

Geographic Location Extension to Ripe-181

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft. Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as ``work in progress.''

To learn the current status of any Internet-Draft, please check the ``1id-abstracts.txt' listing contained in the Internet-Drafts Shadow Directories on ftp.is.co.za (Africa), nic.nordu.net (Europe), munnari.oz.au (Pacific Rim), ds.internic.net (US East Coast), or ftp.isi.edu (US West Coast).

1. Introduction

This document describes two proposals for specifying geographic location of a database objects such as an Autonomous System (AS). This information could be used by mapping tools that provide geographic maps of the Internet topology. Two alternatives are documented here, the first proposal uses a new attribute added to a database object. The attribute provides longitude, latitude and size information. The second method also uses a new location attribute added to the database object, this new attribute contains the name of a location object that we propose. The two methods describe direct and indirect location information respectively.

2. Format of Location String

The format of the geographic ``location string' or LocString will be a single string consisting of the latitude, longitude and optionally the size. The latitude and longitude are each represented by one to three integers corresponding to degrees, minutes and seconds, with a direction appended to the final integers of the latitude and longitude. If minutes and/or seconds are not provided values of 0 will be assumed and size will default to 0, a point. The directions are north, south, east and west.

Rusty Eddy

Expires August 27, 1996

[Page 1]

For example, the LocString for Irvine, California is:

```
33 40 10n 117 49 20w 10m
```

Representing 33 degrees, 40 minutes and 10 seconds north latitude, and **117 degrees, 49 minutes and 20 seconds west longitude with a 10 meter** encompassing circle. Informally the LocString would be of the form:

```
"LA [MM [SS]](n|s) LO [MM [SS]](e|w) [SIm]"
```

Where, LA and LO are integers representing the degrees latitude and longitude, respectively. MM is an integer representing the number of minutes. SS is an integer representing the number of seconds. The characters 'n', 's', 'e', 'w' and 'm' are literals corresponding to north, south, east, west and meters, respectively.

3. Proposals for AS Geographic Location

The following proposals are similar, the first suggests a new attribute to the Ripe-181[1] aut-num object, the second also suggests new aut-num attribute and a new ``location'' object. The following examples use the aut-num object, however, they may be equally applied to the as-macro, inet-rtr or route objects as well. Attributing locations to these other database objects would provide the geographic topology internal to an AS, which may represent reality more accurately.

3.1 Direct Location

This method proposes a new aut-num attribute, ``location''. This attribute is a single, optional attribute:

```
aut-num:      [mandatory] [single]
...
location:     [optional]  [single]
```

The ``location'' attribute will contain a LocString. For example, say AS8800 is an ISP in Irvine, California, it's aut-num could contain the following:

```
aut-num:      AS8800
location:     33 40 10n 117 49 20w
...
```

3.2 Direct and Indirect Location

This method proposes the addition of a ``location'' attribute to the aut-num as in 3.1. This attribute could directly hold the LocString, or

the name of a ``location'' object. The location object could be defined as:

```
location:      [mandatory] [single]
loc-string:    [mandatory] [single]
descr:         [optional]  [multiple]
notify:        [optional]  [multiple]
mnt-by:        [optional]  [multiple]
changed:       [mandatory] [multiple]
source:        [mandatory] [single]
```

Using the example in [section 3.1](#), we would have the following:

```
aut-num:       AS8800
location:      IrvineCA
...
```

and the object ``IrvineCA'' would be:

```
location:      IrvineCA
loc-string:     33 40 10n 117 49 20w 10m
descr:         Example location object in Irvine, CA.
notify:        eddy@isi.edu
mnt-by:        MAINT-EXAMPLE
changed:       eddy@isi.edu 960220
source:        EXAMPLE
```

The loc-name could be any string desired by the creator.

[4. Problems](#)

One obvious problem with using geographic layout inherent to networks, is that they often span large geographic areas, this is true of many ISPs. When attributing a location to an AS, one must pick a single location to be representative of the AS. The internal topology of an AS may be mapped geographically when a location attribute has been added to the inet-rtr or route objects.

[5. Conclusion](#)

The motivation for providing geographic locations was prompted by the development of the Internet Routing Registry (IRR) visualization tool (IRRV) as a possible method for topological layout. Methods for obtaining the LocString are beyond the scope of this document, however, it should be noted that [RFC 1876](#)[\[2\]](#) specifies a means for containing location information in Domain Name System. Also worth noting is a

``Geographic Nameserver'' at <http://www.mit.edu:8001/geo>, that derives its information from the Geographic Nameserver database on martini.eecs.umich.edu.

Rusty Eddy

Expires August 27, 1996

[Page 3]

Work in this area will proceed if the community finds this feature useful.

6. Thanks

Although we have never spoke, I would like to thank, Juergen Schoenwaelder (schoenw@ibr.cs.tu-bs.de) for the development of scotty/tkined, which IRRv is based on and the example geographic layout script. Thanks also to Cengiz Alaettinoglu for suggestions that led to these proposals.

- [1] T. Bates, E. Gerich, L. Joncheray, J-M. Jouanigot, D. Karrenberg, M. Terpstra, and J. Yu. Representation of IP Routing Policies in a Routing Registry. Technical Report ripe-181, RIPE, RIPE NCC, Amsterdam, Netherlands, October 1994.
- [2] C. Davis, P. Vixie, T. Goodwin, and I. Dickinson. A Means for Expressing Location Information in the Domain Name System, [RFC 1876](#), January 1996.

Author's Present Address

Rusty Eddy
Information Sciences Institute
University of Southern California
Marina del Rey, CA 90292
e-mail: eddy@isi.edu