

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: August 12, 2016

JM. Valin
Mozilla
C. Bran
Plantronics
February 9, 2016

WebRTC Audio Codec and Processing Requirements
draft-ietf-rtcweb-audio-10

Abstract

This document outlines the audio codec and processing requirements for WebRTC endpoints.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 12, 2016.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	2
2.	Terminology	2
3.	Codec Requirements	2
4.	Audio Level	3
5.	Acoustic Echo Cancellation (AEC)	4
6.	Legacy VoIP Interoperability	5
7.	IANA Considerations	5
8.	Security Considerations	5
9.	Acknowledgements	5
10.	References	6
10.1.	Normative References	6
10.2.	Informative References	6
	Authors' Addresses	7

[1.](#) Introduction

An integral part of the success and adoption of the Web Real Time Communications (WebRTC) will be the voice and video interoperability between WebRTC applications. This specification will outline the audio processing and codec requirements for WebRTC endpoints.

[2.](#) Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC 2119](#) [[RFC2119](#)].

[3.](#) Codec Requirements

To ensure a baseline level of interoperability between WebRTC endpoints, a minimum set of required codecs are specified below. If other suitable audio codecs are available for the WebRTC endpoint to use, it is RECOMMENDED that they are also be included in the offer in order to maximize the possibility to establish the session without the need for audio transcoding.

WebRTC endpoints are REQUIRED to implement the following audio codecs:

- o Opus [[RFC6716](#)] with the payload format specified in [[I-D.ietf-payload-rtp-opus](#)].
- o G.711 PCMA and PCMU with the payload format specified in [section 4.5.14 of \[RFC3551\]](#).

- o [\[RFC3389\]](#) comfort noise (CN). WebRTC endpoints MUST support [RFC3389](#) CN for streams encoded with G.711 or any other supported codec that does not provide its own CN. Since Opus provides its own CN mechanism, the use of [RFC3389](#) CN with Opus is NOT RECOMMENDED. Use of DTX/CN by senders is OPTIONAL.
- o The audio/telephone-event media format as specified in [\[RFC4733\]](#). The endpoints MAY send DTMF events at any time and SHOULD suppress in-band DTMF tones, if any. WebRTC endpoints are REQUIRED to be able to generate and consume the following events:

Event Code	Event Name	Reference
0	DTMF digit "0"	RFC4733
1	DTMF digit "1"	RFC4733
2	DTMF digit "2"	RFC4733
3	DTMF digit "3"	RFC4733
4	DTMF digit "4"	RFC4733
5	DTMF digit "5"	RFC4733
6	DTMF digit "6"	RFC4733
7	DTMF digit "7"	RFC4733
8	DTMF digit "8"	RFC4733
9	DTMF digit "9"	RFC4733
10	DTMF digit "*"	RFC4733
11	DTMF digit "#"	RFC4733
12	DTMF digit "A"	RFC4733
13	DTMF digit "B"	RFC4733
14	DTMF digit "C"	RFC4733
15	DTMF digit "D"	RFC4733

For all cases where the endpoint is able to process audio at a sampling rate higher than 8 kHz, it is RECOMMENDED that Opus be offered before PCMA/PCMU. For Opus, all modes MUST be supported on the decoder side. The choice of encoder-side modes is left to the implementer. Endpoints MAY use the offer/answer mechanism to signal a preference for a particular mode or ptime.

For additional information on implementing codecs other than the mandatory-to-implement codecs listed above, refer to [\[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-audio-codecs-for-interop\]](#).

4. Audio Level

It is desirable to standardize the "on the wire" audio level for speech transmission to avoid users having to manually adjust the playback and to facilitate mixing in conferencing applications. It

is also desirable to be consistent with ITU-T recommendations G.169 and G.115, which recommend an active audio level of -19 dBm₀. However, unlike G.169 and G.115, the audio for WebRTC is not constrained to have a passband specified by G.712 and can in fact be sampled at any sampling rate from 8 kHz to 48 kHz and up. For this reason, the level SHOULD be normalized by only considering frequencies above 300 Hz, regardless of the sampling rate used. The level SHOULD also be adapted to avoid clipping, either by lowering the gain to a level below -19 dBm₀, or through the use of a compressor.

Assuming 16-bit PCM with a value of +/-32767, -19 dBm₀ corresponds to a root mean square (RMS) level of 2600. Only active speech should be considered in the RMS calculation. If the endpoint has control over the entire audio capture path, as is typically the case for a regular phone, then it is RECOMMENDED that the gain be adjusted in such a way that active speech have a level of 2600 (-19 dBm₀) for an average speaker. If the endpoint does not have control over the entire audio capture, as is typically the case for a software endpoint, then the endpoint SHOULD use automatic gain control (AGC) to dynamically adjust the level to 2600 (-19 dBm₀) +/- 6 dB. For music or desktop sharing applications, the level SHOULD NOT be automatically adjusted and the endpoint SHOULD allow the user to set the gain manually.

The RECOMMENDED filter for normalizing the signal energy is a second-order Butterworth filter with a 300 Hz cutoff frequency.

It is common for the audio output on some devices to be "calibrated" for playing back pre-recorded "commercial" music, which is typically around 12 dB louder than the level recommended in this section. Because of this, endpoints MAY increase the gain before playback.

5. Acoustic Echo Cancellation (AEC)

It is plausible that the dominant near to mid-term WebRTC usage model will be people using the interactive audio and video capabilities to communicate with each other via web browsers running on a notebook computer that has built-in microphone and speakers. The notebook-as-communication-device paradigm presents challenging echo cancellation problems, the specific remedy of which will not be mandated here. However, while no specific algorithm or standard will be required by WebRTC-compatible endpoints, echo cancellation will improve the user experience and should be implemented by the endpoint device.

WebRTC endpoints SHOULD include an AEC or some other form of echo control. On general purpose platforms (e.g. PC), it is common for the audio capture ADC and the audio playback DAC to use different clocks. In these cases, such as when a webcam is used for capture

and a separate soundcard is used for playback, the sampling rates are likely to differ slightly. Endpoint AECs SHOULD be robust to such conditions, unless they are shipped along with hardware that guarantees capture and playback to be sampled from the same clock.

Endpoints SHOULD allow the entire AEC and/or the non-linear processing (NLP) to be turned off for applications, such as music, that do not behave well with the spectral attenuation methods typically used in NLPs. Similarly, endpoints SHOULD have the ability to detect the presence of a headset and disable echo cancellation.

For some applications where the remote endpoint may not have an echo canceller, the local endpoint MAY include a far-end echo canceller, but if that is the case, it SHOULD be disabled by default.

6. Legacy VoIP Interoperability

The codec requirements above will ensure, at a minimum, voice interoperability capabilities between WebRTC endpoints and legacy phone systems that support G.711.

7. IANA Considerations

This document makes no request of IANA.

Note to RFC Editor: this section may be removed on publication as an RFC.

8. Security Considerations

For security considerations regarding the codecs themselves please refer their specifications, including [[RFC6716](#)], [[I-D.ietf-payload-rtp-opus](#)], [[RFC3551](#)], [[RFC3389](#)], and [[RFC4733](#)]. Likewise, consult the RTP base specification for security RTP-based security considerations. WebRTC security is further discussed in [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-security](#)] and [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-security-arch](#)] and [[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-rtp-usage](#)].

Implementers should consider whether the use of VBR is appropriate for their application based on [[RFC6562](#)]. Encryption and authentication issues are beyond the scope of this document.

9. Acknowledgements

This draft incorporates ideas and text from various other drafts. In particular we would like to acknowledge, and say thanks for, work we incorporated from Harald Alvestrand and Cullen Jennings.

10. References

10.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3551] Schulzrinne, H. and S. Casner, "RTP Profile for Audio and Video Conferences with Minimal Control", STD 65, [RFC 3551](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3551, July 2003, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3551>>.
- [RFC3389] Zopf, R., "Real-time Transport Protocol (RTP) Payload for Comfort Noise (CN)", [RFC 3389](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3389, September 2002, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3389>>.
- [RFC4733] Schulzrinne, H. and T. Taylor, "RTP Payload for DTMF Digits, Telephony Tones, and Telephony Signals", [RFC 4733](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4733, December 2006, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4733>>.
- [RFC6716] Valin, JM., Vos, K., and T. Terriberry, "Definition of the Opus Audio Codec", [RFC 6716](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6716, September 2012, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6716>>.
- [RFC6562] Perkins, C. and JM. Valin, "Guidelines for the Use of Variable Bit Rate Audio with Secure RTP", [RFC 6562](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6562, March 2012, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6562>>.
- [I-D.ietf-payload-rtp-opus]
Spittka, J., Vos, K., and J. Valin, "RTP Payload Format for the Opus Speech and Audio Codec", [draft-ietf-payload-rtp-opus-11](#) (work in progress), April 2015.

10.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-security]
Rescorla, E., "Security Considerations for WebRTC", [draft-ietf-rtcweb-security-08](#) (work in progress), February 2015.
- [I-D.ietf-rtcweb-security-arch]
Rescorla, E., "WebRTC Security Architecture", [draft-ietf-rtcweb-security-arch-11](#) (work in progress), March 2015.

`[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-rtp-usage]`

Perkins, C., Westerlund, M., and J. Ott, "Web Real-Time Communication (WebRTC): Media Transport and Use of RTP", [draft-ietf-rtcweb-rtp-usage-23](#) (work in progress), March 2015.

`[I-D.ietf-rtcweb-audio-codecs-for-interop]`

Proust, S., Berger, E., Feiten, B., Burman, B., Bogineni, K., Lei, M., and E. Marocco, "Additional WebRTC audio codecs for interoperability.", [draft-ietf-rtcweb-audio-codecs-for-interop-01](#) (work in progress), January 2015.

Authors' Addresses

Jean-Marc Valin
Mozilla
331 E. Evelyn Avenue
Mountain View, CA 94041
USA

Email: jmvalin@jmvalin.ca

Cary Bran
Plantronics
345 Encinial Street
Santa Cruz, CA 95060
USA

Phone: +1 206 661-2398
Email: cary.bran@plantronics.com

