Internet Draft PKIX Working Group <u>draft-ietf-pkix-cmmf-01.txt</u> Expires in 6 months C. Adams (Entrust Technologies)

M. Myers (VeriSign)

July 1998

Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure
Certificate Management Message Formats
 <<u>draft-ietf-pkix-cmmf-02.txt</u>>

### **<u>1</u>**. Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of 6 months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the "1id-abstracts.txt" listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ftp.is.co.za(Africa), nic.nordu.net (Europe), munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim), ftp.ietf.org (US East Coast), or ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast).

# 2. Abstract

This is a draft of the Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure (PKI) Certificate Management Messages Formats (CMMF). It establishes harmonized message formats to be used in conjunction with security protocol implementations that require interaction with a Public Key Infrastructure (PKI).

This draft is being discussed on the "ietf-pkix" mailing list. To subscribe, send a message to ietf-pkix-request@tandem.com with the single word "subscribe" in the body of the message.

# 3. Introduction

This document defines message formats to be used between a PKI client and a PKI server or service(where a PKI is defined to encompass the roles of Registration Authority and Certification Authority). Using these message formats, a PKI client may:

- Request public key certification
  - Responses may include CA-generated key pairs
- Request revocation of a certificate
- Receive announcements directly from a PKI on:
  - CA Key Update
  - Renewed Certificates

- Subscriber Revocation notices
- CRL refresh

[Page 1]

- Request data of a PKI, including:
  - OneÆs own or othersÆ certificate
  - CRL download

The specification of a transaction protocol using these message formats is beyond the scope of this document. It is expected that such protocols will be defined with a view towards localized interoperability needs. Where requirements exist to exchange information which is substantially aligned with the message content in this document, such protocols should reference these message formats.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document (in uppercase, as shown) are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

# <u>4</u>. Common Data Structures

Several structures are common to more than one message format. These are:

- Status information
- Failure information
- Certificate identification
- Encrypted values

### 4.1 Status Information

Responses may include status information pertaining to a prior request. The following values are defined:

```
PKIStatus ::= INTEGER {
   granted
                           (0),
    -- you got exactly what you asked for
   grantedWithMods
                           (1),
    -- you got something like what you asked for; the
    -- requester is responsible for ascertaining the differences
   rejection
                           (2),
    -- you don't get it, more information elsewhere in the message
   waiting
                           (3),
    -- the request body part has not yet been processed,
    -- expect to hear more later
   revocationWarning
                           (4),
    -- this message contains a warning that a revocation is
    -- imminent
   revocationNotification (5),
    -- notification that a revocation has occurred
    keyUpdateWarning
                           (6)
    -- update already done for the oldCertId specified in
    -- FullCertTemplate
```

}

Myers, Adams

[Page 2]

# 4.2 Failure Information

```
The following values may be used to provide more information about failure cases.
```

```
PKIFailureInfo ::= BIT STRING {
-- since we can fail in more than one way!
-- More codes may be added in the future if/when required.
    badAlq
                     (0),
    -- unrecognized or unsupported Algorithm Identifier
    badMessageCheck (1),
    -- integrity check failed (e.g., signature did not verify)
    badRequest
                     (2),
    -- transaction not permitted or supported
    badTime
                     (3),
    -- messageTime was not sufficiently close to the system time,
    -- as defined by local policy
    badCertId
                     (4),
    -- no certificate could be found matching the provided criteria
    badDataFormat
                     (5),
    -- the data submitted has the wrong format
    wrongAuthority
                     (6),
    -- the authority indicated in the request is different from the
    -- one creating the response token
    incorrectData
                     (7),
    -- the requester's data is incorrect (used for notary services)
    missingTimeStamp (8)
    -- when the timestamp is missing but should be there (by policy)
}
PKIStatusInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
    status
                 PKIStatus,
    statusString PKIFreeText OPTIONAL,
    failInfo PKIFailureInfo OPTIONAL }
PKIFreeText ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF UTF8String
    -- text encoded as UTF-8 String (note: each UTF8String SHOULD
    -- include an RFC 1766 language tag to indicate the language
    -- of the contained text)
```

# 4.3 Certificate Identification

In order to identify particular certificates the following data structure is used.

# **<u>4.4</u>** Encrypted Values

Certain values in responses may require encryption beyond that that which may be performed in a PKI protocol. For example, a CA may

Myers, Adams

[Page 3]

generate key pairs for subscribers but deliver them via an RA.As a general rule RAs should be prohibited from unnecessary or inadvertent contact with a subscriberÆs private keys.

EncryptedData ::= CHOICE {
 encryptedValue [0] EncryptedValue,
 cMSEnveloped [1] EnvelopedData }

The syntax of encryptedValue is defined in [<u>CRMF</u>]. For reference, this syntax is:

EncryptedValue ::= SEQUENCE {

intendedAlg [0] AlgorithmIdentifier OPTIONAL, -- the intended algorithm for which the value will be used symmAlg [1] AlgorithmIdentifier OPTIONAL, -- the symmetric algorithm used to encrypt the value encSymmKey [2] BIT STRING OPTIONAL, -- the (encrypted) symmetric key used to encrypt the value kevAlq [3] AlgorithmIdentifier OPTIONAL, -- algorithm used to encrypt the symmetric key valueHint [4] OCTET STRING OPTIONAL, -- a brief description or identifier of the encValue content -- (may be meaningful only to the sending entity, and used only -- if EncryptedValue might be re-examined by the sending entity -- in the future) encValue BIT STRING }

Use of this data structure requires that the creator and intended recipient respectively be able to encrypt and decrypt. Typically, this will mean that the sender and recipient have, or are able to generate, a shared secret key.

For key agreement algorithms (such as Diffie-Hellman), the encSymmKey field of EncryptedValue is not included. For key exchange algorithms (such as RSA), the encSymmKey is a symmetric key encrypted under the subscriber's public key.

If the recipient of the PKIMessage already possesses a private key usable for decryption, then the encSymmKey field MAY contain a session key encrypted using the recipientÆs public key.

# **<u>5</u>**. Message Formats

### **5.1** Certification Request

[CRMF] defines the preferred structure for production of certification requests. It is a flexible mechanism designed to enable mandatory and optional cryptographic algorithms, centralized key generation, a variety of proof-of-possession options and several other control features useful to a robust PKI client enrollment capability.

Current industry practice can be supported for clients through use of the following structure.

Myers, Adams

[Page 4]

PKCSReq ::= SEQUENCE	{
endEntityInfo	EndEntityInfo,
regInfo	OCTET STRING OPTIONAL
certReqId	<pre>INTEGER OPTIONAL }</pre>

The endEntityInfo field is used to carry a public key, to prove possession of the public key and to provide additional ASN.1 attributes useful to production of the resulting certificate.

The certReqId field can be used to identify individual certification requests in the event multiple PKCSReqs are sent to a CA.

The regInfo field contains supplementary information related to the context of the certification request when such information is required to fulfill a certification request. This information could include subscriber contact information, billing information or other ancillary information useful to fulfillment of the certification request.

Current industry practice has established two structures for producing a signed public key. The use of explicit tagging below allows disambiguation among these options while maintaining bits on the wire compatibility with prior implementations:

endEntityInfo :: CHOICE {
 pKCS10 CertificationRequest,
 signedKey [0] SignedPublicKeyAndChallenge }

SignedPublicKeyAndChallenge :: SEQUENCE {
 publicKeyAndChallenge PublicKeyAndChallenge,
 signatureAlgorithm AlgorithmIdentifier,
 signature BIT STRING }

PublicKeyAndChallenge :: SEQUENCE {
 spki SubjectPublicKeyInfo,
 challenge IA5String }

CertificationRequest ::=	SEQUENCE {
certificationRequestInfo	SEQUENCE {
version	INTEGER,
subject	Name,
subjectPublicKeyInfo	SEQUENCE {
algorithm	AlgorithmIdentifier,
subjectPublicKey	BIT STRING }
attributes	<pre>[0] IMPLICIT SET OF Attribute }</pre>
signatureAlgorithm	AlgorithmIdentifier,
signature	BIT STRING }

The client MAY incorporate one or more standard X.509 v3 extensions in the request as an ExtensionReq attribute:

```
{\tt ExtensionReq} \ {\tt := SEQUENCE} \ {\tt OF} \ {\tt Extension}
```

[Page 5]

where Extension is imported from PKIX Part 1 and ExtensionReq is identified by the OID value {pkcs-9 14}.

#### **5.2** Certification Response

Two options are established for the response to a certification request: a simple form that enables basic interoperability and a form that enables generation of subscriber private keys by the CA.

### 5.2.1 Use of SignedData

[CMS] supports a degenerate case of the SignedData content type where there are no signers on the content. This degenerate case is used to convey certificate and CRL information. Certification Authorities MAY use this format for returning certificate information resulting from the successful fulfillment of a certification request. The certificate response MUST include the actual subject certificate corresponding to the information in the certification request. The response SHOULD include other certificates which link the issuer to higher level certification authorities and corresponding CRLs.

CertRep ::= SEQUENCE {
 response SignedData, OPTIONAL
 rspInfo OCTET STRING }

Successful requests would include the resulting certificate(s) in the response field along with any additional {name, value} information useful to client-side processing of a successful request. In the event of an unsuccessful request, the rspInfo field contains information regarding the failure which may be useful to the requesting entity.

RspInfo content MAY include state information the PKI client needs such as the contents of the regInfo in the CRMF ( see [CRMF]). Other additional data could include detailed error information that uniquely identifies an information field supplied in the original regInfo; e.g. the Zip Code was incorrect for the specified address or locale or billing failed because credit card information was in error.

### 5.2.2 CertRepContent

A CertRepContent data structure contains a CA public key, a status value and optionally failure information, a subject certificate, and an encrypted private key.

```
CertRepContent ::= SEQUENCE {
    caPubs [1] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF Certificate OPTIONAL,
    response SEQUENCE of CertResponse }
CertResponse ::= SEQUENCE {
    certReqId INTEGER,
```

-- to match this response with corresponding request (a value

-- of -1 is to be used if certReqId is not specified in the

-- corresponding request)

Myers, Adams

[Page 6]

```
status
                        PKIStatusInfo,
                        CertifiedKeyPair,
   certifiedKeyPair
                                            OPTIONAL }
CertifiedKeyPair ::= SEQUENCE {
   cert0rEncCert
                        CertOrEncCert,
                    [0] EncryptedValue
    privateKey
                                            OPTIONAL,
    publicationInfo [1] PKIPublicationInfo OPTIONAL }
CertOrEncCert ::= CHOICE {
                    [0] Certificate,
   certificate
                    [1] EncryptedValue }
   encryptedCert
```

Given an EncryptedCert and the relevant decryption key the certificate may be obtained. The purpose of this is to allow a CA to return the value of a certificate, but with the constraint that only the intended recipient can obtain the actual certificate. The benefit of this approach is that a CA may reply with a certificate even in the absence of a proof that the requester is the end entity which can use the relevant private key (note that the proof is not obtained until confirmation of receipt is received by the CA). Thus the CA will not have to revoke that certificate in the event that something goes wrong with the proof of possession.

### 5.3 GetCertInitial

The GetCertInitial message is used to poll for the certificate associated with prior request if the response to that prior request was a CertRep message with a status of PENDING.

Certificates are normally referenced using the CA DN and the certificate serial number. In this case however a certificate has not yet been issued. Thus the CA and Subject DNs are present in the message as a means to identify the requested certification. The certReqId field, if used, contains a value that matches a corresponding value in a prior certification request in the event multiple requests have been sent to a CA and those requests contained a certReqId value.

```
IssuerAndSubject ::= SEQUENCE {
   issuer Name,
   subject Name,
   certRegId INTEGER OPTIONAL }
```

#### 5.4 GetCRL

This operation is used to retrieve CRLs from a certificate server or serviceÆs repository. This message is useful in circumstances where a fully-deployed Directory is either infeasible or undesired.

The GetCRL message body consists of the following syntax:

[Page 7]

The Name component is the value of the Issuer DN in the subject certificate. The cRLName component may be the value of CRLDistributionPoints in the subject certificate or equivalent value in the event the certificate does not contain such a value. The time component is used by the client to specify from among potentially several issues of CRL that one whose thisUpdate value is less than but nearest to the specified time. In the absence of a time component, the CA always returns with the most recent CRL. The reasonFlags field can be used to specify from among CRLs partitioned by revocation reason. Implementors should bear in mind that while a specific revocation request has a single CRLReason code--and consequently entries in the CRL would have a single CRLReason code value--a single CRL can aggregate information for one or more reasonFlags.

### **5.5** Revocation Request

Two options are established to enable programmatic submission of a revocation request.

### 5.5.1 RevRequest

RevRequests ::= SEQUENCE OF RevRequest

RevRequest ::= SEQUENCE {
 issuerName Name,
 serialNumber INTEGER,
 reason CRLReason,
 passphrase OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,
 comment UTF8string OPTIONAL }

For a revocation request to become a reliable object in the event of a dispute, a strong proof of originator authenticity is required. A Registration AuthorityÆs digital signature on the request can provide this proof for certificates within the scope of the RAÆs revocation authority. However, in the instance when an end-entity has lost use of their signature private key, it is impossible to produce a reliable digital signature. [CRMF] provides for the use specification of a passphrase during enrollment which may be used as an alternative authenticator in the instance of loss of use. The acceptability of this practice is a matter of local security policy.

#### 5.5.2 RevReqContent

When requesting revocation of a certificate (or several certificates)

the following data structure is used.

Myers, Adams

[Page 8]

February 1998

```
RevReqContent ::= SEQUENCE OF RevDetails
 RevDetails ::= SEQUENCE {
     certDetails
                         CertTemplate,
      -- allows requester to specify as much as they can about
      -- the cert. for which revocation is requested
      -- (e.g., for cases in which serialNumber is not available)
      revocationReason
                          ReasonFlags,
      -- from the Draft Amendment (DAM), so CA knows what to use in
      -- Distribution point
     badSinceDate
                          GeneralizedTime OPTIONAL,
      -- indicates best knowledge of sender
     crlEntryDetails
                         Extensions
      -- requested crlEntryExtensions }
```

### **5.6** Revocation Response

The response to the RevReqContent message. If produced, this is sent to the requester of the revocation. (A separate revocation announcement message MAY be sent to the subject of the certificate for which revocation was requested.)

```
RevRepContent ::= SEQUENCE {
   status SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF PKIStatusInfo,
   -- in same order as was sent in RevReqContent
   revCerts [0] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF CertId OPTIONAL,
   -- IDs for which revocation was requested (same order as status)
   crls [1] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF CertificateList OPTIONAL
   -- the resulting CRLs (there may be more than one) }
```

# 5.7 Challenge-Response Proof Of Possession

[CRMF] establishes the basis for a challenge-response proof of possession technique. The challenge-response messages for proof of possession of a private decryption key are specified as follows (see [MvOV97, p.404], for details).

```
POPODecKeyChallContent ::= SEQUENCE OF Challenge
-- One Challenge per encryption key certification request (in the
-- same order as these requests appear in FullCertTemplates).
Challenge ::= SEQUENCE {
    owf AlgorithmIdentifier OPTIONAL,
    -- MUST be present in the first Challenge; MAY be omitted in any
    -- subsequent Challenge in POPODecKeyChallContent (if omitted,
    -- then the owf used in the immediately preceding Challenge is
    -- to be used).
    witness OCTET STRING,
    -- the result of applying the one-way function (owf) to a
```

-- randomly-generated INTEGER, A. [Note that a different

-- INTEGER MUST be used for each Challenge.]

Myers, Adams

[Page 9]

challenge OCTET STRING -- the encryption (under the public key for which the cert. -- request is being made) of Rand, where Rand is specified as Rand ::= SEQUENCE { int INTEGER, - -- the randomly-generated INTEGER A (above) sender GeneralName - -- the sender's name - -- -} }

POPODecKeyRespContent ::= SEQUENCE OF INTEGER

-- One INTEGER per encryption key certification request (in the

-- same order as these requests appear in CertReqMessages). The

-- retrieved INTEGER A (above) is returned to the sender of the

-- corresponding Challenge.

It is recognized that, in general, there is a security risk associated with the decryption of arbitrary data (that is, it is typically not recommended that an entity decrypts arbitrary received ciphertext and returns the corresponding, random-looking plaintext). This is because obtaining the plaintext for carefully-chosen "ciphertexts" may in some cases leak information or provide the challenger with signatures on documents that the receiver had no intention of signing.

The witness parameter in the above challenge precludes such attacks because it convincingly demonstrates to the receiver that the challenger knew the plaintext that corresponds to each of the ciphertext challenges prior to the exchange. Therefore, in a strict information-theoretic sense, the challenger learns no information whatsoever other than the fact that the receiver is in possession of the private key corresponding to the public key for which certification is requested (which is what this protocol is explicitly designed to show). Furthermore, the challenger is not in possession of any data (e.g., a signature on a document) after the exchange that it did not already possess prior to the exchange.

### **5.8** PKI Confirmation content

This data structure is used in three-way protocols as the final PKIMessage. Its content is the same in all cases - actually there is no content since the PKIHeader carries all the required information.

PKIConfirmContent ::= NULL

### **5.9** Announcements

>From time to time a PKI may need to distribute information to its clients or subscribers. These messages are generally unsolicited and

and may be received by end entities at random times. Implementers of protocols that use these message formats should take this effect into account.

Myers, Adams

[Page 10]

#### 5.9.1 CA Key Update Announcement content

When a CA updates its own key pair the following data structure MAY be used to announce this event.

To change the key of the CA, the CA does the following:

1.Generate a new key pair;

- 2.Create a certificate containing the old CA public key signed with the new private key (the "old with new" certificate);
- 3.Create a certificate containing the new CA public key signed with the old private key (the "new with old" certificate);
- 4.Create a certificate containing the new CA public key signed with the new private key (the "new with new" certificate);
- 5.Publish these new certificates via the directory and/or other means
- 6.Export the new CA public key so that end entities may acquire it using the "out-of-band" mechanism (if required).

The old CA private key is then no longer required. The old CA public key will however remain in use for some time. The time when the old CA public key is no longer required (other than for non-repudiation) will be when all end entities of this CA have securely acquired the new CA public key.

The "old with new" certificate must have a validity period starting at the generation time of the old key pair and ending at the expiry date of the old public key.

The "new with old" certificate must have a validity period starting at the generation time of the new key pair and ending at the time by which all end entities of this CA will securely possess the new CA public key (at the latest, the expiry date of the old public key).

The "new with new" certificate must have a validity period starting at the generation time of the new key pair and ending at the time by which the CA will next update its key pair.

#### 5.9.2 Certificate Announcement

This structure MAY be used to announce the existence of certificates.

Myers, Adams

[Page 11]

Note that this message is intended to be used for those cases (if any) where there is no pre-existing method for publication of certificates; it is not intended to be used where, for example, X.500 is the method for publication of certificates.

CertAnnContent ::= Certificate

#### 5.9.3 CRL Announcement

When a CA issues a new CRL (or set of CRLs) the following data structure MAY be used to announce this event.

CRLAnnContent ::= SEQUENCE OF CertificateList

### 5.9.4 Revocation Announcement

When a CA has revoked, or is about to revoke, a particular certificate it MAY issue an announcement of this (possibly upcoming) event.

A CA MAY use such an announcement to warn (or notify) a subject that its certificate is about to be (or has been) revoked. This would typically be used where the request for revocation did not come from the subject concerned.

The willBeRevokedAt field contains the time at which a new entry will be added to the relevant CRLs.

### 5.10 Key recovery Response

For key recovery responses the following syntax is used. For some status values (e.g., waiting) none of the optional fields will be present.

}

[Page 12]

### 5.11 PKI General Message content

>From time to time a PKI MAY announce other information not explicitly called out in this specification. In such cases the following structure MAY be used to unambiguously identify this information when transmitted as a PKI message.

```
InfoTypeAndValue ::= SEQUENCE {
     infoType
                             OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
     infoValue
                             ANY DEFINED BY infoType OPTIONAL
 }
  -- This construct MAY also be used to define new PKIX Certificate
  -- Management Protocol request and response messages, or general-
  -- purpose (e.g., announcement) messages for future needs or for
  -- specific environments.
  GenMsgContent ::= SEQUENCE OF InfoTypeAndValue
  -- May be sent by EE, RA, or CA (depending on message content).
  -- The OPTIONAL infoValue parameter of InfoTypeAndValue will typically
  -- be omitted for some of the examples given above. The receiver is
  -- free to ignore any contained OBJ. IDs that it does not recognize.
  -- If sent from EE to CA, the empty set indicates that the CA may send
  -- any/all information that it wishes.
```

### 5.12 PKI General Response content

GenRepContent ::= SEQUENCE OF InfoTypeAndValue
 -- The receiver is free to ignore any contained OBJ. IDs that it does
 -- not recognize.

# 5.13 Error Message

```
ErrorMsgContent ::= SEQUENCE {

pKIStatusInfo PKIStatusInfo,

errorCode INTEGER OPTIONAL,

-- implementation-specific error codes

errorDetails PKIFreeText OPTIONAL

-- implementation-specific error details

}
```

### **<u>6</u>**. SECURITY CONSIDERATIONS

This entire memo is about security mechanisms.

One cryptographic consideration is worth explicitly spelling out. In the protocols specified above, when an end entity is required to prove possession of a decryption key, it is effectively challenged to decrypt something (its own certificate). This scheme (and many others!) could be vulnerable to an attack if the possessor of the decryption key in question could be fooled into decrypting an arbitrary challenge and returning the cleartext to an attacker. Although in this specification a number of other failures in security are required in order for this attack to succeed, it is conceivable that some future services (e.g., notary, trusted time)

Myers, Adams

[Page 13]

could potentially be vulnerable to such attacks. For this reason we re-iterate the general rule that implementations should be very careful about decrypting arbitrary "ciphertext" and revealing recovered "plaintext" since such a practice can lead to serious security vulnerabilities.

# 7. References

- [COR95] ISO/IEC JTC 1/SC 21, Technical Corrigendum 2 to ISO/IEC 9594-8: 1990 & 1993 (1995:E), July 1995.
- [MvOV97] A. Menezes, P. van Oorschot, S. Vanstone, "Handbook of Applied Cryptography", CRC Press, 1997.
- [PKCS7] RSA Laboratories, "The Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS)", RSA Data Security Inc., Redwood City, California, November 1993 Release.
- [PKCS10] RSA Laboratories, "The Public-Key Cryptography Standards (PKCS)", RSA Data Security Inc., Redwood City, California, November 1993 Release.
- [PKCS11] RSA Laboratories, "The Public-Key Cryptography Standards -PKCS #11: Cryptographic token interface standard", RSA Data Security Inc., Redwood City, California, April 28, 1995.
- [RFC2104] H. Krawczyk, M. Bellare, R. Canetti, "HMAC: Keyed Hashing for Message Authentication", Internet Request for Comments 2104, February, 1997.
- [RFC2119] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", Internet Request for Comments 2119 (Best Current Practice: <u>BCP 14</u>), March, 1997.
- [X509-AM] ISO/IEC JTC1/SC 21, Draft Amendments DAM 4 to ISO/IEC 9594-2, DAM 2 to ISO/IEC 9594-6, DAM 1 to ISO/IEC 9594-7, and DAM 1 to ISO/IEC 9594-8 on Certificate Extensions, 1 December, 1996.
- [CRMF] M. Myers, C. Adams, D. Solo, D. Kemp, Certificate Request Message Format, <<u>draft-ietf-pkix-crmf-01.txt</u>>, May, 1998
- [CMS] R. Housley, Cryptographic Message Syntax
   <<u>draft-ietf-smime-cms-02.txt</u>>
   December, 1997
- [PKCS8] Private Key Information Syntax Standard, v1.2

RSA Laboratories Technical Note November 1, 1993

Myers, Adams

[Page 14]

```
Authors' Addresses
   Michael Myers
  VeriSign, Inc.
   1390 Shorebird Way,
   Mountain View, CA 94043
   mmyers@verisign.com
  Carlisle Adams
   Entrust Technologies
   750 Heron Road, Suite E08,
  Ottawa, Ontario
   Canada K1V 1A7
   cadams@entrust.com
Appendix A: ASN.1 Module
CMMF DEFINITIONS IMPLICIT TAGS ::=
BEGIN
IMPORTS
      -- Directory Authentication Framework (X.509)
             Version, AlgorithmIdentifier, Name, Time,
             SubjectPublicKeyInfo, Extensions, UniqueIdentifier,
             GeneralizedTime
                 FROM AuthenticationFramework { joint-iso-itu-t ds(5)
                      module(1) authenticationFramework(7) 3 }
      -- Certificate Extensions (X.509)
            GeneralName
                 FROM CertificateExtensions {joint-iso-ccitt ds(5)
                      module(1) certificateExtensions(26) 0}
     -- Cryptographic Message Syntax
            EnvelopedData, SignedData
                FROM CryptographicMessageSyntax { iso(1) member-body(2)
                     us(840) rsadsi(113549) pkcs(1) pkcs-9(9) smime(16)
                     modules(0) cms(1) }
     -- Certificate Request Message Format
            EncryptedValue
                 FROM CRMF { . . . };
PKCSReq ::= SEQUENCE {
    endEntityInfo EndEntityInfo,
    regInfo
                     OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,
    certReqId
                   INTEGER OPTIONAL }
```

CertRep ::= SEQUENCE {
 response SignedData, OPTIONAL
 rspInfo OCTET STRING }

Myers, Adams

[Page 15]

```
endEntityInfo :: CHOICE {
pKCS10
               CertificationRequest,
signedKey [0] SignedPublicKeyAndChallenge }
SignedPublicKeyAndChallenge :: SEQUENCE {
publicKeyAndChallenge PublicKeyAndChallenge,
signatureAlgorithm AlgorithmIdentifier,
signature BIT STRING }
PublicKeyAndChallenge :: SEQUENCE {
spki SubjectPublicKeyInfo,
challenge IA5String }
CertificationRequest ::=
                                 SEQUENCE {
  certificationRequestInfo
                                   SEQUENCE {
   version
                                     INTEGER,
    subject
                                     Name,
    subjectPublicKeyInfo
                                     SEQUENCE {
      algorithm
                                       AlgorithmIdentifier,
      subjectPublicKey
                                       BIT STRING }
                                   [0] IMPLICIT SET OF Attribute }
    attributes
  signatureAlgorithm
                                   AlgorithmIdentifier,
  signature
                                   BIT STRING }
ExtensionReg ::= SEQUENCE OF Extension
CertRepContent ::= SEQUENCE {
             [1] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF Certificate OPTIONAL,
     caPubs
      response SEQUENCE of CertResponse }
CertResponse ::= SEQUENCE {
     certReqId
                          INTEGER,
      -- to match this response with corresponding request (a value
      -- of -1 is to be used if certReqId is not specified in the
      -- corresponding request)
                          PKIStatusInfo,
     status
     certifiedKeyPair
                         CertifiedKeyPair,
                                              OPTIONAL }
CertifiedKeyPair ::= SEQUENCE {
     cert0rEncCert
                         CertOrEncCert,
                      [0] EncryptedValue
      privateKev
                                              OPTIONAL,
     publicationInfo [1] PKIPublicationInfo OPTIONAL }
CertOrEncCert ::= CHOICE {
     certificate
                      [0] Certificate,
     encryptedCert
                    [1] EncryptedValue }
EncryptedData ::= CHOICE {
     encryptedValue
                          [0] EncryptedValue,
     cMSEnveloped
                         [1] EnvelopedData }
```

[Page 16]

```
IssuerAndSubject ::= SEQUENCE {
     issuer
                Name,
     subject
                Name,
     certReqId INTEGER OPTIONAL }
GetCRL ::= SEQUENCE {
    issuerName Name,
                GeneralName, OPTIONAL
    cRLName
                 GeneralizedTime, OPTIONAL
    time
            ReasonFlags OPTIONAL }
    reasons
RevRequests ::= SEQUENCE OF RevRequest
RevRequest ::= SEQUENCE {
    issuerName Name,
    serialNumber INTEGER,
    reason
                CRLReason,
   passphrase OCTET STRING OPTIONAL,
    comment
                UTF8string OPTIONAL }
RevReqContent ::= SEQUENCE OF RevDetails
RevDetails ::= SEQUENCE {
     certDetails
                         CertTemplate,
      -- allows requester to specify as much as they can about
      -- the cert. for which revocation is requested
      -- (e.g., for cases in which serialNumber is not available)
     revocationReason
                         ReasonFlags,
      -- from the Draft Amendment (DAM), so CA knows what to use in
     -- Distribution point
     badSinceDate
                         GeneralizedTime OPTIONAL,
      -- indicates best knowledge of sender
     crlEntryDetails
                       Extensions
      -- requested crlEntryExtensions }
RevRepContent ::= SEQUENCE {
                   SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF PKIStatusInfo,
     status
      -- in same order as was sent in RevReqContent
     revCerts [0] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF CertId OPTIONAL,
      -- IDs for which revocation was requested (same order as status)
               [1] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF CertificateList OPTIONAL
     crls
      -- the resulting CRLs (there may be more than one) }
POPODecKeyChallContent ::= SEQUENCE OF Challenge
  -- One Challenge per encryption key certification request (in the
  -- same order as these requests appear in FullCertTemplates).
Challenge ::= SEQUENCE {
                         AlgorithmIdentifier OPTIONAL,
     owf
```

- -- MUST be present in the first Challenge; MAY be omitted in any
- -- subsequent Challenge in POPODecKeyChallContent (if omitted,
- -- then the owf used in the immediately preceding Challenge is
- -- to be used).

[Page 17]

```
witness
                          OCTET STRING,
      -- the result of applying the one-way function (owf) to a
      -- randomly-generated INTEGER, A. [Note that a different
      -- INTEGER MUST be used for each Challenge.]
      challenge
                          OCTET STRING
      -- the encryption (under the public key for which the cert.
      -- request is being made) of Rand, where Rand is specified as
           Rand ::= SEQUENCE {
                       INTEGER,
      - -
              int
              - the randomly-generated INTEGER A (above)
      - -
             sender GeneralName
      - -
              - the sender's name
      - -
      - -
          }
 }
POPODecKeyRespContent ::= SEQUENCE OF INTEGER
  -- One INTEGER per encryption key certification request (in the
  -- same order as these requests appear in CertReqMessages). The
  -- retrieved INTEGER A (above) is returned to the sender of the
  -- corresponding Challenge.
PKIConfirmContent ::= NULL
PKIStatus ::= INTEGER {
      granted
                             (0),
      -- you got exactly what you asked for
      grantedWithMods
                             (1),
      -- you got something like what you asked for; the
      -- requester is responsible for ascertaining the differences
      rejection
                             (2),
      -- you don't get it, more information elsewhere in the message
      waiting
                             (3),
      -- the request body part has not yet been processed,
      -- expect to hear more later
      revocationWarning
                             (4),
      -- this message contains a warning that a revocation is
      -- imminent
      revocationNotification (5),
      -- notification that a revocation has occurred
      keyUpdateWarning
                             (6)
      -- update already done for the oldCertId specified in
      -- FullCertTemplate
  }
PKIFailureInfo ::= BIT STRING {
  -- since we can fail in more than one way!
  -- More codes may be added in the future if/when required.
      badAlg
                       (0),
      -- unrecognized or unsupported Algorithm Identifier
```

```
badMessageCheck (1),
-- integrity check failed (e.g., signature did not verify)
badRequest (2),
-- transaction not permitted or supported
```

[Page 18]

```
badTime
                       (3),
      -- messageTime was not sufficiently close to the system time,
      -- as defined by local policy
     badCertId
                       (4),
      -- no certificate could be found matching the provided criteria
     badDataFormat
                       (5),
      -- the data submitted has the wrong format
     wrongAuthority
                     (6),
      -- the authority indicated in the request is different from the
      -- one creating the response token
     incorrectData
                      (7),
      -- the requester's data is incorrect (used for notary services)
     missingTimeStamp (8)
      -- when the timestamp is missing but should be there (by policy)
 }
CAKeyUpdAnnContent ::= SEQUENCE {
     oldWithNew
                          Certificate, -- old pub signed with new priv
                         Certificate, -- new pub signed with old priv
     newWithOld
     newWithNew
                         Certificate -- new pub signed with new priv
 }
PKIStatusInfo ::= SEQUENCE {
      status
                   PKIStatus,
      statusString PKIFreeText
                                   OPTIONAL,
     failInfo PKIFailureInfo OPTIONAL }
PKIFreeText ::= SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF UTF8String
RevAnnContent ::= SEQUENCE {
     status
                          PKIStatus,
     certId
                          CertId,
     willBeRevokedAt
                          GeneralizedTime,
     badSinceDate
                          GeneralizedTime,
     crlDetails
                          Extensions OPTIONAL
      -- extra CRL details(e.g., crl number, reason, location, etc.) }
      -- include an RFC 1766 language tag to indicate the language
      -- of the contained text)
KeyRecRepContent ::= SEQUENCE {
      status
                     PKIStatusInfo,
     newSigCert [0] Certificate
                                                    OPTIONAL,
     caCerts [1] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF
                                   Certificate
                                                    OPTIONAL,
      keyPairHist [2] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF
                                   CertifiedKeyPair OPTIONAL }
CertId ::= SEQUENCE {
```

issuer GeneralName, serialNumber INTEGER }

CertAnnContent ::= Certificate
CRLAnnContent ::= SEQUENCE OF CertificateList

Myers, Adams

[Page 19]

```
RevAnnContent ::= SEQUENCE {
     status
                          PKIStatus,
     certId
                          CertId,
     willBeRevokedAt
                         GeneralizedTime,
     badSinceDate
                          GeneralizedTime,
     crlDetails
                          Extensions OPTIONAL
      -- extra CRL details(e.g., crl number, reason, location, etc.) }
KeyRecRepContent ::= SEQUENCE {
     status
                     PKIStatusInfo,
     newSigCert [0] Certificate
                                                    OPTIONAL,
              [1] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF
     caCerts
                                   Certificate
                                                    OPTIONAL,
      keyPairHist [2] SEQUENCE SIZE (1..MAX) OF
                                   CertifiedKeyPair OPTIONAL }
InfoTypeAndValue ::= SEQUENCE {
      infoType
                            OBJECT IDENTIFIER,
     infoValue
                            ANY DEFINED BY infoType OPTIONAL }
GenMsgContent ::= SEQUENCE OF InfoTypeAndValue
ErrorMsgContent ::= SEQUENCE {
     pKIStatusInfo
                            PKIStatusInfo,
     errorCode
                            INTEGER
                                               OPTIONAL,
      -- implementation-specific error codes
     errorDetails
                            PKIFreeText
                                               OPTIONAL
      -- implementation-specific error details }
```