Network Working Group Internet Draft Expires January 2001 K. Chan
J. Seligson
Nortel Networks
K. McCloghrie
M. Fine
Cisco Systems
S. Hahn
Intel
A. Smith
No Affiliation
F. Reichmeyer
IP Highway

14 July 2000

## The Policy Device Auxiliary MIB

draft-ietf-rap-pol-aux-mib-00.txt

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of <u>Section 10 of RFC2026</u> [<u>RFC2026</u>]. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt">http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt</a>

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html">http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</a>.

Distribution of this document is unlimited. Please send comments to the Resource Allocation Protocol (RAP) Working Group at rap@iphighway.com.

# Draft

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

[Page 2]

## **1**. Introduction

This memo defines a portion of the Management Information Base (MIB) for use with network management protocols in the Internet community. In particular, it describes managed objects used for managing Policy Client devices, including the relationship between device interfaces and policy role combinations. Policy role combinations are used as part of the data model for policy information when a Policy Client is provisioned using the COPS protocol [COPS-PR] and a Policy Information Base (PIB) [FRAMEPIB].

## 2. The SNMP Network Management Framework

The SNMP Management Framework presently consists of five major components:

- An overall architecture, described in <u>RFC 2571</u> [<u>RFC2571</u>].
- Mechanisms for describing and naming objects and events for the purpose of management. The first version of this Structure of Management Information (SMI) is called SMIv1 and described in STD 16/RFC 1155 [RFC1155], STD 16/RFC 1212 [RFC1212] and RFC 1215 [RFC1215]. The second version, called SMIv2, is described in STD 58, which consists of RFC 2578 [RFC2578], RFC 2579 [RFC2579] and RFC 2580 [RFC2580].
- Message protocols for transferring management information. The first version of the SNMP message protocol is called SNMPv1 and described in STD 15/RFC 1157 [RFC1157]. A second version of the SNMP message protocol, which is not an Internet standards track protocol, is called SNMPv2c and described in RFC 1901 [RFC1901] and RFC 1906 [RFC1906]. The third version of the message protocol is called SNMPv3 and described in RFC 1906 [RFC1906], RFC 2572 [RFC2572] and RFC 2574 [RFC2574].
- Protocol operations for accessing management information. The first set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in STD 15/RFC 1157 [<u>RFC1157</u>]. A second set of protocol operations and associated PDU formats is described in <u>RFC 1905</u> [<u>RFC1905</u>].
- A set of fundamental applications described in <u>RFC 2573</u> [<u>RFC2573</u>] and the view-based access control mechanism described in <u>RFC 2575</u> [<u>RFC2575</u>].

[Page 3]

A more detailed introduction to the current SNMP Management Framework can be found in <u>RFC 2570</u> [<u>RFC2570</u>].

Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. Objects in the MIB are defined using the mechanisms defined in the SMI.

This memo specifies a MIB module that is compliant to the SMIv2. A MIB conforming to the SMIv1 can be produced through the appropriate translations. The resulting translated MIB must be semantically equivalent, except where objects or events are omitted because no translation is possible (e.g., use of Counter64). Some machine readable information in SMIv2 will be converted into textual descriptions in SMIv1 during the translation process. However, this loss of machine readable information is not considered to change the semantics of the MIB.

### 3. Role Combinations for Interfaces

Policy is being defined using the concept of "roles" [COREMODEL]. A first application of roles is to assign one or more roles to each interface on a network device. The combination of all roles assigned to an interface is termed a "role combination". The Framework PIB [FRAMEPIB] uses role combinations as the means to specify policy information which applies to multiple interfaces. Each Policy Client/Policy Enforce Point (PEP) notifies the Policy Server/Policy Decision Point (PDP) of the role combinations for which it needs policy. It is the PEP which locally resolves the relationship between role combinations and its local interfaces. The relationship of role combination to interface is a one-to-many association, i.e, many interfaces can have the same role combination, but each interface has one and only one role combination.

The Policy Interface Table defined in this MIB can be used to configure each interface of a PEP with its role combination. The whole role combination is modified rather than individual roles within the role combination to avoid race conditions if and when multiple managers were updating the values at the same time. The table can also be read to determine which interfaces are configured with a particular role combination. This allows information available on a per-interface basis to be aggregated and compared to information available on a per-role combination basis. Such comparisons can be useful for trouble-shooting the effectivesness of policies, for aggregate statistics and/or for accounting purposes.

[Page 4]

## 4. Policy Device Auxiliary MIB Definitions

POLICY-DEVICE-AUX-MIB DEFINITIONS ::= BEGIN

### IMPORTS

MODULE-IDENTITY, OBJECT-TYPE, experimental FROM SNMPv2-SMI MODULE-COMPLIANCE, OBJECT-GROUP TEXTUAL-CONVENTION, RowStatus, StorageType FROM SNMPv2-TC SnmpAdminString InterfaceIndex FROM SNMP-FRAMEWORK-MIB FROM IF-MIB;

policyDeviceAuxMib MODULE-IDENTITY

LAST-UPDATED "200007121800Z" -- 12 July 2000 ORGANIZATION "IETF RAP WG" CONTACT-INFO "Kwok Ho Chan Nortel Networks, Inc. 600 Technology Park Drive Billerica, MA 01821 USA Phone: +1 978 288 8175 Email: khchan@nortelnetworks.com

> John Seligson Nortel Networks, Inc. 4401 Great America Parkway Santa Clara, CA USA 95054 Phone: +1 408 495-2992 Email: jseligso@nortelnetworks.com

Keith McCloghrie Cisco Systems, Inc. 170 West Tasman Drive, San Jose, CA 95134-1706 USA Phone: +1 408 526 5260 Email: kzm@cisco.com"

DESCRIPTION

"This module defines an infrastructure used for support of policy-based provisioning of a network device."

::= { experimental 999 }

[Page 5]

```
policyDeviceAuxObjects
                          OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { policyDeviceAuxMib 1 }
policyDeviceAuxConformance OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { policyDeviceAuxMib 2 }
policyDeviceConfig OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { policyDeviceAuxObjects 1 }
Role ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    STATUS
                current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A role represents a functionality characteristic or
        capability of a resource to which policies are applied.
        Examples of roles include Backbone interface, Frame Relay
        interface, BGP-capable router, web server, firewall, etc.
        Valid characters are a-z, A-Z, 0-9, period, hyphen and
        underscore. A role must not start with an underscore."
    REFERENCE
        "Policy Core Information Model,
        draft-ietf-policy-core-info-model-06.txt"
    SYNTAX SnmpAdminString (SIZE (1..31))
RoleCombination ::= TEXTUAL-CONVENTION
    STATUS
                current
    DESCRIPTION
        "A Display string consisting of a set of roles concatenated
        with a '+' character where the roles are in lexicographic
        order from minimum to maximum.
        For example, a+b and b+a are NOT different role-combinations;
        rather, they are different formating of the same (one) role-
        combination.
        Notice the roles within a role-combination are in lexicographic
        order from minimum to maximum, hence, we declare:
          a+b is the valid formating of the role-combination,
          b+a is an invalid formating of the role-combination.
        Notice the need of zero-length role-combination as the role-
        combination of interfaces to which no roles have been assigned.
        This role-combination is also known as the null role-combination.
        (Note the deliberate use of lower case leters to avoid confusion
        with the ASCII NULL character which has a value of zero but length
        of one.)"
    SYNTAX SnmpAdminString (SIZE (0..255))
```

Draft

[Page 6]

```
Policy Auxiliary MIB
                                                               July 2000
-- The Policy Interface Table supports
-- associating an interface with a specific role combination.
-- This table satisfy the need to monitor the configuration of
-- roles on a per interface basis, and is no less scalable as
-- other required per interface parameters.
-- This does not preclude roles being associated with some less
-- granular entities, and should be addressed when such need arise.
policyInterfaceTable OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX
                SEQUENCE OF PolicyInterfaceEntry
    MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
    STATUS
                current
    DESCRIPTION
            "Policy information about a device's interfaces."
    ::= { policyDeviceConfig 1 }
policyInterfaceEntry OBJECT-TYPE
                PolicyInterfaceEntry
    SYNTAX
    MAX-ACCESS not-accessible
    STATUS
                current
    DESCRIPTION
            "A conceptual row in the policyInterfaceTable.
            Each row identifies policy infromation about a
            particular interface."
    INDEX { policyInterfaceIfIndex }
    ::= { policyInterfaceTable 1 }
PolicyInterfaceEntry ::= SEQUENCE {
    policyInterfaceIfIndex
                              InterfaceIndex,
    policyInterfaceRoleCombo RoleCombination,
    policyInterfaceStorage
                              StorageType,
    policyInterfaceStatus
                              RowStatus
}
```

Draft

policyInterfaceIfIndex OBJECT-TYPE SYNTAX InterfaceIndex MAX-ACCESS not-accessible STATUS current DESCRIPTION "The ifIndex value for which this conceptual row provides policy information."

[Page 7]

```
::= { policyInterfaceEntry 1 }
policyInterfaceRoleCombo OBJECT-TYPE
                RoleCombination
    SYNTAX
    MAX-ACCESS read-create
    STATUS
               current
    DESCRIPTION
            "The role combination that is associated with this interface
            for the purpose of assigning policies to this interface."
    ::= { policyInterfaceEntry 2 }
policyInterfaceStorage OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX
                StorageType
   MAX-ACCESS read-create
                current
    STATUS
    DESCRIPTION
            "The storage type for this conceptual row.
            Conceptual rows having the value permanent(4) need not
            allow write-access to any columnar objects in the row.
            This object may not be modified if the associated
            policyInterfaceStatus object is equal to active(1)."
    DEFVAL { volatile }
    ::= { policyInterfaceEntry 3 }
policyInterfaceStatus OBJECT-TYPE
    SYNTAX
                RowStatus
    MAX-ACCESS read-create
    STATUS
               current
    DESCRIPTION
            "The status of this row.
            An entry may not exist in the active state unless all
            objects in the entry have an appropriate value. Row
            creation using only default values is supported."
    ::= { policyInterfaceEntry 4 }
```

Draft

[Page 8]

```
- -
-- Conformance Section
- -
policyDeviceCompliances
                   OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { policyDeviceAuxConformance 1 }
policyDeviceGroups OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { policyDeviceAuxConformance 2 }
policyDeviceCompliance MODULE-COMPLIANCE
    STATUS current
   DESCRIPTION
           "Describes the requirements for conformance to the
           Policy Auxiliary MIB."
   MODULE -- this module
       MANDATORY-GROUPS { policyInterfaceGroup }
       OBJECT
                     policyInterfaceRoleCombo
                    read-only
       MIN-ACCESS
       DESCRIPTION "Write access is not required."
       OBJECT
                     policyInterfaceStorage
       MIN-ACCESS
                    read-only
       DESCRIPTION "Write access is not required, nor is
                      support for the nonVolatile(2) enumeration."
                     policyInterfaceStatus
       OBJECT
                     read-only
       MIN-ACCESS
       DESCRIPTION "Write access is not required."
    ::= { policyDeviceCompliances 1 }
policyInterfaceGroup OBJECT-GROUP
   OBJECTS {
            policyInterfaceRoleCombo,
            policyInterfaceStorage,
            policyInterfaceStatus
    }
   STATUS current
```

[Page 9]

END

#### 5. References

- [RFC2026] S. Bradner, "The Internet Standards Process -- Revision 3", RFC 2026, October 1996.
- [RFC2571] Harrington, D., Presuhn, R., and B. Wijnen, "An Architecture for Describing SNMP Management Frameworks", <u>RFC 2571</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC1155] Rose, M., and K. McCloghrie, "Structure and Identification of Management Information for TCP/IP-based Internets", STD 16, <u>RFC 1155</u>, May 1990.
- [RFC1212] Rose, M., and K. McCloghrie, "Concise MIB Definitions", STD 16, <u>RFC 1212</u>, March 1991.
- [RFC1215] M. Rose, "A Convention for Defining Traps for use with the SNMP", <u>RFC 1215</u>, March 1991.
- [RFC2578] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Structure of Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, <u>RFC 2578</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC2579] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Textual Conventions for SMIv2", STD 58, <u>RFC 2579</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC2580] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Conformance Statements for SMIv2", STD 58, <u>RFC 2580</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC1157] Case, J., Fedor, M., Schoffstall, M., and J. Davin, "Simple Network Management Protocol", STD 15, <u>RFC 1157</u>, May 1990.
- [RFC1901] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Introduction to Community-based SNMPv2", <u>RFC 1901</u>, January 1996.
- [RFC1906] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Transport Mappings for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", <u>RFC 1906</u>, January 1996.
- [RFC2572] Case, J., Harrington D., Presuhn R., and B. Wijnen, "Message Processing and Dispatching for the Simple Network Management

[Page 11]

Protocol (SNMP)", <u>RFC 2572</u>, April 1999.

- [RFC2574] Blumenthal, U., and B. Wijnen, "User-based Security Model (USM) for version 3 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv3)", <u>RFC 2574</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC1905] Case, J., McCloghrie, K., Rose, M., and S. Waldbusser, "Protocol Operations for Version 2 of the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMPv2)", <u>RFC 1905</u>, January 1996.
- [RFC2573] Levi, D., Meyer, P., and B. Stewart, "SNMPv3 Applications", <u>RFC 2573</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC2575] Wijnen, B., Presuhn, R., and K. McCloghrie, "View-based Access Control Model (VACM) for the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP)", <u>RFC 2575</u>, April 1999.
- [RFC2570] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D., and B. Stewart, "Introduction to Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework", <u>RFC 2570</u>, April 1999.
- [COPS-PR] Reichmeyer, F., Herzog, S., Chan, K., Durham, D., Yavatkar, R. Gai, S., McCloghrie, K. and A. Smith, "COPS Usage for Policy Provisioning" Internet Draft, <u>draft-ietf-rap-cops-</u> pr-03.txt, July 2000.
- [FRAMEPIB] Fine, M., McCloghrie, K., Seligson, J., Chan, K., Hahn, S., Smith, A., and F. Reichmeyer, "Framework Policy Information Base", Internet Draft, <u>draft-ietf-rap-frameworkpib-01.txt</u>, July 2000.
- [COREMODEL] Moore, B., Ellesson, E., and J. Strassner, "Policy Framework Core Information Model -- Version 1 Specification", Internet Draft, <u>draft-ietf-policy-core-info-model-06.txt</u>, May 2000.

**<u>6</u>**. **Authors'** Addresses

Kwok Ho Chan Nortel Networks, Inc. <u>600</u> Technology Park Drive Billerica, MA 01821 USA Phone: +1 978 288 8175 Email: khchan@nortelnetworks.com

John Seligson

[Page 12]

Nortel Networks, Inc. 4401 Great America Parkway Santa Clara, CA 95054 USA Phone: +1 408 495 2992 Email: jseligso@nortelnetworks.com Keith McCloghrie Cisco Systems, Inc. 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134-1706 USA Phone: +1 408 526 5260 Email: kzm@cisco.com Michael Fine Cisco Systems, Inc. 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134-1706 USA Phone: +1 408 527 8218 Email: mfine@cisco.com Scott Hahn Intel 2111 NE 25th Avenue Hillsboro, OR 97124 USA Phone: +1 503 264 8231 Email: scott.hahn@intel.com Andrew Smith Fax: +1 415 345 1827 Email: ah\_smith@pacbell.net Francis Reichmeyer IPHighway, Inc. 55 New York Avenue Framingham, MA 01701 USA Phone: +1 201 665 8714

#### 7. Security Considerations

Email: franr@iphighway.com

There are a number of management objects defined in this MIB that have a MAX-ACCESS clause of read-write and/or read-create. Such objects may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. The support for SET operations in a non-secure environment without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations.

[Page 13]

Draft

Policy Auxiliary MIB

In particular, write-able objects allow an administrator to control the interfaces, and unauthorized access to these could cause a denial of service, or in combination with other (e.g., physical) security breaches, could cause unauthorized connectivity to a device.

SNMPv1 by itself is not a secure environment. Even if the network itself is secure (for example by using IPSec), even then, there is no control as to who on the secure network is allowed to access and GET/SET (read/change/create/delete) the objects in this MIB.

It is recommended that the implementers consider the security features as provided by the SNMPv3 framework. Specifically, the use of the Userbased Security Model <u>RFC 2574</u> [<u>RFC2574</u>] and the View- based Access Control Model <u>RFC 2575</u> [<u>RFC2575</u>] is recommended.

It is then a customer/user responsibility to ensure that the SNMP entity giving access to an instance of this MIB, is properly configured to give access to the objects only to those principals (users) that have legitimate rights to indeed GET or SET (change/create/delete) them.

### 8. Notice on Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standards related documentation can be found in <u>BCP-11</u>. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

[Page 14]

## 9. Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2000). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE."

[Page 15]