

Workgroup: Network Working Group
Internet-Draft:
draft-ietf-regext-rfc7484bis-05
Obsoletes: [7484](#) (if approved)
Published: 25 January 2022
Intended Status: Standards Track
Expires: 29 July 2022
Authors: M. Blanchet
Viagenie

Finding the Authoritative Registration Data (RDAP) Service

Abstract

This document specifies a method to find which Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) server is authoritative to answer queries for a requested scope, such as domain names, IP addresses, or Autonomous System numbers. This document obsoletes RFC7484.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 29 July 2022.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2022 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Revised BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Revised BSD License.

Table of Contents

- [1. Introduction](#)
- [2. Conventions Used in This Document](#)
- [3. Structure of the RDAP Bootstrap Service Registries](#)
- [4. Bootstrap Service Registry for Domain Name Space](#)
- [5. Bootstrap Service Registries for Internet Numbers](#)
 - [5.1. Bootstrap Service Registry for IPv4 Address Space](#)
 - [5.2. Bootstrap Service Registry for IPv6 Address Space](#)
 - [5.3. Bootstrap Service Registry for AS Number Space](#)
- [6. Entity](#)
- [7. Non-existent Entries or RDAP URL Values](#)
- [8. Deployment and Implementation Considerations](#)
- [9. Limitations](#)
- [10. Formal Definition](#)
 - [10.1. Imported JSON Terms](#)
 - [10.2. Registry Syntax](#)
- [11. Security Considerations](#)
- [12. Implementation Status](#)
 - [12.1. RDAP Browser Mobile Application](#)
 - [12.2. ICANN Lookup Web Application](#)
 - [12.3. ARIN Implementation](#)
- [13. IANA Considerations](#)
 - [13.1. Bootstrap Service Registry for IPv4 Address Space](#)
 - [13.2. Bootstrap Service Registry for IPv6 Address Space](#)
 - [13.3. Bootstrap Service Registry for AS Number Space](#)
 - [13.4. Bootstrap Service Registry for Domain Name Space](#)
- [14. References](#)
 - [14.1. Normative References](#)
 - [14.2. Informative References](#)

[Acknowledgements](#)

[Changes since RFC7484](#)

[Author's Address](#)

1. Introduction

Querying and retrieving registration data from registries are defined in Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) [[RFC7480](#)] [[RFC7481](#)] [[RFC9082](#)] [[RFC9083](#)]. These documents do not specify where to send the queries. This document specifies a method to find which server is authoritative to answer queries for the requested scope.

Top-Level Domains (TLDs), Autonomous System (AS) numbers, and network blocks are delegated by IANA to Internet registries such as TLD registries and Regional Internet Registries (RIRs) that then issue further delegations and maintain information about them. Thus, the bootstrap information needed by RDAP clients is best generated from data and processes already maintained by IANA; the relevant

registries already exist at [[ipv4reg](#)], [[ipv6reg](#)], [[asreg](#)], and [[domainreg](#)]. This document obsoletes [[RFC7484](#)].

Per this document, IANA has created new registries based on a JSON format specified in this document, herein named RDAP Bootstrap Service Registries. These new registries are based on the existing entries of the above-mentioned registries. An RDAP client fetches the RDAP Bootstrap Service Registries, extracts the data, and then performs a match with the query data to find the authoritative registration data server and appropriate query base URL.

2. Conventions Used in This Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [[RFC2119](#)] [[RFC8174](#)] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

3. Structure of the RDAP Bootstrap Service Registries

The RDAP Bootstrap Service Registries, as specified in [Section 13](#) below, have been made available as JSON [[RFC8259](#)] objects, which can be retrieved via HTTP from locations specified by IANA. The JSON object for each registry contains a series of members containing metadata about the registry such as a version identifier, a timestamp of the publication date of the registry, and a description. Additionally, a "services" member contains the registry items themselves, as an array. Each item of the array contains a second-level array, with two elements, each of them being a third-level array.

Each element of the Services Array is a second-level array with two elements: in order, an Entry Array and a Service URL Array.

The Entry Array contains all entries that have the same set of base RDAP URLs. The Service URL Array contains the list of base RDAP URLs usable for the entries found in the Entry Array. Elements within these two arrays are not ordered in any way.

An example structure of the JSON output of a RDAP Bootstrap Service Registry is illustrated:

```

{
  "version": "1.0",
  "publication": "YYYY-MM-DDTHH:MM:SSZ",
  "description": "Some text",
  "services": [
    [
      ["entry1", "entry2", "entry3"],
      [
        "https://registry.example.com/myrdap/",
        "http://registry.example.com/myrdap/"
      ]
    ],
    [
      ["entry4"],
      [
        "https://example.org/"
      ]
    ]
  ]
}

```

The formal syntax is described in [Section 10](#).

The "version" corresponds to the format version of the registry. This specification defines version "1.0".

The syntax of the "publication" value conforms to the Internet date/time format [\[RFC3339\]](#). The value is the latest update date of the registry by IANA.

The optional "description" string can contain a comment regarding the content of the bootstrap object.

Per [\[RFC7258\]](#), in each array of base RDAP URLs, the secure versions of the transport protocol SHOULD be preferred and tried first. For example, if the base RDAP URLs array contains both HTTPS and HTTP URLs, the bootstrap client SHOULD try the HTTPS version first.

Base RDAP URLs MUST have a trailing "/" character because they are concatenated to the various segments defined in [\[RFC9082\]](#).

JSON names MUST follow the format recommendations of section 6 of [\[RFC7480\]](#). Any unrecognized JSON object properties or values MUST be ignored by implementations.

Internationalized Domain Name labels used as entries or base RDAP URLs in the registries defined in this document MUST be only represented using their A-label form as defined in [\[RFC5890\]](#).

All Domain Name labels used as entries or base RDAP URLs in the registries defined in this document MUST be only represented in lowercase.

4. Bootstrap Service Registry for Domain Name Space

The JSON output of this registry contains domain label entries attached to the root, grouped by base RDAP URLs, as shown in this example.

```
{
  "version": "1.0",
  "publication": "2024-01-07T10:11:12Z",
  "description": "Some text",
  "services": [
    [
      ["net", "com"],
      [
        "https://registry.example.com/myrdap/"
      ]
    ],
    [
      ["org", "mytld"],
      [
        "https://example.org/"
      ]
    ],
    [
      ["xn--zckzah"],
      [
        "https://example.net/rdap/xn--zckzah/",
        "http://example.net/rdap/xn--zckzah/"
      ]
    ]
  ]
}
```

The domain name's authoritative registration data service is found by doing the label-wise longest match of the target domain name with the domain values in the Entry Arrays in the IANA Bootstrap Service Registry for Domain Name Space. The match is done per label, from right to left. If the longest match results in multiple entries, then those entries are considered equivalent. The values contained in the Service URL Array of the matching second-level array are the valid base RDAP URLs as described in [[RFC9082](#)].

For example, a domain RDAP query for a.b.example.com matches the com entry in one of the arrays of the registry. The base RDAP URL for this query is then taken from the second element of the array, which

is an array of base RDAP URLs valid for this entry. The client chooses one of the base URLs from this array; in this example, it chooses the only one available, "https://registry.example.com/myrdap/". The segment specified in [[RFC9082](#)] is then appended to the base URL to complete the query. The complete query is then "https://registry.example.com/myrdap/domain/a.b.example.com".

If a domain RDAP query for a.b.example.com matches both com and example.com entries in the registry, then the longest match applies and the example.com entry is used by the client.

If the registry contains entries such as com and goodexample.com, then a domain RDAP query for example.com only matches the com entry because matching is done on a per-label basis.

The entry for the root of the domain name space is specified as "".

5. Bootstrap Service Registries for Internet Numbers

This section discusses IPv4 and IPv6 address space and Autonomous System numbers.

For IP address space, the authoritative registration data service is found by doing a longest match of the target address with the values of the arrays in the corresponding RDAP Bootstrap Service Registry for Address Space. The longest match is done the same way as in packet forwarding: the addresses are converted in binary form and then the binary strings are compared to find the longest match up to the specified prefix length. The values contained in the second element of the array are the base RDAP URLs as described in [[RFC9082](#)]. The longest match method enables covering prefixes of a larger address space pointing to one base RDAP URL while more specific prefixes within the covering prefix are being served by another base RDAP URL.

5.1. Bootstrap Service Registry for IPv4 Address Space

The JSON output of this registry contains IPv4 prefix entries, specified in Classless Inter-domain Routing (CIDR) format [[RFC4632](#)] and grouped by RDAP URLs, as shown in this example.

```

{
  "version": "1.0",
  "publication": "2024-01-07T10:11:12Z",
  "description": "RDAP Bootstrap file for example registries.",
  "services": [
    [
      ["198.51.100.0/24", "192.0.0.0/8"],
      [
        "https://rir1.example.com/myrdap/"
      ]
    ],
    [
      ["203.0.113.0/24", "192.0.2.0/24"],
      [
        "https://example.org/"
      ]
    ],
    [
      ["203.0.113.0/28"],
      [
        "https://example.net/rdaprir2/",
        "http://example.net/rdaprir2/"
      ]
    ]
  ]
}

```

For example, a query for "192.0.2.1/25" matches the "192.0.0.0/8" entry and the "192.0.2.0/24" entry in the example registry above. The latter is chosen by the client because it is the longest match. The base RDAP URL for this query is then taken from the second element of the array, which is an array of base RDAP URLs valid for this entry. The client chooses one of the base URLs from this array; in this example, it chooses the only one available, "https://example.org/". The {resource} specified in [\[RFC9082\]](#) is then appended to the base URL to complete the query. The complete query is then "https://example.org/ip/192.0.2.1/25".

5.2. Bootstrap Service Registry for IPv6 Address Space

The JSON output of this registry contains IPv6 prefix entries, using [\[RFC5952\]](#) text representation of the address prefixes format, grouped by base RDAP URLs, as shown in this example.

```

{
  "version": "1.0",
  "publication": "2024-01-07T10:11:12Z",
  "description": "RDAP Bootstrap file for example registries.",
  "services": [
    [
      ["2001:db8::/34"],
      [
        "https://rir2.example.com/myrdap/"
      ]
    ],
    [
      ["2001:db8:4000::/36", "2001:db8:ffff::/48"],
      [
        "https://example.org/"
      ]
    ],
    [
      ["2001:db8:1000::/36"],
      [
        "https://example.net/rdaprir2/",
        "http://example.net/rdaprir2/"
      ]
    ]
  ]
}

```

For example, a query for "2001:db8:1000::/48" matches the "2001:db8::/34" entry and the "2001:db8:1000::/36" entry in the example registry above. The latter is chosen by the client because it is the longest match. The base RDAP URL for this query is then taken from the second element of the array, which is an array of base RDAP URLs valid for this entry. The client chooses one of the base URLs from this array; in this example, it chooses "https://example.net/rdaprir2/" because it's the secure version of the protocol. The segment specified in [\[RFC9082\]](#) is then appended to the base URL to complete the query. The complete query is, therefore, "https://example.net/rdaprir2/ip/2001:db8:1000::/48". If the target RDAP server does not answer, the client can then use another URL prefix from the array.

5.3. Bootstrap Service Registry for AS Number Space

The JSON output of this registry contains Autonomous Systems number ranges entries, grouped by base RDAP URLs, as shown in this example. The Entry Array is an array containing the list of AS number ranges served by the base RDAP URLs found in the second element. The array always contains two AS numbers represented in decimal format that represents the range of AS numbers between the two elements of the

array, where values are in increasing order (e.g. 100-200, not 200-100). A single AS number is represented as a range of two identical AS numbers. AS numbers are represented as 'asplain' as defined in [[RFC5396](#)]. Ranges MUST NOT overlap.

```
{
  "version": "1.0",
  "publication": "2024-01-07T10:11:12Z",
  "description": "RDAP Bootstrap file for example registries.",
  "services": [
    [
      ["64496-64496"],
      [
        "https://rir3.example.com/myrdap/"
      ]
    ],
    [
      ["64497-64510", "65536-65551"],
      [
        "https://example.org/"
      ]
    ],
    [
      ["64512-65534"],
      [
        "http://example.net/rdaprir2/",
        "https://example.net/rdaprir2/"
      ]
    ]
  ]
}
```

For example, a query for AS 65411 matches the 64512-65534 entry in the example registry above. The base RDAP URL for this query is then taken from the second element of the array, which is an array of base RDAP URLs valid for this entry. The client chooses one of the base URLs from this array; in this example, it chooses "https://example.net/rdaprir2/". The segment specified in [[RFC9082](#)] is then appended to the base URL to complete the query. The complete query is, therefore, "https://example.net/rdaprir2/autnum/65411". If the server does not answer, the client can then use another URL prefix from the array.

6. Entity

Entities (such as contacts, registrants, or registrars) can be queried by handle as described in [[RFC9082](#)]. Since there is no global namespace for entities, this document does not describe how to find the authoritative RDAP server for entities. However, it is

possible that, if the entity identifier was received from a previous query, the same RDAP server could be queried for that entity, or the entity identifier itself is a fully qualified URL that can be queried. The mechanism described in [[RFC8521](#)] MAY also be used.

7. Non-existent Entries or RDAP URL Values

The registries may not contain the requested value. In these cases, there is no known RDAP server for that requested value, and the client SHOULD provide an appropriate error message to the user.

8. Deployment and Implementation Considerations

This method relies on the fact that RDAP clients are fetching the IANA registries to then find the servers locally. Clients SHOULD NOT fetch the registry on every RDAP request. Clients SHOULD cache the registry, but use underlying protocol signaling, such as the HTTP Expires header field [[RFC7234](#)], to identify when it is time to refresh the cached registry.

Some authorities of registration data may work together on sharing their information for a common service, including mutual redirection [[REDIRECT-RDAP](#)].

When a new object is allocated, such as a new AS range, a new TLD, or a new IP address range, there is no guarantee that this new object will have an entry in the corresponding bootstrap RDAP registry, since the setup of the RDAP server for this new entry may become live and registered later. Therefore, the clients should expect that even if an object, such as TLD, IP address range, or AS range is allocated, the existence of the entry in the corresponding bootstrap registry is not guaranteed.

9. Limitations

This method does not provide a direct way to find authoritative RDAP servers for any other objects than the ones described in this document. In particular, the following objects are not bootstrapped with the method described in this document:

- *entities

- *queries using search patterns that do not contain a terminating string that matches some entries in the registries

- *nameservers

- *help

10. Formal Definition

This section is the formal definition of the registries. The structure of JSON objects and arrays using a set of primitive elements is defined in [[RFC8259](#)]. Those elements are used to describe the JSON structure of the registries.

10.1. Imported JSON Terms

- *OBJECT: a JSON object, defined in Section 4 of [[RFC8259](#)]
- *MEMBER: a member of a JSON object, defined in Section 4 of [[RFC8259](#)]
- *MEMBER-NAME: the name of a MEMBER, defined as a "string" in Section 4 of [[RFC8259](#)]
- *MEMBER-VALUE: the value of a MEMBER, defined as a "value" in Section 4 of [[RFC8259](#)]
- *ARRAY: an array, defined in Section 5 of [[RFC8259](#)]
- *ARRAY-VALUE: an element of an ARRAY, defined in Section 5 of [[RFC8259](#)]
- *STRING: a "string", as defined in Section 7 of [[RFC8259](#)]

10.2. Registry Syntax

Using the above terms for the JSON structures, the syntax of a registry is defined as follows:

- *rdap-bootstrap-registry: an OBJECT containing a MEMBER version and a MEMBER publication, an optional MEMBER description, and a MEMBER services-list
- *version: a MEMBER with MEMBER-NAME "version" and MEMBER-VALUE a STRING
- *publication: a MEMBER with MEMBER-NAME "publication" and MEMBER-VALUE a STRING
- *description: a MEMBER with MEMBER-NAME "description" and MEMBER-VALUE a STRING
- *services-list: a MEMBER with MEMBER-NAME "services" and MEMBER-VALUE a services-array
- *services-array: an ARRAY, where each ARRAY-VALUE is a service

*service: an ARRAY of 2 elements, where the first ARRAY-VALUE is an entry-list and the second ARRAY-VALUE is a service-uri-list

*entry-list: an ARRAY, where each ARRAY-VALUE is an entry

*entry: a STRING

*service-uri-list: an ARRAY, where each ARRAY-VALUE is a service-uri

*service-uri: a STRING

11. Security Considerations

By providing a bootstrap method to find RDAP servers, this document helps to ensure that the end users will get the RDAP data from an authoritative source, instead of from rogue sources. The method has the same security properties as the RDAP protocols themselves. The transport used to access the registries can be more secure by using TLS [[RFC8446](#)], which IANA supports.

Additional considerations on using RDAP are described in [[RFC7481](#)].

12. Implementation Status

NOTE: Please remove this section and the reference to RFC 7942 prior to publication as an RFC.

This section records the status of known implementations of the protocol defined by this specification at the time of posting of this Internet-Draft, and is based on a proposal described in [[RFC7942](#)]. The description of implementations in this section is intended to assist the IETF in its decision processes in progressing drafts to RFCs. Please note that the listing of any individual implementation here does not imply endorsement by the IETF. Furthermore, no effort has been spent to verify the information presented here that was supplied by IETF contributors. This is not intended as, and must not be construed to be, a catalog of available implementations or their features. Readers are advised to note that other implementations may exist.

According to [[RFC7942](#)], "this will allow reviewers and working groups to assign due consideration to documents that have the benefit of running code, which may serve as evidence of valuable experimentation and feedback that have made the implemented protocols more mature. It is up to the individual working groups to use this information as they see fit".

12.1. RDAP Browser Mobile Application

Responsible Organization: Viagenie

Author: Marc Blanchet

Location: <https://viagenie.ca/rdapbrowser/>

Description: RDAP Browser is an RDAP client for domain names, IP addresses and AS numbers fetching the IANA registries described in this document to find the right authoritative RDAP server. End user can query any domain name, IP address or AS number and the registration data will be shown on the screen.

Level of Maturity: Production (i.e. in the Android and iOS App stores since August 2019)

Contact Information: rdapbrowser@viagenie.ca

Information last updated: March 2021

12.2. ICANN Lookup Web Application

Responsible Organization: ICANN

Location: <https://lookup.icann.org>

Description: ICANN's Domain Name Registration Data Lookup is an RDAP client for domain names fetching the IANA registries described in this document to find the right authoritative RDAP server. End user can query any domain name and the registration data will be shown on the screen.

Level of Maturity: Production

Information last updated: March 2021

12.3. ARIN Implementation

Responsible Organization: ARIN

Base URL: <https://rdap-bootstrap.arin.net/bootstrap> (Sample query: <https://rdap-bootstrap.arin.net/bootstrap/autnum/1>)

Description: ARIN RDAP Bootstrap server aids clients by reading the bootstrapping information published by IANA and using it to send HTTP redirects to RDAP queries. RDAP clients <https://search.arin.net/> and NicInfo (<https://github.com/arineng/nicinfo>) use this bootstrap service. The underlying server software is

open-sourced at https://github.com/arineng/rdap_bootstrap_server

.

Level of Maturity: Production

Contact Information: info@arin.net

Information Last Updated: Nov 2020

13. IANA Considerations

IANA has created the RDAP Bootstrap Services Registries, listed below, and made them available as JSON objects. The contents of these registries are described in [Section 3](#), [Section 4](#), and [Section 5](#), with the formal syntax specified in [Section 10](#).

The process for adding or updating entries in these registries differs from the normal IANA registry processes: these registries are generated from the data, processes, and policies maintained by IANA in their allocation registries ([\[ipv4reg\]](#), [\[ipv6reg\]](#), [\[asreg\]](#), and [\[domainreg\]](#)), with the addition of new RDAP server information.

IANA updates RDAP Bootstrap Services Registries entries from the allocation registries as those registries are updated.

This document does not change any policies related to the allocation registries; IANA has provided a mechanism for collecting the RDAP server information.

IANA has created a new top-level category on the Protocol Registries page, [<https://www.iana.org/protocols>](https://www.iana.org/protocols). The group is called "Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP)". Each of the RDAP Bootstrap Services Registries has been made available for general public on-demand download in the JSON format, and that registry's URI is listed directly on the Protocol Registries page.

Other normal registries will be added to this group by other documents, but the reason the URIs for these registries are clearly listed on the main page is to make those URIs obvious to implementers -- these are registries that will be accessed by software, as well as by humans using them for reference information.

Because these registries will be accessed by software, the download demand for the RDAP Bootstrap Services Registries may be unusually high compared to normal IANA registries. The technical infrastructure by which registries are published has been put in place by IANA to support the load. Since the publication of [\[RFC7484\]](#), no issue have been reported regarding the load or the service.

As discussed in [Section 8](#), software that accesses these registries will depend on the HTTP Expires header field to limit their query rate. It is, therefore, important for that header field to be properly set to provide timely information as the registries change, while maintaining a reasonable load on the IANA servers.

The HTTP Content-Type returned to clients accessing these JSON-formatted registries MUST be "application/json", as defined in [\[RFC8259\]](#).

Because of how information in the RDAP Bootstrap Services Registries is grouped and formatted, the registry entries may not be sortable. It is, therefore, not required or expected that the entries be ordered in any way.

NOTE TO IANA: Please update the registries to reference this new RFC instead of RFC 7484 once this document is approved by the IESG and published by the RFC Editor". RFC-Editor, please remove this paragraph before publication

13.1. Bootstrap Service Registry for IPv4 Address Space

Entries in this registry contain at least the following:

- *a CIDR [\[RFC4632\]](#) specification of the network block being registered.
- *one or more URLs that provide the RDAP service regarding this registration.

13.2. Bootstrap Service Registry for IPv6 Address Space

Entries in this registry contain at least the following:

- *an IPv6 prefix [\[RFC5952\]](#) specification of the network block being registered.
- *one or more URLs that provide the RDAP service regarding this registration.

13.3. Bootstrap Service Registry for AS Number Space

Entries in this registry contain at least the following:

- *a range of Autonomous System numbers being registered.
- *one or more URLs that provide the RDAP service regarding this registration.

13.4. Bootstrap Service Registry for Domain Name Space

Entries in this registry contain at least the following:

- *a domain name attached to the root being registered.

- *one or more URLs that provide the RDAP service regarding this registration.

14. References

14.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3339] Klyne, G. and C. Newman, "Date and Time on the Internet: Timestamps", RFC 3339, DOI 10.17487/RFC3339, July 2002, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3339>>.
- [RFC4632] Fuller, V. and T. Li, "Classless Inter-domain Routing (CIDR): The Internet Address Assignment and Aggregation Plan", BCP 122, RFC 4632, DOI 10.17487/RFC4632, August 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4632>>.
- [RFC5396] Huston, G. and G. Michaelson, "Textual Representation of Autonomous System (AS) Numbers", RFC 5396, DOI 10.17487/RFC5396, December 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5396>>.
- [RFC5890] Klensin, J., "Internationalized Domain Names for Applications (IDNA): Definitions and Document Framework", RFC 5890, DOI 10.17487/RFC5890, August 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5890>>.
- [RFC5952] Kawamura, S. and M. Kawashima, "A Recommendation for IPv6 Address Text Representation", RFC 5952, DOI 10.17487/RFC5952, August 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5952>>.
- [RFC7258] Farrell, S. and H. Tschofenig, "Pervasive Monitoring Is an Attack", BCP 188, RFC 7258, DOI 10.17487/RFC7258, May 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7258>>.
- [RFC7480] Newton, A., Ellacott, B., and N. Kong, "HTTP Usage in the Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP)", STD 95, RFC 7480, DOI 10.17487/RFC7480, March 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7480>>.

[RFC8174]

Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.

[RFC8259]

Bray, T., Ed., "The JavaScript Object Notation (JSON) Data Interchange Format", STD 90, RFC 8259, DOI 10.17487/RFC8259, December 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8259>>.

14.2. Informative References

[asreg]

IANA, "Autonomous System (AS) Numbers", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/as-numbers>>.

[domainreg]

IANA, "Root Zone Database", <<https://www.iana.org/domains/root/db>>.

[ipv4reg]

IANA, "IPv4 Address Space Registry", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/ipv4-address-space>>.

[ipv6reg]

IANA, "IPv6 Global Unicast Address Assignments", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/ipv6-unicast-address-assignments>>.

[REDIRECT-RDAP]

Martinez, C., Zhou, L., and G. Rada, "Redirection Service for Registration Data Access Protocol", Work in Progress, draft-ietf-weirds-redirects-04, July 2014.

[RFC7071]

Borenstein, N. and M. Kucherawy, "A Media Type for Reputation Interchange", RFC 7071, DOI 10.17487/RFC7071, November 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7071>>.

[RFC7234]

Fielding, R., Ed., Nottingham, M., Ed., and J. Reschke, Ed., "Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP/1.1): Caching", RFC 7234, DOI 10.17487/RFC7234, June 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7234>>.

[RFC7481]

Hollenbeck, S. and N. Kong, "Security Services for the Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP)", STD 95, RFC 7481, DOI 10.17487/RFC7481, March 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7481>>.

[RFC7484]

Blanchet, M., "Finding the Authoritative Registration Data (RDAP) Service", RFC 7484, DOI 10.17487/RFC7484, March 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7484>>.

[RFC7942]

Sheffer, Y. and A. Farrel, "Improving Awareness of Running Code: The Implementation Status Section", BCP

205, RFC 7942, DOI 10.17487/RFC7942, July 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7942>>.

[RFC8446] Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", RFC 8446, DOI 10.17487/RFC8446, August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8446>>.

[RFC8521] Hollenbeck, S. and A. Newton, "Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) Object Tagging", BCP 221, RFC 8521, DOI 10.17487/RFC8521, November 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8521>>.

[RFC9082] Hollenbeck, S. and A. Newton, "Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP) Query Format", STD 95, RFC 9082, DOI 10.17487/RFC9082, June 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9082>>.

[RFC9083] Hollenbeck, S. and A. Newton, "JSON Responses for the Registration Data Access Protocol (RDAP)", STD 95, RFC 9083, DOI 10.17487/RFC9083, June 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc9083>>.

Acknowledgements

The WEIRDS working group had multiple discussions on this topic, including a session during IETF 84, where various methods such as in-DNS and others were debated. The idea of using IANA registries was discovered by the author during discussions with his colleagues as well as by a comment from Andy Newton. All the people involved in these discussions are herein acknowledged. Linlin Zhou, Jean-Philippe Dionne, John Levine, Kim Davies, Ernie Dainow, Scott Hollenbeck, Arturo Servin, Andy Newton, Murray Kucherawy, Tom Harrison, Naoki Kambe, Alexander Mayrhofer, Edward Lewis, Pete Resnick, Alessandro Vesely, Bert Greevenbosch, Barry Leiba, Jari Arkko, Kathleen Moriaty, Stephen Farrell, Richard Barnes, and Jean-Francois Tremblay have provided input and suggestions to this document. Guillaume Leclanche was a coauthor of this document for some revisions; his support is therein acknowledged and greatly appreciated. The section on formal definition was inspired by Section 6.2 of [RFC7071]. This new version got comments and suggestions from: Gavin Brown, Patrick Mevzek, John Levine, Jasdeep Singh, George Michaelson, Scott Hollenbeck, Russ Housley, Joel Halpern, Lars Eggert, Benjamin Kaduk, Scott Kelly. Errata of RFC7484 were submitted by Pieter Vandepitte and were applied to this version.

Changes since RFC7484

There are no substantive changes except for updates to the implementation status and minor clarifications. This update is primarily to meet the requirements for moving to Internet Standard.

Author's Address

Marc Blanchet
Viagenie
246 Aberdeen
Quebec QC G1R 2E1
Canada

Email: Marc.Blanchet@viagenie.ca

URI: <https://viagenie.ca>