

Workgroup: RATS Working Group  
Internet-Draft:  
draft-ietf-rats-yang-tpm-charra-17  
Published: 16 March 2022  
Intended Status: Standards Track  
Expires: 17 September 2022

Authors: H. Birkholz      M. Eckel      S. Bhandari  
          Fraunhofer SIT    Fraunhofer SIT    ThoughtSpot  
          E. Voit    B. Sulzen    L. Xia    T. Laffey    G. Fedorkow  
          Cisco      Cisco      Huawei    HPE      Juniper

## **A YANG Data Model for Challenge-Response-based Remote Attestation Procedures using TPMs**

### **Abstract**

This document defines YANG RPCs and a few configuration nodes required to retrieve attestation evidence about integrity measurements from a device, following the operational context defined in TPM-based Network Device Remote Integrity Verification. Complementary measurement logs are also provided by the YANG RPCs, originating from one or more roots of trust for measurement (RTMs). The module defined requires at least one TPM 1.2 or TPM 2.0 as well as a corresponding TPM Software Stack (TSS), or equivalent hardware implementations that include the protected capabilities as provided by TPMs as well as a corresponding software stack, included in the device components of the composite device the YANG server is running on.

### **Status of This Memo**

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 17 September 2022.

### **Copyright Notice**

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

## Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#)
  - [1.1. Requirements notation](#)
- [2. The YANG Module for Basic Remote Attestation Procedures](#)
  - [2.1. YANG Modules](#)
    - [2.1.1. 'ietf-tpm-remote-attestation'](#)
    - [2.1.2. 'ietf-tcg-algs'](#)
- [3. IANA Considerations](#)
- [4. Security Considerations](#)
- [5. References](#)
  - [5.1. Normative References](#)
  - [5.2. Informative References](#)
- [Appendix A. Integrity Measurement Architecture \(IMA\)](#)
- [Appendix B. IMA for Network Equipment Boot Logs](#)
- [Authors' Addresses](#)

## 1. Introduction

This document is based on the general terminology defined in the [[I-D.ietf-rats-architecture](#)] and uses the operational context defined in [[I-D.ietf-rats-tpm-based-network-device-attest](#)] as well as the interaction model and information elements defined in [[I-D.ietf-rats-reference-interaction-models](#)]. The currently supported hardware security modules (HSMs) are the Trusted Platform Modules (TPMs) [[TPM1.2](#)] and [[TPM2.0](#)] as specified by the Trusted Computing Group (TCG). One TPM, or multiple TPMs in the case of a Composite Device, are required in order to use the YANG module defined in this document. Each TPM is used as a root of trust for storage (RTS) in order to store system security measurement Evidence. And each TPM is used as a root of trust for reporting (RTR) in order to retrieve attestation Evidence. This is done by using a YANG RPC to request a quote which exposes a rolling hash the security measurements held internally within the TPM.

Specific terms imported from [[I-D.ietf-rats-architecture](#)] and used in this document include: Attester, Composite Device, Evidence.

Specific terms imported from [[TPM2.0-Key](#)] and used in this document include: Endorsement Key (EK), Initial Attestation Key (IAK), Attestation Identity Key (AIK), Local Attestation Key (LAK).

### 1.1. Requirements notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

## 2. The YANG Module for Basic Remote Attestation Procedures

One or more TPMs MUST be embedded in a Composite Device that provides attestation evidence via the YANG module defined in this document. The ietf-tpm-remote-attestation YANG module enables a composite device to take on the role of an Attester, in accordance with the Remote Attestation Procedures (RATS) architecture [[I-D.ietf-rats-architecture](#)], and the corresponding challenge-response interaction model defined in the [[I-D.ietf-rats-reference-interaction-models](#)] document. A fresh nonce with an appropriate amount of entropy [[NIST-915121](#)] MUST be supplied by the YANG client in order to enable a proof-of-freshness with respect to the attestation Evidence provided by the Attester running the YANG datastore. Further, this nonce is used to prevent replay attacks. The method for communicating the relationship of each individual TPM to specific measured component within the Composite Device is out of the scope of this document.

### 2.1. YANG Modules

In this section the several YANG modules are defined.

#### 2.1.1. 'ietf-tpm-remote-attestation'

This YANG module imports modules from [[RFC6991](#)] with prefix 'yang', [[RFC8348](#)] with prefix 'hw', [[I-D.ietf-netconf-keystore](#)] with prefix 'ks', and 'ietf-tcg-algs.yang' [Section 2.1.2.3](#) with prefix 'taa'. Additionally, references are made to [[RFC8032](#)], [[RFC8017](#)], [[RFC6933](#)], [[TPM1.2-Commands](#)], [[TPM2.0-Arch](#)], [[TPM2.0-Structures](#)], [[TPM2.0-Key](#)], [[TPM1.2-Structures](#)], [[bios-log](#)], [[ima-log](#)], [[BIOS-Log-Event-Type](#)], as well as [Appendix A](#) and [Appendix B](#).

##### 2.1.1.1. Features

This module supports the following features:

\*'mtpm': Indicates that multiple TPMs on the device can support remote attestation. For example, this feature could be used in

cases where multiple line cards are present, each with its own TPM.

\*'bios': Indicates that the device supports the retrieval of BIOS/UEFI event logs. [[bios-log](#)]

\*'ima': Indicates that the device supports the retrieval of event logs from the Linux Integrity Measurement Architecture (IMA [[ima-log](#)]). Also see [Appendix A](#).

\*'netequip\_boot': Indicates that the device supports the retrieval of netequip boot event logs. See [Appendix A](#) and [Appendix B](#).

#### 2.1.1.2. Identities

This module supports the following types of attestation event logs: 'bios', 'ima', and 'netequip\_boot'.

#### 2.1.1.3. Remote Procedure Calls (RPCs)

In the following, RPCs for both TPM 1.2 and TPM 2.0 attestation procedures are defined.

##### 2.1.1.3.1. 'tpm12-challenge-response-attestation'

This RPC allows a Verifier to request signed TPM PCRs (*TPM Quote* operation) from a TPM 1.2 compliant cryptoprocessor. Where the feature 'mtpm' is active, and one or more 'certificate-name' is not provided, all TPM 1.2 compliant cryptoprocessors will respond. A YANG tree diagram of this RPC is as follows:

```
+---x tpm12-challenge-response-attestation {taa:tpm12}?
| +---w input
| | +---w tpm12-attestation-challenge
| | | +---w pcr-index*          pcr
| | | +---w nonce-value        binary
| | | +---w certificate-name*   certificate-name-ref
| | | {tpm:mtpm}?
| +---ro output
| | +---ro tpm12-attestation-response* []
| | | +---ro certificate-name    certificate-name-ref
| | | +---ro up-time?           uint32
| | | +---ro TPM_QUOTE2?        binary
```

##### 2.1.1.3.2. 'tpm20-challenge-response-attestation'

This RPC allows a Verifier to request signed TPM PCRs (*TPM Quote* operation) from a TPM 2.0 compliant cryptoprocessor. Where the feature 'mtpm' is active, and one or more 'certificate-name' is not

provided, all TPM 2.0 compliant cryptoprocessors will respond. A YANG tree diagram of this RPC is as follows:

```
+---x tpm20-challenge-response-attestation {taa:tpm20}?
| +---w input
| | +---w tpm20-attestation-challenge
| | | +---w nonce-value          binary
| | | +---w tpm20-pcr-selection* []
| | | | +---w tpm20-hash-algo?   identityref
| | | | +---w pcr-index*         pcr
| | | +---w certificate-name*     certificate-name-ref
| | | {tpm:mtpm}?
+--ro output
  +--ro tpm20-attestation-response* []
    +--ro certificate-name          certificate-name-ref
    +--ro TPMS_QUOTE_INFO          binary
    +--ro quote-signature?         binary
    +--ro up-time?                 uint32
    +--ro unsigned-pcr-values* []
      +--ro tpm20-hash-algo?       identityref
      +--ro pcr-values* [pcr-index]
        +--ro pcr-index           pcr
        +--ro pcr-value?         binary
```

An example of an RPC challenge requesting PCRs 0-7 from a SHA-256 bank could look like the following:

```

<rpc message-id="101" xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <tpm20-challenge-response-attestation>
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-tpm-remote-attestation">
      <certificate-name>
        (identifier of a TPM signature key with which the Verifier is
        supposed to sign the attestation data)
      </certificate-name>
      <nonce>
        0xe041307208d9f78f5b1bbeed19e2d152ad49de2fc5a7d8dbf769f6b8ffdeab9
      </nonce>
      <tpm20-pcr-selection>
        <tpm20-hash-algo
          xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-tcg-algs">
            TPM_ALG_SHA256
          </tpm20-hash-algo>
          <pcr-index>0</pcr-index>
          <pcr-index>1</pcr-index>
          <pcr-index>2</pcr-index>
          <pcr-index>3</pcr-index>
          <pcr-index>4</pcr-index>
          <pcr-index>5</pcr-index>
          <pcr-index>6</pcr-index>
          <pcr-index>7</pcr-index>
        </tpm20-pcr-selection>
      </tpm20-challenge-response-attestation>
    </rpc>

```

A successful response could be formatted as follows:

```

<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <tpm20-attestation-response
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-tpm-remote-attestation">
    <certificate-name
      xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-keystore">
      (instance of Certificate name in the Keystore)
    </certificate-name>
    <attestation-data>
      (raw attestation data, i.e. the TPM quote; this includes
      a composite digest of requested PCRs, the nonce,
      and TPM 2.0 time information.)
    </attestation-data>
    <quote-signature>
      (signature over attestation-data using the TPM key
      identified by sig-key-id)
    </quote-signature>
  </tpm20-attestation-response>
</rpc-reply>

```

#### **2.1.1.4. 'log-retrieval'**

This RPC allows a Verifier to acquire the evidence which was extended into specific TPM PCRs. A YANG tree diagram of this RPC is as follows:

```

+---x log-retrieval
+---w input
| +---w log-type          identityref
| +---w log-selector* []
|   +---w name*          string
|   +---w (index-type)?
|   | +--:(last-entry)
|   | | +---w last-entry-value?  binary
|   | | +--:(index)
|   | | +---w last-index-number?  uint64
|   | | +--:(timestamp)
|   |   +---w timestamp?          yang:date-and-time
|   +---w log-entry-quantity?      uint16
+--ro output
+--ro system-event-logs
+--ro node-data* []
+--ro name?          string
+--ro up-time?        uint32
+--ro log-result
+--ro (attested_event_log_type)
+--:(bios) {bios}?
| +--ro bios-event-logs
|   +--ro bios-event-entry* [event-number]
|   +--ro event-number      uint32
|   +--ro event-type?       uint32
|   +--ro pcr-index?        pcr
|   +--ro digest-list* []
|   | +--ro hash-algo?      identityref
|   | +--ro digest*         binary
|   +--ro event-size?       uint32
|   +--ro event-data*       binary
+--:(ima) {ima}?
| +--ro ima-event-logs
|   +--ro ima-event-entry* [event-number]
|   +--ro event-number      uint64
|   +--ro ima-template?     string
|   +--ro filename-hint?    string
|   +--ro filedata-hash?    binary
|   +--ro filedata-hash-algorithm?  string
|   +--ro template-hash-algorithm?  string
|   +--ro template-hash?    binary
|   +--ro pcr-index?        pcr
|   +--ro signature?        binary
+--:(netequip_boot) {netequip_boot}?
+--ro boot-event-logs
+--ro boot-event-entry* [event-number]
+--ro event-number      uint64
+--ro ima-template?     string
+--ro filename-hint?    string

```



+--ro filedata-hash?	binary
+--ro filedata-hash-algorithm?	string
+--ro template-hash-algorithm?	string
+--ro template-hash?	binary
+--ro pcr-index?	pcr
+--ro signature?	binary

#### 2.1.1.5. Data Nodes

This section provides a high level description of the data nodes containing the configuration and operational objects with the YANG model. For more details, please see the YANG model itself in [Figure 1](#).

**Container 'rats-support-structures':** This houses the set of information relating to remote attestation for a device. This includes specific device TPM(s), the compute nodes (such as line cards) on which the TPM(s) reside, and the algorithms supported across the platform.

**Container 'tpms':** Provides configuration and operational details for each supported TPM, including the tpm-firmware-version, PCRs which may be quoted, certificates which are associated with that TPM, and the current operational status. Of note are the certificates which are associated with that TPM. As a certificate is associated with a particular TPM attestation key, knowledge of the certificate allows a specific TPM to be identified.

```

+--rw tpms
  +--rw tpm* [name]
    +--rw name                string
    +--ro hardware-based      boolean
    +--ro physical-index?     int32 {hw:entity-mib}?
    +--ro path?               string
    +--ro compute-node        compute-node-ref {tpm:mtpm}?
    +--ro manufacturer?       string
    +--rw firmware-version    identityref
    +--rw tpm12-hash-algo?    identityref
    +--rw tpm12-pcrs*         pcr
    +--rw tpm20-pcr-bank* [tpm20-hash-algo]
    | +--rw tpm20-hash-algo    identityref
    | +--rw pcr-index*         tpm:pcr
    +--ro status               enumeration
    +--rw certificates
      +--rw certificate* [name]
        +--rw name            string
        +--rw keystore-ref?    leafref {ks:asymmetric-keys}?
        +--rw type?           enumeration

```

container 'attester-supported-algos' - Identifies which TCG hash algorithms are available for use on the Attesting platform. This allows an operator to limit algorithms available for use by RPCs to just a desired set from the universe of all allowed hash algorithms by the TCG.

```

+--rw attester-supported-algos
  +--rw tpm12-asymmetric-signing* identityref
  +--rw tpm12-hash*               identityref
  +--rw tpm20-asymmetric-signing* identityref
  +--rw tpm20-hash*               identityref

```

container 'compute-nodes' - When there is more than one TPM supported, this container maintains the set of information related to the compute node associated with a specific TPM. This allows each specific TPM to identify to which 'compute-node' it belongs.

```

+--rw compute-nodes {tpm:mtpm}?
  +--ro compute-node* [node-id]
    +--ro node-id          string
    +--ro node-physical-index? int32 {hw:entity-mib}?
    +--ro node-name?       string
    +--ro node-location?   string

```



#### 2.1.1.6. YANG Module

```

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-tpm-remote-attestation@2022-03-15.yang"
module ietf-tpm-remote-attestation {
    namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-tpm-remote-attestation";
    prefix tpm;

    import ietf-yang-types {
        prefix yang;
    }
    import ietf-hardware {
        prefix hw;
    }
    import ietf-keystore {
        prefix ks;
    }
    import ietf-tcg-algs {
        prefix taa;
    }

    organization
        "IETF RATS (Remote ATtestation procedureS) Working Group";
    contact
        "WG Web   : <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/rats/>
        WG List  : <mailto:rats@ietf.org>
        Author   : Eric Voit <evoit@cisco.com>
        Author   : Henk Birkholz <henk.birkholz@sit.fraunhofer.de>
        Author   : Michael Eckel <michael.eckel@sit.fraunhofer.de>
        Author   : Shwetha Bhandari <shwetha.bhandari@thoughtspot.com>
        Author   : Bill Sulzen <bsulzen@cisco.com>
        Author   : Liang Xia (Frank) <frank.xialiang@huawei.com>
        Author   : Tom Laffey <tom.laffey@hpe.com>
        Author   : Guy Fedorkow <gfedorkow@juniper.net>";
    description
        "A YANG module to enable a TPM 1.2 and TPM 2.0 based
        remote attestation procedure using a challenge-response
        interaction model and the TPM 1.2 and TPM 2.0 Quote
        primitive operations.

        Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified
        as authors of the code. All rights reserved.
        Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
        without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to
        the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set
        forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
        Relating to IETF Documents
        (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

        This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX
        (https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfcXXXX); see the RFC
        itself for full legal notices.

```

The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL', 'SHALL NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED', 'NOT RECOMMENDED', 'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119) (RFC 8174) when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.";

```
revision 2022-03-15 {
  description
    "Initial version";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Challenge-Response-based Remote
      Attestation Procedures using TPMs";
}
```

```
/*****/
/*   Features   */
/*****/
```

```
feature mtpm {
  description
    "The device supports the remote attestation of multiple
      TPM based cryptoprocessors.";
}
```

```
feature bios {
  description
    "The device supports the bios logs.";
  reference
    "bios-log:
      https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/
      PC-ClientSpecific_Platform_Profile_for_TPM_2p0_Systems_v51.pdf
      Section 9.4.5.2";
}
```

```
feature ima {
  description
    "The device supports Integrity Measurement Architecture logs.
      Many variants of IMA logs exist in the deployment. Each encodes
      the log entry contents as the specific measurements which get
      hashed into a PCRs as Evidence. See the reference below for
      one example of such an encoding.";
  reference
    "ima-log:
      https://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/
      TCG_IWG_CEL_v1_r0p41_pub.pdf Section 4.3";
}
```

```
feature netequip_boot {
```

```

description
    "The device supports the netequip_boot logs.";
reference
    "netequip-boot-log:
    https://www.kernel.org/doc/Documentation/ABI/testing/ima_policy";
}

/*****/
/*    Typedefs    */
/*****/

typedef pcr {
    type uint8 {
        range "0..31";
    }
    description
        "Valid index number for a PCR. A {{TPM2.0}} compliant PCR index
        extends from 0-31. At this time a typical TPM would have no
        more than 32 PCRS.";
}

typedef compute-node-ref {
    type leafref {
        path "/tpm:rats-support-structures/tpm:compute-nodes"
        + "/tpm:compute-node/tpm:node-name";
    }
    description
        "This type is used to reference a hardware node. Note that an
        implementer might include an alternative leafref pointing to a
        different YANG module node specifying hardware structures.";
}

typedef certificate-name-ref {
    type leafref {
        path "/tpm:rats-support-structures/tpm:tpms/tpm:tpm"
        + "/tpm:certificates/tpm:certificate/tpm:name";
    }
    description
        "A type which allows identification of a TPM based certificate.";
}

/*****/
/*    Identities    */
/*****/

identity attested_event_log_type {
    description
        "Base identity allowing categorization of the reasons why an
        attested measurement has been taken on an Attester.";
}

```

```

}

identity ima {
    base attested_event_log_type;
    description
        "An event type recorded in IMA.";
}

identity bios {
    base attested_event_log_type;
    description
        "An event type associated with BIOS/UEFI.";
}

identity netequip_boot {
    base attested_event_log_type;
    description
        "An event type associated with Network Equipment Boot.";
}

/*****/
/*    Groupings    */
/*****/

grouping tpm20-hash-algo {
    description
        "The cryptographic algorithm used to hash the TPM2 PCRs. This
        must be from the list of platform supported options.";
    leaf tpm20-hash-algo {
        type identityref {
            base taa:hash;
        }
        must '/tpm:rats-support-structures/tpm:attester-supported-algos'
            + '/tpm:tpm20-hash' {
            error-message "This platform does not support tpm20-hash-algo";
        }
        default "taa:TPM_ALG_SHA256";
        description
            "The hash scheme that is used to hash a TPM2.0 PCR. This
            must be one of those supported by a platform.";
    }
}

grouping tpm12-hash-algo {
    description
        "The cryptographic algorithm used to hash the TPM1.2 PCRs.";
    leaf tpm12-hash-algo {
        type identityref {
            base taa:hash;
        }
    }
}

```



```

    }
    must '/tpm:rats-support-structures/tpm:attester-supported-algos'
      + '/tpm:tpm12-hash' {
        error-message "This platform does not support tpm12-hash-algo";
      }
    default "taa:TPM_ALG_SHA1";
    description
      "The hash scheme that is used to hash a TPM1.2 PCR. This
        MUST be one of those supported by a platform.";
  }
}

```

```

grouping nonce {
  description
    "A random number intended to guarantee freshness and for use
      as part of a replay-detection mechanism.";
  leaf nonce-value {
    type binary;
    mandatory true;
    description
      "A cryptographically generated random number which should
        not be predictable prior to its issuance from a random
        number generation function. The random number MUST be
        derived from an entropy source external to the Attester.

        Note that a nonce sent into a TPM will typically be 160 or 256
        binary digits long. (This is 20 or 32 bytes.) So if fewer
        binary digits are sent, this nonce object will be padded
        with leading zeros within Quotes returned from the TPM.
        Additionally if more bytes are sent, the nonce will be trimmed
        to the most significant binary digits.";
      }
}

```

```

grouping tpm12-pcr-selection {
  description
    "A Verifier can request one or more PCR values using its
      individually created Attestation Key Certificate (AC).
      The corresponding selection filter is represented in this
      grouping.";
  leaf-list pcr-index {
    type pcr;
    description
      "The numbers/indexes of the PCRs. In addition, any selection
        of PCRs MUST verify that the set of PCRs requested are a
        subset the set of PCRs exposed by in the leaf-list
        /tpm:rats-support-structures
        /tpm:tpms/tpm:tpm[name=current()]/tpm:tpm12-pcrs";
  }
}

```

```

}

grouping tpm20-pcr-selection {
  description
    "A Verifier can acquire one or more PCR values, which are hashed
    together in a TPM2B_DIGEST coming from the TPM2. The selection
    list of desired PCRs and the Hash Algorithm is represented in
    this grouping.";
  list tpm20-pcr-selection {
    unique "tpm20-hash-algo";
    description
      "Specifies the list of PCRs and Hash Algorithms that can be
      returned within a TPM2B_DIGEST.";
    reference
      "TPM2.0-Structures:
      https://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/
      TPM-Rev-2.0-Part-2-Structures-01.38.pdf Section 10.9.7";
    uses tpm20-hash-algo;
    leaf-list pcr-index {
      type pcr;
      must '/tpm:rats-support-structures/tpm:tpms'
        + '/tpm:tpm[name = current()] and '
        + '/tpm:rats-support-structures/tpm:tpms/tpm:tpm'
        + '/tpm:tpm20-pcr-bank[pcr-index = current()]' {
        error-message "Acquiring this PCR index is not supported";
      }
      description
        "The numbers of the PCRs that which are being tracked
        with a hash based on the tpm20-hash-algo. In addition,
        any selection of PCRs MUST verify that the set of PCRs
        requested are a subset the set of PCR indexes exposed
        within /tpm:rats-support-structures/tpm:tpms
        /tpm:tpm[name=current()]/tpm:tpm20-pcr-bank
        /tpm:pcr-index";
    }
  }
}

grouping certificate-name-ref {
  description
    "Identifies a certificate in a keystore.";
  leaf certificate-name {
    type certificate-name-ref;
    mandatory true;
    description
      "Identifies a certificate in a keystore.";
  }
}

```

```

grouping tpm-name {
  description
    "A unique TPM on a device.";
  leaf name {
    type string;
    description
      "Unique system generated name for a TPM on a device.";
  }
}

grouping node-uptime {
  description
    "Uptime in seconds of the node.";
  leaf up-time {
    type uint32;
    description
      "Uptime in seconds of this node reporting its data";
  }
}

grouping tpm12-attestation {
  description
    "Contains an instance of TPM1.2 style signed cryptoprocessor
    measurements. It is supplemented by unsigned Attester
    information.";
  uses node-uptime;
  leaf TPM_QUOTE2 {
    type binary;
    description
      "Result of a TPM1.2 Quote2 operation. This includes PCRs,
      signatures, locality, the provided nonce and other data which
      can be further parsed to appraise the Attester.";
    reference
      "TPM1.2-Commands:
      TPM1.2 commands rev116 July 2007, Section 16.5
      https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads
      /TPM-Main-Part-3-Commands\_v1.2\_rev116\_01032011.pdf";
  }
}

grouping tpm20-attestation {
  description
    "Contains an instance of TPM2 style signed cryptoprocessor
    measurements. It is supplemented by unsigned Attester
    information.";
  leaf TPMS_QUOTE_INFO {
    type binary;
    mandatory true;
    description

```

```

    "A hash of the latest PCR values (and the hash algorithm used)
    which have been returned from a Verifier for the selected PCRs
    and Hash Algorithms.";
reference
    "TPM2.0-Structures:
    https://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/
    TPM-Rev-2.0-Part-2-Structures-01.38.pdf Section 10.12.1";
}
leaf quote-signature {
    type binary;
    description
        "Quote signature returned by TPM Quote. The signature was
        generated using the key associated with the
        certificate 'name'.";
reference
    "TPM2.0-Structures:
    https://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/
    TPM-Rev-2.0-Part-2-Structures-01.38.pdf Section 11.2.1";
}
uses node-uptime;
list unsigned-pcr-values {
    description
        "PCR values in each PCR bank. This might appear redundant with
        the TPM2B_DIGEST, but that digest is calculated across multiple
        PCRs. Having to verify across multiple PCRs does not
        necessarily make it easy for a Verifier to appraise just the
        minimum set of PCR information which has changed since the last
        received TPM2B_DIGEST. Put another way, why should a Verifier
        reconstruct the proper value of all PCR Quotes when only a
        single PCR has changed?
        To help this happen, if the Attester does know specific PCR
        values, the Attester can provide these individual values via
        'unsigned-pcr-values'. By comparing this information to
        what has previously been validated, it is possible for a
        Verifier to confirm the Attester's signature while eliminating

        significant processing. Note that there should never be a
        result where an unsigned PCR value differs from what may be
        reconstructed from the within the PCR quote and the event logs.
        If there is a difference, a signed result which has been
        verified from retrieved logs is considered definitive.";
uses tpm20-hash-algo;
list pcr-values {
    key "pcr-index";
    description
        "List of one PCR bank.";
leaf pcr-index {
    type pcr;
    description

```

```

        "PCR index number.";
    }
    leaf pcr-value {
        type binary;
        description
            "PCR value.";
        reference
            "TPM2.0-Structures:
            https://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/
            TPM-Rev-2.0-Part-2-Structures-01.38.pdf Section 10.9.7";
    }
}
}
}

grouping log-identifier {
    description
        "Identifier for type of log to be retrieved.";
    leaf log-type {
        type identityref {
            base attested_event_log_type;
        }
        mandatory true;
        description
            "The corresponding measurement log type identity.";
    }
}

grouping boot-event-log {
    description
        "Defines a specific instance of an event log entry
        and corresponding to the information used to
        extend the PCR";
    leaf event-number {
        type uint32;
        description
            "Unique event number of this event which monotonically
            increases. The maximum event number should not be
            reached, nor is wrapping back to an earlier number
            supported.";
    }
    leaf event-type {
        type uint32;
        description
            "BIOS Log Event Type:
            https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/
            TCG_PCClient_PFP_r1p05_v23_pub.pdf Section 10.4.1";
    }
    leaf pcr-index {

```

```

    type pcr;
    description
        "Defines the PCR index that this event extended";
}
list digest-list {
    description
        "Hash of event data";
    leaf hash-algo {
        type identityref {
            base taa:hash;
        }
        description
            "The hash scheme that is used to compress the event data in
            each of the leaf-list digest items.";
    }
    leaf-list digest {
        type binary;
        description
            "The hash of the event data using the algorithm of the
            'hash-algo' against 'event data'.";
    }
}
leaf event-size {
    type uint32;
    description
        "Size of the event data";
}
leaf-list event-data {
    type binary;
    description
        "The event data size determined by event-size. For more
        see ";
}
}

grouping bios-event-log {
    description
        "Measurement log created by the BIOS/UEFI.";
    list bios-event-entry {
        key "event-number";
        description
            "Ordered list of TCG described event log
            that extended the PCRs in the order they
            were logged";
        uses boot-event-log;
    }
}

grouping ima-event {

```

```

description
    "Defines a hash log extend event for IMA measurements";
reference
    "ima-log:
    https://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/
    TCG_IWG_CEL_v1_r0p41_pub.pdf Section 4.3";
leaf event-number {
    type uint64;
    description
        "Unique event number of this event which monotonically
        increases. The maximum event number should not be
        reached, nor is wrapping back to an earlier number
        supported.";
}
leaf ima-template {
    type string;
    description
        "Name of the template used for event logs
        for e.g. ima, ima-ng, ima-sig";
}
leaf filename-hint {
    type string;
    description
        "File that was measured";
}
leaf filedata-hash {
    type binary;
    description
        "Hash of filedata as updated based upon the
        filedata-hash-algorithm";
}
leaf filedata-hash-algorithm {
    type string;
    description
        "Algorithm used for filedata-hash";
}
leaf template-hash-algorithm {
    type string;
    description
        "Algorithm used for template-hash";
}
leaf template-hash {
    type binary;
    description
        "hash(filedata-hash, filename-hint)";
}
leaf pcr-index {
    type pcr;
    description

```

```

        "Defines the PCR index that this event extended";
    }
    leaf signature {
        type binary;
        description
            "Digital file signature which provides a
            fingerprint for the file being measured.";
    }
}

grouping ima-event-log {
    description
        "Measurement log created by IMA.";
    list ima-event-entry {
        key "event-number";
        description
            "Ordered list of ima event logs by event-number";
        uses ima-event;
    }
}

grouping network-equipment-boot-event-log {
    description
        "Measurement log created by Network Equipment Boot. The Network
        Equipment Boot format is identical to the IMA format. In
        contrast to the IMA log, the Network Equipment Boot log
        includes every measurable event from an Attester, including
        the boot stages of BIOS, Bootloader, etc. In essence, the scope
        of events represented in this format combines the scope of BIOS
        events and IMA events.";
    list boot-event-entry {
        key "event-number";
        description
            "Ordered list of Network Equipment Boot event logs
            by event-number, using the IMA event format.";
        uses ima-event;
    }
}

grouping event-logs {
    description
        "A selector for the log and its type.";
    choice attested_event_log_type {
        mandatory true;
        description
            "Event log type determines the event logs content.";
        case bios {
            if-feature "bios";
            description

```



```

        "BIOS/UEFI event logs";
    container bios-event-logs {
        description
            "BIOS/UEFI event logs";
        uses bios-event-log;
    }
}

case ima {
    if-feature "ima";
    description
        "IMA event logs.";
    container ima-event-logs {
        description
            "IMA event logs.";
        uses ima-event-log;
    }
}

case netequip_boot {
    if-feature "netequip_boot";
    description
        "Network Equipment Boot event logs";
    container boot-event-logs {
        description
            "Network equipment boot event logs.";
        uses network-equipment-boot-event-log;
    }
}
}

}

/*****/
/*    RPC operations    */
/*****/

rpc tpm12-challenge-response-attestation {
    if-feature "taa:tpm12";
    description
        "This RPC accepts the input for TSS TPM 1.2 commands made to the
        attesting device.";
    input {
        container tpm12-attestation-challenge {
            description
                "This container includes every information element defined
                in the reference challenge-response interaction model for
                remote attestation. Corresponding values are based on
                TPM 1.2 structure definitions";
            uses tpm12-pcr-selection;
            uses nonce;
            leaf-list certificate-name {

```

```

        if-feature "tpm:mtpm";
        type certificate-name-ref;
        must "/tpm:rats-support-structures/tpm:tpms"
            + "/tpm:tpm[tpm:firmware-version='taa:tpm12']"
            + "/tpm:certificates/"
            + "/tpm:certificate[name=current()]" {
            error-message "Not an available TPM1.2 AIK certificate.";
        }
        description
            "When populated, the RPC will only get a Quote for the
            TPMs associated with these certificate(s).";
    }
}
}
output {
    list tpm12-attestation-response {
        unique "certificate-name";
        description
            "The binary output of TPM 1.2 TPM_Quote/TPM_Quote2, including
            the PCR selection and other associated attestation evidence
            metadata";
        uses certificate-name-ref {
            description
                "Certificate associated with this tpm12-attestation.";
        }
        uses tpm12-attestation;
    }
}
}

rpc tpm20-challenge-response-attestation {
    if-feature "taa:tpm20";
    description
        "This RPC accepts the input for TSS TPM 2.0 commands of the
        managed device. ComponentIndex from the hardware manager YANG
        module is used to refer to dedicated TPM in composite devices,
        e.g. smart NICs, is not covered.";
    input {
        container tpm20-attestation-challenge {
            description
                "This container includes every information element defined
                in the reference challenge-response interaction model for
                remote attestation. Corresponding values are based on
                TPM 2.0 structure definitions";
            uses nonce;
            uses tpm20-pcr-selection;
            leaf-list certificate-name {
                if-feature "tpm:mtpm";
                type certificate-name-ref;
            }
        }
    }
}

```

```

    must "/tpm:rats-support-structures/tpm:tpms"
      + "/tpm:tpm[tpm:firmware-version='taa:tpm20']"
      + "/tpm:certificates/"
      + "/tpm:certificate[name=current()]" {
        error-message "Not an available TPM2.0 AIK certificate.";
      }
    description
      "When populated, the RPC will only get a Quote for the
        TPMs associated with the certificates.";
  }
}
}
output {
  list tpm20-attestation-response {
    unique "certificate-name";
    description
      "The binary output of TPM2b_Quote from one TPM of the
        node which identified by node-id. An TPMS_ATTEST structure
        including a length, encapsulated in a signature";
    uses certificate-name-ref {
      description
        "Certificate associated with this tpm20-attestation.";
    }
    uses tpm20-attestation;
  }
}
}

rpc log-retrieval {
  description
    "Logs Entries are either identified via indices or via providing
      the last line received. The number of lines returned can be
      limited. The type of log is a choice that can be augmented.";
  input {
    uses log-identifier;
    list log-selector {
      description
        "Only log entries which meet all the selection criteria provided
          are to be returned by the RPC output.";
    }
    leaf-list name {
      type string;
      description
        "Name of one or more unique TPMs on a device. If this object
          exists, a selection should pull only the objects related to
          these TPM(s). If it does not exist, all qualifying TPMs that
          are 'hardware-based' equals true on the device are selected.";
    }
    choice index-type {
      description

```

```

    "Last log entry received, log index number, or timestamp.";
case last-entry {
    description
        "The last entry of the log already retrieved.";
    leaf last-entry-value {
        type binary;
        description
            "Content of a log event which matches 1:1 with a
            unique event record contained within the log. Log
            entries after this will be passed to the
            requester. Note: if log entry values are not unique,
            this MUST return an error.";
    }
}
case index {
    description
        "Numeric index of the last log entry retrieved, or
        zero.";
    leaf last-index-number {
        type uint64;
        description
            "The last numeric index number of a log entry.
            Zero means to start at the beginning of the log.
            Entries after this will be passed to the
            requester.";
    }
}
case timestamp {
    leaf timestamp {
        type yang:date-and-time;
        description
            "Timestamp from which to start the extraction. The
            next log entry after this timestamp is to
            be sent.";
    }
    description
        "Timestamp from which to start the extraction.";
}
}
leaf log-entry-quantity {
    type uint16;
    description
        "The number of log entries to be returned. If omitted, it
        means all of them.";
}
}
}
output {
    container system-event-logs {

```

```

description
    "The requested data of the measurement event logs";
list node-data {
    unique "name";
    description
        "Event logs of a node in a distributed system
        identified by the node name";
    uses tpm-name;
    uses node-uptime;
    container log-result {
        description
            "The requested entries of the corresponding log.";
        uses event-logs;
    }
}
}
}
}

/*****/
/*    Config & Oper accessible nodes    */
/*****/

container rats-support-structures {
    description
        "The datastore definition enabling verifiers or relying
        parties to discover the information necessary to use the
        remote attestation RPCs appropriately.";
    container compute-nodes {
        if-feature "tpm:mtpm";
        description
            "Holds the set of device subsystems/components in this
            composite device that support TPM operations.";
        list compute-node {
            key "node-id";
            unique "node-name";
            config false;
            min-elements 2;
            description
                "A component within this composite device which
                supports TPM operations.";
            leaf node-id {
                type string;
                description
                    "ID of the compute node, such as Board Serial Number.";
            }
            leaf node-physical-index {
                if-feature "hw:entity-mib";
                type int32 {

```

```

        range "1..2147483647";
    }
    config false;
    description
        "The entPhysicalIndex for the compute node.";
    reference
        "RFC 6933: Entity MIB (Version 4) - entPhysicalIndex";
}
leaf node-name {
    type string;
    description
        "Name of the compute node.";
}
leaf node-location {
    type string;
    description
        "Location of the compute node, such as slot number.";
}
}
}
container tpms {
    description
        "Holds the set of TPMs within an Attester.";
    list tpm {
        key "name";
        unique "path";
        description
            "A list of TPMs in this composite device that RATS
            can be conducted with.";
        uses tpm-name;
        leaf hardware-based {
            type boolean;
            config false;
            mandatory true;
            description
                "System generated indication of whether this is a
                hardware based TPM.";
        }
        leaf physical-index {
            if-feature "hw:entity-mib";
            type int32 {
                range "1..2147483647";
            }
            config false;
            description
                "The entPhysicalIndex for the TPM.";
            reference
                "RFC 6933: Entity MIB (Version 4) - entPhysicalIndex";
        }
    }
}

```

```

leaf path {
    type string;
    config false;
    description
        "Device path to a unique TPM on a device. This can change
        across reboots.";
}
leaf compute-node {
    if-feature "tpm:mtpm";
    type compute-node-ref;
    config false;
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Indicates the compute node measured by this TPM.";
}
leaf manufacturer {
    type string;
    config false;
    description
        "TPM manufacturer name.";
}
leaf firmware-version {
    type identityref {
        base taa:cryptoprocessor;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description
        "Identifies the cryptoprocessor API set supported. This
        is automatically configured by the device and should not
        be changed.";
}
uses tpm12-hash-algo {
    when "firmware-version = 'taa:tpm12'";
    refine "tpm12-hash-algo" {
        description
            "The hash algorithm overwrites the default used for PCRs
            on this TPM1.2 compliant cryptoprocessor.";
    }
}
leaf-list tpm12-pcrs {
    when "../firmware-version = 'taa:tpm12'";
    type pcr;
    description
        "The PCRs which may be extracted from this TPM1.2
        compliant cryptoprocessor.";
}
list tpm20-pcr-bank {
    when "../firmware-version = 'taa:tpm20'";
    key "tpm20-hash-algo";
}

```

```

description
    "Specifies the list of PCRs that may be extracted for
    a specific Hash Algorithm on this TPM2 compliant
    cryptoprocessor. A bank is a set of PCRs which are
    extended using a particular hash algorithm.";
reference
    "TPM2.0-Structures:
    https://www.trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/
    TPM-Rev-2.0-Part-2-Structures-01.38.pdf Section 10.9.7";
leaf tpm20-hash-algo {
    type identityref {
        base taa:hash;
    }
    must '/tpm:rats-support-structures'
        + '/tpm:attester-supported-algos'
        + '/tpm:tpm20-hash' {
        error-message "This platform does not support tpm20-hash-algo";
    }
    description
        "The hash scheme actively being used to hash a
        one or more TPM2.0 PCRs.";
}
leaf-list pcr-index {
    type tpm:pcr;
    description
        "Defines what TPM2 PCRs are available to be extracted.";
}
}
leaf status {
    type enumeration {
        enum operational {
            value 0;
            description
                "The TPM currently is running normally and
                is ready to accept and process TPM quotes.";
            reference
                "TPM2.0-Arch:
                https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/
                TCG_TPM2_r1p59_Part1_Architecture_pub.pdf
                Section 12";
        }
        enum non-operational {
            value 1;
            description
                "TPM is in a state such as startup or shutdown which
                precludes the processing of TPM quotes.";
        }
    }
}
config false;

```



```

    mandatory true;
    description
        "TPM chip self-test status.";
}
container certificates {
    description
        "The TPM's certificates, including EK certificates
        and Attestation Key certificates.";
    list certificate {
        key "name";
        description
            "Three types of certificates can be accessed via
            this statement, including Initial Attestation
            Key Certificate, Local Attestation Key Certificate or
            Endorsement Key Certificate.";
        leaf name {
            type string;
            description
                "An arbitrary name uniquely identifying a certificate
                associated within key within a TPM.";
        }
        leaf keystore-ref {
            if-feature "ks:asymmetric-keys";
            type leafref {
                path "/ks:keystore/ks:asymmetric-keys/ks:asymmetric-key"
                    + "/ks:name";
            }
            description
                "A reference to a specific certificate of an
                asymmetric key in the Keystore.";
        }
        leaf type {
            type enumeration {
                enum endorsement-certificate {
                    value 0;
                    description
                        "Endorsement Key (EK) Certificate type.";
                    reference
                        "TPM2.0-Key:
                        https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/
                        uploads/TPM-2p0-Keys-for-Device-Identity-
                        and-Attestation\_v1\_r12\_pub10082021.pdf
                        Section 3.11";
                }
                enum initial-attestation-certificate {
                    value 1;
                    description
                        "Initial Attestation key (IAK) Certificate type.";
                    reference

```

```

        "TPM2.0-Key:
        https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/
        uploads/TPM-2p0-Keys-for-Device-Identity-
        and-Attestation_v1_r12_pub10082021.pdf
        Section 3.2";
    }
    enum local-attestation-certificate {
        value 2;
        description
            "Local Attestation Key (LAK) Certificate type.";
        reference
            "TPM2.0-Key:
            https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/
            uploads/TPM-2p0-Keys-for-Device-Identity-
            and-Attestation_v1_r12_pub10082021.pdf
            Section 3.2";
    }
}
description
    "Function supported by this certificate from within the
    TPM.";
}
}
}
}
}
container attester-supported-algos {
    description
        "Identifies which TPM algorithms are available for use on an
        attesting platform.";
    leaf-list tpm12-asymmetric-signing {
        when "../../tpm:tpms"
            + "/tpm:tpm[tpm:firmware-version='taa:tpm12']";
        type identityref {
            base taa:asymmetric;
        }
        description
            "Platform Supported TPM12 asymmetric algorithms.";
    }
    leaf-list tpm12-hash {
        when "../../tpm:tpms"
            + "/tpm:tpm[tpm:firmware-version='taa:tpm12']";
        type identityref {
            base taa:hash;
        }
        description
            "Platform supported TPM12 hash algorithms.";
    }
    leaf-list tpm20-asymmetric-signing {

```

```

    when "../../../tpm:tpms"
      + "/tpm:tpm[tpm:firmware-version='taa:tpm20']";
    type identityref {
      base taa:asymmetric;
    }
    description
      "Platform Supported TPM20 asymmetric algorithms.";
  }
  leaf-list tpm20-hash {
    when "../../../tpm:tpms"
      + "/tpm:tpm[tpm:firmware-version='taa:tpm20']";
    type identityref {
      base taa:hash;
    }
    description
      "Platform supported TPM20 hash algorithms.";
  }
}
}
}
}
<CODE ENDS>

```

Figure 1

### 2.1.2. 'ietf-tcg-algs'

This document has encoded the TCG Algorithm definitions of [[TCG-Algos](#)], revision 1.32. By including this full table as a separate YANG file within this document, it is possible for other YANG models to leverage the contents of this model. Specific references to [[RFC2104](#)], [[RFC8017](#)], [[ISO-IEC-9797-1](#)], [[ISO-IEC-9797-2](#)], [[ISO-IEC-10116](#)], [[ISO-IEC-10118-3](#)], [[ISO-IEC-14888-3](#)], [[ISO-IEC-15946-1](#)], [[ISO-IEC-18033-3](#)], [[IEEE-Std-1363-2000](#)], [[IEEE-Std-1363a-2004](#)], [[NIST-PUB-FIPS-202](#)], [[NIST-SP800-38C](#)], [[NIST-SP800-38D](#)], [[NIST-SP800-38F](#)], [[NIST-SP800-56A](#)], [[NIST-SP800-108](#)], [[bios-log](#)], [[ima-log](#)], as well as [Appendix A](#) and [Appendix B](#) exist within the YANG Model.

#### 2.1.2.1. Features

There are two types of features supported: 'TPM12' and 'TPM20'. Support for either of these features indicates that a cryptoprocessor supporting the corresponding type of TCG TPM API is present on an Attester. Most commonly, only one type of cryptoprocessor will be available on an Attester.

#### 2.1.2.2. Identities

There are three types of identities in this model:

1. Cryptographic functions supported by a TPM algorithm; these include: 'asymmetric', 'symmetric', 'hash', 'signing', 'anonymous\_signing', 'encryption\_mode', 'method', and 'object\_type'. The definitions of each of these are in Table 2 of [[TCG-Algos](#)].
2. API specifications for TPM types: 'tpm12' and 'tpm20'
3. Specific algorithm types: Each algorithm type defines what cryptographic functions may be supported, and on which type of API specification. It is not required that an implementation of a specific TPM will support all algorithm types. The contents of each specific algorithm mirrors what is in Table 3 of [[TCG-Algos](#)].

#### **2.1.2.3. YANG Module**

```

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-tcg-algs@2022-03-09.yang"
module ietf-tcg-algs {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-tcg-algs";
  prefix taa;

  organization
    "IETF RATS (Remote ATtestation procedures) Working Group";
  contact
    "WG Web:  <https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/rats/>
    WG List:  <mailto:rats@ietf.org>
    Author:   Eric Voit <mailto:evoit@cisco.com>";
  description
    "This module defines identities for asymmetric algorithms.

    Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified
    as authors of the code. All rights reserved.
    Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with
    or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and
    subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified
    BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's
    Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents
    (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).
    This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX
    (https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfcXXXX); see the RFC
    itself for full legal notices.
    The key words 'MUST', 'MUST NOT', 'REQUIRED', 'SHALL',
    'SHALL NOT', 'SHOULD', 'SHOULD NOT', 'RECOMMENDED',
    'NOT RECOMMENDED', 'MAY', and 'OPTIONAL' in this document
    are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 (RFC 2119)
    (RFC 8174) when, and only when, they appear in all
    capitals, as shown here.";

  revision 2022-03-09 {
    description
      "Initial version";
    reference
      "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Challenge-Response-based Remote
      Attestation Procedures using TPMs";
  }

  /*****/
  /*  Features  */
  /*****/

  feature tpm12 {
    description
      "This feature indicates algorithm support for the TPM 1.2 API
      as per Section 4.8 of TPM1.2-Structures:

```

```

    TPM Main Part 2 TPM Structures
    https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-
    Main-Part-2-TPM-Structures_v1.2_rev116_01032011.pdf";
}

feature tpm20 {
    description
        "This feature indicates algorithm support for the TPM 2.0 API
        as per Section 11.4 of Trusted Platform Module Library
        Part 1: Architecture. See TPM2.0-Arch:
        https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/
        TCG_TPM2_r1p59_Part1_Architecture_pub.pdf";
}

/*****/
/*  Identities  */
/*****/

identity asymmetric {
    description
        "A TCG recognized asymmetric algorithm with a public and
        private key.";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 2,
        https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/resource/
        tcg-algorithm-registry/TCG-_Algorithm_Registry_r1p32_pub";
}

identity symmetric {
    description
        "A TCG recognized symmetric algorithm with only a private key.";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 2";
}

identity hash {
    description
        "A TCG recognized hash algorithm that compresses input data to
        a digest value or indicates a method that uses a hash.";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 2";
}

identity signing {
    description
        "A TCG recognized signing algorithm";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 2";
}

```

```

identity anonymous_signing {
    description
        "A TCG recognized anonymous signing algorithm.";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 2";
}

identity encryption_mode {
    description
        "A TCG recognized encryption mode.";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 2";
}

identity method {
    description
        "A TCG recognized method such as a mask generation function.";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 2";
}

identity object_type {
    description
        "A TCG recognized object type.";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 2";
}

identity cryptoprocessor {
    description
        "Base identity identifying a cryptoprocessor.";
}

identity tpm12 {
    if-feature "tpm12";
    base cryptoprocessor;
    description
        "Supportable by a TPM1.2.";
    reference
        "TPM1.2-Structures:
        https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Main-Part-2-TPM-Structures\_v1.2\_rev116\_01032011.pdf
        TPM_ALGORITHM_ID values, Section 4.8";
}

identity tpm20 {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base cryptoprocessor;
}

```



```

description
    "Supportable by a TPM2.";
reference
    "TPM2.0-Structures:
    https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/
    TPM-Rev-2.0-Part-2-Structures-01.38.pdf";
}

identity TPM_ALG_RSA {
    if-feature "tpm12 or tpm20";
    base tpm12;
    base tpm20;
    base asymmetric;
    base object_type;
    description
        "RSA algorithm";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        RFC 8017. ALG_ID: 0x0001";
}

identity TPM_ALG_TDES {
    if-feature "tpm12";
    base tpm12;
    base symmetric;
    description
        "Block cipher with various key sizes (Triple Data Encryption
        Algorithm, commonly called Triple Data Encryption Standard)
        Note: was banned in TPM1.2 v94";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        ISO/IEC 18033-3. ALG_ID: 0x0003";
}

identity TPM_ALG_SHA1 {
    if-feature "tpm12 or tpm20";
    base hash;
    base tpm12;
    base tpm20;
    description
        "SHA1 algorithm - Deprecated due to insufficient cryptographic
        protection. However, it is still useful for hash algorithms
        where protection is not required.";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        ISO/IEC 10118-3. ALG_ID: 0x0004";
}

identity TPM_ALG_HMAC {

```

```

    if-feature "tpm12 or tpm20";
    base tpm12;
    base tpm20;
    base hash;
    base signing;
    description
        "Hash Message Authentication Code (HMAC) algorithm";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3,
        ISO/IEC 9797-2 and RFC2104. ALG_ID: 0x0005";
}

identity TPM_ALG_AES {
    if-feature "tpm12";
    base tpm12;
    base symmetric;
    description
        "The AES algorithm with various key sizes";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3,
        ISO/IEC 18033-3. ALG_ID: 0x0006";
}

identity TPM_ALG_MGF1 {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base hash;
    base method;
    description
        "hash-based mask-generation function";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3,
        IEEE Std 1363-2000 and IEEE Std 1363a-2004.
        ALG_ID: 0x0007";
}

identity TPM_ALG_KEYEDHASH {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base hash;
    base object_type;
    description
        "An encryption or signing algorithm using a keyed hash. These
        may use XOR for encryption or an HMAC for signing and may
        also refer to a data object that is neither signing nor
        encrypting.";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3,
        ALG_ID: 0x0008";
}

```

```
}
```

```
identity TPM_ALG_XOR {  
    if-feature "tpm12 or tpm20";  
    base tpm12;  
    base tpm20;  
    base hash;  
    base symmetric;  
    description  
        "The XOR encryption algorithm.";  
    reference  
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3.  
        ALG_ID: 0x000A";  
}
```

```
identity TPM_ALG_SHA256 {  
    if-feature "tpm20";  
    base tpm20;  
    base hash;  
    description  
        "The SHA 256 algorithm";  
    reference  
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and  
        ISO/IEC 10118-3. ALG_ID: 0x000B";  
}
```

```
identity TPM_ALG_SHA384 {  
    if-feature "tpm20";  
    base tpm20;  
    base hash;  
    description  
        "The SHA 384 algorithm";  
    reference  
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and  
        ISO/IEC 10118-3. ALG_ID: 0x000C";  
}
```

```
identity TPM_ALG_SHA512 {  
    if-feature "tpm20";  
    base tpm20;  
    base hash;  
    description  
        "The SHA 512 algorithm";  
    reference  
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and  
        ISO/IEC 10118-3. ALG_ID: 0x000D";  
}
```

```
identity TPM_ALG_NULL {
```

```

    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    description
        "NULL algorithm";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3.
        ALG_ID: 0x0010";
}

identity TPM_ALG_SM3_256 {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base hash;
    description
        "The SM3 hash algorithm.";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        ISO/IEC 10118-3:2018. ALG_ID: 0x0012";
}

identity TPM_ALG_SM4 {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    description
        "SM4 symmetric block cipher";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3.
        ALG_ID: 0x0013";
}

identity TPM_ALG_RSASSA {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base asymmetric;
    base signing;
    description
        "RFC 8017 Signature algorithm defined in section 8.2
        (RSASSAPKCS1-v1_5)";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        RFC 8017. ALG_ID: 0x0014";
}

identity TPM_ALG_RSAES {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base asymmetric;
    base encryption_mode;

```

```

description
  "RFC 8017 Signature algorithm defined in section 7.2
  (RSAES-PKCS1-v1_5)";
reference
  "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
  RFC 8017. ALG_ID: 0x0015";
}

identity TPM_ALG_RSAPSS {
  if-feature "tpm20";
  base tpm20;
  base asymmetric;
  base signing;
  description
    "Padding algorithm defined in section 8.1 (RSASSA PSS)";
  reference
    "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
    RFC 8017. ALG_ID: 0x0016";
}

identity TPM_ALG_OAEP {
  if-feature "tpm20";
  base tpm20;
  base asymmetric;
  base encryption_mode;
  description
    "Padding algorithm defined in section 7.1 (RSASSA OAEP)";
  reference
    "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
    RFC 8017. ALG_ID: 0x0017";
}

identity TPM_ALG_ECDSA {
  if-feature "tpm20";
  base tpm20;
  base asymmetric;
  base signing;
  description
    "Signature algorithm using elliptic curve cryptography (ECC)";
  reference
    "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
    ISO/IEC 14888-3. ALG_ID: 0x0018";
}

identity TPM_ALG_ECDH {
  if-feature "tpm20";
  base tpm20;
  base asymmetric;
  base method;

```

```

    description
        "Secret sharing using ECC";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        NIST SP800-56A. ALG_ID: 0x0019";
}

identity TPM_ALG_ECDSA {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base asymmetric;
    base signing;
    base anonymous_signing;
    description
        "Elliptic-curve based anonymous signing scheme";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        TCG TPM 2.0 library specification. ALG_ID: 0x001A";
}

identity TPM_ALG_SM2 {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base asymmetric;
    base signing;
    base encryption_mode;
    base method;
    description
        "SM2 - depending on context, either an elliptic-curve based,
        signature algorithm, an encryption scheme, or a key exchange
        protocol";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3.
        ALG_ID: 0x001B";
}

identity TPM_ALG_ECSCNORR {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base asymmetric;
    base signing;
    description
        "Elliptic-curve based Schnorr signature";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3.
        ALG_ID: 0x001C";
}

identity TPM_ALG_ECMQV {

```

```

    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base asymmetric;
    base method;
    description
        "Two-phase elliptic-curve key";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        NIST SP800-56A. ALG_ID: 0x001D";
}

```

```

identity TPM_ALG_KDF1_SP800_56A {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base hash;
    base method;
    description
        "Concatenation key derivation function";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        NIST SP800-56A (approved alternative1) section 5.8.1.
        ALG_ID: 0x0020";
}

```

```

identity TPM_ALG_KDF2 {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base hash;
    base method;
    description
        "Key derivation function";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        IEEE 1363a-2004 KDF2 section 13.2. ALG_ID: 0x0021";
}

```

```

identity TPM_ALG_KDF1_SP800_108 {
    base TPM_ALG_KDF2;
    description
        "A key derivation method";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        NIST SP800-108 - Section 5.1 KDF. ALG_ID: 0x0022";
}

```

```

identity TPM_ALG_ECC {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base asymmetric;
}

```

```
base object_type;
description
    "Prime field ECC";
reference
    "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
    ISO/IEC 15946-1. ALG_ID: 0x0023";
}
```

```
identity TPM_ALG_SYMCIPHER {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    description
        "Object type for a symmetric block cipher";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        TCG TPM 2.0 library specification. ALG_ID: 0x0025";
}
```

```
identity TPM_ALG_CAMELLIA {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    description
        "The Camellia algorithm";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        ISO/IEC 18033-3. ALG_ID: 0x0026";
}
```

```
identity TPM_ALG_SHA3_256 {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base hash;
    description
        "ISO/IEC 10118-3 - the SHA 256 algorithm";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        NIST PUB FIPS 202. ALG_ID: 0x0027";
}
```

```
identity TPM_ALG_SHA3_384 {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base hash;
    description
        "The SHA 384 algorithm";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
```



```

        NIST PUB FIPS 202. ALG_ID: 0x0028";
    }

identity TPM_ALG_SHA3_512 {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base hash;
    description
        "The SHA 512 algorithm";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        NIST PUB FIPS 202. ALG_ID: 0x0029";
}

identity TPM_ALG_CMAC {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    base signing;
    description
        "block Cipher-based Message Authentication Code (CMAC)";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        ISO/IEC 9797-1:2011 Algorithm 5. ALG_ID: 0x003F";
}

identity TPM_ALG_CTR {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    base encryption_mode;
    description
        "Counter mode";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        ISO/IEC 10116. ALG_ID: 0x0040";
}

identity TPM_ALG_OFB {
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    base encryption_mode;
    description
        "Output Feedback mode";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        ISO/IEC 10116. ALG_ID: 0x0041";
}

```

```

identity TPM_ALG_CBC {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    base encryption_mode;
    description
        "Cipher Block Chaining mode";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        ISO/IEC 10116. ALG_ID: 0x0042";
}

identity TPM_ALG_CFB {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    base encryption_mode;
    description
        "Cipher Feedback mode";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        ISO/IEC 10116. ALG_ID: 0x0043";
}

identity TPM_ALG_ECB {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    base encryption_mode;
    description
        "Electronic Codebook mode";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        ISO/IEC 10116. ALG_ID: 0x0044";
}

identity TPM_ALG_CCM {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    base signing;
    base encryption_mode;
    description
        "Counter with Cipher Block Chaining-Message Authentication
        Code (CCM)";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        NIST SP800-38C. ALG_ID: 0x0050";
}

```

```

identity TPM_ALG_GCM {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    base signing;
    base encryption_mode;
    description
        "Galois/Counter Mode (GCM)";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        NIST SP800-38D. ALG_ID: 0x0051";
}

```

```

identity TPM_ALG_KW {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    base signing;
    base encryption_mode;
    description
        "AES Key Wrap (KW)";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        NIST SP800-38F. ALG_ID: 0x0052";
}

```

```

identity TPM_ALG_KWP {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    base signing;
    base encryption_mode;
    description
        "AES Key Wrap with Padding (KWP)";
    reference
        "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        NIST SP800-38F. ALG_ID: 0x0053";
}

```

```

identity TPM_ALG_EAX {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base symmetric;
    base signing;
    base encryption_mode;
    description
        "Authenticated-Encryption Mode";
    reference

```

```

    "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
      NIST SP800-38F. ALG_ID: 0x0054";
  }

  identity TPM_ALG_EDDSA {
    if-feature "tpm20";
    base tpm20;
    base asymmetric;
    base signing;
    description
      "Edwards-curve Digital Signature Algorithm (PureEdDSA)";
    reference
      "TCG-Algos:TCG Algorithm Registry Rev1.32 Table 3 and
        RFC 8032. ALG_ID: 0x0060";
  }
}
<CODE ENDS>

```

Note that not all cryptographic functions are required for use by ietf-tpm-remote-attestation.yang. However the full definition of Table 3 of [[TCG-Algos](#)] will allow use by additional YANG specifications.

### 3. IANA Considerations

This document registers the following namespace URIs in the [[xml-registry](#)] as per [[RFC3688](#)]:

**URI:** urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-tpm-remote-attestation

**Registrant Contact:** The IESG.

**XML:** N/A; the requested URI is an XML namespace.

**URI:** urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-tcg-algs

**Registrant Contact:** The IESG.

**XML:** N/A; the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers the following YANG modules in the registry [[yang-parameters](#)] as per Section 14 of [[RFC6020](#)]:

**Name:** ietf-tpm-remote-attestation

**Namespace:** urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-tpm-remote-attestation

**Prefix:**

tpm

**Reference:** draft-ietf-rats-yang-tpm-charra (RFC form)

**Name:** ietf-tcg-algs

**Namespace:** urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-tcg-algs

**Prefix:** taa

**Reference:** draft-ietf-rats-yang-tpm-charra (RFC form)

#### 4. Security Considerations

The YANG module `ietf-tpm-remote-attestation.yang` specified in this document defines a schema for data that is designed to be accessed via network management protocols such as NETCONF [[RFC6241](#)] or RESTCONF [[RFC8040](#)]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [[RFC6242](#)]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [[RFC8446](#)].

There are a number of data nodes defined in this YANG module that are writable/creatable/deletable (i.e., *config true*, which is the default). These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g., *edit-config*) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. These are the subtrees and data nodes as well as their sensitivity/vulnerability:

**Container `'/rats-support-structures/attester-supported-algos'`:**

'tpm12-asymmetric-signing', 'tpm12-hash', 'tpm20-asymmetric-signing', and 'tpm20-hash'. All could be populated with algorithms that are not supported by the underlying physical TPM installed by the equipment vendor. A vendor should restrict the ability to configure unsupported algorithms.

**Container: `'/rats-support-structures/tpms'`:** 'name': Although shown as 'rw', it is system generated. Therefore, it should not be possible for an operator to add or remove a TPM from the configuration.

'tpm20-pcr-bank': It is possible to configure PCRs for extraction which are not being extended by system software. This could unnecessarily use TPM resources.

'certificates': It is possible to provision a certificate which does not correspond to an Attestation Identity Key (AIK) within the TPM 1.2, or an Attestation Key (AK) within the TPM 2.0

respectively. In such a case, calls to an RPC requesting this specific certificate could result in either no response or a response for an unexpected TPM.

**RPC 'tpm12-challenge-response-attestation':** The receiver of the RPC response must verify that the certificate is for an active AIK, i.e., the certificate has been confirmed by a third party as being able to support Attestation on the targeted TPM 1.2.

**RPC 'tpm20-challenge-response-attestation':** The receiver of the RPC response must verify that the certificate is for an active AK, i.e., the private key confirmation of the quote signature within the RPC response has been confirmed by a third party to belong to an entity legitimately able to perform Attestation on the targeted TPM 2.0.

**RPC 'log-retrieval':** Requesting a large volume of logs from the attester could require significant system resources and create a denial of service.

Information collected through the RPCs above could reveal that specific versions of software and configurations of endpoints that could identify vulnerabilities on those systems. Therefore, RPCs should be protected by NACM [RFC8341] with a default setting of deny-all to limit the extraction of attestation data by only authorized Verifiers.

For the YANG module `ietf-tcg-algs.yang`, please use care when selecting specific algorithms. The introductory section of [TCG-Algos] highlights that some algorithms should be considered legacy, and recommends implementers and adopters diligently evaluate available information such as governmental, industrial, and academic research before selecting an algorithm for use.

## 5. References

### 5.1. Normative References

**[bios-log]** "TCG PC Client Platform Firmware Profile Specification, Section 9.4.5.2", n.d., <[https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/PC-ClientSpecificPlatformProfileforTPM2p0Systems\\_v51.pdf](https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/PC-ClientSpecificPlatformProfileforTPM2p0Systems_v51.pdf)>.

**[BIOS-Log-Event-Type]** "TCG PC Client Platform Firmware Profile Specification", n.d., <[https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TCG\\_PCClient\\_PFP\\_r1p05\\_v23\\_pub.pdf](https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TCG_PCClient_PFP_r1p05_v23_pub.pdf)>.

**[I-D.ietf-netconf-keystore]** Watsen, K., "A YANG Data Model for a Keystore", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-

netconf-keystore-24, 7 March 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-netconf-keystore-24.txt>>.

[**I-D.ietf-rats-architecture**] Birkholz, H., Thaler, D., Richardson, M., Smith, N., and W. Pan, "Remote Attestation Procedures Architecture", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-rats-architecture-15, 8 February 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-rats-architecture-15.txt>>.

[**I-D.ietf-rats-tpm-based-network-device-attest**] Fedorkow, G., Voit, E., and J. Fitzgerald-McKay, "TPM-based Network Device Remote Integrity Verification", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-rats-tpm-based-network-device-attest-13, 1 March 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-rats-tpm-based-network-device-attest-13.txt>>.

[**IEEE-Std-1363-2000**] "IEEE 1363-2000 - IEEE Standard Specifications for Public-Key Cryptography", n.d., <<https://standards.ieee.org/standard/1363-2000.html>>.

[**IEEE-Std-1363a-2004**] "1363a-2004 - IEEE Standard Specifications for Public-Key Cryptography - Amendment 1: Additional Techniques", n.d., <<https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/document/1335427>>.

[**ima-log**] "Canonical Event Log Format, Section 4.3", n.d., <[https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TCG\\_IWG\\_CEL\\_v1\\_r0p41\\_pub.pdf](https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TCG_IWG_CEL_v1_r0p41_pub.pdf)>.

[**ISO-IEC-10116**] "ISO/IEC 10116:2017 - Information technology", n.d., <<https://www.iso.org/standard/64575.html>>.

[**ISO-IEC-10118-3**] "Dedicated hash-functions - ISO/IEC 10118-3:2018", n.d., <<https://www.iso.org/standard/67116.html>>.

[**ISO-IEC-14888-3**] "ISO/IEC 14888-3:2018 - Digital signatures with appendix", n.d., <<https://www.iso.org/standard/76382.html>>.

[**ISO-IEC-15946-1**] "ISO/IEC 15946-1:2016 - Information technology", n.d., <<https://www.iso.org/standard/65480.html>>.

[**ISO-IEC-18033-3**] "ISO/IEC 18033-3:2010 - Encryption algorithms", n.d., <<https://www.iso.org/standard/54531.html>>.

[**ISO-IEC-9797-1**] "Message Authentication Codes (MACs) - ISO/IEC 9797-1:2011", n.d., <<https://www.iso.org/standard/50375.html>>.

**[ISO-IEC-9797-2]**

"Message Authentication Codes (MACs) - ISO/IEC 9797-2:2011", n.d., <<https://www.iso.org/standard/51618.html>>.

**[NIST-PUB-FIPS-202]** "SHA-3 Standard: Permutation-Based Hash and

Extendable-Output Functions", n.d., <<https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/fips/202/final>>.

**[NIST-SP800-108]** "Recommendation for Key Derivation Using

Pseudorandom Functions", n.d., <<https://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/Legacy/SP/nistspecialpublication800-108.pdf>>.

**[NIST-SP800-38C]** "Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of

Operation: the CCM Mode for Authentication and Confidentiality", n.d., <<https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-38c/final>>.

**[NIST-SP800-38D]** "Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of

Operation: Galois/Counter Mode (GCM) and GMAC", n.d., <<https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-38d/final>>.

**[NIST-SP800-38F]** "Recommendation for Block Cipher Modes of

Operation: Methods for Key Wrapping", n.d., <<https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-38f/final>>.

**[NIST-SP800-56A]** "Recommendation for Pair-Wise Key-Establishment

Schemes Using Discrete Logarithm Cryptography", n.d., <<https://csrc.nist.gov/publications/detail/sp/800-56a/rev-3/final>>.

**[RFC2104]** Krawczyk, H., Bellare, M., and R. Canetti, "HMAC: Keyed-

Hashing for Message Authentication", RFC 2104, DOI 10.17487/RFC2104, February 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2104>>.

**[RFC2119]** Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate

Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

**[RFC3688]** Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688,

DOI 10.17487/RFC3688, January 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3688>>.

**[RFC6020]** Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for

the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, DOI 10.17487/RFC6020, October 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020>>.



**[RFC6241]**

Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6241, DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>>.

**[RFC6242]**

Wasserman, M., "Using the NETCONF Protocol over Secure Shell (SSH)", RFC 6242, DOI 10.17487/RFC6242, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6242>>.

**[RFC6933]**

Bierman, A., Romascanu, D., Quittek, J., and M. Chandramouli, "Entity MIB (Version 4)", RFC 6933, DOI 10.17487/RFC6933, May 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6933>>.

**[RFC6991]**

Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., "Common YANG Data Types", RFC 6991, DOI 10.17487/RFC6991, July 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6991>>.

**[RFC8017]**

Moriarty, K., Ed., Kaliski, B., Jonsson, J., and A. Rusch, "PKCS #1: RSA Cryptography Specifications Version 2.2", RFC 8017, DOI 10.17487/RFC8017, November 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8017>>.

**[RFC8032]**

Josefsson, S. and I. Liusvaara, "Edwards-Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (EdDSA)", RFC 8032, DOI 10.17487/RFC8032, January 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8032>>.

**[RFC8040]**

Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", RFC 8040, DOI 10.17487/RFC8040, January 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040>>.

**[RFC8174]**

Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

**[RFC8341]**

Bierman, A. and M. Bjorklund, "Network Configuration Access Control Model", STD 91, RFC 8341, DOI 10.17487/RFC8341, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8341>>.

**[RFC8348]**

Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., Dong, J., and D. Romascanu, "A YANG Data Model for Hardware Management", RFC 8348, DOI 10.17487/RFC8348, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8348>>.

**[RFC8446]**

Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", RFC 8446, DOI 10.17487/RFC8446, August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8446>>.

**[TCG-Algos]**

"TCG Algorithm Registry", n.d., <[https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TCG-Algorithm\\_Registry\\_r1p32\\_pub.pdf](https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TCG-Algorithm_Registry_r1p32_pub.pdf)>.

**[TPM1.2]**

TCG, ., "TPM 1.2 Main Specification", 2 October 2003, <<https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/resource/tpm-main-specification/>>.

**[TPM1.2-Commands]**

"TPM Main Part 3 Commands", n.d., <[https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Main-Part-3-Commands\\_v1.2\\_rev116\\_01032011.pdf](https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Main-Part-3-Commands_v1.2_rev116_01032011.pdf)>.

**[TPM1.2-Structures]**

"TPM Main Part 2 TPM Structures", n.d., <[https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Main-Part-2-TPM-Structures\\_v1.2\\_rev116\\_01032011.pdf](https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Main-Part-2-TPM-Structures_v1.2_rev116_01032011.pdf)>.

**[TPM2.0]**

TCG, ., "TPM 2.0 Library Specification", 15 March 2013, <<https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/resource/tpm-library-specification/>>.

**[TPM2.0-Arch]**

"Trusted Platform Module Library - Part 1: Architecture", n.d., <[https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TCG\\_TPM2\\_r1p59\\_Part1\\_Architecture\\_pub.pdf](https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TCG_TPM2_r1p59_Part1_Architecture_pub.pdf)>.

**[TPM2.0-Key]**

TCG, ., "TPM 2.0 Keys for Device Identity and Attestation, Rev12", 8 October 2021, <[https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-2p0-Keys-for-Device-Identity-and-Attestation\\_v1\\_r12\\_pub10082021.pdf](https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-2p0-Keys-for-Device-Identity-and-Attestation_v1_r12_pub10082021.pdf)>.

**[TPM2.0-Structures]**

"Trusted Platform Module Library - Part 2: Structures", n.d., <<https://trustedcomputinggroup.org/wp-content/uploads/TPM-Rev-2.0-Part-2-Structures-01.38.pdf>>.

**[UEFI-Secure-Boot]**

"Unified Extensible Firmware Interface (UEFI) Specification Version 2.9 (March 2021), Section 32.1 (Secure Boot)", n.d., <[https://uefi.org/sites/default/files/resources/UEFI\\_Spec\\_2\\_9\\_2021\\_03\\_18.pdf](https://uefi.org/sites/default/files/resources/UEFI_Spec_2_9_2021_03_18.pdf)>.

## 5.2. Informative References

**[I-D.ietf-rats-reference-interaction-models]**

Birkholz, H., Eckel, M., Pan, W., and E. Voit, "Reference Interaction Models for Remote Attestation Procedures", Work in Progress, Internet-Draft, draft-ietf-rats-reference-interaction-models-05, 26 January 2022, <<https://www.ietf.org/archive/id/draft-ietf-rats-reference-interaction-models-05.txt>>.

**[IMA-Kernel-Source]**

"Linux Integrity Measurement Architecture (IMA): Kernel Sourcecode", n.d., <<https://github.com/torvalds/linux/blob/df0cc57e057f18e44dac8e6c18aba47ab53202f9/security/integrity/ima/>>.

**[NIST-915121]** "True Randomness Can't be Left to Chance: Why entropy is important for information security", n.d., <[https://tsapps.nist.gov/publication/get\\_pdf.cfm?pub\\_id=915121](https://tsapps.nist.gov/publication/get_pdf.cfm?pub_id=915121)>.

**[xml-registry]** "IETF XML Registry", n.d., <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/xml-registry/xml-registry.xhtml>>.

**[yang-parameters]** "YANG Parameters", n.d., <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/yang-parameters/yang-parameters.xhtml>>.

## **Appendix A. Integrity Measurement Architecture (IMA)**

IMA extends the principles of Measured Boot [[TPM2.0-Arch](#)] and Secure Boot [[UEFI-Secure-Boot](#)] to the Linux operating system, applying it to operating system applications and files. IMA has been part of the Linux integrity subsystem of the Linux kernel since 2009 (kernel version 2.6.30). The IMA mechanism represented by the YANG module in this specification is rooted in the kernel version 5.16 [[IMA-Kernel-Source](#)]. IMA enables the protection of system integrity by collecting (commonly referred to as measuring) and storing measurements (called Claims in the context of IETF RATS) of files before execution so that these measurements can be used later, at system runtime, in remote attestation procedures. IMA acts in support of the appraisal of Evidence (which includes measurement Claims) by leveraging reference integrity measurements stored in extended file attributes.

In support of the appraisal of Evidence, IMA maintains an ordered list of measurements in kernel-space, the Stored Measurement Log (SML), for all files that have been measured before execution since the operating system was started. Although IMA can be used without a TPM, it is typically used in conjunction with a TPM to anchor the integrity of the SML in a hardware-protected secure storage location, i.e., Platform Configuration Registers (PCRs) provided by TPMs. IMA provides the SML in both binary and ASCII representations in the Linux security file system *securityfs* (*/sys/kernel/security/ima/*).

IMA templates define the format of the SML, i.e., which fields are included in a log record. Examples are file path, file hash, user ID, group ID, file signature, and extended file attributes. IMA comes with a set of predefined template formats and also allows a custom format, i.e., a format consisting of template fields supported by IMA. Template usage is typically determined by boot

arguments passed to the kernel. Alternatively, the format can also be hard-compiled into custom kernels. IMA templates and fields are extensible in the kernel source code. As a result, more template fields can be added in the future.

IMA policies define which files are measured using the IMA policy language. Built-in policies can be passed as boot arguments to the kernel. Custom IMA policies can be defined once during runtime or be hard-compiled into a custom kernel. If no policy is defined, no measurements are taken and IMA is effectively disabled.

## **Appendix B. IMA for Network Equipment Boot Logs**

Network equipment can generally implement similar IMA-protected functions to generate measurements (Claims) about the boot process of a device and enable corresponding remote attestation. Network Equipment Boot Logs combine the measurement and logging of boot components and operating system components (executables and files) into a single log file in identical IMA format.

During the boot process of the network device, i.e., from BIOS to the end of the operating system and user-space, all files executed during this process can be measured and logged in the order of their execution. When the Verifier initiates a remote attestation process (e.g., challenge-response remote attestation as defined in this document), the network equipment takes on the role of an Attester and can convey to the Verifier Claims that comprise the measurement log as well as the corresponding PCR values (Evidence) of a TPM.

The verifier can appraise the integrity (compliance with the Reference Values) of each executed file by comparing its measured value with the Reference Value. Based on the execution order, the Verifier can compute a PCR reference value (by replaying the log) and compare it to the Measurement Log Claims obtained in conjunction with the PCR Evidence to assess their trustworthiness with respect to an intended operational state.

Not only during the operating system loading phase, even during the BIOS boot phase, network equipment usually executes multiple components. With this measurement log mechanism, network equipment can take on the role of an Attester, proving to the Verifier the trustworthiness of its boot process. Using the measurement log, Verifiers can precisely identify mismatching log entries to infer potentially tampered components.

This mechanism also supports scenarios that modify files on the Attester and are executed during the boot phase (e.g., updating/patching) by simply updating the appropriate Reference Values in

Reference Integrity Manifests that inform Verifiers about how an Attester is composed.

#### Authors' Addresses

Henk Birkholz  
Fraunhofer SIT  
Rheinstrasse 75  
64295 Darmstadt  
Germany

Email: [henk.birkholz@sit.fraunhofer.de](mailto:henk.birkholz@sit.fraunhofer.de)

Michael Eckel  
Fraunhofer SIT  
Rheinstrasse 75  
64295 Darmstadt  
Germany

Email: [michael.eckel@sit.fraunhofer.de](mailto:michael.eckel@sit.fraunhofer.de)

Shwetha Bhandari  
ThoughtSpot

Email: [shwetha.bhandari@thoughtspot.com](mailto:shwetha.bhandari@thoughtspot.com)

Eric Voit  
Cisco Systems

Email: [evoit@cisco.com](mailto:evoit@cisco.com)

Bill Sulzen  
Cisco Systems

Email: [bsulzen@cisco.com](mailto:bsulzen@cisco.com)

Liang Xia (Frank)  
Huawei Technologies  
101 Software Avenue, Yuhuatai District  
Nanjing  
Jiangsu, 210012  
China

Email: [Frank.Xialiang@huawei.com](mailto:Frank.Xialiang@huawei.com)

Tom Laffey  
Hewlett Packard Enterprise

Email: [tom.laffey@hpe.com](mailto:tom.laffey@hpe.com)

Guy C. Fedorkow  
Juniper Networks  
10 Technology Park Drive  
Westford

Email: [gfedorkow@juniper.net](mailto:gfedorkow@juniper.net)