A new Request for Comments is now available in online RFC libraries.

<u>RFC 3237</u>

Title:	Requirements for Reliable Server Pooling
Author(s):	M. Tuexen, Q. Xie, R. Stewart, M. Shore, L. Ong,
	J. Loughney, M. Stillman
Status:	Informational
Date:	January 2002
Mailbox:	Michael.Tuexen@icn.siemens.de,
	qxie1@email.mot.com, rrs@cisco.com,
	mshore@cisco.com, lyong@ciena.com,
	john.loughney@nokia.com,
	maureen.stillman@nokia.com
Pages:	10
Characters:	16986
Updates/Obsoletes/SeeAlso: NONE	
I-D Tag:	draft-ietf-rserpool-reqts-03.txt

URL: <u>ftp://ftp.rfc-editor.org/in-notes/rfc3237.txt</u>

This document defines a basic set of requirements for reliable server pooling.

The goal of Reliable Server Pooling (RSerPool) is to develop an architecture and protocols for the management and operation of server pools supporting highly reliable applications, and for client access mechanisms to a server pool.

This document is a product of the Reliable Server Pooling Working Group of the IETF.

This memo provides information for the Internet community. It does not specify an Internet standard of any kind. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

This announcement is sent to the IETF list and the RFC-DIST list. Requests to be added to or deleted from the IETF distribution list should be sent to IETF-REQUEST@IETF.ORG. Requests to be added to or deleted from the RFC-DIST distribution list should be sent to RFC-DIST-REQUEST@RFC-EDITOR.ORG.

Details on obtaining RFCs via FTP or EMAIL may be obtained by sending an EMAIL message to rfc-info@RFC-EDITOR.ORG with the message body help: ways_to_get_rfcs. For example:

> To: rfc-info@RFC-EDITOR.ORG Subject: getting rfcs

help: ways_to_get_rfcs

Requests for special distribution should be addressed to either the author of the RFC in question, or to RFC-Manager@RFC-EDITOR.ORG. Unless specifically noted otherwise on the RFC itself, all RFCs are for unlimited distribution.echo Submissions for Requests for Comments should be sent to RFC-EDITOR@RFC-EDITOR.ORG. Please consult <u>RFC 2223</u>, Instructions to RFC Authors, for further information.