PPSP

Internet-Draft

Intended status: Informational

Expires: August 27, 2011

N. Zong, Ed.
Huawei Technologies
Y. Zhang
China Mobile Communication
Corporation
V. Pascual
C. Williams
Consultant
L. Xiao
Nokia Siemens Networks

February 23, 2011

# P2P Streaming Protocol (PPSP) Requirements draft-ietf-ppsp-reqs-02

#### Abstract

The objective of the PPSP work is to standardize the key signaling protocols that apply to tracker and peers in a Peer-to-Peer (P2P) streaming system. These protocols are called PPSP. This document enumerates the requirements for the PPSP, which should be considered when designing PPSP.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{BCP}$  78 and  $\underline{BCP}$  79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <a href="http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/">http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/</a>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 27, 2011.

#### Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(<a href="http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Internet-Draft	PPSP Requirements	February 2011
----------------	-------------------	---------------

# Table of Contents

${ t 1}$ . Introduction	4
2. Terminology	4
3. Overview of PPSP	<u>5</u>
$rac{1}{2}$ . PPSP Requirements	<u>6</u>
<u>4.1</u> . Basic Requirements	<u>6</u>
4.2. PPSP Tracker Protocol Requirements	7
4.3. PPSP Peer Protocol Requirements	8
Security Considerations	9
6. IANA Considerations	<u>11</u>
7. Acknowledgements	<u>11</u>
3. References	<u>11</u>
8.1. Normative References	<u>11</u>
8.2. Informative References	<u>11</u>
Authors' Addresses	12

#### 1. Introduction

Peer to Peer (P2P) computing has been successfully used in many fields, from one to one communication like Voice over IP (VoIP) and Instance Messaging (IM), to one to many communication like streaming, file sharing and gaming. In the streaming area, the popularity of P2P real-time and video on demand (VoD) streaming technology has been demonstrated by PPLive [PPLive], PPStream [PPStream], UUSee [UUSee], Pando [Pando] etc. Take PPLive for example, it has over 5 million online users at the same time for real-time streaming. P2P streaming applications account for more and more Internet traffic. According to statistics in a major Chinese Internet Service Provider (ISP), the traffic generated by P2P streaming applications exceeded 50% of the total backbone traffic during peak time in 2008

[I-D.ietf-ppsp-problem-statement].

Given the increasing integration of P2P streaming into the global content delivery infrastructure, the lack of an open, standard P2P streaming protocol has become a major missing component in the Internet protocol stack. Multiple similar but proprietary P2P streaming protocols result in repetitious development efforts and lock-in effects. More importantly, it leads to substantial difficulties when integrating P2P streaming as a component of a global content delivery infrastructure. For example, proprietary P2P streaming protocols do not integrate well with infrastructure devices such as caches and other edge devices

[I-D.ietf-ppsp-problem-statement].

The objective of the PPSP work is to standardize the key signaling protocols that apply to tracker and peers in a P2P streaming system. These protocols are called PPSP. PPSP will serve as an enabling technology, building on the development experiences of existing P2P streaming systems. Its design will allow it to integrate with IETF efforts on distributed resource location, traffic localization, and streaming control mechanisms. It allows effective integration with edge infrastructures such as cache and mobile edge equipment [I-D.ietf-ppsp-problem-statement].

This document enumerates the requirements for the PPSP, which should be considered when designing PPSP.

#### 2. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in <a href="RFC 2119">RFC 2119</a> [RFC2119] and indicate requirement levels for compliant implementations.

This document uses the following PPSP-related terms, which are defined in [I-D.ietf-ppsp-problem-statement], including:

Chunk, Live streaming, Peer/PPSP peer, PPSP, Swarm, Tracker/PPSP tracker, Video-on-demand (VoD).

Furthermore, the following additional terms will be used:

Peer list: A list of peers which are in a same swarm maintained by the tracker. A peer can fetch the peer list of a swarm from either tracker or other peers to know which peers have the required streaming content.

Peer ID: An identifier of a peer such that other peers or tracker can refer the ID for the peer.

Swarm ID: An identifier of a swarm containing a group of peers sharing a same streaming content.

Chunk ID: An identifier of a chunk in a streaming content.

#### 3. Overview of PPSP

As described in [I-D.ietf-ppsp-problem-statement], the following components are considered in the scope of PPSP:

- 1) Tracker communication. Tracker communication is a component that enables each peer to get peer list from the tracker and/or provide content availability to the tracker.
- 2) Peer communication. Peer communication is a component that enables each peer to exchange content availability and request other peers for content.
- 3) Report. Report is a component that enables peers to report streaming status to the tracker. The information may include swarm IDs to show swarms that the peer is taking active part in, chunk list for each swarm to show the current content availability in the peer, inbound/outbound traffic capacity, amount of neighbor peers, peer health degree and other streaming parameters.

Therefore, PPSP includes the PPSP tracker protocol - a signaling protocol between PPSP trackers and PPSP peers, and the PPSP peer protocol - a signaling protocol among PPSP peers.

PPSP tracker protocol will define:

- 1) Standard format/encoding of information between PPSP peers and PPSP trackers, such as peer list, swarm ID, chunk information, content availability, streaming status including online time, link status, node capability and other streaming parameters.
- 2) Standard messages between PPSP peers and PPSP trackers defining how PPSP peers report streaming status and request to PPSP trackers, as well as how PPSP trackers reply to the requests.

PPSP peer protocol will define:

- 1) Standard format/encoding of information among PPSP peers, such as chunk description.
- 2) Standard messages among PPSP peers defining how PPSP peers advertise chunk availability to each other, as well as the signaling for requesting the chunks among PPSP peers.

This document itemizes requirements for the following aspects of PPSP:

- 1) Basic requirements to PPSP protocols (peer and tracker protocols), entities (peer and tracker), and the streaming content.
- 2) General requirements to the tracker protocol.
- 3) General requirements to the peer protocol.
- 4) Security requirements.

# 4. PPSP Requirements

## **4.1**. Basic Requirements

PPSP.REQ-1: The tracker and the peer protocols SHOULD be as similar as possible, in terms of design, message formats and flows.

It is desirable that the peer protocol would be an extension to the tracker protocol by adding a few message types, or vice versa.

PPSP.REQ-2: The tracker protocol and the peer protocol SHOULD enable peers to receive streaming content within the required time constraints, i.e., fulfill streaming feature.

PPSP.REQ-3: Each peer MUST have a unique ID (i.e. peer ID) in a swarm.

It's a basic requirement for a peer to be uniquely identified in a swarm that other peers or tracker can refer the ID for the peer.

PPSP.REQ-4: The streaming content MUST be uniquely identified by a swarm ID.

A swarm refers to a group of peers sharing the same streaming content. A swarm ID uniquely identifies a swarm. The swarm ID can be used in two cases: 1) a peer requests the tracker for the peer list indexed by a swarm ID; 2) a peer tells the tracker about the swarms it belongs to.

PPSP.REQ-5: The streaming content MUST allow to be partitioned into chunks.

A key characteristic of P2P streaming system is allowing the data fetching from different peers concurrently. Therefore, the whole streaming content must allow to be partitioned into small pieces or chunks for transmission between peers.

PPSP.REQ-6: Each chunk MUST have an unique ID (i.e. chunk ID) in the swarm.

Each chunk must have an unique ID in the swarm such as the peer can understand which chunks are stored in which peers and which chunks are requested by other peers. An example for generating the chunk ID is the buffer map approach [I-D.gu-ppsp-survey].

PPSP.REQ-7: The tracker protocol and peer protocol are Recommended to be carried over TCP (or UDP, when delivery requirements cannot be met by TCP).

## 4.2. PPSP Tracker Protocol Requirements

The tracker protocol defines how the peers report and request information to/from the tracker and how the tracker replies to the requests. The tracker discovery and the possible communication between trackers are out of the scope of tracker protocol.

PPSP.TP.REQ-1: The tracker MUST implement the tracker protocol for receiving queries and periodical peer status reports/updates from the peers and for sending the corresponding replies.

PPSP.TP.REQ-2: The peer MUST implement the tracker protocol for sending queries and periodical peer status reports/updates to the tracker and receiving the corresponding replies.

PPSP.TP.REQ-3: The tracker request message MUST allow the requesting

Zong, et al. Expires August 27, 2011 [Page 7]

peer to solicit the peer list from the tracker with respect to a specific swarm ID.

The tracker request message may also include the requesting peer's preference parameter, e.g. preferred number of peers in the peer list, or preferred downloading bandwidth. The track will then be able to select an appropriate set of peers for the requesting peer according to the preference.

PPSP.TP.REQ-4: The tracker reply message MUST allow the tracker to offer the peer list to the requesting peer with respect of a specific swarm ID.

PPSP.TP.REQ-5: The tracker SHOULD support generating the peer list with the help of traffic optimization services, e.g. ALTO [I-D.ietf-alto-protocol].

PPSP.TP.REQ-6: The peer status report/update message MUST have the ability to inform the tracker about the peer's activity in the swarm and chunk information of the peer. The chunk information MUST at least contain the chunk ID.

PPSP.TP.REQ-7: The peer status report/update message SHOULD reflect the status of the peer.

Peer status change should be reported to the tracker via the peer status report/update message. For example, peer status can be online time, physical link status including DSL/WIFI/etc, battery status, processing capability, and other capabilities of the peer. Therefore, the tracker is able to select better candidate peers for streaming. In some mobile scenario, peer status can include IP address change . [I-D.lu-ppsp-mobile]

# 4.3. PPSP Peer Protocol Requirements

The peer protocol defines how the peers advertise streaming content availability and exchange status with each other. The peer protocol also defines the requests and responses of the chunks among the peers. The data transport mechanism and transmission control are out of the scope.

PPSP.PP.REQ-1: The streaming content availability request message MUST allow the peer to solicit the chunk information from other peers in the peer list. The chunk information MUST at least contain the chunk ID.

PPSP.PP.REQ-2: The streaming content availability reply message MUST allow the peer to offer the information of the chunks in its content

buffer. The chunk information MUST at least contain the chunk ID.

PPSP.PP.REQ-3: The streaming content availability request message SHOULD allow the peer to solicit an additional list of peers to that received from the tracker - with the same swarm ID.

It is possible that a peer may need additional peers for certain streaming content. Therefore, it is allowed that the peer communicates with the peers in the current peer list to obtain an additional list of peers in the same swarm.

PPSP.PP.REQ-4: Streaming content availability update message among the peers MUST be supported by peer protocol.

Due to the dynamic change of the buffered streaming content in each peer and the frequent join/leave of peers in the swarm, the streaming content availability among a peer's neighbours (i.e. the peers known to a peer by getting the peer lists from either tracker or peers) always changes and thus requires being updated on time. This update should be done at least on demand. For example, when a peer requires finding more peers with certain chunks, it sends a message to some other peers in the swarm for streaming content availability update. Alternatively, each peer in the swarm can advertise its streaming content availability to some other peers periodically. However, the detailed mechanisms for this update such as how far to spread such update message, how often to send this update message, etc should leave to peer algorithms, rather than protocol concerns.

PPSP.PP.REQ-5: The peer status report/update SHOULD be advertised among the peers to reflect the status of the peer.

Peer status information should be advertised among the peers via the peer status report/update message. For example, peer status can be online time, physical link status including DSL/WIFI/etc, battery status, processing capability, and other capabilities of the peer. With this information, a peer can select more appropriate peers for streaming. In some mobile scenario, peer status can include IP address change [I-D.lu-ppsp-mobile].

PPSP.PP.REQ-6: The peers MUST implement the peer protocol for chunk requests and responses among the peers before the streaming content is transmitted.

# 5. Security Considerations

The scope of this section is to analyze the security threats and provide the requirements for PPSP.

PPSP.SEC.REQ-1: PPSP MUST support closed swarms, where the peers are authenticated.

This ensures that only the authenticated users can access the original media in the P2P streaming system. This can be achieved by security mechanisms such as user authentication and/or key management scheme.

PPSP.SEC.REQ-2: Confidentiality of the streaming content in PPSP SHOULD be supported and the corresponding key management scheme SHOULD scale well in P2P streaming system.

PPSP.SEC.REQ-3: PPSP MUST provide an option to encrypt the data exchange among the PPSP entities.

PPSP.SEC.REQ-4: PPSP MUST have mechanisms to limit potential damage caused by malfunctioning and badly behaving peers in the P2P streaming system.

Such an attack will degrade the quality of the rendered media at the receiver. For example, in a P2P live video streaming system a polluter can introduce corrupted chunks. Each receiver integrates into its playback stream the polluted chunks it receives from its other neighbors. Since the peers forwards chunks to other peers, the polluted content can potentially spread through much of the P2P streaming network.

PPSP.SEC.REQ-5: PPSP SHOULD support identifying badly behaving peers, and exclude or reject them from the P2P streaming system.

PPSP.SEC.REQ-6: PPSP MUST prevent peers from DoS attacks which will exhaust the P2P streaming system's available resource.

Given the prevalence of DoS attacks in the Internet, it is important to realize that a similar threat could exist in a large-scale streaming system where attackers are capable of consuming a lot of resources with just a small amount of effort.

PPSP.SEC.REQ-7: PPSP SHOULD be robust, i.e., when centralized tracker fails the P2P streaming system SHOULD still work by supporting distributed trackers.

PPSP.SEC.REQ-8: Existing P2P security mechanisms SHOULD be re-used as much as possible in PPSP, to avoid developing new security mechanisms.

## 6. IANA Considerations

This document presently raises no IANA considerations.

## 7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank many people for discussing P2P streaming. We would particularly like to thank: Yingjie Gu, Haibin Song, Xingfeng Jiang from Huawei, Hui Zhang, Jan Seedorf, Martin Stiemerling from NEC Labs, Jun Lei from University of Goettingen, James Seng from PPLive, Das Saumitra from Qualcomm, Christian Schmidt from NSN, Akbar Rahman from Interdigital.

## 8. References

#### 8.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.

## 8.2. Informative References

```
"www.pplive.com".
[PPLive]
[PPStream]
           "www.ppstream.com".
[UUSee]
           "www.uusee.com".
           "www.pando.com".
[Pando]
[I-D.gu-ppsp-survey]
           Gu, Y., Zong, N., Zhang, H., Zhang, Y., Lei, J.,
           Camarillo, G., and Y. Liu, "Survey of P2P Streaming
           Applications", draft-gu-ppsp-survey-02 (work in progress),
           October 2010.
[I-D.lu-ppsp-mobile]
           Lu, G., Zuniga, JC., and A. Rahman, "P2P Streaming for
           Mobile Nodes: Scenarios and Related Issues",
           <u>draft-lu-ppsp-mobile-04</u> (work in progress),
           September 2010.
[I-D.ietf-alto-protocol]
           Alimi, R., Penno, R., and Y. Yang, "ALTO Protocol",
           draft-ietf-also-protocol-06 (work in progress),
```

October 2010.

[I-D.ietf-ppsp-problem-statement]

Zhang, Y., Zong, N., Camarillo, G., Seng, J., and R. Yang, "Problem Statement of P2P Streaming Protocol (PPSP)", <a href="https://draft-ietf-ppsp-problem-statement-01">draft-ietf-ppsp-problem-statement-01</a> (work in progress), January 2011.

Authors' Addresses

Ning Zong (editor) Huawei Technologies

Phone: +86 25 56624760 Email: zongning@huawei.com

Yunfei Zhang China Mobile Communication Corporation

Phone: +86 13601032119

Email: zhangyunfei@chinamobile.com

Victor Pascual Consultant

Email: victor.pascual.avila@gmail.com

Carl Williams Consultant Palo Alto, California 94306

Email: carlw@mcsr-labs.org

Lin Xiao Nokia Siemens Networks

Phone: +86 10 84358977 Email: lin.xiao@nsn.com