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Abstract

The Routing Information Base (RIB) is a list of routes and their corresponding administrative data and operational state.

RFC 8349 defines the basic building blocks for RIB, and this model augments it to support multiple next-hops (aka, paths) for each route as well as additional attributes.
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1. Introduction

This document defines a YANG [RFC6020][RFC7950] data model which extends the generic data model for RIB by augmenting the ietf-routing YANG module as defined in [RFC8349].

RIB is a collection of best routes from all routing protocols. Within a protocol, routes are selected based on the metrics in use by that protocol, and the protocol installs its best routes to RIB. RIB selects the best route by comparing the route preference (aka, administrative distance) of the associated protocol.

The augmentations described herein extend the RIB to support multiple paths per route, route metrics, and administrative tags.

The YANG modules in this document conform to the Network Management Datastore Architecture (NMDA) [RFC8342].

2. Terminology and Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP
14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

The following terms are defined in [RFC8342]:

- configuration
- system state
- operational state

The following terms are defined in [RFC7950]:

- action
- augment
- container
- container with presence
- data model
- data node
- leaf
- list
- mandatory node
- module
- schema tree
- RPC (Remote Procedure Call) operation

The following terms are defined in [RFC8349] Section 5.2:

- RIB

2.1. Tree Diagrams

Tree diagrams used in this document follow the notation defined in [RFC8340].
2.2. Prefixes in Data Node Names

In this document, names of data nodes, actions, and other data model objects are often used without a prefix, as long as it is clear from the context in which YANG module each name is defined. Otherwise, names are prefixed using the standard prefix associated with the corresponding YANG module, as shown in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prefix</th>
<th>YANG module</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>if</td>
<td>ietf-interfaces</td>
<td>[RFC8343]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rt</td>
<td>ietf-routing</td>
<td>[RFC8349]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v4ur</td>
<td>ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing</td>
<td>[RFC8349]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v6ur</td>
<td>ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing</td>
<td>[RFC8349]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>inet</td>
<td>ietf-inet-types</td>
<td>[RFC6991]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Prefixes and Corresponding YANG Modules

3. Design of the Model

The YANG definitions in this document augment the routing data model defined in [RFC8349], which provides a basis for routing system data model development. Together with YANG modules defined in [RFC8349], a generic RIB YANG model is defined to implement and monitor a RIB.

The models in [RFC8349] also define the basic configuration and operational state for both IPv4 and IPv6 static routes and this document also provides augmentations for static routes to support multiple next-hop and more next-hop attributes.

3.1. Tags and Preference

Individual route tags are supported at both the route and next-hop level. A preference per next-hop is also supported for selection of the most preferred reachable static route.

The following tree snapshot shows tag and preference which augment static IPv4 unicast and IPv6 unicast next hop list.
3.2. Repair Path

The IP Fast Reroute (IPFRR) pre-computes repair paths by routing protocols [RFC5714], and the best repair path is installed in RIB.

Each route in RIB is augmented with the best repair path if available, and is shown in the following tree snapshot.
augment /rt:routing/rt:ribs/rt:rib/rt:routes/rt:route
    /rt:next-hop/rt:next-hop-options/rt:simple-next-hop:
    +--ro repair-path
    +--ro outgoing-interface? if:interface-state-ref
    +--ro next-hop-address? inet:ip-address
    +--ro metric? uint32

augment /rt:routing/rt:ribs/rt:rib/rt:routes/rt:route
    /rt:next-hop/rt:next-hop-options/rt:special-next-hop:
    +--ro repair-path
    +--ro outgoing-interface? if:interface-state-ref
    +--ro next-hop-address? inet:ip-address
    +--ro metric? uint32

augment /rt:routing/rt:ribs/rt:rib/rt:routes/rt:route
    /rt:next-hop/rt:next-hop-options/rt:next-hop-list
    /rt:next-hop-list/rt:next-hop:
    +--ro repair-path
    +--ro outgoing-interface? if:interface-state-ref
    +--ro next-hop-address? inet:ip-address
    +--ro metric? uint32

4. RIB Model Tree

The ietf-routing.yang tree with the augmentations herein is included in Appendix A. The meaning of the symbols can be found in [RFC8340].

5. RIB Extension YANG Model

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-rib-extension@2021-04-20.yang"
module ietf-rib-extension {
    yang-version "1.1";

    prefix rib-ext;

    import ietf-inet-types {
        prefix "inet";
        reference "RFC 6991: Common YANG Data Types";
    }

    import ietf-interfaces {
        prefix "if";
        reference "RFC 8343: A YANG Data Model for Interface Management (NMDA Version)";
    }

    import ietf-routing {
        prefix "rt";
        reference "RFC 8349: A YANG Data Model for Routing
import ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing {
    prefix "v4ur";
    reference "RFC 8349: A YANG Data Model for Routing Management (NMDA Version)";
}

import ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing {
    prefix "v6ur";
    reference "RFC 8349: A YANG Data Model for Routing Management (NMDA Version)";
}

organization "IETF RTGWG - Routing Working Group";

contact "WG Web: <http://datatracker.ietf.org/group/rtgwg/>
WG List: <mailto:rtgwg@ietf.org>

Author: Acee Lindem
<mailto:acee@cisco.com>
Author: Yingzhen Qu
<mailto:yingzhen.qu@futurewei.com>";

description "This YANG module extends the generic data model for RIB by augmenting the ietf-routing model. It is intended that the module will be extended by vendors to define vendor-specific RIB parameters.

This YANG model conforms to the Network Management Datastore Architecture (NDMA) as described in RFC 8342.

Copyright (c) 2021 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.";
revision 2021-04-20 {
  description
    "Initial Version";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for RIB Extensions.";
}

/* Groupings */
grouping rib-statistics {
  description
    "Statistics grouping used for RIB augmentation.";
  container statistics {
    config false;
    description
      "Container for RIB statistics.";
    leaf total-routes {
      type uint32;
      description
        "Total routes in the RIB";
    }
    leaf total-active-routes {
      type uint32;
      description
        "Total active routes in the RIB. An active route is
         preferred over other routes to the same destination
         prefix.";
    }
    leaf total-route-memory {
      type uint64;
      units "bytes";
      description
        "Total memory for all routes in the RIB.";
    }
  list protocol-statistics {
    description "RIB statistics per protocol.";
    leaf protocol {
      type identityref {
        base rt:routing-protocol;
      }
      description "Routing protocol.";
    }
    leaf routes {
      type uint32;
      description
        "Total routes for protocol in the RIB.";
    }
    leaf active-routes {
      type uint32;
    }
}
description
"Total active routes for protocol in the RIB. An active route is preferred over other routes to the same destination prefix."
}

leaf route-memory {
  type uint64;
  units "bytes";
  description
  "Total memory for all routes for protocol in the RIB."
}
}

}

}

grouping next-hop {
  description
  "Next-hop grouping";
  leaf interface {
    type if:interface-ref;
    description
    "Outgoing interface";
  }
  leaf address {
    type inet:ip-address;
    description
    "IPv4 or IPv6 Address of the next-hop."
  }
}

}

}

grouping attributes {
  description
  "Common attributes applicable to all routes."
  leaf metric {
    type uint32;
    description
    "The metric is a numeric value that indicating the cost of the route from the perspective of the routing protocol installing the route. In general, routes with a lower metric installed by the same routing protocol are lower cost to reach and are preferable to routes with a higher metric. However, metrics from different routing protocols are not directly comparable."
  }
  leaf-list tag {
    type uint32;
    description
    "A tag is a 32-bit opaque value associated with the
route that can be used for policy decisions such as advertisement and filtering of the route.

leaf application-tag {
  type uint32;
  description
  "The application-specific tag is an additional tag that can be used by applications that require semantics and/or policy different from that of the tag. For example, the tag is usually automatically advertised in OSPF AS-External Link State Advertisements (LSAs) while this application-specific tag is not advertised implicitly."
}

grouping repair-path {
  description
  "Grouping for IP Fast Reroute repair path."
  container repair-path {
    description
    "IP Fast Reroute next-hop repair path."
    leaf outgoing-interface {
      type if:interface-state-ref;
      description
      "Name of the outgoing interface."
    }
    leaf next-hop-address {
      type inet:ip-address;
      description
      "IP address of the next hop."
    }
    leaf metric {
      type uint32;
      description
      "The metric for the repair path. While the IP Fast Reroute re-route repair is local and the metric is not advertised externally, the metric for repair path is useful for troubleshooting purposes."
    }
  reference
  "RFC 5714: IP Fast Reroute Framework."
}

augment "/rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/"
  + "rt:control-plane-protocol/rt:static-routes/v4ur:ipv4/"
  + "v4ur:route/v4ur:next-hop/v4ur:next-hop-options/"
  + "v4ur:simple-next-hop"
description
  "Augment 'simple-next-hop' case in IPv4 unicast route."
leaf preference {
  type uint32;
  default "1";
  description
    "The preference is used to select among multiple static
     routes, Routes with a lower preference next-hop are
     preferred and equal preference routes result in
     Equal-Cost-Multi-Path (ECMP) static routes."
}
leaf tag {
  type uint32;
  default "0";
  description
    "The tag is a 32-bit opaque value associated with the
     route that can be used for policy decisions such as
     advertisement and filtering of the route."
}

augment "/rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/
  + "rt:control-plane-protocol/rt:static-routes/v4ur:ipv4/
     + "v4ur:route/v4ur:next-hop/v4ur:next-hop-options/
        + "v4ur:next-hop-list/v4ur:next-hop-list/v4ur:next-hop"
{
  description
    "Augment static route configuration 'next-hop-list'."
}
leaf preference {
  type uint32;
  default "1";
  description
    "The preference is used to select among multiple static
     routes, Routes with a lower preference next-hop are
     preferred and equal preference routes result in
     Equal-Cost-Multi-Path (ECMP) static routes."
}
leaf tag {
  type uint32;
  default "0";
  description
    "The tag is a 32-bit opaque value associated with the
     route that can be used for policy decisions such as
     advertisement and filtering of the route."
}
augment "/rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/"
  + "rt:control-plane-protocol/rt:static-routes/v6ur:ipv6/"
  + "v6ur:route/v6ur:next-hop/v6ur:next-hop-options/"
  + "v6ur:next-hop-list/v6ur:next-hop-list/v6ur:next-hop"
{
  description
  "Augment 'simple-next-hop' case in IPv6 unicast route.";
  leaf preference {
    type uint32;
    default "1";
    description
    "The preference is used to select among multiple static routes, Routes with a lower preference next-hop are preferred and equal preference routes result in Equal-Cost-Multi-Path (ECMP) static routes.";
  }
  leaf tag {
    type uint32;
    default "0";
    description
    "The tag is a 32-bit opaque value associated with the route that can be used for policy decisions such as advertisement and filtering of the route.";
  }
}

augment "/rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/"
  + "rt:control-plane-protocol/rt:static-routes/v6ur:ipv6/"
  + "v6ur:route/v6ur:next-hop/v6ur:next-hop-options/"
  + "v6ur:next-hop-list/v6ur:next-hop-list/v6ur:next-hop"
{
  description
  "Augment static route configuration 'next-hop-list'.';

  leaf preference {
    type uint32;
    default "1";
    description
    "The preference is used to select among multiple static routes, Routes with a lower preference next-hop are preferred and equal preference routes result in Equal-Cost-Multi-Path (ECMP) static routes.";
  }
  leaf tag {
    type uint32;
    default "0";
    description
    "The tag is a 32-bit opaque value associated with the
route that can be used for policy decisions such as advertisement and filtering of the route.

} }

augment "/rt:routing/rt:ribs/rt:rib"
{ description
   "Augment a RIB with statistics.";
   uses rib-statistics;
}

augment "/rt:routing/rt:ribs/rt:rib/ + "rt:routes/rt:route"
{ description
   "Augment a route in RIB with tag.";
   uses attributes;
}

{ description
   "Add more parameters to a path.";
   uses repair-path;
}

{ description
   "Add more parameters to a path.";
   uses repair-path;
}

{ description
   "This case augments the 'next-hop-options' in the routing model.";
   uses repair-path;
}
}
6. Security Considerations

The YANG modules specified in this document define a schema for data that is designed to be accessed via network management protocols such as NETCONF [RFC6241] or RESTCONF [RFC8040]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [RFC6242]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [RFC8446].

The NETCONF access control model [RFC8341] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a pre-configured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content.

There are a number of data nodes defined in ietf-rib-extensions.yang module that are writable/creatable/deletable (i.e., config true, which is the default). These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g., edit-config) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. For these augmentations to ietf-routing.yang, the ability to delete, add, and modify IPv4 and IPv6 static routes would allow traffic to be misrouted.

Some of the readable data nodes in the ietf-rib-extensions.yang module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control read access (e.g., via get, get-config, or notification) to these data nodes. The exposure of the Routing Information Base (RIB) will expose the routing topology of the network. This may be undesirable since both due to the fact that exposure may facilitate other attacks. Additionally, network operators may consider their topologies to be sensitive confidential data.

All the security considerations for [RFC8349] writable and readable data nodes apply to the augmentations described herein.

7. IANA Considerations

This document registers a URI in the IETF XML registry [RFC3688]. Following the format in [RFC3688], the following registration is requested to be made:

Registrant Contact: The IESG.
XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.
This document registers a YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry [RFC6020].

name: ietf-rib-extension
prefix: rib-ext
reference: RFC XXXX
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Appendix A. Combined Tree Diagram

This appendix includes the combined ietf-routing.yang, ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing.yang, ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing.yang and ietf-rib-extensions.yang tree diagram.

```yaml
module: ietf-routing
   +--rw routing
      +--rw router-id? yang:dotted-quad {router-id}?
      +--ro interfaces
         |   +--ro interface* if:interface-ref
```
**Internet-Draft**  
**YANG RIB-EXT**  
**April 2021**

```
+--rw control-plane-protocols
  | +--rw control-plane-protocol* [type name]
  |   +--rw type identityref
  |   +--rw name string
  |   +--rw description? string
  | +--rw static-routes
  |   +--rw v4ur:ipv4
  |     | +--rw v4ur:route* [destination-prefix]
  |     |   +--rw v4ur:destination-prefix inet:ipv4-prefix
  |     |   +--rw v4ur:description? string
  |     | +--rw v4ur:next-hop
  |     |   +--rw (v4ur:next-hop-options)
  |     |     | +--:(v4ur:simple-next-hop)
  |     |     |   | +--rw v4ur:outgoing-interface?
  |     |     |   | | if:interface-ref
  |     |     |   | +--rw v4ur:next-hop-address?
  |     |     |   | | inet:ipv4-address
  |     |     |   | +--rw rib-ext:preference? uint32
  |     |     |   | +--rw rib-ext:tag? uint32
  |     |     | +--:(v4ur:special-next-hop)
  |     |     | | +--rw v4ur:special-next-hop? enumeration
  |     |     | +--:(v4ur:next-hop-list)
  |     |     | | +--rw v4ur:next-hop-list
  |     |     | | | +--rw v4ur:next-hop* [index]
  |     |     | | | +--rw v4ur:index string
  |     |     | | | +--rw v4ur:outgoing-interface?
  |     |     | | | | if:interface-ref
  |     |     | | | +--rw v4ur:next-hop-address?
  |     |     | | | | inet:ipv4-address
  |     |     | | | +--rw rib-ext:preference? uint32
  |     |     | | | +--rw rib-ext:tag? uint32
  |     |     | | +--:(v4ur:special-next-hop)
  |     |     | | | +--rw v4ur:special-next-hop? enumeration
  |     |     | | +--:(v4ur:next-hop-list)
  |     |     | | | +--rw v4ur:next-hop-list

+--rw v6ur:ipv6
  | +--rw v6ur:route* [destination-prefix]
  |   +--rw v6ur:destination-prefix inet:ipv6-prefix
  |   +--rw v6ur:description? string
  | +--rw v6ur:next-hop
  |   +--rw (v6ur:next-hop-options)
  |     | +--:(v6ur:simple-next-hop)
  |     | | +--rw v6ur:outgoing-interface?
  |     | | | if:interface-ref
  |     | | +--rw v6ur:next-hop-address?
  |     | | | inet:ipv6-address
  |     | | +--rw rib-ext:preference? uint32
  |     | | +--rw rib-ext:tag? uint32
  |     | +--:(v6ur:special-next-hop)
  |     | | +--rw v6ur:special-next-hop? enumeration
  |     | +--:(v6ur:next-hop-list)
  |     | | +--rw v6ur:next-hop-list
```

+--rw v6ur:next-hop* [index]
  +--rw v6ur:index string
  +--rw v6ur:outgoing-interface?
    |   if:interface-ref
  +--rw v6ur:next-hop-address?
    |   inet:ipv6-address
  +--rw rib-ext:preference? uint32
  +--rw rib-ext:tag? uint32
+--rw ribs
  +--rw rib* [name]
    +--rw name string
    +--rw address-family identityref
    +--ro default-rib? boolean {multiple-ribs}?
  +--ro routes
    +--ro route* []
      +--ro route-preference? route-preference
      +--ro next-hop
        |   +--ro (next-hop-options)
        |   |   +--:(simple-next-hop)
        |   |   |   +--ro outgoing-interface? if:interface-ref
        |   |   |   +--ro v4ur:next-hop-address? inet:ipv4-address
        |   |   |   +--ro v6ur:next-hop-address? inet:ipv6-address
        |   |   +--ro rib-ext:repair-path
        |   |     +--ro rib-ext:outgoing-interface?
        |   |     |   if:interface-state-ref
        |   |     +--ro rib-ext:next-hop-address?
        |   |     |   inet:ip-address
        |   |     +--ro rib-ext:metric? uint32
        |   +--:(special-next-hop)
        |     +--ro special-next-hop? enumeration
        |     +--ro rib-ext:repair-path
        |     |   +--ro rib-ext:outgoing-interface?
        |     |     |   if:interface-state-ref
        |     |     +--ro rib-ext:next-hop-address?
        |     |     |   inet:ip-address
        |     |     +--ro rib-ext:metric? uint32
        +--:(next-hop-list)
        |   +--ro next-hop-list
        |     +--ro next-hop* []
        |     |   +--ro outgoing-interface?
        |     |     |   if:interface-ref
        |     |     +--ro v4ur:address?
        |     |     |   inet:ipv4-address
        |     |     +--ro v6ur:address?
        |     |     |   inet:ipv6-address
        |     |     +--ro rib-ext:repair-path
        |     |     |     +--ro rib-ext:outgoing-interface?
        |     |     |     |   if:interface-state-ref
active-route

input

v4ur:destination-address?       inet:ipv4-address
v6ur:destination-address?       inet:ipv6-address

output

route

(next-hop-options)

(v4ur:next-hop-address?)       inet:ipv4-address
(v6ur:next-hop-address?)       inet:ipv6-address

description?                      string

rib-ext:statistics

rib-ext:total-routes?              uint32
rib-ext:total-active-routes?       uint32
rib-ext:total-route-memory?        uint64
rib-ext:protocol-statistics* []
rib-ext:protocol?             identityref
rib-ext:routes?    uint32
rib-ext:active-routes?   uint32
Appendix B. ietf-rib-extension.yang example

The following is an XML example using the RIB extension module and RFC 8349.

```xml
  <control-plane-protocols>
    <control-plane-protocol>
      <type>static</type>
      <name>static-routing-protocol</name>
      <static-routes>
        <ipv4 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
              ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing">
          <route>
            <destination-prefix>0.0.0.0/0</destination-prefix>
            <next-hop>
              <next-hop-address>192.0.2.2</next-hop-address>
              <preference xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
                             ietf-rib-extension">30</preference>
              <tag xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
                    ietf-rib-extension">99</tag>
            </next-hop>
          </route>
        </ipv4>
        <ipv6 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
              ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing">
          <route>
            <destination-prefix>0::/0</destination-prefix>
            <next-hop>
              <next-hop-address>2001:db8:aaaa::1111</next-hop-address>
              <preference xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
                             ietf-rib-extension">30</preference>
              <tag xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
                    ietf-rib-extension">66</tag>
            </next-hop>
          </route>
        </ipv6>
      </static-routes>
    </control-plane-protocol>
  </control-plane-protocols>
  <ribs>
    <rib>
      <name>ipv4-master</name>
      <address-family xmlns:v4ur="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
                         ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing">v4ur:ipv4-unicast</address-family>
    </rib>
  </ribs>
</routing>
```
<default-rib>true</default-rib>
<routes>
  <route>
    <destination-prefix xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
    ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing">0.0.0.0/0</destination-prefix>
    <next-hop>
      <next-hop-address xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
      ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing">192.0.2.2</next-hop-address>
    </next-hop>
    <route-preference>5</route-preference>
    <source-protocol>static</source-protocol>
    <last-updated>2015-10-24T18:02:45+02:00</last-updated>
  </route>
  <route>
    <destination-prefix xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
    ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing">198.51.100.0/24</destination-prefix>
    <next-hop>
      <next-hop-address xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
      ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing">192.0.2.2</next-hop-address>
      <repair-path xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
      ietf-rib-extension">
        <next-hop-address>203.0.113.1</next-hop-address>
        <metric>200</metric>
      </repair-path>
    </next-hop>
    <route-preference>110</route-preference>
    <source-protocol xmlns:ospf="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
    ietf-ospf">ospf:ospf</source-protocol>
    <last-updated>2015-10-24T18:02:45+02:00</last-updated>
  </route>
</routes>
</rib>
<rib>
  <name>ipv6-master</name>
  <address-family xmlns:v6ur="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
  ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing">v6ur:ipv6-unicast</address-family>
  <default-rib>true</default-rib>
  <routes>
    <route>
      <destination-prefix xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
      ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing">0::/0</destination-prefix>
      <next-hop>
        <next-hop-address xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:
        ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing">2001:db8:aaaa::1111</next-hop-address>
      </next-hop>
      <route-preference>5</route-preference>
    </route>
  </routes>
</rib>
The following is the same example using JSON format.

```json
{
  "ietf-routing:routing": {
    "control-plane-protocols": {
      "control-plane-protocol": [ {
        "type": "static",
        "name": "static-routing-protocol",
        "static-routes": { "ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing:ipv4": { "route": [ { "destination-prefix": "0.0.0.0/0",
                      "next-hop": { "next-hop-address": "192.0.2.2",
                                    "ietf-rib-extension:preference": 30,
                                    "ietf-rib-extension:tag": 99
                      } ] } } },
      } ]
  }
}
```
"ribs": {
  "rib": [
    {
      "name": "ipv4-master",
      "address-family": "ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing:ipv4-unicast",
      "default-rib": true,
      "routes": {
        "route": [
          {
            "next-hop": {
              "ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing:next-hop-address": "192.0.2.2",
              "route-preference": 5,
              "source-protocol": "static",
              "last-updated": "2015-10-24T18:42:45+02:00",
              "ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing:destination-prefix": "0.0.0.0/0"
            },
            "next-hop": {
              "ietf-rib-extension:repair-path": {
                "next-hop-address": "203.0.113.1",
                "metric": 200
              },
              "ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing:next-hop-address": "192.0.2.2",
              "route-preference": 110,
              "source-protocol": "ietf-ospf:ospf",
            }
          }
        ]
      }
    }
  ]
},
"ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing:ipv6": {
  "route": [
    {
      "destination-prefix": "::/0",
      "next-hop": {
        "next-hop-address": "2001:db8:aaaa::1111",
        "ietf-rib-extension:preference": 30,
        "ietf-rib-extension:tag": 66
      }
    }
  ]
}
"last-updated": "2015-10-24T18:02:45+02:00",
"ietf-ipv4-unicast-routing:destination-prefix": "198.51.100.0/24"
}
}
,
{
"name": "ipv6-master",
"address-family": "ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing:ipv6-unicast",
"default-rib": true,
"routes": {
"route": [
{
"next-hop": {
"ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing:next-hop-address": "2001:db8:aaaa::1111"
},
"route-preference": 5,
"source-protocol": "static",
"last-updated": "2015-10-24T18:02:45+02:00",
"ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing:destination-prefix": "::/0"
},
{
"next-hop": {
"ietf-rib-extension:repair-path": {
"next-hop-address": "2001:db8:cccc::2222",
"metric": 200
},
"ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing:next-hop-address": "2001:db8:aaaa::1111"
},
"route-preference": 110,
"source-protocol": "ietf-ospf:ospf",
"last-updated": "2015-10-24T18:02:45+02:00",
"ietf-ipv6-unicast-routing:destination-prefix": "2001:db8:bbbb::/64"
}]
}
]
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