

**An Out-Of-Band Setup Protocol For RPKI Production Services**  
**draft-ietf-sidr-rpki-oob-setup-02**

Abstract

This note describes a simple out-of-band protocol to ease setup of the RPKI provisioning and publication protocols between two parties. The protocol is encoded in a small number of XML messages, which can be passed back and forth by any mutually agreeable secure means.

This setup protocol is not part of the provisioning or publication protocol, rather, it is intended to simplify configuration of these protocols by setting up relationships and exchanging BPKI keying material.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 18, 2016.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">Overview of the BPKI</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">Protocol Elements</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	<a href="#">Nomenclature</a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.2.</a>	<a href="#">Common Protocol Elements</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.3.</a>	<a href="#">Protocol Messages</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.3.1.</a>	<a href="#">&lt;child_request/&gt;</a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.3.2.</a>	<a href="#">&lt;parent_response/&gt;</a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">3.3.3.</a>	<a href="#">&lt;publisher_request/&gt;</a>	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">3.3.4.</a>	<a href="#">&lt;repository_response/&gt;</a>	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">3.4.</a>	<a href="#">&lt;authorization/&gt;</a>	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">3.5.</a>	<a href="#">&lt;error/&gt;</a>	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">Protocol Walk-Through</a>	<a href="#">12</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">IANA Considerations</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">Security Considerations</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	<a href="#">Acknowledgements</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	<a href="#">Normative References</a>	<a href="#">17</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	<a href="#">RelaxNG Schema</a>	<a href="#">18</a>
	<a href="#">Author's Address</a>	<a href="#">19</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

This note describes a small XML-based out-of-band protocol used to set up relationships between parents and children in the RPKI provisioning protocol ([[RFC6492](#)]) and between publishers and repositories in the RPKI publication protocol ([[I-D.ietf-sidr-publication](#)]).

The basic function of this protocol is public key exchange, in the form of self-signed BPKI X.509 certificates, but workshop experience has demonstrated that it's simpler for the user if we also bundle the other configuration information needed to bring up a new player into the messages used in the key exchange.

The underlying transport for this protocol is deliberately unspecified. It might be a USB stick, a web interface secured with conventional HTTPS, PGP-signed email, a T-shirt printed with a QR code, or a carrier pigeon.

Since much of the purpose of this protocol is key exchange, authentication and integrity of the key exchange MUST be ensured via



external means. Typically such means will tie directly to a new or existing business relationship

## 2. Overview of the BPKI

Several protocols related to RPKI provisioning use signed CMS messages ([[RFC5652](#)]) to authenticate the underlying XML-based protocols. Verification of these CMS messages requires X.509 certificates. The PKI that holds these certificates is distinct from the RPKI, and contains no [RFC 3779](#) resources. We refer to this as the "Business PKI" (BPKI), to distinguish it from the RPKI. The "B" is a hint that the certificate relationships in the BPKI are likely to follow and become part of existing contractual relationships between the issuers and subjects of this PKI.

The RPKI provisioning protocol does not dictate a particular structure for the BPKI, beyond the basic requirement that it be possible for one party to sign and the other party to verify the CMS messages. This allows a certain amount of flexibility to allow an Internet registry to reuse an existing PKI as the BPKI if that makes sense in their context.

In order to keep this protocol simple, we adopt a somewhat constrained model of the BPKI. The first two operations in this protocol are an exchange of public keys between child and parent for use in the provisioning protocol, the latter two operations in this protocol are an exchange of public keys between publisher and repository for use in the publication protocol. In each of these operations, the sending party includes its public key, in the form of a self-signed X.509 CA certificate. The private keys corresponding to the exchanged certificates are not used to sign CMS messages directly; instead, the exchanged CA certificates are the issuers of the BPKI end-entity (EE) certificates which will be included in the CMS messages and can be used, along with the exchanged certificates, to verify the CMS messages.

Details of how to tie the exchanged certificates into an implementation's local BPKI are left to the implementation, but the recommended approach is to cross-certify the received public key and subject name under one's own BPKI, using a Basic Constraints extension with `cA = TRUE`, `pathLenConstraint = 0`, indicating that the cross-certified certificate is a CA certificate which is allowed to issue EE certificates but is not allowed to issue CA certificates. See [section 4.2.1.9 of \[RFC5280\]](#) for more information about the Basic Constraints extension.

For example, suppose that Alice and Bob each have their own self-signed BPKI certificates:



```
Issuer:      CN = Alice CA
Subject:     CN = Alice CA
Public Key:  [Alice CA Public Key]
BasicConstraints: cA = TRUE
```

```
Issuer:      CN = Bob CA
Subject:     CN = Bob CA
Public Key:  [Bob CA Public Key]
BasicConstraints: cA = TRUE
```

Alice sends Bob her self-signed BPKI certificate, and Bob cross-certifies its public key and subject name under Bob's own self-signed BPKI certificate:

```
Issuer:      CN = Bob CA
Subject:     CN = Alice CA
Public Key:  [Alice CA Public Key]
BasicConstraints: cA = TRUE, pathLenConstraint = 0
```

Later, when Bob receives a CMS message from Alice, Bob can verify this message via a trust chain back to Bob's own trust anchor:

```
Issuer:      CN = Alice CA
Subject:     CN = Alice EE
Public Key:  [Alice EE Public Key]
```

[[Need some text detailing required and allowed values in the certificates: 2048-bit RSA, what extensions, .... But once we go there we also have to provide a path for algorithm agility.]]

### **3. Protocol Elements**

Each message in the protocol is a distinct XML element in the "http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/" XML namespace.

#### **3.1. Nomenclature**

All of the protocols configured by this setup protocol have their own terminology for their actors, but in the context of this protocol that terminology becomes somewhat confusing. All of the players in this setup protocol issue certificates, are the subjects of other certificates, operate servers, and, in most cases, act as clients for one protocol or another. Therefore, this note uses its own terms for the actors in this protocol.

**Child:** An entity acting in the client ("subject") role of the provisioning protocol defined in [\[RFC6492\]](#).



Parent: An entity acting in the server ("issuer") role of the provisioning protocol defined in [[RFC6492](#)].

Publisher: An entity acting in the client role of the publication protocol defined in [[I-D.ietf-sidr-publication](#)].

Repository: An entity acting in the server role of the publication protocol defined in [[I-D.ietf-sidr-publication](#)].

Note that a given entity might act in more than one of these roles; for example, in one of the simplest cases, the child is the same entity as the publisher, while the parent is the same entity as the repository.

### **[3.2.](#) Common Protocol Elements**

The first XML attribute in each message is a version field. This document describes version 1 of the protocol.

Most messages contain, among other things, a self-signed BPKI X.509 certificate. These certificates are represented as XML elements whose text value is the Base64 text encoding the DER representation of the X.509 certificate.

A number of attributes contain "handles". A handle in this protocol is a text string in the US-ASCII character set consisting of letters, digits, and the special characters "/", "-", and "\_". This protocol places no special semantics on the structure of these handles, although implementations might. Handles are protocol elements, not necessarily meaningful to humans, thus the simplicity of a restricted character set makes more sense than the complex rules which would be needed for internationalized text.

### **[3.3.](#) Protocol Messages**

The core of this protocol consists of four message types, representing the basic request and response semantics needed to configure a RPKI engine to talk to its parent and its repository via the provisioning and publication protocols, respectively.

#### **[3.3.1.](#) <child\_request/>**

The <child\_request/> message is an initial setup request from a provisioning protocol child to its provisioning protocol parent.

Fields in the <child\_request/> message:





version: The version attribute specifies the protocol version. This note describes protocol version 1.

child\_handle: The child\_handle attribute is what the child calls itself. This is just a hint from the child to the parent, the parent need not honor it.

child\_bpki\_ta: The <child\_bpki\_ta/> element is the child's BPKI identity, a self-signed X.509 BPKI certificate, encoded in Base64.

This CA certificate will be the issuer of the BPKI EE certificates corresponding to private keys that the child will use when sending provisioning protocol messages to the parent.

```
-----
<child_request
  child_handle="Bob"
  version="1"
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">
  <child_bpki_ta>
    R29kIGlzIHJlYWwgdW5sZXNzIGRlY2xhcmVklGludGVnZXI=
  </child_bpki_ta>
</child_request>
-----
```

### **3.3.2. <parent\_response/>**

The <parent\_response/> message is a response from a provisioning protocol parent to a provisioning protocol child that had previously sent a <child\_request/> message.

Fields in the <parent\_response/> message:

version: The version attribute specifies the protocol version. This note describes protocol version 1.

service\_uri: The service\_uri attribute contains an HTTP URL that the child should contact for up-down ([[RFC6492](#)]) service.

child\_handle: The child\_handle attribute is the parent's name for the child. This might or might not match the child\_handle from the <child\_request/> message. If they do not match, the parent wins, because the parent gets to dictate the names in the provisioning protocol. This value is the sender field in provisioning protocol request messages and the recipient field in provisioning protocol response messages.



parent\_handle: The parent\_handle attribute is the parent's name for itself. This value is the recipient field in provisioning protocol request messages and the sender field in provisioning protocol response messages.

parent\_bpki\_ta: The <parent\_bpki\_ta/> element is the parent's BPKI identity, a self-signed X.509 BPKI certificate.

This certificate is the issuer of the BPKI EE certificates corresponding to private keys that the parent will use to sign provisioning protocol messages to the child.

offer: If an <offer/> element is present, the parent is offering publication service to the child. The <offer/> element, if present, is empty.

referral: If a <referral/> element is present, it suggests a third-party publication services that the child might use, and contains:

referrer: A referrer attribute, containing the handle by which the publication repository knows the parent,

contact\_uri: An optional contact\_uri attribute that the child may be able to follow for more information, and

Authorization token: The text of the <referral/> element is the Base64 encoding of a signed authorization token granting the child the right to use a portion of the parent's namespace at the publication repository in question. See [Section 3.4](#) for details on the authorization token.

```
-----
<parent_response
  child_handle="Bob-42"
  parent_handle="Alice"
  service_uri="http://a.example/up-down/Alice/Bob-42"
  version="1"
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">
  <parent_bpki_ta>
    WW91IGNhbiBoYWNRIGFueXRoaW5nIHlvdSB3YW50IHdpdGggVEVDTyBhbmQgRERU
  </parent_bpki_ta>
  <offer/>
</parent_response>
-----
```



```
-----
<parent_response
  child_handle="Carol"
  parent_handle="Bob"
  service_uri="http://bob.example/up-down/Bob/Carol"
  version="1"
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">
<parent_bpki_ta>
  R29kIGlzIHJlYWwgdW5sZXNzIGRlY2xhcmVklGludGVnZXI=
</parent_bpki_ta>
<referral
  referrer="Alice/Bob-42">
  R28sIGxlbW1pbmdzLCBnbyE=
</referral>
</parent_response>
-----
```

### **3.3.3. <publisher\_request/>**

The <publisher\_request/> message is a setup request from a publisher to a repository.

Fields in the <publisher\_request/> message:

version: The version attribute specifies the protocol version. This note describes protocol version 1.

publisher\_handle: The publisher\_handle attribute is the publisher's name for itself. This is just a hint, the repository need not honor it.

publisher\_bpki\_ta: The <publisher\_bpki\_ta/> element is the publisher's BPKI identity, a self-signed X.509 BPKI certificate. This certificate is the issuer of the BPKI EE certificates corresponding to private keys that the publisher will use to sign publication protocol messages to the repository.

referral: If a <referral/> element is present, it contains:

referrer: A referrer attribute containing the publication handle of the referring parent, and

Authorization token: The text of the <referral/> element is the Base64 encoding of a signed authorization token granting the publisher the right to use a portion of its parent's namespace at this repository. See [Section 3.4](#) for details on the authorization token.



These fields are copies of values that a parent provided to the child in the <parent\_response/> message (see [Section 3.3.2](#)). The referrer attribute is present to aid lookup of the corresponding certificate by the repository. Note that the repository operator makes the final decision on whether to grant publication service to the prospective publisher. The <referral/> element just conveys a parent's grant of permission to use a portion of that parent's namespace.

```
-----  
<publisher_request  
  publisher_handle="Bob"  
  version="1"  
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">  
  <publisher_bpki_ta>  
    R29kIGlzIHJlYWwgdW5sZXNzIGRlY2xhcmVkJGludGVnZXI=  
  </publisher_bpki_ta>  
</publisher_request>  
-----
```

#### [3.3.4](#). <repository\_response/>

The <repository\_response/> message is a repository's response to a publisher which has previously sent a <publisher\_request/> message.

Fields in the <repository\_response/> message:

version: The version attribute specifies the protocol version. This note describes protocol version 1.

service\_uri: The service\_uri attribute contains an HTTP URL that the publisher should contact for publication service ([\[I-D.ietf-sidr-publication\]](#)).

publisher\_handle: The publisher\_handle attribute is the repository's name for the publisher. This may or may not match the publisher\_handle attribute in the publisher's <publisher\_request/> message.

sia\_base: The sia\_base attribute is the rsync:// URI for the base of the publication space allocated to the publisher.

rrdp\_notification\_uri: The optional rrdp\_notification\_uri attribute is the URI for the RRDP notification file covering the publication space allocated to the publisher ([\[I-D.tbhuijnzeels-sidr-delta-protocol\]](#)).





repository\_bpki\_ta: The <repository\_bpki\_ta/> element is the repository's BPKI identity, a self-signed X.509 BPKI certificate.

```
-----
<repository_response
  publisher_handle="Alice/Bob-42"
  rrdp_notification_uri="https://rpki.example/rrdp/notify.xml"
  service_uri="http://a.example/publication/Alice/Bob-42"
  sia_base="rsync://a.example/rpki/Alice/Bob-42/"
  version="1"
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">
  <repository_bpki_ta>
    WW91IGNhbiBoYWNRIGFueXRoaW5nIHlvdSB3YW50IHdpdGggVEVDTyBhbmQgRERU
  </repository_bpki_ta>
</repository_response>
-----
```

### 3.4. <authorization/>

The <authorization/> element is a separate message which is signed with CMS, then included as the Base64 content of <referral/> elements in other messages.

The eContentType for the signed CMS message is id-ct-xml.

Fields in the <authorization/> element:

version: The version attribute specifies the protocol version. This note describes protocol version 1.

authorized\_sia\_base: The value of the authorized\_sia\_base attribute is the rsync:// URI of the base of the namespace which the referrer is delegating.

bpki\_ta: The <bpki\_ta/> element is the identity of the entity to whom the referrer is delegating the portion of the namespace named in the authorized\_sia\_base attribute. The identity is represented as a self-signed X.509 BPKI certificate.

```
-----
<authorization
  authorized_sia_base="rsync://a.example/rpki/Alice/Bob-42/Carol/"
  version="1"
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">
  SSd2ZSBoYWQgZnVuIGJlZm9yZS4gIFRoXMGaXNuJ3QgaXQu
</authorization>
-----
```



### **3.5. <error/>**

The <error/> element is an optional message which can be used in response to any of the core protocol messages described in [Section 3.3](#).

Whether an <error/> element is an appropriate way to signal errors back to the sender of a protocol message depends on details of the implementation which are outside this specification. For example, if this protocol is embedded in a web portal interface which is designed to let a human being upload and download these messages via upload and download forms, a human-readable error message may be more appropriate. On the other hand, a portal intended to be driven by a robotic client might well want to use an <error/> message to signal errors. Similar arguments apply to non-web encapsulations (email, USB stick, ...); the primary factor is likely to be whether the implementation expects the error to be handled by a human being or by a program.

Fields in the <error/> message:

version: The version attribute specifies the protocol version. This note describes protocol version 1.

reason: The reason attribute contains a code indicating what was wrong with the message. This version of the protocol defines the following codes:

syntax-error: Receiver could not parse the offending message.

authentication-failure: Receiver could not authenticate the offending message.

refused: Receiver refused to perform the requested action.

Offending message: The <error/> element contains a verbatim copy of the message to which this error applies.



```

-----
<error
  reason="refused"
  version="1"
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">
  <child_request
    child_handle="Carol">
    <child_bpki_ta>
      SSd2ZSBoYWQgZnVuIGJlZm9yZS4gIFRoaxMgaXNuJ3QgaXQu
    </child_bpki_ta>
  </child_request>
</error>
-----

```

#### 4. Protocol Walk-Through

This section walks through a few simple examples of the protocol in use, and stars our old friends, Alice, Bob, and Carol. In this example, Alice is the root of a RPKI tree, Bob wants to get address and ASN resources from Alice, and Carol wants to get some of those resources in turn from Bob. Alice offers publication service, which is used by all three.

Alice, Bob, and Carol each generates his or her own self-signed BPKI certificate.

Bob constructs a `<child_request/>` message and sends it to Alice:

```

-----
<child_request
  child_handle="Bob"
  version="1"
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">
  <child_bpki_ta>
    R29kIGlzIHJlYWwgdW5sZXNzIGRlY2xhcmVkaWVudGVnZXI=
  </child_bpki_ta>
</child_request>
-----

```

- o Bob's preferred handle is "Bob", so Bob uses that when setting `child_handle`.
- o `<child_bpki_ta/>` is Bob's self-signed BPKI certificate.

Alice replies with a `<parent_response/>` message, but Alice already has 41 other children named Bob, so she calls this one "Bob-42". Alice's provisioning protocol server happens to use a RESTful URL scheme so that it can find the expected validation context for the



provisioning protocol CMS message just by looking at the URL, so the service URL she provides to Bob includes both her name and Bob's. Alice offers publication service, so she offers to let Bob use it; Alice doesn't have to do this, she could just omit this and leave Bob to find publication service on his own, but Alice is trying to be helpful to her customer Bob. Bob doesn't have to accept Alice's offer, but may choose to do so.

```
-----
<parent_response
  child_handle="Bob-42"
  parent_handle="Alice"
  service_uri="http://a.example/up-down/Alice/Bob-42"
  version="1"
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">
  <parent_bpki_ta>
    WW91IGNhbiBoYWNRIGFueXRoaW5nIHlvdSB3YW50IHdpdGggVEVDTyBhbmQgRERU
  </parent_bpki_ta>
  <offer/>
</parent_response>
-----
```

o <parent\_bpki\_ta/> is Alice's own self-signed BPKI certificate.

Bob receives Alice's <parent\_response/> and extracts the fields Bob's RPKI engine will need to know about (child\_handle, parent\_handle, service\_uri, and <parent\_bpki\_ta/>). Bob also sees the repository offer, decides to take Alice up on this offer, and constructs a <publisher\_request/> message accordingly:

```
-----
<publisher_request
  publisher_handle="Bob"
  version="1"
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">
  <publisher_bpki_ta>
    R29kIGlzIHJlYWgdW5sZXNzIGRlY2xhcmVkaGludGVnZXI=
  </publisher_bpki_ta>
</publisher_request>
-----
```

Alice receives Bob's request to use Alice's publication service, decides to honor the offer she made, and sends back a <repository\_response/> message in response. Alice recognizes Bob as one of her own children, because she's already seen Bob's self-signed BPKI certificate, so she allocates publication space to Bob under her own publication space, so that relying parties who rsync her products





will pick up Bob's products automatically without needing an additional fetch operation.

```
-----
<repository_response
  publisher_handle="Alice/Bob-42"
  rrdp_notification_uri="https://rpki.example/rrdp/notify.xml"
  service_uri="http://a.example/publication/Alice/Bob-42"
  sia_base="rsync://a.example/rpki/Alice/Bob-42/"
  version="1"
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">
  <repository_bpki_ta>
    WW91IGNhbiBoYWNRIGFueXRoaW5nIHlvdSB3YW50IHdpdGggVEVDTyBhbmQgRERU
  </repository_bpki_ta>
</repository_response>
-----
```

Bob should now have everything he needs to talk to Alice both for provisioning and for publication.

A more interesting case is Bob's child, Carol. Carol wants to get her resources from Bob, and, like Bob, does not particularly want to operate a publication service. Bob doesn't have a publication service of his own to offer, but he can refer Carol to Alice, along with his permission for Carol to use a portion of the namespace that Alice gave him.

Carol's <child\_request/> to Bob looks very similar to Bob's earlier request to Alice:

```
-----
<child_request
  child_handle="Carol"
  version="1"
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">
  <child_bpki_ta>
    SSd2ZSBoYWQgZnVuIGJlZm9yZS4gIFRoaxMgaXNuJ3QgaXQu
  </child_bpki_ta>
</child_request>
-----
```

Bob's <parent\_response/> to Carol also looks a lot like Alice's response to Bob, except that Bob includes a <referral/> element instead of an <offer/> element. Carol is an only child, so Bob leaves her name alone:



```
-----  
<parent_response  
  child_handle="Carol"  
  parent_handle="Bob"  
  service_uri="http://bob.example/up-down/Bob/Carol"  
  version="1"  
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">  
<parent_bpki_ta>  
  R29kIGlzIHJlYWwgdW5sZXNzIGRlY2xhcmVkaGludGVnZXI=  
</parent_bpki_ta>  
<referral  
  referrer="Alice/Bob-42">  
  R28sIGxlbW1pbmdzLCBnbyE=  
</referral>  
</parent_response>  
-----
```

Bob's response includes a `<referral/>` element with a `referrer` attribute of "Alice/Bob-42", since that's Bob's name to Alice's repository. The Base64-encoded authorization token is an `<authorization/>` element in a CMS message that can be verified against Bob's self-signed BPKI certificate, using a BPKI EE certificate included in the CMS wrapper. The `<authorization/>` text is Carol's self-signed BPKI certificate; Bob's signature over this element indicates Bob's permission for Carol to use the indicated portion of Bob's publication space.

```
-----  
<authorization  
  authorized_sia_base="rsync://a.example/rpki/Alice/Bob-42/Carol/"  
  version="1"  
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">  
  SSd2ZSBoYWQgZnVuIGJlZm9yZS4gIFRoaxMgaXNuJ3QgaXQu  
</authorization>  
-----
```

Carol, not wanting to have to run a publication service, presents Bob's referral to Alice in the hope that Alice will let Carol use Alice's publication service. So Carol constructs a `<publisher_request/>` message including the referral information received from Bob, and sends it all to Alice:



```
-----  
<publisher_request  
  publisher_handle="Carol"  
  version="1"  
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">  
<publisher_bpki_ta>  
  SSd2ZSBoYWQgZnVuIGJlZm9yZS4gIFRoaxMgaXNuJ3QgaXQu  
</publisher_bpki_ta>  
<referral  
  referrer="Alice/Bob-42">  
  R28sIGxlbW1pbmdzLCBnbyE=  
</referral>  
</publisher_request>  
-----
```

Alice sees the signed authorization token Bob gave to Carol, checks its signature, and unpacks it. When the signature proves valid and the contained BPKI TA matches Carol's, Alice knows that Bob is willing to let Carol use a portion of Bob's namespace. Given this, Alice is willing to provide publication service to Carol in the subtree allocated by Bob for this purpose, so Alice sends back a <repository\_response/>:

```
-----  
<repository_response  
  publisher_handle="Alice/Bob-42/Carol"  
  service_uri="http://a.example/publication/Alice/Bob-42/Carol"  
  sia_base="rsync://a.example/rpki/Alice/Bob-42/Carol/"  
  version="1"  
  xmlns="http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/">  
<repository_bpki_ta>  
  WW91IGNhbiBoYWNRIGFueXRoaW5nIHlvdSB3YW50IHdpdGggVEVDTyBhbmQgRERU  
</repository_bpki_ta>  
</repository_response>  
-----
```

Once Carol receives this response, Carol should be good to go.

In theory the publication referral mechanism can extend indefinitely (for example, Carol can refer her child Dave to Alice for publication service and it should all work). In practice, this has not yet been implemented, much less tested. In order to keep the protocol relatively simple, we've deliberately ignored perverse cases such as Bob being willing to refer Carol to Alice but not wanting Carol to be allowed to refer Dave to Alice.



## **5. IANA Considerations**

Blah.

## **6. Security Considerations**

As stated in [Section 1](#), the basic function of this protocol is an exchange of public keys to be used as BPKI trust anchors. Integrity and authentication of these exchanges MUST be ensured via external mechanisms deliberately left unspecified in this protocol.

## **7. Acknowledgements**

The author would like to thank: Byron Ellacott, George Michaelson, Leif Johansson, Matsuzaki Yoshinobu, Michael Elkins, Randy Bush, Seiichi Kawamura, Tim Bruijnzeels, and anybody else who helped along the way whose name the author has temporarily forgotten.

## **8. Normative References**

[I-D.ietf-sidr-publication]

Weiler, S., Sonalker, A., and R. Austein, "A Publication Protocol for the Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI)", [draft-ietf-sidr-publication-07](#) (work in progress), September 2015.

[I-D.tbruijnzeels-sidr-delta-protocol]

Bruijnzeels, T., Muravskiy, O., Weber, B., Austein, R., and D. Mandelberg, "RPKI Repository Delta Protocol", [draft-tbruijnzeels-sidr-delta-protocol-03](#) (work in progress), December 2014.

[RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", [RFC 5280](#), May 2008.

[RFC5652] Housley, R., "Cryptographic Message Syntax (CMS)", [RFC 5652](#), STD 70, September 2009.

[RFC6492] Huston, G., Loomans, R., Ellacott, B., and R. Austein, "A Protocol for Provisioning Resource Certificates", [RFC 6492](#), February 2012.





## [Appendix A.](#) RelaxNG Schema

Here is a RelaxNG schema describing the protocol elements.

```
# $Id: rpki-setup.rnc 3429 2015-10-14 23:46:50Z sra $

default namespace = "http://www.hactrn.net/uris/rpki/rpki-setup/"

version = "1"

base64 = xsd:base64Binary { maxLength="512000" }
handle = xsd:string { maxLength="255" pattern="[\-_A-Za-z0-9/]*" }
uri     = xsd:anyURI { maxLength="4096" }
any     = element * { attribute * { text }*, ( any | text )* }

authorization_token = base64
bpki_ta = base64

start |= element child_request {
  attribute version { version },
  attribute child_handle { handle },
  element child_bpki_ta { bpki_ta }
}

start |= element parent_response {
  attribute version { version },
  attribute service_uri { uri },
  attribute child_handle { handle },
  attribute parent_handle { handle },
  element parent_bpki_ta { bpki_ta },
  element offer { empty }?,
  element referral {
    attribute referrer { handle },
    attribute contact_uri { uri }?,
    authorization_token
  }*
}

start |= element publisher_request {
  attribute version { version },
  attribute publisher_handle { handle },
  element publisher_bpki_ta { bpki_ta },
  element referral {
    attribute referrer { handle },
    authorization_token
  }*
}
```



```
start |= element repository_response {
  attribute version { version },
  attribute service_uri { uri },
  attribute publisher_handle { handle },
  attribute sia_base { uri },
  attribute rrdp_notification_uri { uri }?,
  element repository_bpki_ta { bpki_ta }
}

start |= element authorization {
  attribute version { version },
  attribute authorized_sia_base { uri },
  bpki_ta
}

start |= element error {
  attribute version { version },
  attribute reason {
    "syntax-error" |
    "authentication-failure" |
    "refused"
  },
  any?
}
```

#### Author's Address

Rob Austein  
Dragon Research Labs

Email: [sra@hactrn.net](mailto:sra@hactrn.net)

