default "5";
  description
    "The maximum number of unrecognized requests and
     replies that any session on this PCEP entity is
     willing to accept per minute before terminating
     the session.
     A PCRep message contains an unrecognized reply
     if it contains an RP object whose request ID
     does not correspond to any in-progress request
     sent by this PCEP entity.
     A PCReq message contains an unrecognized request
     if it contains an RP object whose request ID is
     zero.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
     Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf max-unknown-msgs {
  type uint32;
  default "5";
  description
    "The maximum number of unknown messages that any
     session on this PCEP entity is willing to accept
     per minute before terminating the session.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
     Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf pcep-notification-max-rate {
  type uint32;
  mandatory true;
  description
    "This variable indicates the maximum number of
     notifications issued per second. If events
     occur more rapidly, the implementation may
     simply fail to emit these notifications during
     that period, or may queue them until an
     appropriate time. A value of 0 means no
     notifications are emitted and all should be
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 50]

```
discarded (that is, not queued).";
}
container stateful-parameter {
  if-feature "stateful";
  description
    "The configured stateful PCE parameters";
  leaf state-timeout {
    type uint32;
    units "seconds";
    description
      "When a PCEP session is terminated, a PCC
       waits for this time period before flushing
       LSP state associated with that PCEP session
       and reverting to operator-defined default
       parameters or behaviours.";
  }
  leaf redelegation-timeout {
    when "../../role = 'pcc'"
       + "or "
       + "../../role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
      description
        "This field is applicable when the role is
         PCC";
    }
    type uint32;
    units "seconds";
    description
      "When a PCEP session is terminated, a PCC
       waits for this time period before revoking
       LSP delegation to a PCE and attempting to
       redelegate LSPs associated with the
       terminated PCEP session to an alternate
       PCE.";
  }
  leaf rpt-non-pcep-lsp {
    when "../../role = 'pcc'"
       + "or "
       + "../../role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
      description
        "This field is applicable when the role is
         PCC";
    }
    type boolean;
    default "true";
    description
      "If set, a PCC reports LSPs that are not
       controlled by any PCE (for example, LSPs
       that are statically configured at the
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 51]

```
PCC). ";
 }
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication Protocol
     (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
container of-list {
 when "../role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
    + "../role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "These field are applicable when the role is
       PCE";
  }
  if-feature "objective-function";
 uses of-list;
  description
    "The authorized OF-List at PCE for all peers";
}
container lsp-db {
  if-feature "stateful";
  config false;
  description
    "The LSP-DB";
  leaf db-ver {
    when "../../role = 'pcc'"
       + "or "
       + "../../role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
      description
        "This field is applicable when the role is
         PCC";
    }
    if-feature "sync-opt";
    type uint64;
    description
      "The LSP State Database Version Number";
  list association-list {
    if-feature "association";
    key "type id source global-source extended-id";
    description
      "List of all PCEP associations";
    reference
      "RFC 8697: Path Computation Element Communication
       Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Establishing
       Relationships between Sets of Label Switched
       Paths (LSPs)";
    leaf type {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 52]

```
type identityref {
   base te-types:association-type;
 }
 description
    "The PCEP association type";
leaf id {
 type uint16;
 description
   "PCEP Association ID";
}
leaf source {
 type inet:ip-address;
 description
    "PCEP Association Source.";
leaf global-source {
 type uint32;
 description
    "PCEP Association Global Source.";
leaf extended-id {
 type string;
 description
    "Additional information to support unique
     identification.";
}
list lsp {
 key "plsp-id pcc-id lsp-id";
 description
    "List of all LSP in this association";
 leaf plsp-id {
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
         + "lsp/plsp-id";
   description
      "Reference to PLSP-ID in LSP-DB";
  leaf pcc-id {
   type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
         + "lsp/pcc-id";
    }
   description
      "Reference to PCC-ID in LSP-DB";
  leaf lsp-id {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 53]

```
type leafref {
        path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
           + "lsp/lsp-id";
      }
      description
        "Reference to LSP ID in LSP-DB";
    }
  }
}
list lsp {
  key "plsp-id pcc-id lsp-id";
  description
    "List of all LSPs in LSP-DB";
  leaf plsp-id {
    type uint32 {
      range "1..1048575";
    }
    description
      "A PCEP-specific identifier for the LSP. A PCC
       creates a unique PLSP-ID for each LSP that is
       constant for the lifetime of a PCEP session.
       PLSP-ID is 20 bits with 0 and 0xFFFFF are
       reserved";
  }
  leaf pcc-id {
    type inet:ip-address;
    description
      "The local internet address of the PCC, that
       generated the PLSP-ID.";
  }
  leaf source {
    type inet:ip-address;
    description
      "Tunnel sender address extracted from
       LSP-IDENTIFIERS TLV";
    reference
      "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element
       Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extensions
       for Stateful PCE";
  }
  leaf destination {
    type inet:ip-address;
    description
      "Tunnel endpoint address extracted from
       LSP-IDENTIFIERS TLV";
    reference
      "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element
       Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extensions
```

```
for Stateful PCE";
}
leaf tunnel-id {
 type uint16;
 description
    "Tunnel identifier used in the LSP-IDENTIFIERS
     TLV that remains constant over the life
     of the tunnel.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element
     Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extensions
     for Stateful PCE";
}
leaf lsp-id {
  type uint16;
 description
    "Identifier used in the LSP-IDENTIFIERS TLV
     that can be changed to allow a sender to share
     resources with itself.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element
     Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extensions
     for Stateful PCE";
leaf extended-tunnel-id {
  type inet:ip-address;
  description
    "Extended Tunnel ID of the LSP in LSP-IDENTIFIERS
     TLV.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element
     Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extensions
     for Stateful PCE";
}
leaf admin-state {
 type boolean;
 default "true";
 description
    "The desired operational state";
leaf operational-state {
  type operational-state;
 description
    "The operational status of the LSP";
container delegated {
  description
    "The delegation related parameters";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 55]

```
leaf enabled {
    type boolean;
    default "false";
   description
      "LSP is delegated or not";
  }
  leaf peer {
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr";
   must '(../enabled = true())' {
      error-message "The LSP must be delegated";
    description
      "At the PCC, the reference to the PCEP peer to
      which LSP is delegated; At the PCE, the
       reference to the PCEP peer which delegated this
       LSP";
  }
 leaf srp-id {
   type uint32;
    description
      "The last SRP-ID-number associated with this
      LSP.";
 }
}
container initiation {
 if-feature "pce-initiated";
 description
    "The PCE initiation related parameters";
  reference
    "RFC 8281: Path Computation Element Communication
     Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for PCE-Initiated LSP
     Setup in a Stateful PCE Model";
 leaf enabled {
    type boolean;
    default "false";
    description
      "Set to true if this LSP is initiated by a PCE";
  leaf peer {
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr";
    }
   must '(../enabled = true())' {
      error-message "The LSP must be PCE-Initiated";
    description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 56]

```
"At the PCC, the reference to the PCEP peer
       that initiated this LSP; At the PCE, the
       reference to the PCEP peer where the LSP
       is initiated";
  }
}
leaf symbolic-path-name {
  type string;
  description
    "The symbolic path name associated with the LSP.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication
     Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
leaf last-error {
  type identityref {
   base lsp-error;
 }
 description
    "The last error for the LSP.";
leaf pst {
  type identityref {
   base te-types:path-signaling-type;
 }
 default "te-types:path-setup-rsvp";
 description
    "The Path Setup Type";
 reference
    "RFC 8408: Conveying Path Setup Type in PCE
     Communication Protocol (PCEP) Messages";
}
list association-list {
 if-feature "association";
  key "type id source global-source extended-id";
  description
    "List of all PCEP associations";
 leaf type {
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
         + "association-list/type";
    description
      "PCEP Association Type";
 leaf id {
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
```

+ "association-list/id";

```
}
        description
          "PCEP Association ID";
      leaf source {
        type leafref {
          path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
             + "association-list/source";
        }
        description
          "PCEP Association Source.";
      leaf global-source {
        type leafref {
          path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
             + "association-list/global-source";
        description
          "PCEP Association Global Source.";
      leaf extended-id {
        type leafref {
          path "/pcep/entity/lsp-db/"
             + "association-list/extended-id";
        description
          "Additional information to
           support unique identification.";
      }
      reference
        "RFC 8697: Path Computation Element Communication
         Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Establishing
         Relationships between Sets of Label Switched
         Paths (LSPs)";
    }
  }
}
container path-keys {
  when "../role = 'pce' or ../role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "These fields are applicable when the role is
       PCE";
  }
  if-feature "path-key";
  config false;
  description
    "The path-keys generated by the PCE";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 58]

```
reference
  "RFC 5520: Preserving Topology Confidentiality
   in Inter-Domain Path Computation Using a Path-
   Key-Based Mechanism";
list path-keys {
  key "path-key";
  description
    "The list of path-keys generated by the PCE";
  leaf path-key {
    type uint16;
    description
      "The identifier, or token used to represent
       the Confidential Path Segment (CPS) within
       the context of the PCE";
  }
  container cps {
    description
      "The Confidential Path Segment (CPS)";
    list explicit-route-objects {
      key "index";
      description
        "List of explicit route objects";
      leaf index {
        type uint32;
        description
          "ERO subobject index";
      }
      uses te-types:explicit-route-hop;
    }
  }
  leaf pcc-original {
    type leafref {
      path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr";
    }
    description
      "Reference to PCC peer address of
       the original request";
  }
  leaf req-id {
    type uint32;
    description
      "The request ID of the original PCReq.";
  leaf retrieved {
    type boolean;
    description
      "If path-key has been retrieved yet";
  }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 59]

```
leaf pcc-retrieved {
      type leafref {
        path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr";
      must '(../retrieved = true())' {
        error-message "The Path-key should be retreived";
      description
        "Reference to PCC peer address which
         retreived the path-key";
    }
    leaf creation-time {
      type yang:timestamp;
      description
        "The timestamp value at the time this Path-Key
         was created.";
    }
    leaf discard-time {
      type uint32;
      units "minutes";
      description
        "A time after which this path-keys will be
         discarded";
    }
    leaf reuse-time {
      type uint32;
      units "minutes";
      description
        "A time after which this path-keys could be
         reused";
    }
  }
}
container peers {
  description
    "The list of configured peers for the
     entity (remote PCE)";
  list peer {
    key "addr";
    description
      "The peer configured for the entity.
       (remote PCE)";
    leaf addr {
      type inet:ip-address;
      description
        "The local Internet address of this
         PCEP peer.";
    }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 60]

```
leaf role {
  type pcep-role;
 mandatory true;
 description
    "The role of the PCEP Peer.
     Takes one of the following values.
     - unknown(0): this PCEP peer role is not
     known.
     - pcc(1): this PCEP peer is a PCC.
     - pce(2): this PCEP peer is a PCE.
     - pcc-and-pce(3): this PCEP peer
     is both a PCC and a PCE.";
leaf description {
 type string;
 description
    "Description of the PCEP peer
     configured by the user";
}
uses info {
 description
   "PCE Peer information";
container pce-info {
 uses pce-info {
    description
      "PCE Peer information";
  }
 description
   "The PCE Peer information";
leaf delegation-pref {
 if-feature "stateful";
  type uint8 {
   range "0..7";
 }
 description
    "The PCE peer delegation preference.";
container auth {
 description
    "The Authentication options";
 choice auth-type-selection {
    description
      "Options for expressing authentication
       setting.";
   case auth-key-chain {
      leaf key-chain {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 61]

```
type key-chain:key-chain-ref;
    description
      "key-chain name.";
 }
}
case auth-key {
  leaf crypto-algorithm {
    type identityref {
      base key-chain:crypto-algorithm;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
      "Cryptographic algorithm associated
       with key.";
  }
  choice key-string-style {
    description
      "Key string styles";
    case keystring {
      leaf keystring {
        nacm:default-deny-all;
        type string;
        description
          "Key string in ASCII format.";
      }
    }
    case hexadecimal {
      if-feature "key-chain:hex-key-string";
      leaf hexadecimal-string {
        nacm:default-deny-all;
        type yang:hex-string;
        description
          "Key in hexadecimal string format. When
           compared to ASCII, specification in
           hexadecimal affords greater key entropy
           with the same number of internal
           key-string octets. Additionally, it
           discourages usage of well-known words or
           numbers.";
      }
    }
 }
}
case auth-tls {
 if-feature "tls";
 choice role {
    description
      "The role of the local entity";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 62]

```
case server {
          container tls-server {
            uses tlss:tls-server-grouping {
              description
                "Server TLS information.";
            }
            description
              "TLS related information";
          }
        }
        case client {
          container tls-client {
            uses tlsc:tls-client-grouping {
              description
                "Client TLS information.";
            }
            description
              "TLS related information";
          }
        }
     }
   }
 }
leaf discontinuity-time {
 type yang:timestamp;
 config false;
 description
    "The timestamp of the time when the information and
     statistics were last reset.";
}
leaf initiate-session {
  type boolean;
 config false;
 description
    "Indicates whether the local PCEP entity initiates
     sessions to this peer, or waits for the peer to
     initiate a session.";
leaf session-exists {
  type boolean;
 config false;
 description
    "Indicates whether a session with
     this peer currently exists.";
}
leaf session-up-time {
 type yang:timestamp;
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 63]

```
config false;
 description
    "The timestamp value of the last time a
     session with this peer was successfully
     established.";
}
leaf session-fail-time {
  type yang:timestamp;
 config false;
 description
    "The timestamp value of the last time a
    session with this peer failed to be
     established.";
}
leaf session-fail-up-time {
  type yang:timestamp;
 config false;
 description
    "The timestamp value of the last time a
     session with this peer failed from
     active.";
}
container sessions {
  config false;
  description
    "This entry represents a single PCEP
     session in which the local PCEP entity participates.
     This entry exists only if the corresponding PCEP
     session has been initialized by some event, such as
     manual user configuration, auto-discovery of a peer,
     or an incoming TCP connection.";
 list session {
    key "initiator";
    description
      "The list of sessions, note that for a time being
       two sessions may exist for a peer";
    leaf initiator {
      type pcep-initiator;
      description
        "The initiator of the session, that is, whether
         the TCP connection was initiated by the local
         PCEP entity or the peer.
         There is a window during session
         initialization where two sessions can exist
         between a pair of PCEP speakers, each
         initiated by one of the speakers. One of
         these sessions is always discarded before it
         leaves OpenWait state. However, before it is
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 64]

```
discarded, two sessions to the given peer
     appear transiently in this yang module. The
     sessions are distinguished by who initiated
     them, and so this field is the key.";
leaf role {
  type leafref {
    path "/pcep/entity/role";
  description
    "The reference to peer role .";
}
leaf state-last-change {
  type yang:timestamp;
  description
    "The timestamp value at the time this
     session entered its current state as
     denoted by the state leaf.";
}
leaf state {
  type pcep-sess-state;
  description
    "The current state of the session.
     The set of possible states excludes the
     idle state since entries do not exist
     in the idle state.";
}
leaf session-creation {
  type yang:timestamp;
  description
    "The timestamp value at the time this
     session was created.";
}
leaf connect-retry {
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of times that the local PCEP
     entity has attempted to establish a TCP
     connection for this session without
     success. The PCEP entity gives up when
     this reaches connect-max-retry.";
}
leaf local-id {
  type uint8;
  description
    "The value of the PCEP session ID used by
     the local PCEP entity in the Open message
     for this session. If state is tcp-pending
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 65]

```
then this is the session ID that will be
     used in the Open message. Otherwise, this
     is the session ID that was sent in the
     Open message.";
  reference
    ""RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
    Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf remote-id {
  type uint8;
  must "((../state != 'tcp-pending'"
    + "and "
    + "../state != 'open-wait' )"
    + "or "
    + "((../state = 'tcp-pending'"
    + " or "
    + "../state = 'open-wait' )"
     + "and (. = 0)))" {
    error-message "Invalid remote-id";
    description
      "If state is tcp-pending or open-wait then
       this leaf is not used and MUST be set to
       zero.";
  }
  description
    "The value of the PCEP session ID used by the
    peer in its Open message for this session.";
  reference
    ""RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
     Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf keepalive-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  must "(../state = 'session-up'"
    + "or "
    + "(../state != 'session-up'"
    + "and (. = 0)))" {
    error-message "Invalid keepalive timer";
    description
      "This field is used if and only if state is
       session-up. Otherwise, it is not used and
       MUST be set to zero.";
  }
  description
    "The agreed maximum interval at which the local
     PCEP entity transmits PCEP messages on this PCEP
     session. Zero means that the local PCEP entity
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 66]

```
never sends Keepalives on this session.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
     Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
leaf peer-keepalive-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  must "(../state = 'session-up'"
    + "or "
    + "(../state != 'session-up'"
    + "and "
    + "(. = 0)))" {
    error-message "Invalid Peer keepalive timer";
    description
      "This field is used if and only if state is
       session-up. Otherwise, it is not used and MUST
       be set to zero.";
  }
  description
    "The agreed maximum interval at which the peer
    transmits PCEP messages on this PCEP session.
    Zero means that the peer never sends Keepalives
     on this session.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
     Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
leaf dead-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  description
    "The dead timer interval for this PCEP session.";
  reference
    ""RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
     Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf peer-dead-timer {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
 must "((../state != 'tcp-pending'"
    + "and "
    + "../state != 'open-wait' )"
    + "or "
    + "((../state = 'tcp-pending'"
    + " or "
    + "../state = 'open-wait' )"
     + "and "
```

```
+ "(. = 0)))" {
    error-message "Invalid Peer Dead timer";
    description
      "If state is tcp-pending or open-wait then this
       leaf is not used and MUST be set to zero.";
  }
  description
    "The peer's dead-timer interval
     for this PCEP session.";
  reference
    ""RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
     Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf ka-hold-time-rem {
  type uint8;
  units "seconds";
  must "((../state != 'tcp-pending'"
     + "and "
     + "../state != 'open-wait' ) "
     + "or "
     + "((../state = 'tcp-pending'"
     + "or "
     + "../state = 'open-wait' )"
     + "and "
     + "(. = 0)))" {
    error-message
      "Invalid Keepalive hold time remaining";
    description
      "If state is tcp-pending or open-wait then this
       field is not used and MUST be set to zero.";
  }
  description
    "The keep alive hold time remaining for this
     session.";
leaf overloaded {
  type boolean;
  description
    "If the local PCEP entity has informed the peer that
     it is currently overloaded, then this is set to true.
     Otherwise, it is set to false.";
    ""RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
     Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf overload-time {
  type uint32;
  units "seconds";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 68]

```
must '((../overloaded = true()) '
    + 'or ((../overloaded != true()) '
     + 'and (. = 0)))' {
    error-message "Invalid overload-time";
    description
      "This field is only used if overloaded is set to
       true. Otherwise, it is not used and MUST be set
       to zero.";
  }
  description
    "The interval of time that is remaining until the
    local PCEP entity will cease to be overloaded on
     this session.";
  reference
    ""RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
     Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
leaf peer-overloaded {
  type boolean;
  description
    "If the peer has informed the local PCEP entity
     that it is currently overloaded, then this is
     set to true. Otherwise, it is set to false.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
     Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf peer-overload-time {
  type uint32;
  units "seconds";
  must '((../peer-overloaded = '
    + 'true()) or '
    + '((../peer-overloaded !='
    + 'true())'
    + ' and '
     + '(. = 0)))' {
    error-message "Invalid peer overload time";
    description
      "This field is only used if peer-overloaded
       is set to true. Otherwise, it is not used
       and MUST be set to zero.";
  }
  description
    "The interval of time that is remaining until
     the peer will cease to be overloaded. If it
     is not known how long the peer will stay in
     overloaded state, this leaf is set to zero.";
  reference
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 69]

```
""RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE)
     Communication Protocol (PCEP)";
}
leaf lspdb-sync {
  if-feature "stateful";
  type sync-state;
  description
    "The LSP-DB state synchronization status.";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication
     Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
leaf recv-db-ver {
  when "../role = 'pcc'"
     + "or "
     + "../role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "This field is applicable when the role is
       PCC";
  if-feature "stateful";
  if-feature "sync-opt";
  type uint64;
  description
    "The last received LSP State Database Version
     Number";
  reference
    "RFC 8231: Path Computation Element Communication
     Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Stateful PCE";
}
container of-list {
  when "../role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
     + "../role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "These fields are applicable when the role is
       PCE";
  }
  if-feature "objective-function";
  uses of-list;
  description
    "Indicate the list of supported OF on this
     session";
  reference
    "RFC 5541: Encoding of Objective Functions in
     the Path Computation Element Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
}
```

```
container pst-list {
 when "../role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
     + "../role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "These fields are applicable when the role is
  }
  description
    "Indicate the list of supported
     PST on this session";
  reference
    "RFC 8408: Conveying Path Setup Type in PCE
     Communication Protocol (PCEP) Messages";
  list path-setup-type {
    key "pst";
    description
      "The list of authorized PST";
    leaf pst {
      type identityref {
        base te-types:path-signaling-type;
      }
      description
        "The PST authorized";
    }
 }
container assoc-type-list {
  if-feature "association";
  description
    "Indicate the list of supported association types
     on this session";
  reference
    "RFC 8697: Path Computation Element Communication
     Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Establishing
     Relationships between Sets of Label Switched
     Paths (LSPs)";
  list assoc-type {
    key "at";
    description
      "The list of authorized association types";
    leaf at {
      type identityref {
        base te-types:association-type;
      description
        "The association type authorized";
    }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 71]

```
}
            leaf speaker-entity-id {
              if-feature "sync-opt";
              type string;
              description
                "The Speaker Entity Identifier";
              reference
                "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched
                 Path State Synchronization Procedures for
                 a Stateful PCE";
            }
            leaf discontinuity-time {
              type yang:timestamp;
              description
                "The timestamp value of the time when the
                 statistics were last reset.";
            }
          }
          // session
        // sessions
     }
      //peer
   }
   //peers
  }
  //entity
}
//pcep
* Notifications
notification pcep-session-up {
 description
    "This notification is sent when the value of
     '/pcep/peers/peer/sessions/session/state'
     enters the 'session-up' state.";
 uses notification-instance-hdr;
  uses notification-session-hdr;
  leaf state-last-change {
    type yang:timestamp;
   description
      "The timestamp value at the time this session
       entered its current state as denoted by the state
       leaf.";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 72]

```
}
  leaf state {
    type pcep-sess-state;
    description
      "The current state of the session.
       The set of possible states excludes the idle state
       since entries do not exist in the idle state.";
  }
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
}
//notification
notification pcep-session-down {
  description
    "This notification is sent when the value of
     '/pcep/peers/peer/sessions/session/state'
     leaves the 'session-up' state.";
  uses notification-instance-hdr;
  leaf session-initiator {
    type pcep-initiator;
    description
      "The initiator of the session.";
  leaf state-last-change {
    type yang:timestamp;
    description
      "The timestamp value at the time this session
       entered its current state as denoted by the state
       leaf.";
  }
  leaf state {
    type pcep-sess-state;
    description
      "The current state of the session.
       The set of possible states excludes the idle state
       since entries do not exist in the idle state.";
  }
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
     Protocol (PCEP)";
}
//notification
notification pcep-session-local-overload {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 73]

```
description
    "This notification is sent when the local PCEP entity
    enters overload state for a peer.";
 uses notification-instance-hdr;
  uses notification-session-hdr;
 leaf overloaded {
   type boolean;
   description
      "If the local PCEP entity has informed the peer
       that it is currently overloaded, then this is set
       to true. Otherwise, it is set to false.";
  }
  leaf overload-time {
   type uint32;
   units "seconds";
   description
      "The interval of time that is remaining until the
       local PCEP entity will cease to be overloaded on
       this session.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
//notification
notification pcep-session-local-overload-clear {
  description
    "This notification is sent when the local PCEP entity
    leaves overload state for a peer.";
 uses notification-instance-hdr;
 leaf overloaded {
   type boolean;
   description
      "If the local PCEP entity has informed the peer
       that it is currently overloaded, then this is set
       to true. Otherwise, it is set to false.";
  }
  reference
   "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
//notification
notification pcep-session-peer-overload {
  description
```

```
"This notification is sent when a peer enters overload
     state.";
 uses notification-instance-hdr;
 uses notification-session-hdr;
 leaf peer-overloaded {
   type boolean;
   description
      "If the peer has informed the local PCEP entity that
       it is currently overloaded, then this is set to
       true. Otherwise, it is set to false.";
 leaf peer-overload-time {
   type uint32;
   units "seconds";
   description
      "The interval of time that is remaining until the
       peer will cease to be overloaded. If it is not
       known how long the peer will stay in overloaded
       state, this leaf is set to zero.";
  reference
    "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
//notification
notification pcep-session-peer-overload-clear {
  description
    "This notification is sent when a peer leaves overload
     state.";
 uses notification-instance-hdr;
 leaf peer-overloaded {
   type boolean;
   description
      "If the peer has informed the local PCEP entity that
       it is currently overloaded, then this is set to
       true. Otherwise, it is set to false.";
  }
  reference
   "RFC 5440: Path Computation Element (PCE) Communication
    Protocol (PCEP)";
}
//notification
* RPC
 */
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 75]

```
rpc trigger-resync {
    if-feature "stateful";
    if-feature "sync-opt";
    description
      "Trigger the resyncrinization at the PCE";
    reference
      "RFC 8232: Optimizations of Label Switched Path State
       Synchronization Procedures for a Stateful PCE";
    input {
      leaf pcc {
        type leafref {
          path "/pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr";
        description
          "The IP address to identify the PCC. The state
           syncronization is re-triggered for all LSPs from
           the PCC. The rpc on the PCC will be ignored.";
      }
    }
    //input
  }
 //rpc
<CODE ENDS>
9.2. ietf-pcep-stats module
   <CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-pcep-stats@2021-02-22.yang"
   module ietf-pcep-stats {
    yang-version 1.1;
     namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-pcep-stats";
     prefix pcep-stats;
     import ietf-pcep {
      prefix pcep;
       reference
         "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Path Computation
          Element Communications Protocol (PCEP)";
     import ietf-yang-types {
      prefix yang;
       reference
         "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types";
     }
     organization
```

```
"IETF PCE (Path Computation Element) Working Group";
contact
  "WG Web: <https://tools.ietf.org/wg/pce/>
  WG List: <mailto:pce@ietf.org>
  Editor: Dhruv Dhody
            <mailto:dhruv.ietf@gmail.com>";
description
  "The YANG module augments the PCEP yang operational
  model with statistics, counters and telemetry data.
  Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
  authors of the code. All rights reserved.
  Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
  without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
  to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
  set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
  Relating to IETF Documents
   (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).
  This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the
  RFC itself for full legal notices.";
revision 2021-02-22 {
 description
    "Initial revision.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Path Computation
     Element Communications Protocol (PCEP)";
}
 * Groupings
grouping pcep-stats {
 description
    "This grouping defines statistics for PCEP. It is used
    for both peer and current session.";
 leaf avg-rsp-time {
    when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
       + "or "
      + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
     description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
    type uint32;
    units "milliseconds";
```

```
description
    "The average response time. If an average response time
    has not been calculated then this leaf has the value
     zero.";
leaf lwm-rsp-time {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
  type uint32;
  units "milliseconds";
  description
    "The smallest (low-water mark) response time seen.
     If no responses have been received then this leaf has
     the value zero.";
}
leaf hwm-rsp-time {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
  type uint32;
  units "milliseconds";
  description
    "The greatest (high-water mark) response time seen.
     If no responses have been received then this object
     has the value zero.";
}
leaf num-pcreq-sent {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCReq messages sent.";
leaf num-pcreq-rcvd {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
     + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
```

```
description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCReq messages received.";
leaf num-pcrep-sent {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCRep messages sent.";
leaf num-pcrep-rcvd {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCRep messages received.";
leaf num-pcerr-sent {
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCErr messages sent.";
leaf num-pcerr-rcvd {
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCErr messages received.";
leaf num-pcntf-sent {
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCNtf messages sent.";
leaf num-pcntf-rcvd {
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCNtf messages received.";
```

```
}
leaf num-keepalive-sent {
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of Keepalive messages sent.";
leaf num-keepalive-rcvd {
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of Keepalive messages received.";
leaf num-unknown-rcvd {
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of unknown messages received.";
leaf num-corrupt-rcvd {
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of corrupted PCEP message received.";
leaf num-req-sent {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests sent. A request corresponds
     1:1 with an RP object in a PCReq message. This might
     be greater than num-pcreq-sent because multiple
     requests can be batched into a single PCReq
     message.";
leaf num-req-sent-pend-rep {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that have been sent for
    which a response is still pending.";
}
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 80]

```
leaf num-req-sent-ero-rcvd {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that have been sent for
     which a response with an ERO object was received.
     Such responses indicate that a path was
     successfully computed by the peer.";
leaf num-req-sent-nopath-rcvd {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that have been sent for
     which a response with a NO-PATH object was
     received. Such responses indicate that the peer
     could not find a path to satisfy the
     request.";
}
leaf num-req-sent-cancel-rcvd {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that were cancelled with
     a PCNtf message. This might be different than
     num-pcntf-rcvd because not all PCNtf messages are
     used to cancel requests, and a single PCNtf message
     can cancel multiple requests.";
leaf num-req-sent-error-rcvd {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 81]

```
description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that were rejected with a
     PCErr message. This might be different than
     num-pcerr-rcvd because not all PCErr messages are
     used to reject requests, and a single PCErr message
     can reject multiple requests.";
leaf num-req-sent-timeout {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
    + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that have been sent to a peer
     and have been abandoned because the peer has taken too
     long to respond to them.";
leaf num-req-sent-cancel-sent {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
     + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests that were sent to the peer and
     explicitly cancelled by the local PCEP entity sending
     a PCNtf.";
leaf num-req-rcvd {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
     + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of requests received. A request
     corresponds 1:1 with an RP object in a PCReq
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 82]

```
message.
    This might be greater than num-pcreq-rcvd because
    multiple requests can be batched into a single
     PCReq message.";
leaf num-req-rcvd-pend-rep {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
 }
 type yang:counter32;
 description
    "The number of requests that have been received for
    which a response is still pending.";
leaf num-req-rcvd-ero-sent {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
 type yang:counter32;
 description
    "The number of requests that have been received for
    which a response with an ERO object was sent. Such
     responses indicate that a path was successfully
    computed by the local PCEP entity.";
leaf num-req-rcvd-nopath-sent {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
 type yang:counter32;
 description
    "The number of requests that have been received for
    which a response with a NO-PATH object was sent. Such
     responses indicate that the local PCEP entity could
    not find a path to satisfy the request.";
leaf num-req-rcvd-cancel-sent {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
    + "or "
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 83]

```
+ "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
 }
 type yang:counter32;
 description
    "The number of requests received that were cancelled
    by the local PCEP entity sending a PCNtf message.
    This might be different than num-pcntf-sent because
    not all PCNtf messages are used to cancel requests,
     and a single PCNtf message can cancel multiple
     requests.";
leaf num-req-rcvd-error-sent {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
 type yang:counter32;
 description
    "The number of requests received that were cancelled
    by the local PCEP entity sending a PCErr message.
    This might be different than num-pcerr-sent because
     not all PCErr messages are used to cancel requests,
     and a single PCErr message can cancel multiple
     requests.";
leaf num-req-rcvd-cancel-rcvd {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
 type yang:counter32;
 description
    "The number of requests that were received from the
    peer and explicitly cancelled by the peer sending
    a PCNtf.";
leaf num-rep-rcvd-unknown {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 84]

```
}
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of responses to unknown requests
     received. A response to an unknown request is a
     response whose RP object does not contain the
     request ID of any request that is currently
     outstanding on the session.";
leaf num-req-rcvd-unknown {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
    + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of unknown requests that have been
     received. An unknown request is a request
     whose RP object contains a request ID of zero.";
}
container svec {
  if-feature "pcep:svec";
  description
    "If synchronized path computation is supported";
  leaf num-svec-sent {
    when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
       + "or "
       + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
      description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of SVEC objects sent in PCReq messages.
       An SVEC object represents a set of synchronized
       requests.";
  leaf num-svec-req-sent {
    when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
       + "or "
       + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
     description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
    }
    type yang:counter32;
    description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 85]

```
"The number of requests sent that appeared in one
       or more SVEC objects.";
  }
  leaf num-svec-rcvd {
   when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
      + "or "
      + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
      description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
    }
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of SVEC objects received in PCReq
      messages. An SVEC object represents a set of
       synchronized requests.";
  }
  leaf num-svec-req-rcvd {
   when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
      + "or "
      + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
     description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
    }
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of requests received that appeared
      in one or more SVEC objects.";
  }
}
//svec
container stateful {
  if-feature "pcep:stateful";
  description
    "Stateful PCE related statistics";
  leaf num-pcrpt-sent {
   when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
      + "or "
       + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
      description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of PCRpt messages sent.";
  leaf num-pcrpt-rcvd {
   when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
       + "or "
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 86]

```
+ "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCRpt messages received.";
leaf num-pcupd-sent {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCUpd messages sent.";
}
leaf num-pcupd-rcvd {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of PCUpd messages received.";
}
leaf num-rpt-sent {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of LSP Reports sent. A LSP report
     corresponds 1:1 with an LSP object in a PCRpt
     message. This might be greater than
    num-pcrpt-sent because multiple reports can
     be batched into a single PCRpt message.";
}
leaf num-rpt-rcvd {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
     + "or "
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 87]

```
+ "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of LSP Reports received. A LSP report
     corresponds 1:1 with an LSP object in a PCRpt
    This might be greater than num-pcrpt-rcvd because
    multiple reports can be batched into a single
    PCRpt message.";
}
leaf num-rpt-rcvd-error-sent {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of reports of LSPs received that were
     responded by the local PCEP entity by sending a
    PCErr message.";
}
leaf num-upd-sent {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of LSP updates sent. A LSP update
     corresponds 1:1 with an LSP object in a PCUpd
     message. This might be greater than
     num-pcupd-sent because multiple updates can
     be batched into a single PCUpd message.";
}
leaf num-upd-rcvd {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
     "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 88]

```
type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of LSP Updates received. A LSP update
     corresponds 1:1 with an LSP object in a PCUpd
     message.
    This might be greater than num-pcupd-rcvd because
     multiple updates can be batched into a single
     PCUpd message.";
leaf num-upd-rcvd-unknown {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of updates to unknown LSPs
     received. An update to an unknown LSP is a
     update whose LSP object does not contain the
     PLSP-ID of any LSP that is currently
     present.";
leaf num-upd-rcvd-undelegated {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
    "The number of updates to not delegated LSPs
     received. An update to an undelegated LSP is a
     update whose LSP object does not contain the
     PLSP-ID of any LSP that is currently
     delegated to current PCEP session.";
leaf num-upd-rcvd-error-sent {
 when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
    + "or "
    + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
   description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
  }
  type yang:counter32;
  description
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 89]

```
"The number of updates to LSPs received that were
     responded by the local PCEP entity by sending a
    PCErr message.";
}
container initiation {
  if-feature "pcep:pce-initiated";
  description
    "PCE-Initiated related statistics";
  leaf num-pcinitiate-sent {
   when "../../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
       + "or "
      + "../../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
     description
       "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
   }
   type yang:counter32;
   description
      "The number of PCInitiate messages sent.";
  }
  leaf num-pcinitiate-rcvd {
   when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
      + "or "
      + "../../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
     description
       "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
    }
   type yang:counter32;
   description
      "The number of PCInitiate messages received.";
  }
  leaf num-initiate-sent {
   when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
      + "or "
      + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
     description
        "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
    type yang:counter32;
    description
     "The number of LSP Initiation sent via PCE.
      A LSP initiation corresponds 1:1 with an LSP
       object in a PCInitiate message. This might be
       greater than num-pcinitiate-sent because
       multiple initiations can be batched into a
       single PCInitiate message.";
  leaf num-initiate-rcvd {
   when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 90]

```
+ "or "
         + "../../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
        description
          "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
      type yang:counter32;
      description
        "The number of LSP Initiation received from
         PCE. A LSP initiation corresponds 1:1 with
         an LSP object in a PCInitiate message. This
         might be greater than num-pcinitiate-rcvd
         because multiple initiations can be batched
         into a single PCInitiate message.";
    }
    leaf num-initiate-rcvd-error-sent {
     when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
         + "or "
        + "../../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
        description
          "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
      }
      type yang:counter32;
      description
        "The number of initiations of LSPs received
         that were responded by the local PCEP entity
         by sending a PCErr message.";
    }
  //initiation
}
//stateful
container path-key {
  when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
     + "or "
     + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
    description
      "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
  if-feature "pcep:path-key";
  description
    "If Path-Key is supported";
  leaf num-unknown-path-key {
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of attempts to expand an unknown
       path-key.";
  leaf num-exp-path-key {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 91]

```
type yang:counter32;
      description
        "The number of attempts to expand an expired
         path-key.";
    leaf num-dup-path-key {
      type yang:counter32;
      description
        "The number of duplicate attempts to expand same
         path-key.";
    leaf num-path-key-no-attempt {
      type yang:counter32;
      description
        "The number of expired path-keys with no attempt to
         expand it.";
    }
 }
 //path-key
}
//pcep-stats
 * Augment modules to add statistics
augment "/pcep:pcep/pcep:entity/pcep:peers/pcep:peer" {
  description
    "Augmenting the statistics";
 leaf num-sess-setup-ok {
    type yang:counter32;
    config false;
    description
      "The number of PCEP sessions successfully established with
       the peer, including any current session. This counter is
       incremented each time a session with this peer is
       successfully established.";
  }
 leaf num-sess-setup-fail {
    type yang:counter32;
    config false;
    description
      "The number of PCEP sessions with the peer
       that have been attempted but failed
       before being fully established. This
       counter is incremented each time a
       session retry to this peer fails.";
 }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 92]

```
container pcep-stats {
   config false;
   description
      "The container for all statistics at peer level.";
   uses pcep-stats {
      description
        "Since PCEP sessions can be ephemeral, the peer statistics
         tracks a peer even when no PCEP session currently exists
         to that peer. The statistics contained are an aggregate of
         the statistics for all successive sessions to that peer.";
   }
   leaf num-req-sent-closed {
     when "../../pcep:role = 'pce'"
        + "or "
        + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
       description
          "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCE";
      }
      type yang:counter32;
      description
        "The number of requests that were sent to the peer and
         implicitly cancelled when the session they were sent
         over was closed.";
   leaf num-reg-rcvd-closed {
      when "../../pcep:role = 'pcc'"
        + "or "
        + "../../pcep:role = 'pcc-and-pce'" {
       description
          "Valid for PCEP Peer as PCC";
      }
      type yang:counter32;
      description
        "The number of requests that were received from the peer
         and implicitly cancelled when the session they were
         received over was closed.";
   }
 }
 //pcep-stats
//augment
augment "/pcep:pcep/pcep:entity/pcep:peers/pcep:peer/"
      + "pcep:sessions/pcep:session" {
 description
    "Augmenting the statistics";
 container pcep-stats {
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 93]

## 10. Security Considerations

The YANG modules defined in this document is designed to be accessed via network management protocol such as NETCONF [RFC6241] or RESTCONF [RFC8040]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is SSH [RFC6242]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [RFC8446]

The NETCONF access control model [RFC8341] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a preconfigured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content.

There are a number of data nodes defined in the ietf-pcep YANG module which are writable/creatable/deletable (i.e., config true, which is the default). These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g., <edit-config>) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

```
/pcep/entity/ - configure local parameters, capabilities etc.
```

/pcep/entity/peers - configure remote peers to setup PCEP session.

Unauthorized access to above list can adversely affect the PCEP session between the local entity and the peers. This may lead to inability to compute new paths, stateful operations on the delegated as well as PCE-initiated LSPs.

Some of the readable data nodes in the ietf-pcep YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It

is thus important to control read access (e.g., via get, get-config, or notification) to these data nodes. These are the subtrees and data nodes and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

/pcep/lsp-db - All the LSPs in the network. Unauthorized access to this could provide the all path and network usage information.

/pcep/path-keys/ - The Confidential Path Segments (CPS) are hidden using path-keys. Unauthorized access to this could leak confidential path information.

Some of the RPC operations in the ietf-pcep YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control access to these operations. These are the operations and their sensitivity/vulnerability:

trigger-resync - trigger resynchronization with the PCE. Unauthorized access to this could force a PCEP session into continuous state synchronization.

Further, this document also include another YANG module (called ietf-pcep-stats) for maintaining the statistics by augmenting the ietf-pcep YANG module. There are no data nodes defined in this module which are writable/creatable/deletable (i.e., config true). The readable data nodes in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. The statistics could provide information related to the current usage patterns of the network. It is thus important to control read access (e.g., via get, get-config, or notification).

The actual authentication key data (whether locally specified or part of a key-chain) is sensitive and needs to be kept secret from unauthorized parties; compromise of the key data would allow an attacker to forge PCEP traffic that would be accepted as authentic, potentially compromising the TE domain.

The model describes several notifications, implementations must ratelimit the generation of these notifications to avoid creating significant notification load. Otherwise, this notification load may have some side effects on the system stability and may be exploited as an attack vector.

#### 11. IANA Considerations

This document request the IANA to register two URIs in the "IETF XML Registry" [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registrations are requested -

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-pcep

Registrant Contact: The IESG

XML: N/A; the requested URI is an XML namespace.

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-pcep-stats

Registrant Contact: The IESG

XML: N/A; the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document request the IANA to register two YANG modules in the "YANG Module Names" registry [RFC6020], as follows -

Name: ietf-pcep

Namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-pcep

Prefix: pcep Reference: [This.I-D]

Name: ietf-pcep-stats

Namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-pcep-stats

Prefix: pcep-stats Reference: [This.I-D]

#### 12. Implementation Status

[Note to the RFC Editor - remove this section before publication, as well as remove the reference to  $\frac{RFC}{7942}$ .]

This section records the status of known implementations of the protocol defined by this specification at the time of posting of this Internet-Draft, and is based on a proposal described in [RFC7942]. The description of implementations in this section is intended to assist the IETF in its decision processes in progressing drafts to RFCs. Please note that the listing of any individual implementation here does not imply endorsement by the IETF. Furthermore, no effort has been spent to verify the information presented here that was supplied by IETF contributors. This is not intended as, and must not be construed to be, a catalog of available implementations or their features. Readers are advised to note that other implementations may exist.

According to [RFC7942], "this will allow reviewers and working groups to assign due consideration to documents that have the benefit of running code, which may serve as evidence of valuable experimentation and feedback that have made the implemented protocols more mature. It is up to the individual working groups to use this information as they see fit".

Currently, there are no known implementations of the YANG Module as specified.

#### 13. Acknowledgements

The initial document is based on the PCEP MIB [RFC7420]. We would like to thank the authors of aforementioned documents.

Thanks to Mahesh Jethmalani for the Yang Doctor review.

Thanks to Martin Bjorklund and Tom Petch for providing guidance regarding the Yang guidelines.

#### 14. References

### 14.1. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-isis-yang-isis-cfg]
   Litkowski, S., Yeung, D., Lindem, A., Zhang, Z., and L.
   Lhotka, "YANG Data Model for IS-IS Protocol", draft-ietf isis-yang-isis-cfg-42 (work in progress), October 2019.
- [I-D.ietf-netconf-tls-client-server]
   Watsen, K., "YANG Groupings for TLS Clients and TLS
   Servers", draft-ietf-netconf-tls-client-server-22 (work in
   progress), August 2020.
- [I-D.ietf-ospf-yang]
   Yeung, D., Qu, Y., Zhang, Z., Chen, I., and A. Lindem,
   "YANG Data Model for OSPF Protocol", draft-ietf-ospf yang-29 (work in progress), October 2019.
- [I-D.ietf-teas-yang-te]
  Saad, T., Gandhi, R., Liu, X., Beeram, V., and I. Bryskin,
  "A YANG Data Model for Traffic Engineering Tunnels, Label
  Switched Paths and Interfaces", draft-ietf-teas-yang-te-25
  (work in progress), July 2020.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate
  Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997,
  <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119</a>.
- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC3688, January 2004,
  <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3688>.

- [RFC5088] Le Roux, JL., Ed., Vasseur, JP., Ed., Ikejiri, Y., and R. Zhang, "OSPF Protocol Extensions for Path Computation Element (PCE) Discovery", RFC 5088, DOI 10.17487/RFC5088, January 2008, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5088">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5088</a>.
- [RFC5089] Le Roux, JL., Ed., Vasseur, JP., Ed., Ikejiri, Y., and R.
  Zhang, "IS-IS Protocol Extensions for Path Computation
  Element (PCE) Discovery", RFC 5089, DOI 10.17487/RFC5089,
  January 2008, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5089">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5089</a>>.
- [RFC5520] Bradford, R., Ed., Vasseur, JP., and A. Farrel,
   "Preserving Topology Confidentiality in Inter-Domain Path
   Computation Using a Path-Key-Based Mechanism", RFC 5520,
   DOI 10.17487/RFC5520, April 2009,
   <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5520">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5520</a>>.
- [RFC5541] Le Roux, JL., Vasseur, JP., and Y. Lee, "Encoding of
   Objective Functions in the Path Computation Element
   Communication Protocol (PCEP)", RFC 5541,
   DOI 10.17487/RFC5541, June 2009,
   <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5541">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5541</a>>.
- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, DOI 10.17487/RFC6020, October 2010, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020</a>>.
- [RFC6242] Wasserman, M., "Using the NETCONF Protocol over Secure Shell (SSH)", RFC 6242, DOI 10.17487/RFC6242, June 2011, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6242">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6242</a>.

- [RFC8040] Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", <u>RFC 8040</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC8040, January 2017, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040</a>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174</a>>.
- [RFC8177] Lindem, A., Ed., Qu, Y., Yeung, D., Chen, I., and J.
  Zhang, "YANG Data Model for Key Chains", RFC 8177,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC8177, June 2017,
  <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8177">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8177</a>.

- [RFC8306] Zhao, Q., Dhody, D., Ed., Palleti, R., and D. King,
   "Extensions to the Path Computation Element Communication
   Protocol (PCEP) for Point-to-Multipoint Traffic
   Engineering Label Switched Paths", RFC 8306,
   DOI 10.17487/RFC8306, November 2017,
   <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8306">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8306</a>.

- [RFC8408] Sivabalan, S., Tantsura, J., Minei, I., Varga, R., and J.
  Hardwick, "Conveying Path Setup Type in PCE Communication
  Protocol (PCEP) Messages", RFC 8408, DOI 10.17487/RFC8408,
  July 2018, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8408">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8408</a>>.
- [RFC8446] Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", <u>RFC 8446</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC8446, August 2018, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8446">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8446</a>>.
- [RFC8664] Sivabalan, S., Filsfils, C., Tantsura, J., Henderickx, W.,
  and J. Hardwick, "Path Computation Element Communication
  Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Segment Routing", RFC 8664,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC8664, December 2019,
  <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8664>.
- [RFC8697] Minei, I., Crabbe, E., Sivabalan, S., Ananthakrishnan, H.,
   Dhody, D., and Y. Tanaka, "Path Computation Element
   Communication Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for Establishing
   Relationships between Sets of Label Switched Paths
   (LSPs)", RFC 8697, DOI 10.17487/RFC8697, January 2020,
   <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8697">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8697</a>>.
- [RFC8776] Saad, T., Gandhi, R., Liu, X., Beeram, V., and I. Bryskin,
   "Common YANG Data Types for Traffic Engineering",
   RFC 8776, DOI 10.17487/RFC8776, June 2020,
   <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8776">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8776</a>>.
- [RFC8779] Margaria, C., Ed., Gonzalez de Dios, O., Ed., and F.
  Zhang, Ed., "Path Computation Element Communication
  Protocol (PCEP) Extensions for GMPLS", RFC 8779,
  DOI 10.17487/RFC8779, July 2020,
  <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8779">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8779</a>.

### 14.2. Informative References

- [RFC7420] Koushik, A., Stephan, E., Zhao, Q., King, D., and J. Hardwick, "Path Computation Element Communication Protocol (PCEP) Management Information Base (MIB) Module", RFC 7420, DOI 10.17487/RFC7420, December 2014, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7420">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7420</a>.
- [RFC7942] Sheffer, Y. and A. Farrel, "Improving Awareness of Running Code: The Implementation Status Section", <u>BCP 205</u>, <u>RFC 7942</u>, DOI 10.17487/RFC7942, July 2016, <a href="https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7942">https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7942</a>.

### Appendix A. The Full PCEP Data Model

The module, "ietf-pcep", defines the basic components of a PCE speaker. The tree depth in the tree is set to 10. module: ietf-pcep +--rw pcep! +--rw entity inet:ip-address +--rw addr +--rw enabled? boolean +--rw role pcep-role +--rw description? string +--rw speaker-entity-id? string {sync-opt}? +--rw admin-status? boolean +--ro index? uint32 +--ro oper-status? pcep-oper-status +--rw domain +--rw domain\* [domain-type domain] +--rw domain-type identityref domain +--rw domain +--rw capability +--rw capability? bits +--rw pce-initiated? boolean {pce-initiated}? +--rw include-db-ver? boolean {stateful, sync-opt}? +--rw trigger-resync? boolean {stateful, sync-opt}? +--rw trigger-initial-sync? boolean {stateful, sync-opt}? +--rw incremental-sync? boolean {stateful, sync-opt}? +--rw sr {sr}? +--rw enabled? boolean +--rw msd-limit? boolean boolean +--rw nai? +--rw msd? uint8 {sr}? +--rw pce-info +--rw scope | | +--rw path-scope? bits | +--rw intra-area-pref? uint8 | +--rw inter-area-pref? uint8 | +--rw inter-as-pref? uint8 | +--rw inter-layer-pref? uint8 +--rw neigh-domains | | +--rw domain\* [domain-type domain] +--rw domain-type identityref +--rw domain domain +--rw path-key {path-key}? +--rw enabled? boolean +--rw discard-timer? uint32 +--rw reuse-time? uint32 +--rw pce-id? inet:ip-address

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 102]

```
+--rw connect-timer?
                                    uint16
+--rw connect-max-retry?
                                    uint32
+--rw init-backoff-timer?
                                    uint16
+--rw max-backoff-timer?
                                    uint32
+--ro open-wait-timer?
                                    uint16
+--ro keep-wait-timer?
                                    uint16
+--rw keep-alive-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw dead-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw allow-negotiation?
                                    boolean
+--rw max-keep-alive-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw max-dead-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw min-keep-alive-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw min-dead-timer?
                                    uint8
+--rw sync-timer?
                                    uint16 {svec}?
+--rw request-timer?
                                    uint16
+--rw max-sessions?
                                    uint32
+--rw max-unknown-regs?
                                    uint32
+--rw max-unknown-msgs?
                                    uint32
+--rw pcep-notification-max-rate
                                    uint32
+--rw stateful-parameter {stateful}?
| +--rw state-timeout?
                                 uint32
 +--rw redelegation-timeout?
                                 uint32
  +--rw rpt-non-pcep-lsp?
                                 boolean
+--rw of-list {objective-function}?
  +--rw objective-function* [of]
     +--rw of
                  identityref
+--ro lsp-db {stateful}?
  +--ro db-ver?
                             uint64 {sync-opt}?
  +--ro association-list*
           [type id source global-source extended-id]
           {association}?
    +--ro type
                             identityref
   l +--ro id
                             uint16
   | +--ro source
                             inet:ip-address
   | +--ro global-source
                            uint32
   | +--ro extended-id
                             string
   | +--ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id lsp-id]
        +--ro plsp-id -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/lsp/plsp-id
        +--ro pcc-id
                          -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/lsp/pcc-id
        +--ro lsp-id
                          -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/lsp/lsp-id
  +--ro lsp* [plsp-id pcc-id lsp-id]
     +--ro plsp-id
                                  uint32
     +--ro pcc-id
                                  inet:ip-address
                                  inet:ip-address
     +--ro source?
     +--ro destination?
                                  inet:ip-address
     +--ro tunnel-id?
                                 uint16
     +--ro lsp-id
                                  uint16
     +--ro extended-tunnel-id?
                                  inet:ip-address
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 103]

```
+--ro admin-state?
                                 boolean
     +--ro operational-state?
                                 operational-state
     +--ro delegated
     | +--ro enabled?
                         boolean
     | +--ro peer?
                         -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
     | +--ro srp-id?
                         uint32
     +--ro initiation {pce-initiated}?
     | +--ro enabled?
                         boolean
     +--ro peer?
                         -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
     +--ro symbolic-path-name?
                                 string
     +--ro last-error?
                                 identityref
     +--ro pst?
                                 identityref
     +--ro association-list*
             [type id source global-source extended-id]
             {association}?
        +--ro type
                -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/association-list/type
        +--ro id
                -> /pcep/entity/lsp-db/association-list/id
                               leafref
        +--ro source
        +--ro global-source
                               leafref
                               leafref
        +--ro extended-id
+--ro path-keys {path-key}?
  +--ro path-keys* [path-key]
     +--ro path-key
                           uint16
     +--ro cps
        +--ro explicit-route-objects* [index]
           +--ro index
                                              uint32
           +--ro (type)?
              +--: (numbered-node-hop)
                +--ro numbered-node-hop
                    +--ro node-id
                                      te-node-id
                    +--ro hop-type?
                                      te-hop-type
              +--:(numbered-link-hop)
                +--ro numbered-link-hop
                   +--ro link-tp-id
                                       te-tp-id
                    +--ro hop-type?
                                        te-hop-type
                    +--ro direction?
                                        te-link-direction
              +--:(unnumbered-link-hop)
                 +--ro unnumbered-link-hop
                   +--ro link-tp-id
                                       te-tp-id
                   +--ro node-id
                                       te-node-id
                    +--ro hop-type? te-hop-type
                   +--ro direction?
                                      te-link-direction
              +--:(as-number)
                 +--ro as-number-hop
                    +--ro as-number
                                       inet:as-number
                    +--ro hop-type?
                                       te-hop-type
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 104]

```
+--:(label)
                 +--ro label-hop
                    +--ro te-label
                           -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
     +--ro pcc-original?
     +--ro req-id?
                            uint32
                            boolean
     +--ro retrieved?
     +--ro pcc-retrieved? -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
     +--ro creation-time?
                            yang:timestamp
     +--ro discard-time?
                            uint32
     +--ro reuse-time?
                            uint32
+--rw peers
  +--rw peer* [addr]
     +--rw addr
                                   inet:ip-address
     +--rw role
                                   pcep-role
     +--rw description?
                                   string
     +--rw domain
     | +--rw domain* [domain-type domain]
           +--rw domain-type
                                identityref
           +--rw domain
                                domain
     +--rw capability
       +--rw capability?
                                      bits
        +--rw pce-initiated?
                                      boolean
                {pce-initiated}?
       +--rw include-db-ver?
                                      boolean
                {stateful, sync-opt}?
       +--rw trigger-resync?
                                      boolean
                {stateful, sync-opt}?
       +--rw trigger-initial-sync?
                                      boolean
              {stateful,sync-opt}?
       +--rw incremental-sync?
                                      boolean
                {stateful, sync-opt}?
       +--rw sr {sr}?
           +--rw enabled?
                              boolean
           +--rw msd-limit?
                              boolean
           +--rw nai?
                              boolean
     +--rw msd?
                                   uint8 {sr}?
     +--rw pce-info
       +--rw scope
       | +--rw path-scope?
                                     bits
        | +--rw intra-area-pref?
                                     uint8
        | +--rw inter-area-pref?
                                     uint8
        | +--rw inter-as-pref?
                                     uint8
       | +--rw inter-layer-pref?
                                     uint8
        +--rw neigh-domains
           +--rw domain* [domain-type domain]
              +--rw domain-type
                                   identityref
              +--rw domain
                                   domain
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 105]

```
+--rw delegation-pref?
                              uint8 {stateful}?
+--rw auth
  +--rw (auth-type-selection)?
      +--: (auth-key-chain)
      | +--rw key-chain?
                 key-chain:key-chain-ref
      +--: (auth-key)
      | +--rw crypto-algorithm
                                           identityref
        +--rw (key-string-style)?
           +--:(keystring)
           | +--rw keystring?
                                           string
            +--:(hexadecimal)
                     {key-chain:hex-key-string}?
               +--rw hexadecimal-string?
                       yang:hex-string
      +--:(auth-tls) {tls}?
         +--rw (role)?
            +--: (server)
            | +--rw tls-server
            +--:(client)
               +--rw tls-client
+--ro discontinuity-time?
                              yang:timestamp
+--ro initiate-session?
                              boolean
+--ro session-exists?
                              boolean
+--ro session-up-time?
                              yang:timestamp
+--ro session-fail-time?
                              yang:timestamp
+--ro session-fail-up-time?
                              yang:timestamp
+--ro sessions
   +--ro session* [initiator]
      +--ro initiator
                                    pcep-initiator
      +--ro role?
              -> /pcep/entity/role
      +--ro state-last-change?
                                    yang:timestamp
      +--ro state?
                                    pcep-sess-state
      +--ro session-creation?
                                    yang:timestamp
      +--ro connect-retry?
                                    yang:counter32
      +--ro local-id?
                                    uint8
      +--ro remote-id?
                                    uint8
      +--ro keepalive-timer?
                                    uint8
      +--ro peer-keepalive-timer?
                                    uint8
      +--ro dead-timer?
                                    uint8
      +--ro peer-dead-timer?
                                    uint8
      +--ro ka-hold-time-rem?
                                    uint8
      +--ro overloaded?
                                    boolean
      +--ro overload-time?
                                    uint32
      +--ro peer-overloaded?
                                    boolean
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 106]


```
+--ro peer-overload-time?
                                             uint32
                 +--ro lspdb-sync?
                                             sync-state
                        {stateful}?
                 +--ro recv-db-ver?
                                             uint64
                        {stateful, sync-opt}?
                 +--ro of-list {objective-function}?
                 | +--ro objective-function* [of]
                      +--ro of
                                  identityref
                 +--ro pst-list
                 | +--ro path-setup-type* [pst]
                      +--ro pst identityref
                 +--ro assoc-type-list {association}?
                 | +--ro assoc-type* [at]
                     +--ro at
                                 identityref
                 +--ro speaker-entity-id? string {sync-opt}?
                 +--ro discontinuity-time? yang:timestamp
rpcs:
 +---x trigger-resync {stateful, sync-opt}?
    +---w input
       +---w pcc? -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
notifications:
 +---n pcep-session-up
   +--ro peer-addr?
                             -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
 +--ro session-initiator?
            -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/sessions/session/initiator
 +--ro state-last-change? yang:timestamp
   +--ro state?
                              pcep-sess-state
 +---n pcep-session-down
 | +--ro peer-addr?
                              -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
 | +--ro session-initiator?
                              pcep-initiator
 +--ro state-last-change? yang:timestamp
  | +--ro state?
                              pcep-sess-state
 +---n pcep-session-local-overload
  +--ro peer-addr?
                              -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
 | +--ro session-initiator?
            -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/sessions/session/initiator
 | +--ro overloaded?
                             boolean
   +--ro overload-time?
                              uint32
 +---n pcep-session-local-overload-clear
 | +--ro peer-addr?
                       -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
 | +--ro overloaded?
                       boolean
 +---n pcep-session-peer-overload
 | +--ro peer-addr?
                              -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
 | +--ro session-initiator?
   -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/sessions/session/initiator
   +--ro peer-overloaded?
                              boolean
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 107]

```
| +--ro peer-overload-time? uint32
+--n pcep-session-peer-overload-clear
+--ro peer-addr? -> /pcep/entity/peers/peer/addr
+--ro peer-overloaded? boolean
```

# Appendix B. Example

The example below provide an overview of PCEP peer session informations and LSP-DB in the Yang Module.



Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 108]

```
}
}
"lsp-db" : [
   "lsp" : {
        "plsp-id": 3,
        "pcc-id":"192.0.2.1",
        "source": "192.0.2.1",
        "destination": "192.0.2.4"
        "tunnel-id": 16,
        "lsp-id": 3,
        "extended-tunnel-id": 0,
        "oper-status": "oper-status-up",
        "delegated": true,
        "symbolic-path-name": "iewauh",
        },
   "lsp" : {
        "plsp-id": 4,
        "pcc-id" :"192.0.2.2",
        "source": "192.0.2.2",
        "destination": "192.0.2.5"
        "tunnel-id": 17,
        "lsp-id": 4
        "extended-tunnel-id": 0,
        "oper-status": "oper-status-up",
        "delegated": true,
        "symbolic-path-name": "iewauhiewauh",
        "extended-tunnel-id": 0
]
"peers":[
    {
        "peer": {
             "addr":"192.0.2.1",
             "role": "pcc",
             "capability": {
                 "stateful" : {
                       "enabled": true,
                       "active": yes,
                 }
             }
             "sessions": [
                 {
                     "session": {
                          "initiator": "remote",
                          "role": "pcc",
                     }
                 }
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 109]

```
]
              }
         },
         {
             "peer": {
                   "addr":"192.0.2.2",
                   "role": "pcc",
                   "capability": {
                       "stateful" : {
                            "enabled": true,
                            "active": true,
                       }
                   }
                   "sessions": [
                       {
                           "session": {
                               "initiator": "remote",
                               "role": "pcc",
                           }
                       }
                   ]
              }
         }
     ]
},
{
     "addr": "2001:DB8::3",
     "oper-status": "oper-status-up",
     "role":"pce",
     "peers":[
         {
             "peer": {
                   "addr":"2001:DB8::4",
                   "role": "pcc",
                   "sessions": [
                       {
                           "session": {
                               "initiator": "remote",
                               "role": "pcc",
                           }
                       }
                   ]
              }
         }
     ]
}
```

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 110]

}

## Appendix C. Contributor Addresses

Rohit Pobbathi Huawei Technologies Divyashree Techno Park, Whitefield Bangalore, Karnataka 560066 India

EMail: rohit.pobbathi@huawei.com

Vinod KumarS Huawei Technologies Divyashree Techno Park, Whitefield Bangalore, Karnataka 560066 India

EMail: vinods.kumar@huawei.com

Zafar Ali Cisco Systems Canada

EMail: zali@cisco.com

Xufeng Liu Volta Networks

EMail: xufeng.liu.ietf@gmail.com

Young Lee Samsung

EMail: younglee.tx@gmail.com

Udayasree Palle

EMail: udayasreereddy@gmail.com

Xian Zhang Huawei Technologies Bantian, Longgang District Shenzhen 518129 P.R.China

EMail: zhang.xian@huawei.com

Dhody, et al. Expires August 26, 2021 [Page 111]

Avantika ECI Telecom India

EMail: avantika.srm@gmail.com

Shashikanth Huawei Technologies Divyashree Techno Park, Whitefield Bangalore, Karnataka 560066 India

EMail: shashikanth.vh@huawei.com

### Authors' Addresses

Dhruv Dhody (editor) Huawei Technologies Divyashree Techno Park, Whitefield Bangalore, Karnataka 560066 India

EMail: dhruv.ietf@gmail.com

Jonathan Hardwick Metaswitch 100 Church Street Enfield EN2 6BQ UK

 ${\tt EMail: jonathan.hardwick@metaswitch.com}$ 

Vishnu Pavan Beeram Juniper Networks USA

EMail: vbeeram@juniper.net

Jeff Tantsura Apstra, Inc. USA

EMail: jefftant.ietf@gmail.com