Network Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: December 30, 2016

A. Lindem, Ed. Y. Qu D. Yeung Cisco Systems I. Chen Ericsson J. Zhang Juniper Networks Y. Yang Cisco Systems June 28, 2016

# Routing Key Chain YANG Data Model draft-ietf-rtgwg-yang-key-chain-04.txt

#### Abstract

This document describes the key chain YANG data model. A key chain is a list of elements each containing a key, send lifetime, accept lifetime, and algorithm. By properly overlapping the send and accept lifetimes of multiple key chain elements, keys and algorithms may be gracefully updated. By representing them in a YANG data model, key distribution can be automated. Key chains are commonly used for routing protocol authentication and other applications. In some applications, the protocols do not use the key chain element key directly, but rather a key derivation function is used to derive a short-lived key from the key chain element key.

## Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of  $\underline{BCP}$  78 and  $\underline{BCP}$  79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 30, 2016.

Internet-Draft YANG Key Chain June 2016

# Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to <a href="BCP-78">BCP-78</a> and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<a href="http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info">http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info</a>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

#### Table of Contents

<u>I</u> . Introduction	
$\underline{\textbf{1.1}}$ . Requirements Notation	. 3
<u>2</u> . Problem Statement	. 3
2.1. Graceful Key Rollover using Key Chains	. 3
$\underline{3}$ . Design of the Key Chain Model	. 4
3.1. Key Chain Operational State	. 5
3.2. Key Chain Model Features	. 5
3.3. Key Chain Model Tree	. 5
4. Key Chain YANG Model	. 8
$\underline{\textbf{5}}$ . Relationship to other Work	. <u>17</u>
$\underline{6}$ . Security Considerations	. 17
<pre>7. IANA Considerations</pre>	. 18
<u>8</u> . References	. 18
<u>8.1</u> . Normative References	. 18
<u>8.2</u> . Informative References	. 19
<u>Appendix A</u> . Acknowledgments	. 19
Authors' Addresses	. 20

#### 1. Introduction

This document describes the key chain YANG data model. A key chain is a list of elements each containing a key, send lifetime, accept lifetime, and algorithm. By properly overlapping the send and accept lifetimes of multiple key chain elements, keys and algorithms may be gracefully updated. By representing them in a YANG data model, key distribution can be automated. Key chains are commonly used for routing protocol authentication and other applications. In some applications, the protocols do not use the key chain element key directly, but rather a key derivation function is used to derive a short-lived key from the key chain element key.

### 1.1. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC-KEYWORDS].

### 2. Problem Statement

This document describes a YANG [YANG] data model for key chains. Key chains have been implemented and deployed by a large percentage of network equipment vendors. Providing a standard YANG model will facilitate automated key distribution and non-disruptive key rollover. This will aid in tightening the security of the core routing infrastructure as recommended in [IAB-REPORT].

A key chain is a list containing one or more elements containing a Key ID, key, send/accept lifetimes, and the associated authentication or encryption algorithm. A key chain can be used by any service or application requiring authentication or encryption. In essence, the key-chain is a reusable key policy that can be referenced where ever it is required. The key-chain construct has been implemented by most networking vendors and deployed in many networks.

The module name was change from ietf-key-chain to ietf-routing-key-chain to avoid disambiguate it from the ietf-system-keychain module defined in [NETCONF-SERVER-CONF]. However, due to popular demand, the module name has been restored to simply ietf-key-chain.

A conceptual representation of a crypto key table is described in [CRYPTO-KEYTABLE]. The crypto key table also includes keys as well as their corresponding lifetimes and algorithms. Additionally, the key table includes key selection criteria and envisions a deployment model where the details of the applications or services requiring authentication or encryption permeate into the key database. The YANG key-chain model described herein doesn't include key selection criteria or support this deployment model. At the same time, it does not preclude it. The draft [YANG-CRYPTO-KEYTABLE] describes augmentations to the key chain YANG model in support of key selection criteria.

## 2.1. Graceful Key Rollover using Key Chains

Key chains may be used to gracefully update the key and/or algorithm used by an application for authentication or encryption. This MAY be accomplished by accepting all the keys that have a valid accept lifetime and sending the key with the most recent send lifetime. One scenario for facilitating key rollover is to:

- 1. Distribute a key chain with a new key to all the routers or other network devices in the domain of that key chain. The new key's accept lifetime should be such that it is accepted during the key rollover period. The send lifetime should be a time in the future when it can be assured that all the routers in the domain of that key are upgraded. This will have no immediate impact on the keys used for transmission.
- 2. Assure that all the network devices have been updated with the updated key chain and that their system times are roughly synchronized. The system times of devices within an administrative domain are commonly synchronized (e.g., using Network Time Protocol (NTP) [NTP-PROTO]). This also may be automated.
- When the send lifetime of the new key becomes valid, the network devices within the domain of key chain will start sending the new key.
- 4. At some point in the future, a new key chain with the old key removed may be distributed to the network devices within the domain of the key chain. However, this may be deferred until the next key rollover. If this is done, the key chain will always include two keys; either the current and future key (during key rollovers) or the current and previous keys (between key rollovers).

## 3. Design of the Key Chain Model

The ietf-key-chain module contains a list of one or more keys indexed by a Key ID. For some applications (e.g., OSPFv3 [OSPFv3-AUTH]), the Key-Id is used to identify the key chain entry to be used. In addition to the Key-ID, each key chain entry includes a key-string and a cryptographic algorithm. Optionally, the key chain entries include send/accept lifetimes. If the send/accept lifetime is unspecified, the key is always considered valid.

Note that asymmetric keys, i.e., a different key value used for transmission versus acceptance, may be supported with multiple key chain elements where the accept-lifetime or send-lifetime is not valid (e.g., has an end-time equal to the start-time).

Due to the differences in key chain implementations across various vendors, some of the data elements are optional. Additionally, the key-chain is made a grouping so that an implementation could support scoping other than at the global level. Finally, the cryptoalgorithm-types grouping is provided for reuse when configuring legacy authentication and encryption not using key-chains.

A key-chain is identified by a unique name within the scope of the network device. The "key-chain-ref" typedef SHOULD be used by other YANG modules when they need to reference a configured key-chain.

### 3.1. Key Chain Operational State

The key chain operational state is maintained in the key-chain entries along with the configuration state. The key string itself is omitted from the operational state to minimize visibility similar to what was done with keys in SNMP MIBs. This is an area for further discussion. Additionally, the operational state includes an indication of whether or not a key chain entry is valid for sending or acceptance.

#### 3.2. Key Chain Model Features

Features are used to handle differences between vendor implementations. For example, not all vendors support configuration an acceptance tolerance or configuration of key strings in hexadecimal. They are also used to support of security requirements (e.g., TCP-AO Algorithms [TCP-AO-ALGORITHMS]) not implemented by vendors or only a single vendor.

### 3.3. Key Chain Model Tree

```
module: ietf-key-chain
+-rw key-chains
 +--rw key-chain-list* [name]
  +--rw name
                                   string
  | +--ro name-state?
                                   string
   +--rw accept-tolerance {accept-tolerance}?
 | | +--rw duration? uint32
    +--ro accept-tolerance-state
   | +--ro duration? uint32
    +--rw key-chain-entry* [key-id]
       +--rw key-id
                                     uint64
       +--ro key-id-state?
                                     uint64
       +--rw key-string
       | +--rw (key-string-style)?
            +--:(keystring)
            | +--rw keystring?
                                          string
            +--:(hexadecimal) {hex-key-string}?
               +--rw hexadecimal-string? yang:hex-string
       +--rw lifetime
       | +--rw (lifetime)?
            +--:(send-and-accept-lifetime)
            | +--rw send-accept-lifetime
                 +--rw (lifetime)?
```

Lindem, et al. Expires December 30, 2016 [Page 5]

```
+--:(always)
               | +--rw always?
                                           empty
               +--:(start-end-time)
                  +--rw start-date-time?
                                           yang:date-and-time
                  +--rw (end-time)?
                     +--:(infinite)
                     | +--rw no-end-time?
                                                 empty
                     +--:(duration)
                     | +--rw duration?
                                                 uint32
                     +--:(end-date-time)
                        +--rw end-date-time?
                              yang:date-and-time
     +--: (independent-send-accept-lifetime)
                  {independent-send-accept-lifetime}?
         +--rw send-lifetime
           +--rw (lifetime)?
              +--:(always)
               | +--rw always?
                                           empty
              +--:(start-end-time)
                 +--rw start-date-time?
                                           yang:date-and-time
                 +--rw (end-time)?
                     +--:(infinite)
                     | +--rw no-end-time?
                                                 empty
                     +--:(duration)
                     | +--rw duration?
                                                 uint32
                     +--:(end-date-time)
                        +--rw end-date-time?
                                yang:date-and-time
         +--rw accept-lifetime
           +--rw (lifetime)?
              +--:(always)
               | +--rw always?
                                           empty
               +--:(start-end-time)
                  +--rw start-date-time?
                                           yang:date-and-time
                  +--rw (end-time)?
                     +--:(infinite)
                     | +--rw no-end-time?
                                                 empty
                     +--:(duration)
                      +--rw duration?
                                                 uint32
                     +--:(end-date-time)
                        +--rw end-date-time?
                            yang:date-and-time
+--ro lifetime-state
  +--ro send-lifetime
     +--ro (lifetime)?
        +--:(always)
         | +--ro always?
                                     empty
        +--:(start-end-time)
```

Lindem, et al. Expires December 30, 2016 [Page 6]

```
+--ro start-date-time?
                                     yang:date-and-time
            +--ro (end-time)?
              +--:(infinite)
               | +--ro no-end-time?
                                           empty
               +--:(duration)
               | +--ro duration?
                                           uint32
               +--:(end-date-time)
                  +--ro end-date-time?
                                           yang:date-and-time
   +--ro send-valid?
                            boolean
   +--ro accept-lifetime
      +--ro (lifetime)?
         +--:(always)
         | +--ro always?
                                     empty
         +--:(start-end-time)
           +--ro start-date-time?
                                     yang:date-and-time
            +--ro (end-time)?
               +--:(infinite)
               | +--ro no-end-time?
                                           empty
               +--:(duration)
               | +--ro duration?
                                           uint32
               +--:(end-date-time)
                  +--ro end-date-time?
                                           yang:date-and-time
   +--ro accept-valid?
                            boolean
+--rw crypto-algorithm
   +--rw (algorithm)?
     +--:(hmac-sha-1-12) {crypto-hmac-sha-1-12}?
      | +--rw hmac-sha1-12?
                                         empty
     +--:(aes-cmac-prf-128) {aes-cmac-prf-128}?
      +--rw aes-cmac-prf-128?
                                         empty
     +--: (md5)
      | +--rw md5?
                                         empty
     +--:(sha-1)
      | +--rw sha-1?
                                         empty
     +--:(hmac-sha-1)
      | +--rw hmac-sha-1?
                                         empty
     +--:(hmac-sha-256)
      | +--rw hmac-sha-256?
                                         empty
     +--: (hmac-sha-384)
      | +--rw hmac-sha-384?
                                         empty
     +--:(hmac-sha-512)
      | +--rw hmac-sha-512?
                                         empty
     +--:(clear-text) {clear-text}?
      | +--rw clear-text?
                                         empty
      +--:(replay-protection-only) {replay-protection-only}?
        +--rw replay-protection-only?
                                         empty
+--ro crypto-algorithm-state
  +--ro (algorithm)?
      +--:(hmac-sha-1-12) {crypto-hmac-sha-1-12}?
```

Lindem, et al. Expires December 30, 2016 [Page 7]

```
| +--ro hmac-sha1-12?
                                              empty
           +--: (aes-cmac-prf-128) {aes-cmac-prf-128}?
           +--ro aes-cmac-prf-128?
                                              empty
           +--: (md5)
           | +--ro md5?
                                              empty
           +--:(sha-1)
           | +--ro sha-1?
                                              empty
           +--:(hmac-sha-1)
           +--ro hmac-sha-1?
                                              empty
           +--:(hmac-sha-256)
           | +--ro hmac-sha-256?
                                              empty
           +--:(hmac-sha-384)
           | +--ro hmac-sha-384?
                                              empty
           +--:(hmac-sha-512)
           | +--ro hmac-sha-512?
                                              empty
           +--:(clear-text) {clear-text}?
           | +--ro clear-text?
                                              empty
           +--: (replay-protection-only) {replay-protection-only}?
              +--ro replay-protection-only?
                                              empty
+--rw aes-key-wrap {aes-key-wrap}?
| +--rw enable? boolean
+--ro aes-key-wrap-state {aes-key-wrap}?
  +--ro enable? boolean
```

## 4. Key Chain YANG Model

```
<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-key-chain@2016-06-28.yang"
module ietf-key-chain {
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-key-chain";
 // replace with IANA namespace when assigned
  prefix "key-chain";
  import ietf-yang-types {
   prefix "yang";
  }
  organization
    "IETF RTG (Routing) Working Group";
  contact
    "Acee Lindem - acee@cisco.com";
  description
    "This YANG module defines the generic configuration
    data for key-chain. It is intended that the module
    will be extended by vendors to define vendor-specific
    key-chain configuration parameters.
```

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as

Lindem, et al. Expires December 30, 2016 [Page 8]

```
authors of the code. All rights reserved.
   Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
   without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
   to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
   set forth in <u>Section 4</u>.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions
   Relating to IETF Documents
   (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).
   This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see
   the RFC itself for full legal notices.";
revision 2016-06-28 {
  description
    "Rename module back to ietf-key-chain.
    Added replay-protection-only feature and algorithm.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for key-chain";
revision 2016-03-15 {
 description
    "Rename module from ietf-key-chain to
     ietf-routing-key-chain.";
 reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for Routing key-chain";
revision 2016-02-16 {
 description
    "Updated version. Added clear-text algorithm as a
     feature.";
 reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for key-chain";
}
revision 2015-10-15 {
 description
    "Updated version, organization, and copyright.
     Added aes-cmac-prf-128 and aes-key-wrap features.";
 reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for key-chain";
}
revision 2015-06-28 {
 description
    "Updated version. Added Operation State following
     draft-openconfig-netmod-opstate-00.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for key-chain";
revision 2015-02-24 {
 description
```

Lindem, et al. Expires December 30, 2016 [Page 9]

```
"Initial revision.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for key-chain";
}
typedef key-chain-ref {
  type leafref {
    path "/key-chain:key-chains/key-chain:key-chain-list/"
       + "key-chain:name";
  description
    "This type is used by data models that need to reference
     configured key-chains.";
}
/* feature list */
feature hex-key-string {
  description
    "Support hexadecimal key string.";
}
feature accept-tolerance {
  description
    "To specify the tolerance or acceptance limit.";
}
feature independent-send-accept-lifetime {
  description
    "Support for independent send and accept key lifetimes.";
}
feature crypto-hmac-sha-1-12 {
  description
    "Support for TCP HMAC-SHA-1 12 byte digest hack.";
}
feature clear-text {
  description
    "Support for clear-text algorithm. Usage is NOT RECOMMENDED.";
}
feature aes-cmac-prf-128 {
  description
    "Support for AES Cipher based Message Authentication Code
     Pseudo Random Function.";
}
feature aes-key-wrap {
```

```
description
    "Support for Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Key Wrap.";
}
feature replay-protection-only {
 description
    "Provide replay-protection without any authentication
     as required by protocols such as Bidirectional
     Forwarding Detection (BFD).";
}
/* groupings */
grouping lifetime {
 description
    "Key lifetime specification.";
 choice lifetime {
    default always;
    description
      "Options for specifying key accept or send lifetimes";
    case always {
      leaf always {
        type empty;
          description
            "Indicates key lifetime is always valid.";
      }
    }
    case start-end-time {
      leaf start-date-time {
        type yang:date-and-time;
        description "Start time.";
      choice end-time {
        default infinite;
        description
          "End-time setting.";
        case infinite {
      leaf no-end-time {
            type empty;
          description
            "Indicates key lifetime end-time in infinite.";
          }
        }
        case duration {
          leaf duration {
            type uint32 {
              range "1..2147483646";
            units seconds;
```

Lindem, et al. Expires December 30, 2016 [Page 11]

```
description "Key lifetime duration, in seconds";
           }
         }
         case end-date-time {
           leaf end-date-time {
             type yang:date-and-time;
             description "End time.";
           }
         }
      }
    }
  }
}
grouping crypto-algorithm-types {
    description "Cryptographic algorithm types.";
 choice algorithm {
    description
        "Options for cryptographic algorithm specification.";
    case hmac-sha-1-12 {
        if-feature crypto-hmac-sha-1-12;
        leaf hmac-sha1-12 {
            type empty;
            description "The HMAC-SHA1-12 algorithm.";
        }
    }
    case aes-cmac-prf-128 {
        if-feature aes-cmac-prf-128;
        leaf aes-cmac-prf-128 {
            type empty;
            description "The AES-CMAC-PRF-128 algorithm - required
                         by <u>RFC 5926</u> for TCP-AO key derivation
                         functions.";
        }
    }
    case md5 {
        leaf md5 {
            type empty;
            description "The MD5 algorithm.";
        }
    }
    case sha-1 {
        leaf sha-1 {
            type empty;
            description "The SHA-1 algorithm.";
        }
    }
    case hmac-sha-1 {
```

Lindem, et al. Expires December 30, 2016 [Page 12]

leaf hmac-sha-1 {

```
type empty;
            description "HMAC-SHA-1 authentication algorithm.";
        }
   }
   case hmac-sha-256 {
        leaf hmac-sha-256 {
            type empty;
            description "HMAC-SHA-256 authentication algorithm.";
        }
   }
   case hmac-sha-384 {
        leaf hmac-sha-384 {
            type empty;
            description "HMAC-SHA-384 authentication algorithm.";
        }
   }
   case hmac-sha-512 {
        leaf hmac-sha-512 {
            type empty;
            description "HMAC-SHA-512 authentication algorithm.";
        }
   }
   case clear-text {
        if-feature clear-text;
        leaf clear-text {
            type empty;
            description "Clear text.";
        }
   }
   case replay-protection-only {
        if-feature replay-protection-only;
        leaf replay-protection-only {
          type empty;
         description
             "Provide replay-protection without any authentication
              as required by protocols such as Bidirectional
              Forwarding Detection (BFD).";
        }
   }
 }
}
 grouping key-chain {
  description
     "key-chain specification grouping.";
  leaf name {
     type string;
```

Lindem, et al. Expires December 30, 2016 [Page 13]

```
description "Name of the key-chain.";
}
leaf name-state {
  type string;
  config false;
  description "Configured name of the key-chain.";
}
container accept-tolerance {
  if-feature accept-tolerance;
  description
    "Tolerance for key lifetime acceptance (seconds).";
  leaf duration {
    type uint32;
    units seconds;
    default "0";
    description
      "Tolerance range, in seconds.";
 }
}
container accept-tolerance-state {
  config false;
  description
    "Configured tolerance for key lifetime
     acceptance (seconds).";
  leaf duration {
    type uint32;
    description
      "Configured tolerance range, in seconds.";
  }
}
list key-chain-entry {
  key "key-id";
  description "One key.";
  leaf key-id {
    type uint64;
    description "Key ID.";
  leaf key-id-state {
    type uint64;
   config false;
    description "Configured Key ID.";
  }
  container key-string {
    description "The key string.";
```

Lindem, et al. Expires December 30, 2016 [Page 14]

```
choice key-string-style {
     description
       "Key string styles";
     case keystring {
       leaf keystring {
         type string;
         description "Key string in ASCII format.";
       }
     }
     case hexadecimal {
       if-feature hex-key-string;
       leaf hexadecimal-string {
         type yang:hex-string;
         description
           "Key in hexadecimal string format.";
     }
   }
}
container lifetime {
  description "Specify a key's lifetime.";
  choice lifetime {
    description
      "Options for specification of send and accept
       lifetimes.";
    case send-and-accept-lifetime {
      description
        "Send and accept key have the same lifetime.";
      container send-accept-lifetime {
        uses lifetime;
        description
          "Single lifetime specification for both send and
           accept lifetimes.";
      }
    }
    case independent-send-accept-lifetime {
      if-feature independent-send-accept-lifetime;
      description
        "Independent send and accept key lifetimes.";
      container send-lifetime {
        uses lifetime;
        description
          "Separate lifetime specification for send
           lifetime.";
      }
      container accept-lifetime {
        uses lifetime;
        description
```

Lindem, et al. Expires December 30, 2016 [Page 15]

```
"Separate lifetime specification for accept
               lifetime.";
          }
       }
      }
    }
    container lifetime-state {
      config false;
      description "Configured key's lifetime.";
      container send-lifetime {
        uses lifetime;
        description
          "Configured send-lifetime.";
      leaf send-valid {
        type boolean;
        description
          "Status of send-lifetime.";
      container accept-lifetime {
        uses lifetime;
        description
          "Configured accept-lifetime.";
      leaf accept-valid {
        type boolean;
        description
          "Status of accept-lifetime.";
      }
    }
    container crypto-algorithm {
      uses crypto-algorithm-types;
      description "Cryptographic algorithm associated with key.";
    container crypto-algorithm-state {
      config false;
      uses crypto-algorithm-types;
      description "Configured cryptographic algorithm.";
    }
 }
container key-chains {
 list key-chain-list {
    key "name";
    description
      "List of key-chains.";
    uses key-chain;
```

}

Lindem, et al. Expires December 30, 2016 [Page 16]

```
}
   container aes-key-wrap {
      if-feature aes-key-wrap;
      leaf enable {
        type boolean;
        default false;
        description
          "Enable AES Key Wrap encryption.";
      description
        "AES Key Wrap password encryption.";
    container aes-key-wrap-state {
      if-feature aes-key-wrap;
      config false;
      leaf enable {
        type boolean;
        description "AES Key Wrap state.";
      }
      description "Status of AES Key Wrap.";
   description "All configured key-chains for the device.";
  }
<CODE ENDS>
```

## 5. Relationship to other Work

### **6**. Security Considerations

This document enables the automated distribution of industry standard key chains using the NETCONF [NETCONF] protocol. As such, the security considerations for the NETCONF protocol are applicable. Given that the key chains themselves are sensitive data, it is RECOMMENDED that the NETCONF communication channel be encrypted. One way to do accomplish this would be to invoke and run NETCONF over SSH as described in [NETCONF-SSH].

When configured, the key-strings can be encrypted using the AES Key Wrap algorithm [AES-KEY-WRAP]. The AES key-encryption key (KEK) is not included in the YANG model and must be set or derived independent of key-chain configuration.

The key strings are not included in the operational state. This is a practice carried over from SNMP MIB modules and is an area for further discussion.

The clear-text algorithm is included as a YANG feature. Usage is NOT RECOMMENDED except in cases where the application and device have no other alternative (e.g., a legacy network device that must authenticate packets at intervals of 10 milliseconds or less for many peers using Bidirectional Forwarding Detection [BFD]). Keys used with the clear-text algorithm are considered insecure and SHOULD NOT be reused with more secure algorithms.

### 7. IANA Considerations

This document registers a URI in the IETF XML registry [XML-REGISTRY]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registration is requested to be made:

URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-key-chain

Registrant Contact: The IESG.

XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers a YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry  $[\underline{YANG}]$ .

name: ietf-acl namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-keychain prefix: ietf-key-chain reference: RFC XXXX

### 8. References

### 8.1. Normative References

[NETCONF] Enns, R., Bjorklund, M., Schoenwaelder, J., and A.
Bierman, "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC
6241, June 2011.

### [NETCONF-SSH]

Wasserman, M., "Using NETCONF Protocol over Secure Shell (SSH)", RFC 6242, June 2011.

#### [RFC-KEYWORDS]

Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFC's to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.

### [XML-REGISTRY]

Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", <u>BCP 81</u>, <u>RFC 3688</u>, January 2004.

[YANG] Bjorklund, M., "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, October 2010.

#### 8.2. Informative References

## [AES-KEY-WRAP]

Housley, R. and M. Dworkin, "Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Key Wrap with Padding Algorithm", <u>RFC 5649</u>, August 2009.

[BFD] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)", RFC 5880, June 2010.

## [CRYPTO-KEYTABLE]

Housley, R., Polk, T., Hartman, S., and D. Zhang, "Table of Cryptographic Keys", <u>RFC 7210</u>, April 2014.

#### [IAB-REPORT]

Andersson, L., Davies, E., and L. Zhang, "Report from the IAB workshop on Unwanted Traffic March 9-10, 2006",  $\frac{RFC}{4948}$ , August 2007.

#### [NETCONF-SERVER-CONF]

Watsen, K. and J. Schoenwaelder, "NETCONF Server and RESTCONF Server Configuration Models", <a href="mailto:draft-ietf-netconf-server-model-08.txt">draft-ietf-netconf-server-model-08.txt</a> (work in progress), October 2015.

#### [NTP-PROTO]

Mills, D., Martin, J., Burbank, J., and W. Kasch, "Network Time Protocol Version 4: Protocol and Algorithms Specification", <u>RFC 5905</u>, June 2010.

#### [OSPFV3-AUTH]

Bhatia, M., Manral, V., and A. Lindem, "Supporting Authentication Trailer for OSPFv3", <u>RFC 7166</u>, March 2014.

#### [TCP-A0-ALGORITHMS]

Lebovitz, G. and E. Rescorla, "Cryptographic Algorithms for the TCP Authentication Option (TCP-AO)", <u>RFC 5926</u>, June 2010.

## [YANG-CRYPTO-KEYTABLE]

Chen, I., "YANG Data Model for <u>RFC 7210</u> Key Table", <u>draft-chen-rtg-key-table-yang-02.txt</u> (work in progress), November 2015.

## <u>Appendix A</u>. Acknowledgments

The RFC text was produced using Marshall Rose's xml2rfc tool.

Thanks to Brian Weis for fruitful discussions on security requirements.

## Authors' Addresses

Acee Lindem (editor) Cisco Systems 301 Midenhall Way Cary, NC 27513 USA

Email: acee@cisco.com

Yingzhen Qu Cisco Systems 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134 USA

Email: yiqu@cisco.com

Derek Yeung Cisco Systems 170 West Tasman Drive San Jose, CA 95134 USA

Email: myeung@cisco.com

Ing-Wher Chen Ericsson

Email: ing-wher.chen@ericsson.com

Jeffrey Zhang Juniper Networks 10 Technology Park Drive Westford, MA 01886 USA

Email: zzhang@juniper.net

Yi Yang Cisco Systems 7025 Kit Creek Road Research Triangle Park, NC 27709 USA

Email: yiya@cisco.com