

Network Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: December 10, 2016

T. King  
D. Kopp  
DE-CIX  
A. Lambrianidis  
AMS-IX  
A. Fenoux  
France-IX  
June 8, 2016

## Signaling Prefix Origin Validation Results from a Route-Server to Peers [draft-ietf-sidr-route-server-rpki-light-00](#)

### Abstract

This document defines the usage of the BGP Prefix Origin Validation State Extended Community [[I-D.ietf-sidr-origin-validation-signaling](#)] to signal prefix origin validation results from a route-server to its peers. Upon reception of prefix origin validation results peers can use this information in their local routing decision process.

### Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)] only when they appear in all upper case. They may also appear in lower or mixed case as English words, without normative meaning.

### Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 10, 2016.

## Internet-DraSignaling Prefix Origin Validation Results from a June 2016

## Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Signaling Prefix Origin Validation Results from a Route-Server to Peers . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Operational Recommendations . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	Local Routing Decision Process . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.2.</a>	Route-Server Receiving the BGP Prefix Origin Validation State Extended Community . . . . .	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.3.</a>	Information about Validity of a BGP Prefix Origin Not Available at a Route-Server . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.4.</a>	Error Handling at Peers . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">6.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">6.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>

[1.](#) Introduction

RPKI-based prefix origin validation [[RFC6480](#)] can be a significant operational burden for BGP peers to implement and adopt. In order to boost acceptance and usage of prefix origin validation and ultimately increase the security of the Internet routing system, IXPs may provide RPKI-based prefix origin validation at the route-server [[I-D.ietf-idr-ix-bgp-route-server](#)]. The result of this prefix origin validation is signaled to peers by using the BGP Prefix Origin

Validation State Extended Community as introduced in [\[I-D.ietf-sidr-origin-validation-signaling\]](#).

Peers receiving the prefix origin validation result from the route-server(s) can use this information in their local routing decision

## Internet-DraSignaling Prefix Origin Validation Results from a June 2016

process for acceptance, rejection, preference, or other traffic engineering purposes of a particular route.

### [2.](#) Signaling Prefix Origin Validation Results from a Route-Server to Peers

The BGP Prefix Origin Validation State Extended Community (as defined in [\[I-D.ietf-sidr-origin-validation-signaling\]](#)) is utilized for signaling prefix origin validation result from a route-server to peers.

[\[I-D.ietf-sidr-origin-validation-signaling\]](#) proposes an encoding of the prefix origin validation result [\[RFC6811\]](#) as follows:

+-----+-----+		
Value	Meaning	
+-----+-----+		
0	Valid	
1	Not found	
2	Invalid	
+-----+-----+		

Table 1

This encoding is re-used. Route-servers providing RPKI-based prefix origin validation set the validation state according to the prefix origin validation result (see [\[RFC6811\]](#)).

### [3.](#) Operational Recommendations

#### [3.1.](#) Local Routing Decision Process

A peer receiving prefix origin validation results from the route server MAY use the information in its own local routing decision process. The local routing decision process SHOULD apply to the rules as described in [section 5 \[RFC6811\]](#).

A peer receiving a prefix origin validation result from the route server MAY redistribute this information within its own AS.

### [3.2.](#) Route-Server Receiving the BGP Prefix Origin Validation State Extended Community

An IXP route-server receiving routes from its peers containing the BGP Prefix Origin Validation State Extended Community MUST remove the extended community before the route is re-distributed to its peers. This is required regardless of whether the route-server is executing prefix origin validation or not.

## Internet-Draft Signaling Prefix Origin Validation Results from a June 2016

Failure to do so would allow opportunistic peers to advertise routes tagged with arbitrary prefix origin validation results via a route-server, influencing maliciously the decision process of other route-server peers.

### [3.3.](#) Information about Validity of a BGP Prefix Origin Not Available at a Route-Server

In case information about the validity of a BGP prefix origin is not available at the route-server (e.g., error in the ROA cache, CPU overload) the route-server MUST NOT add the BGP Prefix Origin Validation State Extended Community to the route.

### [3.4.](#) Error Handling at Peers

A route sent by a route-server SHOULD only contain none or one BGP Prefix Origin Validation State Extended Community.

A peer receiving a route from a route-server containing more than one BGP Prefix Origin Validation State Extended Community SHOULD only consider the largest value (as described in Table 1) in the validation result field and disregard the other values. Values larger than two in the validation result field MUST be disregarded.

## [4.](#) IANA Considerations

None.

## [5.](#) Security Considerations

A route-server could be misused to spread malicious prefix origin validation results. However, peers have to trust the route-server anyway as it collects and redistributes BGP routing information to other peers.

The introduction of a mechanisms described in this document does not pose a new class of attack vectors to the relationship between route-servers and peers.

## 6. References

### 6.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

King, et al.

Expires December 10, 2016

[Page 4]

---

Internet-Draft Signaling Prefix Origin Validation Results from a June 2016

- [RFC4360] Sangli, S., Tappan, D., and Y. Rekhter, "BGP Extended Communities Attribute", [RFC 4360](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4360, February 2006, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4360>>.
- [RFC6811] Mohapatra, P., Scudder, J., Ward, D., Bush, R., and R. Austein, "BGP Prefix Origin Validation", [RFC 6811](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6811, January 2013, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6811>>.

### 6.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-idr-ix-bgp-route-server]  
Jasinska, E., Hilliard, N., Raszuk, R., and N. Bakker, "Internet Exchange BGP Route Server", [draft-ietf-idr-ix-bgp-route-server-10](#) (work in progress), April 2016.
- [I-D.ietf-sidr-origin-validation-signaling]  
Mohapatra, P., Patel, K., Scudder, J., Ward, D., and R. Bush, "BGP Prefix Origin Validation State Extended Community", [draft-ietf-sidr-origin-validation-signaling-07](#) (work in progress), November 2015.

[RFC6480] Lepinski, M. and S. Kent, "An Infrastructure to Support Secure Internet Routing", [RFC 6480](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC6480, February 2012, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6480>>.

#### Authors' Addresses

Thomas King  
DE-CIX Management GmbH  
Lichtstrasse 43i  
Cologne 50825  
DE

Email: [thomas.king@de-cix.net](mailto:thomas.king@de-cix.net)

Daniel Kopp  
DE-CIX Management GmbH  
Lichtstrasse 43i  
Cologne 50825  
DE

Email: [daniel.kopp@de-cix.net](mailto:daniel.kopp@de-cix.net)

King, et al.

Expires December 10, 2016

[Page 5]

---

Internet-Draft Signaling Prefix Origin Validation Results from a June 2016

Aristidis Lambrianidis  
Amsterdam Internet Exchange  
Frederiksplein 42  
Amsterdam 1017 XN  
NL

Email: [aristidis.lambrianidis@ams-ix.net](mailto:aristidis.lambrianidis@ams-ix.net)

Arnaud Fenioux  
France-IX  
88 Avenue Des Ternes  
Paris 75017  
FR

Email: [afenieux@franceix.net](mailto:afenieux@franceix.net)