

Workgroup: Internet Engineering Task Force

Internet-Draft:

draft-ietf-regext-simple-registration-
reporting-03

Published: 22 February 2021

Intended Status: Informational

Expires: 26 August 2021

Authors: J. Yee, Ed. J. Galvin

Afilias Afilias

Simple Registration Reporting

Abstract

Domain name registries (the producer) and registrars (the consumer) report to each other by sharing bulk information through files. This document creates two IANA registries to establish a standard reporting mechanism between domain name registries and registrars. The first IANA registry lists standard data elements and their syntax for inclusion in the files. The second IANA registry lists standard reports based on the standard data elements. Each report is a file formatted as a CSV file. The advantage of this reporting mechanism is that report, each file, can be imported by recipients without any prior knowledge of their contents, although reporting is enhanced with a minimum of knowledge about the files. The mechanism for the transmission and reception of the files is a matter of local policy.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on 26 August 2021.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. [Introduction](#)
 - 1.1. [Requirements Language](#)
2. [Data Element Specification](#)
 - 2.1. [General Information Fields](#)
 - 2.1.1. [TLD](#)
 - 2.1.2. [Server_TRID](#)
 - 2.1.3. [Domain](#)
 - 2.1.4. [Transaction_Type](#)
 - 2.1.5. [Object_Type](#)
 - 2.1.6. [DateTime](#)
 - 2.1.7. [Term](#)
 - 2.1.8. [Fee](#)
 - 2.1.9. [Currency](#)
 - 2.1.10. [Status](#)
 - 2.1.11. [Registrar](#)
 - 2.1.12. [Period](#)
 - 2.1.13. [Description](#)
 - 2.2. [Domain Price Fields](#)
 - 2.2.1. [Domain Create](#)
 - 2.2.2. [Domain Renew](#)
 - 2.2.3. [Domain Transfer](#)
 - 2.2.4. [Domain Restore](#)
 - 2.2.5. [Trade](#)
 - 2.3. [Timestamp Fields](#)
 - 2.3.1. [Start_Date](#)
 - 2.3.2. [Deleted_Date](#)
 - 2.3.3. [RGP_Date](#)
 - 2.3.4. [Purge_Date](#)
 - 2.3.5. [Updated_Date](#)
 - 2.3.6. [Create_Date](#)
 - 2.3.7. [Expiry_Date](#)
 - 2.4. [Registration Information Fields](#)
 - 2.4.1. [Registrar_ID](#)
 - 2.4.2. [Server_Registrant_ID](#)
 - 2.4.3. [DNSSEC](#)
 - 2.4.4. [Server_Contact_ID](#)
 - 2.4.5. [Contact_Type](#)

- [2.4.6. Contact Name](#)
 - [2.4.7. INUSE](#)
 - [2.4.8. Nameserver Host](#)
 - [2.4.9. Nameserver IP](#)
 - [2.4.10. Client Contact ID](#)
- [3. Report Definition Specification](#)
 - [3.1. Transaction Report](#)
 - [3.2. Premium Name Report](#)
 - [3.3. Domain RGP Report](#)
 - [3.4. Reserved Domain Report](#)
 - [3.5. Domain Inventory Report](#)
 - [3.6. Contact Inventory Report](#)
 - [3.7. Host Inventory Report](#)
- [4. IANA Considerations](#)
 - [4.1. Report Specification](#)
 - [4.1.1. Designated Expert Evaluation Criteria](#)
 - [4.1.2. Registration Procedure](#)
 - [4.1.2.1. Required Information](#)
 - [4.1.2.2. Registration Processing](#)
 - [4.1.2.3. Updating Report Definition Registry Entries](#)
 - [4.2. Initial assignments](#)
 - [4.2.1. Field Definition in IANA Registry](#)
 - [4.2.2. Report Definition in IANA Registry](#)
- [5. Security Considerations](#)
- [6. Privacy Considerations](#)
- [7. Internationalization Considerations](#)
- [8. References](#)
 - [8.1. Normative References](#)
 - [8.2. Informative References](#)
- [Appendix A. Acknowledgements](#)
- [Appendix B. File Naming Convention](#)
- [Authors' Addresses](#)

1. Introduction

Currently, domain name registry operators (the producer) create and set their own domain name registration reports for use by their registrars (the consumer). Among the distinctions that vary by producer is the syntax of the data provided, e.g., date formats, and the format of the collection of the data provided, e.g., the report may be a CSV file that tends to allow for straightforward importation or a PDF file that can be problematic to import. In addition, although there are a number of best practice reports that have evolved, these are not currently documented as such, which results in a fair amount of customization on the part of the consumers to import data.

This document standardizes the name and syntax of the data elements to be used across all existing domain name registration reports and

creates an IANA registry of them to facilitate their evolution, including adding additional data elements as needed. In addition, a known set of existing standard reports using the aforementioned data elements is specified in another IANA registry to facilitate the evolution of the reports and adding additional report definitions as needed.

Each report definition MUST use the data elements defined here, including all future reports. Future reports and future data elements may be specified in their own individual documents, updating the IANA registries as needed.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

2. Data Element Specification

Data elements are grouped into categories for convenience. There is no other significance to the groupings.

Each data element conceptually represents the column heading in a printed report. It is a single unit of information that can be passed from the producer to the consumer. The primary purposes of the IANA registry of data elements are to ensure that each data element is assigned a unique name and that the syntax of each data element is specified.

The name of the data element MUST be unique and this characteristic MUST be enforced by the registry. The name is used in the report definition (in the next section) to alert the consumer as to what to expect in the file and how to import the data element. Character encoding recommendation for data elements is specified in [Section 7](#).

The subsections below comprise an initial list of known data elements commonly being used between producers and consumers as of the date of publication of this document. The title of the subsection is the field name for the data element. Field names in the IANA registry MUST be unique and case insensitive.

2.1. General Information Fields

2.1.1. TLD

The string of the top level domain involved that MUST be in A-LABEL format as defined by [RFC 5890](#) [[RFC5890](#)].

2.1.2. Server_TRID

The transaction identifier issued by an EPP Server. The format MUST conform to "type:trIDStringType" as specified in [RFC 5730](#) [[RFC5730](#)].

2.1.3. Domain

This is the domain name in an EPP [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)] domain object and it SHOULD be in A-Label format.

2.1.4. Transaction_Type

The type of transform action made to the domain object (CREATE, DELETE, UPDATE, TRANSFER, RENEW) as specified in [RFC 5730](#) [[RFC5730](#)] Section 2.9.3.

2.1.5. Object_Type

The object type involved in the report. In the EPP environment, an object could be domain [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)], contact [RFC 5733](#) [[RFC5733](#)], or host [RFC 5732](#) [[RFC5732](#)].

2.1.6. DateTime

The timestamp of the transaction recorded in the system. The date and time format follows the "type=datetime" specification as defined in [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)].

2.1.7. Term

The number of units added to the domain registration period in "domain:period" [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)] in create command, renew or transfer command. If there's no "domain:period", it should take the default value set out of band by the registry.

2.1.8. Fee

The amount of money charged or returned (shown as a negative value) to the registrar. The numeric format MUST conform to the currency specified below in Section 2.1.9. The format must conform to "balanceType" as defined in [RFC 8748](#) [[RFC8748](#)].

2.1.9. Currency

The currency used in the money charged as documented above in Section 2.1.8. The currency code should follow the [ISO 4217](#) [[ISO4217](#)] standard.

2.1.10. Status

The status of the domain object. It MUST be one of the values specified in [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)] Section 2.3.

2.1.11. Registrar

The name of the registrar. This field is text/string with no naming convention enforced.

2.1.12. Period

The type of time (year, month) in 'Term' described above in Section 2.1.7. The value of 'year' and 'month' are referenced to pUnitType value 'y' and 'm' respectively. pUnitType is specified in [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)].

2.1.13. Description

Additional information regarding the current entry in the report. It is provided by the producer and its actual value is a matter of local policy.

2.2. Domain Price Fields

2.2.1. Domain_Create

The fee charged to create the domain. The format must conform to "balanceType" as defined in [RFC 8748](#) [[RFC8748](#)].

2.2.2. Domain_Renew

The fee charged to renew the domain. The format must conform to "balanceType" as defined in [RFC 8748](#) [[RFC8748](#)].

2.2.3. Domain_Transfer

The fee charged to transfer the domain. The format must conform to "balanceType" as defined in [RFC 8748](#) [[RFC8748](#)].

2.2.4. Domain_Restore

The fee charged to restore the domain. The format must conform to "balanceType" as defined in [RFC 8748](#) [[RFC8748](#)].

2.2.5. Trade

The fee charged to trade the domain. The format must conform to "balanceType" as defined in [RFC 8748](#) [[RFC8748](#)].

2.3. Timestamp Fields

2.3.1. Start_Date

The timestamp of when the domain object becomes available. The date and time format follows the "type=dateTime" specification as defined in [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)].

2.3.2. Deleted_Date

The timestamp of when the domain was deleted by the end user. The date and time format follows the "type=dateTime" specification as defined in [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)].

2.3.3. RGP_Date

The timestamp of when the domain will complete its redemption grace period. The date and time format follows the "type=dateTime" specification as defined in [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)].

2.3.4. Purge_Date

The timestamp of when the domain will be purged and become available again. The date and time format follows the "type=dateTime" specification as defined in [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)].

2.3.5. Updated_Date

The timestamp of the last time the domain object was updated. The date and time format follows the "type=dateTime" specification as defined in [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)].

2.3.6. Create_Date

The timestamp of when the domain object was allocated. The date and time format follows the "type=dateTime" specification as defined in [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)].

2.3.7. Expiry_Date

The timestamp of when the domain object will expire. The date and time format follows the "type=dateTime" specification as defined in [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)].

2.4. Registration Information Fields

2.4.1. Registrar_ID

The identifier assigned to the registrar. If the registrar is accredited under ICANN, it MUST be the registrar's [IANA ID](#)

[[IANA Registrar IDs](#)]. Otherwise it is a value known between the producer and the consumer, set via an out-of-band mechanism and unique within all reports of the producer.

2.4.2. Server_Registrant_ID

The identifier, issued by EPP server, assigned to the contact object that is associated as registrant of the domain name that MUST conform to "clIDType" specified in [RFC 5730](#) [[RFC5730](#)].

2.4.3. DNSSEC

The value MUST be either 'YES' or 'NO' to indicate whether the domain is DNSSEC signed.

2.4.4. Server_Contact_ID

The identifier of the contact object assigned by the registry system and MUST conform to "clIDType" specified in [RFC 5730](#) [[RFC5730](#)].

2.4.5. Contact_Type

The value MUST be one of value as defined by "contactAttrType" in [RFC 5731](#) [[RFC5731](#)].

2.4.6. Contact_Name

The name of the contact object. Usually it is the name of an individual or an organization as described in [RFC 5733](#) [[RFC5733](#)] Section 2.3.

2.4.7. INUSE

The value MUST be either "YES" or "NO" to indicate whether the contact object is associated with a domain object.

2.4.8. Nameserver_Host

The full domain name of the host object as defined in [RFC 5732](#) [[RFC5732](#)] Section 2.1. The name SHOULD be in A-Label format.

2.4.9. Nameserver_IP

The IP address of the host object. The syntax of the IPv4 address MUST conform to [RFC 791](#) [[RFC0791](#)]. The syntax of the IPv6 address MUST conform to [RFC 4291](#) [[RFC4291](#)].

2.4.10. Client_Contact_ID

The identifier of the contact object assigned by the registrar and MUST conform to "clIDType" specified in [RFC 5730](#) [[RFC5730](#)].

3. Report Definition Specification

Each report specification conceptually represents a file of [comma separated values](#) [[RFC4180](#)] (commonly called a CSV file) where the values are selected from the data elements specified above. The first row of the file is a comma separated list of field names as specified in the data element registry. The remaining rows of the file are the unordered sets of data elements, one set per row, where each row is one transaction in the report.

Each data element in a set conceptually represents the column heading in a printed report.

A consumer MUST be able to receive data elements that are not recognized and MAY skip them accordingly, both in the header row and in the transaction rows.

A report is specified in the report registry with two pieces of information. First is the name of the report. This can be whatever is appropriate as defined by the producer of the report. The name of the report MUST be unique and this characteristic MUST be enforced by registry.

Second is the ordered list of field names of what is included in the report. The field names MUST be listed in the data element registry specified above. The field names and the data MUST appear in the report in the order listed in the report registry.

The subsections below comprise an initial list of standard reports commonly being used between producers and consumers as of the date of publication of this document. The title of the subsection is the report name. The report name in the IANA registry must be unique and case insensitive.

3.1. Transaction Report

[Note] There is a new column in this report that is not added to other reports. It has been suggested that the report definition indicate if a value is required for the field or if it can be empty. The column was added to this report only for visualization and to further discussion. This paragraph will be removed once the working group discusses the issue and reaches consensus on direction.

Name of report: domain_transaction

Field	Reference	Mandatory
TLD	RFC XXXX Section 2.1.1	N
Server_TRID	Section 2.1.2	Y
Domain	Section 2.1.3	Y
DateTime	Section 2.1.6	Y
Registrar_ID	Section 2.4.1	Y
Registrar	Section 2.1.11	Y
Transaction_Type	Section 2.1.4	Y
Period	Section 2.1.12	Y
Term	Section 2.1.7	N
Fee	Section 2.1.8	Y
Currency	Section 2.1.9	Y
Description	Section 2.1.13	N

Table 1: Transaction Report Definition Table

3.2. Premium Name Report

Name of report: premium_name

Field	Reference
TLD	RFC XXXX Section 2.1.1
Domain	Section 2.1.3
Status	Section 2.1.10
Description	Section 2.1.13
Currency	Section 2.1.9
Domain_Create	Section 2.2.1
Domain_Renew	Section 2.2.2
Domain_Transfer	Section 2.2.3
Domain_Restore	Section 2.2.4
Start_Date	Section 2.3.1

Table 2: Premium Name Report Definition Table

3.3. Domain RGP Report

Name of report: domain_rgp

Field	Reference
TLD	RFC XXXX Section 2.1.1
Domain	Section 2.1.3
Deleted_Date	Section 2.3.2
RGP_Date	Section 2.3.3
Purge_Date	Section 2.3.4

Table 3: Domain RGP Report Definition Table

3.4. Reserved Domain Report

Name of report: reserved_domain

Field	Reference
TLD	RFC XXXX Section 2.1.1
Domain	Section 2.1.3
Status	Section 2.1.10

Table 4: Reserved Domain Report
Definition Table

3.5. Domain Inventory Report

Name of report: domain_inventory

Field	Reference
TLD	RFC XXXX Section 2.1.1
Domain	Section 2.1.3
Updated_Date	Section 2.3.5
Registrar_ID	Section 2.4.1
Create_Date	Section 2.3.6
Expiry_Date	Section 2.3.7
Server_Registrant_ID	Section 2.4.2
DNSSEC	Section 2.4.3
Status	Section 2.1.10

Table 5: Domain Inventory Report Definition
Table

3.6. Contact Inventory Report

Name of report: contact_inventory

Field	Reference
Server_Contact_ID	Section 2.4.4
Client_Contact_ID	Section 2.4.10
TLD	Section 2.1.1
Domain	Section 2.1.3
Contact_Type	Section 2.4.5
Contact_Name	Section 2.4.6
Updated_Date	Section 2.3.5
INUSE	Section 2.4.7
Registrar_ID	Section 2.4.1

Table 6: Contact Inventory Report
Definition Table

3.7. Host Inventory Report

Name of report: host_inventory

Field	Reference
TLD	RFCXXXX Section 2.1.1
Nameserver_Host	Section 2.4.8
Nameserver_IP	Section 2.4.9

Table 7: Host Inventory Report
Definition Table

4. IANA Considerations

This section describes the format of the IANA Registration Report Registry, which has two tables described below, and the procedures used to populate and manage the registry entries.

4.1. Report Specification

This registry uses the "Specification Required" policy described in [RFC 8126](#) [[RFC8126](#)]. An English language version of the extension specification is required in the registry, though non-English versions of the specification may also be provided.

The "Specification Required" policy implies review by a "designated expert". Section 5.2 of [RFC 8126](#) [[RFC8126](#)] describes the role of designated experts and the function they perform.

4.1.1. Designated Expert Evaluation Criteria

A high-level description of the role of the designated expert is described in Section 5.2 of [RFC 8126](#) [[RFC8126](#)]. Specific guidelines for the appointment of designated experts and the evaluation of a Registration Report is provided here.

The IESG SHOULD appoint a small pool of individuals (perhaps 3 - 5) to serve as designated experts, as described in Section 5.2 of RFC 8126 [[RFC8126](#)]. The pool should have a single administrative chair who is appointed by the IESG. The designated experts should use the existing regext mailing list (regext@ietf.org) for public discussion of registration requests. This implies that the mailing list should remain open after the work of the REGEXT working group has concluded.

The results of the evaluation should be shared via email with the registrant and the regext mailing list. Issues discovered during the evaluation can be corrected by the registrant, and those corrections can be submitted to the designated experts until the designated experts explicitly decide to accept or reject the registration

request. The designated experts must make an explicit decision and that decision must be shared via email with the registrant and the regext mailing list. If the specification for a field or report is an IETF Standards Track document, no review is required by the designated expert.

Designated experts should be permissive in their evaluation of requests for fields and reports that have been implemented and deployed by at least one registry. This implies that it may indeed be possible to register multiple fields or reports that provide the same functionality. Requests to register fields or reports that have not been deployed should be evaluated with a goal of reducing duplication. A potential registrant who submits a request to register a new field or report that includes similar functionality to existing fields or reports should be made aware of the existing fields and reports. The registrant should be asked to reconsider their request given the existence of similar fields or reports. Should they decline to do so, perceived similarity should not be a sufficient reason for rejection as long as all other requirements are met.

4.1.2. Registration Procedure

The registry contains information describing each registered field and report. Registry entries are created and managed by sending forms to IANA that describe the field and report on the registry entry.

4.1.2.1. Required Information

The required information must be formatted consistently using the following registration form. Form field names and values may appear on the same line.

4.1.2.1.1. Field Definition

The field name must be unique and evaluated in a case insensitive way.

Name of field

Enforced to be case-insensitive and unique

Reference document defining the field, including section number

SHOULD be a URL to a RFC, MAY be a URL to any document available under equivalent terms

Registrant

Will be IESG for initial entries

Status

MUST be active, inactive, unknown

4.1.2.1.2. Report Definition

The report name must be unique and case insensitive.

Name of Report

Document Status

Reference

Registrant:IESG

TLD:any

Status:active

4.1.2.2. Registration Processing

Registrants should send each registration form to IANA with a single record for incorporation into the registry. Send the form via email to iana@iana.org or complete the online form found on the IANA web site. The subject line should indicate whether the enclosed form represents an insertion of a new record (indicated by the word "INSERT" in the subject line) or a replacement of an existing record (indicated by the word "MODIFY" in the subject line). At no time can a record be deleted from the registry. On receipt of the registration request, IANA will initiate review by the designated expert(s) if appropriate, who will evaluate the request using the criteria in [Section 4.1.1](#) in consultation with the regext mailing list.

4.1.2.3. Updating Report Definition Registry Entries

When submitting changes to existing registry entries, include text in the "Notes" field of the registration form describing the change. Under normal circumstances, registry entries are only to be updated by the registrant. If the registrant becomes unavailable or

otherwise unresponsive, the designated expert can submit a registration form to IANA to update the registrant information. Entries can change state from "Active" to "Inactive" and back again as long as state-change requests conform to the processing requirements identified in this document. In addition to entries that become "Inactive" due to a lack of implementation, entries for which a specification becomes consistently unavailable over time should be marked "Inactive" by the designated expert until the specification again becomes reliably available.

4.2. Initial assignments

4.2.1. Field Definition in IANA Registry

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

TLD

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.1.1](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Server_TRID

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.1.2](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Domain

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.1.3](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Transaction_Type

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.1.4](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Object_Type

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.1.5](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

DateTime

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.1.6](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Term

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.1.7](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Currency

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.1.9](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Status

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.1.10](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

Registrar

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.1.11](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

Period

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.1.12](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

Description

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.1.13](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Domain_Create

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.2.1](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Domain_Renew

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.2.2](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Domain_Transfer

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.2.3](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

Domain_Restore

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.2.4](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

Start_Date

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.3.1](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

Deleted_Date

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.3.2](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

RGP_Date

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.3.3](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

Purge_Date

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.3.4](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

Updated_Date

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.3.5](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

Create_Date

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.3.6](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Expiry_Date

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.3.7](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Registrar_ID

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.4.1](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Server_Registrant_ID

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.4.2](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

DNSSEC

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.4.3](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Server_Contact_ID

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.4.4](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Contact_Type

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.4.5](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

Contact_Name

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.4.6](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

INUSE

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.4.7](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of field:

Nameserver_Host

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.4.8](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Nameserver_IP

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.4.9](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of field:

Client_Contact_ID

Reference:

This RFC [Section 2.4.10](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

Status:

Active

---- END FORM ----

4.2.2. Report Definition in IANA Registry

---- BEGIN FORM ----

Name of report:

domain_transaction

Reference:

This RFC [Table 1](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

TLD:

any

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of report:

premium_name

Reference:

This RFC [Section 3.2](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

TLD:

any

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of report:

domain_rgp

Reference:

This RFC [Section 3.3](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

TLD:

any

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of report:

reserved_domain

Reference:

This RFC [Section 3.4](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

TLD:

any

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of report:

domain_inventory

Reference:

This RFC [Section 3.5](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

TLD:

any

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of report:

contact_inventory

Reference:

This RFC [Section 3.6](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

TLD:

any

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

----- BEGIN FORM -----

Name of report:

host_inventory

Reference:

This RFC [Section 3.7](#)

Registrant:

IESG, iesg@ietf.org

TLD:

any

Status:

Active

----- END FORM -----

5. Security Considerations

Items to be covered in a future version:

SHOULD NOT expose secret information, e.g., authInfo

This report does not address delivery mechanisms but due consideration should be given to access of reports. Note encryption is something to consider for controlling access.

6. Privacy Considerations

Items to be covered in a future version:

Note that reports may contain Personally Identifiable Information

7. Internationalization Considerations

The character encoding for the file contents MUST use UTF-8.

Throughout this document A-LABEL is indicated as a SHOULD and that MUST be interpreted as follows. All domain name labels MUST be in A-LABEL format if it is possible to represent it as an A-LABEL, otherwise U-LABEL MAY be used.

8. References

8.1. Normative References

[**ISO4217**] International Organization for Standardization, "ISO 4217 Currency Codes", 2018, <https://www.currency-iso.org/dam/downloads/lists/list_one.xml>.

[RFC0791]

Postel, J., "Internet Protocol", September 1981, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc791>>.

[RFC2119]

Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

[RFC4180]

Shafranovich, Y., "IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture", February 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4180>>.

[RFC4291]

Hinden, R. and S. Deering, "IP Version 6 Addressing Architecture", February 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4291>>.

[RFC5730]

Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP)", August 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5730>>.

[RFC5731]

Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Domain Name Mapping", August 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5731>>.

[RFC5732]

Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Host Mapping", August 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5732>>.

[RFC5733]

Hollenbeck, S., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Contact Mapping", August 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5733>>.

[RFC5890]

Klensin, J., "Extensible Provisioning Protocol (EPP) Contact Mapping", August 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5890>>.

[RFC8126]

Cotton, M., Leiba, B., and T. Narten, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", June 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8126>>.

[RFC8748]

Carney, R. and J. Frakes, "Registry Fee Extension for the Extensible Provisioning Protocol", March 2021, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8748>>.

8.2. Informative References

[IANA_Registrar_IDs]

Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, "IANA Assignments - Registrar IDs", 2020, <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/registrar-ids/registrar-ids.xhtml>>.

[RFC2629]

Rose, M., "Writing I-Ds and RFCs using XML", RFC 2629, DOI 10.17487/RFC2629, June 1999, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2629>>.

[RFC3552]

Rescorla, E. and B. Korver, "Guidelines for Writing RFC Text on Security Considerations", BCP 72, RFC 3552, DOI 10.17487/RFC3552, July 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3552>>.

[RFC5226]

Narten, T. and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", RFC 5226, DOI 10.17487/RFC5226, May 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5226>>.

Appendix A. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Roger Carney, Jody Kolker, and bestpractice.domains for their reviews and suggestions.

Appendix B. File Naming Convention

TBD on file naming convention suggestion

Authors' Addresses

Joseph Yee (editor)
Afilias
Toronto
Canada

Email: jyee@afilias.info

James Galvin
Afilias
Horsham, PA
United States

Email: jgalvin@afilias.info