INTERNET-DRAFT
VeriSign
draft-ietf-pkix-ocsp-path-00.txt
Baltimore
Expires in six months
Entrust
September 2000

Michael Myers,

Stephen Farrell,

Carlisle Adams,

Delegated Path Discovery with OCSP <draft-ietf-pkix-ocsp-path-00.txt>

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of Section 10 of [RFC2026].

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

Abstract

OCSP [RFC2560] establishes the Internet standard for online certificate status. An OCSP path discovery responder is an enhanced OCSP responder that provides requestors with certification paths. The technological and geographic diversity

of the sources of these data motivates existence of service that enables relying-party software to acquire certification path data from an OCSP server rather than replicate the same functionality. This specification establishes an Internet standard extension to OCSP to address this need.

1. Delegated Path Discovery

The path validation logic defined by [RFC2459] requires certificate-processing systems to accumulate the set of certificates from which certificate chains may be constructed as well as revocation data for each such certificate. These data

may originate from diverse sources. Commonly used technologies for retrieving this information include X.500, LDAP, HTTP, FTP and SMTP as well as proprietary methods. Delegating this acquisition process to a separate server greatly simplifies and reduces the size of public-key based credential validation systems or other relying party software. It may also be useful to such software

to be able to select from among various trust paths in the event multiple paths exist. The Delegated Path Discovery (DPD) extension to OCSP addresses these needs.

The DPD extension to OCSP request applies to the request Extensions syntax of the $\ensuremath{\mathsf{DPD}}$

OCSP request as outlined below (prior knowledge of $[{\tt RFC2560}]$ is assumed):

Myers et. al. [Page 1]

Sept.

2000

OCSP REQUEST

In the requestExtensions field of TBSRequest, one extension MUST have an OID of id-pkix-ocsp-path-reg and a value of RetryReference, where

RetryReference ::= OCTET STRING

The RetryReference enables a requestor to acquire the next of potentially several valid paths known to the OCSP server based on a previous response. If this field is omitted then the request is considered to be a "new" request and the responder may return any path that meets the request criteria. If a client does specify a RetryReference then the responder MUST NOT return any path that was previously returned with that reference (i.e. the responder MUST either return a different path meeting the request or an error).

A DPD response consists of the following information:

OCSP RESPONSE

In the responseBytes field of OCSPResponse, responseType MUST have a value of id-pkix-ocsp-path-rsp and response MUST have a value of DPDOCSPResponse, where

The sequence of certificates MUST form a potentially valid certification path, in order, from end-entity certificate (element 0 of the sequence), up to and including a "final" CA certificate, (which need not, but MAY be self-certified).

The RetryReference SHOULD uniquely refer to all path validation data (including the data in the current response) that has been returned to the requester with respect to this request.

The responder MAY also include a set of CRLs and/or OCSP responses which, if included, SHOULD relate to the certificates in the set of certificates.

2. Conformance Requirements

An OCSP server claiming compliance to this specification SHALL:

1. Upon receipt of an authorized path discovery request, where possible, deliver

to the requestor a collection of certificates and optionally CRLs and other $\ensuremath{\mathsf{OCSP}}$

responses that may be used to validate a certificate according to [RFC2459];

Myers et. al. [Page 2]

- 2. Either establish a stateful association enabling a requestor to serially ask for the next path via the retry option, to the extent that multiple validation paths exist and the receiving OCSP server is aware of these paths or respond with a noStateMaintained error to all retry requests if the server does not maintain state; and
- <u>3</u>. In the event that the server is stateful and a prior response was the last path known to the responder, respond to subsequent retry requests with a noMoreData value in OSCPResponseStatus.

Requestors and responders SHALL at a minimum support the issuerSerial identification form of the ReqCert syntax of OCSP. Other identification forms MAY be supported according to local needs.

3. Security Considerations

A responder that only supports this service need not be trusted by a client for certificate status since it only supplies data that is signed by CAs. However, the client is trusting the responder to make an "honest effort" to find a path (or an additional path, if more than one exist). Since the client is presumably using the certificates for some important function, denial-of-service attacks on

the responder are still potentially very serious and implementers should take steps to ensure the robustness of their implementations.

MORE TBD

4. References

[RFC2459] Housley, R., Ford, W., Polk, T, & Solo, D., "Internet Public Key Infrastructure - X.509 Certificate and CRL profile", RFC2459.

Author's Addresses

Michael Myers VeriSign, Inc. mmyers@verisign.com

Stephen Farrell
Baltimore Technologies
stephen.farrell@baltimore.ie

Carlisle Adams Entrust Technologies Myers et. al. 3]

[Page

```
Appendix A : Collected Syntax
PathDiscovery DEFINITIONS EXPLICIT TAGS ::=
            {iso(1) identified-organization(3)
             dod(6) internet(1) security(5) mechanisms(5) pkix(7)
            X -- TBS -- }
BFGTN
IMPORTS
      -- PKIX
           Certificate, CertificateList
             FROM PKIX1Explicit88 {iso(1) identified-organization(3)
                  dod(6) internet(1) security(5) mechanisms(5)
                   pkix(7) id-mod(0) id-pkix1-explicit-88(1)}
      -- OCSP
             id-pkix-ocsp
             FROM OCSP {iso(1) identified-organization(3)
                  dod(6) internet(1) security(5) mechanisms(5)
                  pkix(7) X -- TBD -- };
-- Delegated Path Discovery request
id-pkix-ocsp-path-req OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-pkix-ocsp X }
-- the only indicator in the request
RetryReference ::= OCTET STRING --return next path, if one exists }
-- Delegated Path Discovery response
id-pkix-ocsp-path-rsp
                      OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { id-pkix-ocsp X }
DPDResponse :: = SEQUENCE {
     ref
                   RetryReference,
     certs
                   SEQUENCE OF Certificate,
              [0] SEQUENCE OF CertificateList OPTIONAL,
     otherResps
                   SEQUENCE OF OCSPResponse OPTIONAL}
```

 ${\sf END}$