Network Working Group

Internet-Draft

Category: Informational Expires: November 22, 2004

E. Stephan
France Telecom R&D
J. Palet
Consulintel
May 24, 2004

Remote Network Monitoring (RMON) Protocol Identifiers for IPv6 and
Multi Protocol Label Switching (MPLS)
draft-ietf-rmonmib-pi-ipv6-04.txt

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of <u>Section 10 of RFC2026</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

This Internet-Draft will expire on November 22, 2004.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This memo defines additional (to those in RFC2896) protocol identifier examples for IP version 6 and MPLS protocols. These can be

used to produce valid protocolDirTable INDEX encodings, as defined by the Remote Network Monitoring MIB (Management Information Base) Version 2 (<u>RFC2021</u>) and the RMON Protocol Identifier Reference (<u>RFC2895</u>).

This document contains additional (to those in RFC2896) protocol identifier macros for well-known protocols. A conformant implementation of the RMON-2 MIB (RFC2021) can be accomplished

Stephan & Palet Expires November 22, 2004 [Page 1]

without the use of these protocol identifiers, and accordingly, this document does not specify any IETF standard. It is published to encourage better interoperability between RMON-2 agent implementations, by providing RMON related IPv6 and MPLS protocol information.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	The Internet-Standard Management Framework	<u>3</u>
<u>2</u> .	Overview	<u>3</u>
<u>3</u> .	Relationship to the Remote Network Monitoring MIB $\dots \dots$	3
<u>4</u> .	MPLS layer protocol identifiers	<u>3</u>
<u>5</u> .	IPv6 Protocols	<u>4</u>
<u>6</u> .	Security Considerations	<u>6</u>
<u>7</u> .	Acknowledgments	<u>6</u>
<u>8</u> .	IANA Considerations	7
<u>9</u> .	References	7
9.1	Normative References	7
9.2	Informative References	7
	Authors' Addresses	8
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	9

1. The Internet-Standard Management Framework

For a detailed overview of the documents that describe the current Internet-Standard Management Framework, please refer to section 7 of RFC 3410 [RFC3410]. Managed objects are accessed via a virtual information store, termed the Management Information Base or MIB. MIB objects are generally accessed through the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP). Objects in the MIB are defined using the mechanisms defined in the Structure of Management Information (SMI). This memo specifies a MIB module that is compliant to the SMIv2, which is described in STD 58, RFC 2578 [RFC2578], STD 58, RFC 2579 [RFC2579] and STD 58, RFC 2580 [RFC2580].

2. Overview

This memo defines basic protocol identifiers for IP version 6 and MPLS protocols.

The "Remote Network Monitoring MIB Protocol Identifier Macros" [RFC2896], defines various protocol identifiers. The syntax of the protocol identifier descriptor is defined in the RMON Protocol Identifier Reference [RFC2895]. The reader should be familiar with these documents.

The intent of this document is not to adapt each protocol identifier defined in the $\underline{\sf RFC}$ 2895 and in the $\underline{\sf RFC}$ 2896 to IP version 6, but to define protocol identifiers for IP version 6 protocols and for MPLS protocol.

3. Relationship to the Remote Network Monitoring MIB

RMON MIB implementations use protocol identifiers to describe unambiguous capabilities in protocolDirTable entries.

4. MPLS layer protocol identifiers

This section defines protocol identifiers for MPLS with unambiguous names to distinguish MPLS Unicast from MPLS Multicast.

Stephan & Palet Expires November 22, 2004

[Page 3]

```
-- MPLS unicast
mplsu PROTOCOL-IDENTIFIER
   PARAMETERS { }
   ATTRIBUTES { }
   DESCRIPTION
             "MPLS Label Stack Encoding."
   CHILDREN
             "Children of MPLS are not systematically identifiable. "
   REFERENCE
             "RFC 3032, MPLS Label Stack Encoding [RFC3032]."
   ::= {
             ether2 0x8847, -- RFC 3032 section 5
                     0x8847,
             snap
             802-1Q 0x8847,
                     0x0281, -- <u>RFC 3032 section 4.3</u>
             ppp
   }
-- MPLS multicast
mplsm PROTOCOL-IDENTIFIER
   PARAMETERS { }
   ATTRIBUTES { }
   DESCRIPTION
             "MPLS Label Stack Encoding."
   CHILDREN
             "Children of MPLS are not systematically identifiable."
   REFERENCE
             "RFC 3032, MPLS Label Stack Encoding [RFC3032]."
   ::= {
             ether2 0x8848, -- <u>RFC 3032 section 5</u>
             snap
                     0x8848,
             802-1Q 0x8848,
                     0x0283, -- RFC 3032 section 4.3
             ppp
   }
```

5. IPv6 Protocols

```
ip6 PROTOCOL-IDENTIFIER
    PARAMETERS {}
    ATTRIBUTES {}
    DESCRIPTION
```

"The protocol identifiers for the Internet Protocol, Version 6

Stephan & Palet Expires November 22, 2004

[Page 4]

```
[RFC2460]."
        CHILDREN
                "Children of 'ip6' are selected by the value in the Protocol
                field (one octet), as defined in the PROTOCOL NUMBERS table
                within the Assigned Numbers Document.
                The value of the Protocol field is encoded in an octet string
as
                [ 0.0.0.a ], where 'a' is the protocol field.
                Children of 'ip6' are encoded as [ 0.0.0.a ], and named as 'ip6
                a' where 'a' is the protocol field value. For example, a
                protocolDirID-fragment value of:
                  0.0.0.1.0.0.0.41.0.0.0.58
                defines an encapsulation of IPv6-ICMP (ether2.ip6.icmp6)"
        ADDRESS-FORMAT
                "16 octets of the IPv6 address, in network byte order. Each ip
                packet contains two addresses, the source address and the
                destination address."
        DECODING
                "Note: ether2.ip.ipip6.udp is a different protocolDirID than
                ether2.ip6.udp, as identified in the protocolDirTable. As
such,
                two different local protocol index values will be assigned by
                the agent. E.g. (full INDEX values shown):
                ether2.ip.ipip6.udp =
                                16.0.0.0.1.0.0.8.0.0.0.0.41.0.0.0.17.4.0.0.0.0
                ether2.ip6.udp =
                                12.0.0.0.1.0.0.0.41.0.0.0.17.3.0.0.0 "
        REFERENCE
                "RFC 2460 [RFC2460] defines the Internet Protocol version 6;
The
                following URL defines the authoritative repository for the
                PROTOCOL NUMBERS Table:
                  http://www.iana.org/assignments/protocol-numbers"
        ::= {
                ether2
                           0x86DD,
                802-10
                           0x86DD,
                mplsu
                            41,
                mplsm
                            41
        }
```

```
ipip6 PROTOCOL-IDENTIFIER
    PARAMETERS { }
    ATTRIBUTES {
       }
    DESCRIPTION
            "IPv6 in IPv4 Tunneling"
```

Stephan & Palet Expires November 22, 2004

[Page 5]

```
CHILDREN
                "Children of 'ipip6' are selected and encoded in the same
manner
                as children of ip6."
        ADDRESS-FORMAT
                "The 'ipip6' address format is the same as the IPv6 address
                format."
        DECODING
                "Note: ether2.ip.ipip6.udp is a different protocolDirID than
                ether2.ip6.udp, as identified in the protocolDirTable. As
such,
                two different local protocol index values will be assigned by
                the agent. E.g. (full INDEX values shown):
                                ether2.ip.ipip6.udp =
16.0.0.0.1.0.0.8.0.0.0.0.41.0.0.0.17.4.0.0.0.0
                                ether2.ip6.udp =
                                        12.0.0.0.1.0.0.0.41.0.0.0.17.3.0.0.0 "
        REFERENCE
                "RFC 2473 [RFC2473] defines Generic Packet Tunneling in IPv6
                Specification."
        ::= {
                ip 41
        }
   icmp6 PROTOCOL-IDENTIFIER
      PARAMETERS { }
      ATTRIBUTES { }
      DESCRIPTION
                "Internet Message Control Protocol for IP Version 6"
      REFERENCE
                "RFC 2463 [RFC2463] Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMPv6)
                for the Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6) Specification "
      ::= {
                ip6 58,
                ipip6 58
      }
```

6. Security Considerations

This document contains textual descriptions of well-known networking protocols, not the definition of any networking behavior. As such,

no security considerations are raised by its publication.

7. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to acknowledge the European Commission support in the co-funding of the 6QM project, where this work is being

Stephan & Palet Expires November 22, 2004

[Page 6]

developed.

8. IANA Considerations

There are no IANA considerations for this document.

9. References

9.1 Normative References

- [RFC2460] Deering, S. and R. Hinden, "Internet Protocol, Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", RFC 2460, December 1998.
- [RFC2463] Conta, A. and S. Deering, "Internet Control Message Protocol (ICMPv6) for the Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6) Specification", <u>RFC 2463</u>, December 1998.
- [RFC2473] Conta, A. and S. Deering, "Generic Packet Tunneling in IPv6 Specification", <u>RFC 2473</u>, December 1998.
- [RFC2578] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J.,
 McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Structure of
 Management Information Version 2 (SMIv2)", STD 58, RFC
 2578, April 1999.
- [RFC2579] McCloghrie, K., Perkins, D., Schoenwaelder, J., Case, J.,
 McCloghrie, K., Rose, M. and S. Waldbusser, "Textual
 Conventions for SMIv2", STD 58, RFC 2579, April 1999.
- [RFC2895] Bierman, A., Bucci, C. and R. Iddon, "Remote Network
 Monitoring MIB Protocol Identifier Reference", RFC 2895,
 August 2000.

[RFC3032] Rosen, E., Tappan, D., Fedorkow, G., Rekhter, Y., Farinacci, D., Li, T. and A. Conta, "MPLS Label Stack Encoding", RFC 3032, January 2001.

9.2 Informative References

[RFC2021] Waldbusser, S., "Remote Network Monitoring Management Information Base Version 2 using SMIv2", RFC 2021, January 1997.

[RFC2026] Bradner, S., "The Internet Standards Process -- Revision

Stephan & Palet Expires November 22, 2004 [Page 7]

3", BCP 9, RFC 2026, October 1996.

[RFC3410] Case, J., Mundy, R., Partain, D. and B. Stewart,
"Introduction and Applicability Statements for
Internet-Standard Management Framework", RFC 3410,
December 2002.

Authors' Addresses

Stephan Emile
France Telecom R & D
2 avenue Pierre Marzin
Lannion, F-22307

Fax: +33 2 96 05 18 52

EMail: emile.stephan@francetelecom.com

Jordi Palet Consulintel, IPv6 R&D San Jose Artesano, 1 Alcobendas, Madrid, Spain E-28108

Fax: +34 91 151 81 98

EMail: jordi.palet@consulintel.es

Stephan & Palet Expires November 22, 2004

[Page 8]

Intellectual Property Statement

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standards-related documentation can be found in BCP-11. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2004). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be

revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assignees.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION

Stephan & Palet Expires November 22, 2004 [Page 9]

HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Acknowledgment