

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Updates: [5384](#) (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: October 27, 2016

S. Venaas
J. Arango
Cisco Systems
I. Kouvelas
Arista Networks
April 25, 2016

Hierarchical Join/Prune Attributes
draft-ietf-pim-hierarchicaljoinattr-08.txt

Abstract

This document defines a hierarchical method of encoding Join attributes, providing a more efficient encoding when the same attribute values need to be specified for multiple sources in a PIM Join/Prune message. This document updates [RFC 5384](#) by renaming the Encoding Type registry specified there.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on October 27, 2016.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in [Section 4](#).e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Requirements Notation	3
3.	Hierarchical Join/Prune Attribute Definition	3
4.	PIM Address Encoding Types	6
5.	Hierarchical Join/Prune Attribute Hello Option	6
6.	Security Considerations	7
7.	IANA Considerations	7
8.	Normative References	7
	Authors' Addresses	7

[1.](#) Introduction

PIM Join attributes as defined in [[RFC5384](#)] allow for specifying a set of attributes for each of the joined or pruned sources in a PIM Join/Prune message. Attributes must be separately specified for each individual source in the message. However, in some cases the same attributes and values need to be specified for some, or even all, the sources in the message. The attributes and their values then need to be repeated for each of the sources where they apply.

This document provides a hierarchical way of encoding attributes and their values in a Join/Prune message, so that if the same attribute and value is to apply for all the sources, it needs only be specified once in the message. Similarly, if all the sources in a specific group set share a specific attribute and value, it needs only be specified once for the entire group set.

This document extends [[RFC5384](#)] by specifying that the encoding type defined there also applies to Encoded-Unicast and Encoded-Group formats. This document also updates [RFC 5384](#) by renaming the PIM Encoded-Source Address Encoding Type Field registry to be a PIM Address Encoding Type registry. The content of the registry remains the same. The encoding type used for Join attributes is however still limited to be used in Join/Prune messages. Note that Join attributes, as they are referred to in [[RFC5384](#)], also apply to pruned sources in a Join/Prune message. Thus the more correct name Join/Prune attributes will be used throughout the rest of this document.

This document allows Join/Prune attributes to be specified in the Upstream Neighbor Address field, and also in the Multicast Group Address field, of a Join/Prune message. It defines how this is used to specify the same Join/Prune attribute and value for multiple

sources. This document also defines a new Hello Option to indicate support for the hierarchical encoding specified.

2. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

3. Hierarchical Join/Prune Attribute Definition

The format of a PIM Join/Prune message is defined in [[RFC7761](#)] as follows:

```

      0                               1                               2                               3
      0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|PIM Ver| Type |   Reserved   |           Checksum           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Upstream Neighbor Address (Encoded-Unicast format)           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|   Reserved   | Num groups   |           Holdtime           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Multicast Group Address 1 (Encoded-Group format)           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|   Number of Joined Sources   |   Number of Pruned Sources   |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Joined Source Address 1 (Encoded-Source format)           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           .           |
|           .           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Joined Source Address n (Encoded-Source format)           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Pruned Source Address 1 (Encoded-Source format)           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           .           |
|           .           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Pruned Source Address n (Encoded-Source format)           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           .           |
|           .           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Multicast Group Address m (Encoded-Group format)           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|   Number of Joined Sources   |   Number of Pruned Sources   |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Joined Source Address 1 (Encoded-Source format)           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           .           |
|           .           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Joined Source Address n (Encoded-Source format)           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Pruned Source Address 1 (Encoded-Source format)           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           .           |
|           .           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
|           Pruned Source Address n (Encoded-Source format)           |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```


The message contains a single Upstream Neighbor Address, and one or more group sets. Each group set contains a Group Address and two source lists, the Joined Sources and the Pruned Sources. The Upstream Neighbor Address, the group addresses and the source addresses are encoded in Encoded-Unicast format, Encoded-Group format and Encoded-Source format, respectively. This document extends the use of the source address encoding defined in [\[RFC5384\]](#) to also apply to the Upstream Neighbor Address and the Group Address fields, see [Section 4](#).

For a Join/Prune message a hierarchy of Join/Prune attributes is defined. Attributes at the highest level, that is the least specific, apply to every source in the message. These are encoded in the Upstream Neighbor Address. Attributes at the next more specific level apply to every source in a group set. They are encoded in a Group Address. And finally there are attributes that apply to a single source, encoded in the source address as defined in [\[RFC5384\]](#).

The complete set of attributes that apply to a given source is obtained by combining the message wide attributes, the attributes of the group set that the source belongs to, and the source specific attributes. However, if the same attribute is specified at multiple levels, then the one at the most specific level overrides the other instances of the attribute. Note that the set of attributes and their values is formed before processing the attributes. Hence a value that is invalid for a given type might override a valid value at a higher level.

As an example, say that for a given source we have attributes T_1 with value V_1, T_2 with value V_2, and T_3 with value V_3. Also that in the Group Address of the source's group set we have attributes T_1 with value V_6, and T_4 with value V_4. And that we in the Upstream Neighbor Address have encoded the attributes T_1 with value V_7, T_4 with value V_8, and T_5 with value V_5. The attributes applied to the given source will be T_1 with value V_1, T_2 with value V_2, T_3 with value V_3, T_4 with value V_4 and T_5 with value V_5. Here we have T_1 with different values at each level, so we use the value specified at the source level. Also we have T_4 with different values at the group and message levels, so we use the value at the group level. Here it could be that V_1 is not a valid value for T_1, but it still overrides the values at the higher levels as we do not process the attributes until after forming the set.

Note that Join/Prune attributes are still applied to sources as specified in [\[RFC5384\]](#). This document does not change the meaning of any attributes, it is simply a more compact way of encoding an attribute when the same attribute and value applies to multiple

A PIM router MUST NOT send a Join/Prune message with Join/Prune attributes encoded in the Upstream Neighbor Address or any of the group addresses out any interface on which there is a PIM neighbor that has not included this option in its Hellos. Even a router that is not the upstream neighbor must be able to parse the message in order to perform Join suppression and Prune override.

6. Security Considerations

This document specifies a more compact encoding of Join/Prune attributes. Use of the encoding has no impact on security aside from using the encoding in [RFC5384]. For instance an attack with a forged message with certain attribute values is equally difficult independent of which encoding is used. If an attribute that applies to the entire message is wrong, then that may cause an issue for all the sources in the message. But without this encoding, one would instead include that attribute for every single source, and that would also cause an issue for all the sources in the message.

7. IANA Considerations

The current PIM Encoded-Source Address Encoding Type Field registry needs to be renamed to a PIM Address Encoding Type registry. The content of the registry remains the same. The Hierarchical Join/Prune Attribute Hello Option needs to be added to the PIM-Hello Options registry, and TBD above must be replaced by the assigned value.

8. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC5384] Boers, A., Wijnands, I., and E. Rosen, "The Protocol Independent Multicast (PIM) Join Attribute Format", [RFC 5384](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC5384, November 2008, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5384>>.
- [RFC7761] Fenner, B., Handley, M., Holbrook, H., Kouvelas, I., Parekh, R., Zhang, Z., and L. Zheng, "Protocol Independent Multicast - Sparse Mode (PIM-SM): Protocol Specification (Revised)", STD 83, [RFC 7761](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC7761, March 2016, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7761>>.

Authors' Addresses

Stig Venaas
Cisco Systems
Tasman Drive
San Jose, CA 95134
USA

Email: stig@cisco.com

Jesus Arango
Cisco Systems
Tasman Drive
San Jose, CA 95134
USA

Email: jearango@cisco.com

Isidor Kouvelas
Arista Networks
5453 Great America Parkway
Santa Clara, CA 95054
USA

Email: kouvelas@arista.com

