Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF)
Internet-Draft
Obsoletes: 8208 (if approved)
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: October 17, 2019

S. Turner
sn3rd
O. Borchert
NIST

April 15, 2019

BGPsec Algorithms, Key Formats, and Signature Formats
draft-ietf-sidrops-bgpsec-algs-rfc8208-bis-05

Abstract

This document specifies the algorithms, algorithm parameters, asymmetric key formats, asymmetric key sizes, and signature formats used in BGPsec (Border Gateway Protocol Security). This document obsoletes RFC 8208 ("BGPsec Algorithms, Key Formats, and Signature Formats") by adding Documentation Algorithm IDs, Experimentation Algorithm IDs, correcting the range of unassigned algorithms IDs to fill the complete range, and restructured the document for better reading.

This document also includes example BGPsec UPDATE messages as well as the private keys used to generate the messages and the certificates necessary to validate those signatures.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 2, 2018

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 3
  1.1. Terminology 3
  1.2. Changes from RFC 8208 4
2. Algorithms 4
  2.1. Algorithm ID Types 4
  2.2. Signature Algorithms 6
    2.2.1. Algorithm ID 0x01 (1) - (ECDSA-P256) 6
3. Asymmetric Key Pair Formats 6
  3.1. Asymmetric Key Pair for Algorithm ID 0x01 (1) - (ECDSA-P256) 6
    3.1.1. Public Key Format 6
    3.1.2. Private Key Format 7
4. Signature Formats 7
5. Additional Requirements 7
6. Security Considerations 7
7. IANA Considerations 8
8. References 10
  8.1. Normative References 10
  8.2. Informative References 11
Appendix A. Examples 13
  A.1. Topology and Experiment Description 13
  A.2. Keys 13
  A.3. BGPsec IPv4 17
  A.4. BGPsec IPv6 20
Acknowledgements 23
Authors' Addresses 23

1. Introduction

This document specifies the following:

- o the digital signature algorithm and parameters,
- o the hash algorithm and parameters,
- o the algorithm identifier assignment and classification,
- o the public and private key formats, and
- o the signature formats

used by Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) Certification Authorities (CAs) and BGPsec (Border Gateway Protocol Security) speakers (i.e., routers). CAs use these algorithms when processing requests for BGPsec Router Certificates [RFC8209]. Examples of when BGPsec routers use these algorithms include requesting BGPsec certificates [RFC8209], signing BGPsec UPDATE messages [RFC8205], and verifying signatures on BGPsec UPDATE messages [RFC8205].

This document updates [RFC7935] to add support for a) a different algorithm for BGPsec certificate requests, which are issued only by BGPsec speakers; b) a different Subject Public Key Info format for BGPsec certificates, which is needed for the specified BGPsec signature algorithm; and c) different signature formats for BGPsec signatures, which are needed for the specified BGPsec signature algorithm. The BGPsec certificates are differentiated from other RPKI certificates by the use of the BGPsec Extended Key Usage as defined in [RFC8209]. BGPsec uses a different algorithm [RFC6090] [DSS] as compared to the rest of the RPKI that provides similar security with smaller keys making the certificates smaller; these algorithms also result in smaller signatures, which makes the PDUs smaller.

Appendix A (non-normative) contains example BGPsec UPDATE messages as well as the private keys used to generate the messages and the certificates necessary to validate the signatures.

1.1. Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

1.2. Changes from RFC 8208

This section describes the significant changes between [RFC8208] and this document.

- Added Section 2.1 of algorithm ID types. Also, the interpretation of these IDs is described.

- Restructured Sections 2 and 3 to align with the corresponding algorithm suite identifier value.

- Correction of range for unassigned algorithm suite identifier values.

- Adding of Documentation algorithm suite identifier values.

- Adding of Experimentation algorithm suite identifier values.

- Changed Next-HOP IP in Appendix A's IPv6 Example to use private usage IPv6 address.

2. Algorithms

The algorithms used to compute signatures on CA certificates, BGPsec Router Certificates, and Certificate Revocation Lists (CRLs) are as specified in Section 2 of [RFC7935]. This section addresses BGPsec algorithms used by BGPsec [RFC8205] [DSS]. For example, these algorithms are used by BGPsec routers to sign and verify BGPsec UPDATE messages. To identify which algorithm is used, the BGPsec UPDATE message contains the corresponding algorithm ID in each Signature_Block of the BGPsec UPDATE message.

2.1. Algorithm ID Types

Algorithms in BGPsec UPDATE messages are identified by the Algorithm Suite Identifier field (Algorithm ID) within the Signature_Block (see Section 3.2 of [RFC8205]).

This document specifies five types of algorithm IDs:

- Reserved Algorithm ID

  Reserved algorithm IDs are the values 0x00 (0) and 0xFF (255). These IDs MUST NOT be used in a Signature_Block and if encountered, the router MUST treat BGPsec UPDATE messages as Malformed [RFC4271].

- o Signature Algorithm ID

  Signature algorithms are defined in Section 2.2 of this document. Processing of BGPsec UPDATE signing and validation using signature algorithms is described in length in Section 4.2 and Section 5.2 of [RFC8205].

- o Unassigned Algorithm ID

  This type of algorithm ID is free for future assignments and MUST NOT be used until an algorithm is officially assigned (see Section 7). In case a router encounters an unassigned algorithm ID in one of the Signature_Blocks of a BGPsec UPDATE message, the router SHOULD process the Signature_Block as "unsupported algorithm" as specified in Section 5.2 of [RFC8205].

- o Experimentation Algorithm ID

  Experimentation algorithm IDs span from 0xF7 (247) to 0xFA (250). To allow experimentation to accurately describe deployment examples, the use of publicly assigned algorithm IDs is inappropriate, and a reserved block of Experimentation algorithm IDs is required. This ensures that experimentation does not clash with assigned algorithm IDs in deployed networks, and mitigates the risks to operational integrity of the network through inappropriate use of experimentation to perform literal configuration of routing elements on production systems. A router that encounters an algorithm ID of this type outside of an experimental network, SHOULD treat it the same as "unsupported algorithm" as specified in Section 5.2 of [RFC8205].

- o Documentation Algorithm ID

  Documentation algorithm IDs span from 0xFB (251) to 0xFE (254). To allow documentation to accurately describe deployment examples, the use of publicly assigned algorithm IDs is inappropriate, and a reserved block of Documentation algorithm IDs is required. This ensures that documentation does not clash with assigned algorithm IDs in deployed networks, and mitigates the risks to operational integrity of the network through inappropriate use of documentation to perform literal configuration of routing elements on production systems. A router that encounters an algorithm ID of this type SHOULD treat it the same as "unsupported algorithm" as specified in Section 5.2 of [RFC8205].

2.2. Signature Algorithms

2.2.1. Algorithm ID 0x01 (1) - (ECDSA-P256)

- o The signature algorithm used MUST be the Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA) with curve P-256 [RFC6090] [DSS].

- o The hash algorithm used MUST be SHA-256 [SHS].

Hash algorithms are not identified by themselves in certificates or BGPsec UPDATE messages. They are represented by an OID that combines the hash algorithm with the digital signature algorithm as follows:

- o The ecdsa-with-SHA256 OID [RFC5480] MUST appear in the Public-Key Cryptography Standards #10 (PKCS #10) signatureAlgorithm field [RFC2986] or in the Certificate Request Message Format (CRMF) POPOSigningKey algorithm field [RFC4211]; where the OID is placed depends on the certificate request format generated.

- o In BGPsec UPDATE messages, the ECDSA with SHA-256 algorithm suite identifier value 0x01 (1) (see Section 7) is included in the Signature_Block List's Algorithm Suite Identifier field.

3. Asymmetric Key Pair Formats

The key formats used to compute signatures on CA certificates, BGPsec Router Certificates, and CRLs are as specified in Section 3 of [RFC7935]. This section addresses key formats found in the BGPsec Router Certificate requests and in BGPsec Router Certificates.

3.1. Asymmetric Key Pair for Algorithm ID 0x01 (1) - (ECDSA-P256)

The ECDSA private keys used to compute signatures for certificate requests and BGPsec UPDATE messages MUST be associated with the P-256 curve domain parameters [RFC5480]. The public key pair MUST use the uncompressed form.

3.1.1. Public Key Format

The Subject's public key is included in subjectPublicKeyInfo [RFC5280]. It has two sub-fields: algorithm and subjectPublicKey. The values for the structures and their sub-structures follow:

- o algorithm (an AlgorithmIdentifier type): The id-ecPublicKey OID MUST be used in the algorithm field, as specified in Section 2.1.1 of [RFC5480]. The value for the associated parameters MUST be secp256r1, as specified in Section 2.1.1.1 of [RFC5480].

- o subjectPublicKey: ECPoint MUST be used to encode the certificate's subjectPublicKey field, as specified in Section 2.2 of [RFC5480].

3.1.2. Private Key Format

Local policy determines private key format.

4. Signature Formats

The structure for the certificate's and CRL's signature field MUST be as specified in Section 4 of [RFC7935]; this is the same format used by other RPKI certificates. The structure for the certification request's and BGPsec UPDATE message's signature field MUST be as specified in Section 2.2.3 of [RFC3279].

5. Additional Requirements

It is anticipated that BGPsec will require the adoption of updated key sizes and a different set of signature and hash algorithms over time, in order to maintain an acceptable level of cryptographic security. This profile should be updated to specify such future requirements, when appropriate.

The recommended procedures to implement such a transition of key sizes and algorithms are specified in [RFC6916].

6. Security Considerations

The security considerations of [RFC3279], [RFC5480], [RFC6090], [RFC7935], and [RFC8209] apply to certificates. The security considerations of [RFC3279], [RFC6090], [RFC7935], and [RFC8209] apply to certification requests. The security considerations of [RFC3279], [RFC6090], and [RFC8205] apply to BGPsec UPDATE messages. No new security considerations are introduced as a result of this specification.

7. IANA Considerations

The Internet Assigned Numbers Authority (IANA) has created the "BGPsec Algorithm Suite Registry" in the Resource Public Key Infrastructure (RPKI) group. The one-octet "BGPsec Algorithm Suite Registry" identifiers assigned by IANA identify the digest algorithm and signature algorithm used in the BGPsec Signature_Block List's Algorithm Suite Identifier field.

[RFC8208] directed IANA to register a single algorithm suite identifier for the digest algorithm SHA-256 [SHS] and for the signature algorithm ECDSA on the P-256 curve [RFC6090] [DSS]. This identifier is still valid, and IANA has updated registration to refer to this document.

IANA is asked to modify the previously registered "Unassigned" address space.

Algorithm Suite Identifier	Digest Algorithm	Signature Algorithm	Specification Pointer
0x2-0xEF	Unassigned	Unassigned	

To be modified to:

Algorithm Suite Identifier	Digest Algorithm	Signature Algorithm	Specification Pointer
0x02-0xF6	Unassigned	Unassigned	

In addition IANA is asked to register the following address space for "Documentation" and "Experimentation":

Algorithm Suite Identifier	Digest Algorithm	Signature Algorithm	Specification Pointer
0xF7-0xFA	Experimentation	Experimentation	This Document
0xFB-0xFE	Documentation	Documentation	This Document

After the requested modification, the "BGPsec Algorithm Suite Registry" in the RPKI group should contain the following values:

BGPsec Algorithm Suite Registry

Algorithm Suite Identifier	Digest Algorithm	Signature Algorithm	Specification Pointer
0x00	Reserved	Reserved	This document
0x01	SHA-256	ECDSA P-256	[SHS] [DSS] [RFC6090] This document
0x02-0xF6	Unassigned	Unassigned	
0xF7-0xFA	Experimentation	Experimentation	This Document
0xFB-0xFE	Documentation	Documentation	This Document
0xFF	Reserved	Reserved	This document

Future assignments are to be made using the Standards Action process defined in [RFC8126]. Assignments consist of the one-octet algorithm suite identifier value and the associated digest algorithm name and signature algorithm name.

8. References

8.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>.

[RFC2986] Nystrom, M. and B. Kaliski, "PKCS #10: Certification Request Syntax Specification Version 1.7", RFC 2986, DOI 10.17487/RFC2986, November 2000, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2986>.

[RFC3279] Bassham, L., Polk, W., and R. Housley, "Algorithms and Identifiers for the Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 3279, DOI 10.17487/RFC3279, April 2002, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3279>.

[RFC4211] Schaad, J., "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate Request Message Format (CRMF)", RFC 4211, DOI 10.17487/RFC4211, September 2005, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4211>.

[RFC4271] Rekhter, Y., Ed., Li, T., Ed., and S. Hares, Ed., "A Border Gateway Protocol 4 (BGP-4)", RFC 4271, DOI 10.17487/RFC4271, January 2006, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4271>.

[RFC5280] Cooper, D., Santesson, S., Farrell, S., Boeyen, S., Housley, R., and W. Polk, "Internet X.509 Public Key Infrastructure Certificate and Certificate Revocation List (CRL) Profile", RFC 5280, DOI 10.17487/RFC5280, May 2008, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5280>.

[RFC5480] Turner, S., Brown, D., Yiu, K., Housley, R., and T. Polk, "Elliptic Curve Cryptography Subject Public Key Information", RFC 5480, DOI 10.17487/RFC5480, March 2009, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5480>.

[RFC6090] McGrew, D., Igoe, K., and M. Salter, "Fundamental Elliptic Curve Cryptography Algorithms", RFC 6090, DOI 10.17487/RFC6090, February 2011, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6090>.

[RFC6916] Gagliano, R., Kent, S., and S. Turner, "Algorithm Agility Procedure for the Resource Public Key Infrastructure

(RPKI)", BCP 182, RFC 6916, DOI 10.17487/RFC6916, April 2013, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6916>.

[RFC7935] Huston, G. and G. Michaelson, Ed., "The Profile for Algorithms and Key Sizes for Use in the Resource Public Key Infrastructure", RFC 7935, DOI 10.17487/RFC7935, August 2016, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7935>.

[RFC8126] Cotton, M., Leiba, B., and T. Narten, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26, RFC 8126, DOI 10.17487/RFC8126, June 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8126>.

[RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>.

[RFC8205] Lepinski, M., Ed., and K. Sriram, Ed., "BGPsec Protocol Specification", RFC 8205, DOI 10.17487/RFC8205, September 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8205>.

[RFC8208] Turner, S. and O. Borchert, "BGPsec Algorithms, Key Formats, and Signature Formats", RFC 8208, DOI 10.17487/RFC8208, September 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8208>.

[RFC8209] Reynolds, M., Turner, S., and S. Kent, "A Profile for BGPsec Router Certificates, Certificate Revocation Lists, and Certification Requests", RFC 8209, DOI 10.17487/RFC8209, September 2017, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8209>.

[DSS] National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Digital Signature Standard (DSS)", NIST FIPS Publication 186-4, DOI 10.6028/NIST.FIPS.186-4, July 2013, <http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.186-4.pdf>.

[SHS] National Institute of Standards and Technology, "Secure Hash Standard (SHS)", NIST FIPS Publication 180-4, DOI 10.6028/NIST.FIPS.180-4, August 2015, <http://nvlpubs.nist.gov/nistpubs/FIPS/NIST.FIPS.180-4.pdf>.

8.2. Informative References

[RFC5398] Huston, G., "Autonomous System (AS) Number Reservation for Documentation Use", RFC 5398, DOI 10.17487/RFC5398, December 2008, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5398>.

[RFC6979] Pornin, T., "Deterministic Usage of the Digital Signature Algorithm (DSA) and Elliptic Curve Digital Signature Algorithm (ECDSA)", RFC 6979, DOI 10.17487/RFC6979, August 2013, <https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6979>.

Appendix A. Examples

A.1. Topology and Experiment Description

Topology:

AS(64496)----AS(65536)----AS(65537)

Prefix Announcement: AS(64496), 192.0.2.0/24, 2001:db8::/32

The signature algorithm used in this example is ECDSA P-256 using the algorithm suite identifier ID 0x01 (1) as specified in Section 7 of this document.

A.2. Keys

For this example, the ECDSA algorithm was provided with a static k to make the result deterministic.

The k used for all signature operations was taken from [RFC6979], Appendix A.2.5, "Signatures With SHA-256, message = 'sample'".

Note: Even though the certificates below are expired, the are still useful within the constraint of this document.

```
  k = A6E3C57DD01ABE90086538398355DD4C
      3B17AA873382B0F24D6129493D8AAD60


Keys of AS64496:
================
ski: AB4D910F55CAE71A215EF3CAFE3ACC45B5EEC154

private key:
  x = D8AA4DFBE2478F86E88A7451BF075565
      709C575AC1C136D081C540254CA440B9

public key:
  Ux = 7391BABB92A0CB3BE10E59B19EBFFB21
       4E04A91E0CBA1B139A7D38D90F77E55A
  Uy = A05B8E695678E0FA16904B55D9D4F5C0
       DFC58895EE50BC4F75D205A25BD36FF5
```

```
Router Key Certificate example using OpenSSL 1.0.1e-fips 11 Feb 2013
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Certificate:
    Data:
        Version: 3 (0x2)
        Serial Number: 38655612 (0x24dd67c)
    Signature Algorithm: ecdsa-with-SHA256
        Issuer: CN=ROUTER-0000FBF0
        Validity
            Not Before: Jan  1 05:00:00 2017 GMT
            Not After : Jul  1 05:00:00 2018 GMT
        Subject: CN=ROUTER-0000FBF0
        Subject Public Key Info:
            Public Key Algorithm: id-ecPublicKey
                Public-Key: (256 bit)
                pub:
                    04:73:91:ba:bb:92:a0:cb:3b:e1:0e:59:b1:9e:bf:
                    fb:21:4e:04:a9:1e:0c:ba:1b:13:9a:7d:38:d9:0f:
                    77:e5:5a:a0:5b:8e:69:56:78:e0:fa:16:90:4b:55:
                    d9:d4:f5:c0:df:c5:88:95:ee:50:bc:4f:75:d2:05:
                    a2:5b:d3:6f:f5
                ASN1 OID: prime256v1
        X509v3 extensions:
            X509v3 Key Usage:
                Digital Signature
            X509v3 Subject Key Identifier:
                AB:4D:91:0F:55:CA:E7:1A:21:5E:
                F3:CA:FE:3A:CC:45:B5:EE:C1:54
            X509v3 Extended Key Usage:
                1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.30
            sbgp-autonomousSysNum: critical
                Autonomous System Numbers:
                  64496
                Routing Domain Identifiers:
                  inherit

    Signature Algorithm: ecdsa-with-SHA256
         30:44:02:20:07:b7:b4:6a:5f:a4:f1:cc:68:36:39:03:a4:83:
         ec:7c:80:02:d2:f6:08:9d:46:b2:ec:2a:7b:e6:92:b3:6f:b1:
         02:20:00:91:05:4a:a1:f5:b0:18:9d:27:24:e8:b4:22:fd:d1:
         1c:f0:3d:b1:38:24:5d:64:29:35:28:8d:ee:0c:38:29
```

```
-----BEGIN CERTIFICATE-----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-----END CERTIFICATE-----
```


```
Keys of AS(65536):
==================
ski: 47F23BF1AB2F8A9D26864EBBD8DF2711C74406EC

private key:
  x = 6CB2E931B112F24554BCDCAAFD9553A9
      519A9AF33C023B60846A21FC95583172

public key:
  Ux = 28FC5FE9AFCF5F4CAB3F5F85CB212FC1
       E9D0E0DBEAEE425BD2F0D3175AA0E989
  Uy = EA9B603E38F35FB329DF495641F2BA04
       0F1C3AC6138307F257CBA6B8B588F41F
```

```
Router Key Certificate example using OpenSSL 1.0.1e-fips 11 Feb 2013
---------------------------------------------------------------------
Certificate:
    Data:
        Version: 3 (0x2)
        Serial Number: 3752143940 (0xdfa52c44)
    Signature Algorithm: ecdsa-with-SHA256
        Issuer: CN=ROUTER-00010000
        Validity
            Not Before: Jan  1 05:00:00 2017 GMT
            Not After : Jul  1 05:00:00 2018 GMT
        Subject: CN=ROUTER-00010000
        Subject Public Key Info:
            Public Key Algorithm: id-ecPublicKey
                Public-Key: (256 bit)
                pub:
                    04:28:fc:5f:e9:af:cf:5f:4c:ab:3f:5f:85:cb:21:
                    2f:c1:e9:d0:e0:db:ea:ee:42:5b:d2:f0:d3:17:5a:
                    a0:e9:89:ea:9b:60:3e:38:f3:5f:b3:29:df:49:56:
                    41:f2:ba:04:0f:1c:3a:c6:13:83:07:f2:57:cb:a6:
                    b8:b5:88:f4:1f
                ASN1 OID: prime256v1
        X509v3 extensions:
            X509v3 Key Usage:
                Digital Signature
            X509v3 Subject Key Identifier:
                47:F2:3B:F1:AB:2F:8A:9D:26:86:
                4E:BB:D8:DF:27:11:C7:44:06:EC
            X509v3 Extended Key Usage:
                1.3.6.1.5.5.7.3.30
            sbgp-autonomousSysNum: critical
                Autonomous System Numbers:
                  65536
                Routing Domain Identifiers:
                  inherit

    Signature Algorithm: ecdsa-with-SHA256
         30:45:02:21:00:8c:d9:f8:12:96:88:82:74:03:a1:82:82:18:
         c5:31:00:ee:35:38:e8:fa:ae:72:09:fe:98:67:01:78:69:77:
         8c:02:20:5f:ee:3a:bf:10:66:be:28:d3:b3:16:a1:6b:db:66:
         21:99:ed:a6:e4:ad:64:3c:ba:bf:44:fb:cb:b7:50:91:74
```

```
-----BEGIN CERTIFICATE-----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-----END CERTIFICATE-----
```


A.3. BGPsec IPv4

```
BGPsec IPv4 UPDATE from AS(65536) to AS(65537):
===============================================
Binary Form of BGPsec UPDATE (TCP-DUMP):

FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF  FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
01 03 02 00 00 00 EC 40  01 01 02 80 04 04 00 00
00 00 80 0E 0D 00 01 01  04 C6 33 64 64 00 18 C0
00 02 90 1E 00 CD 00 0E  01 00 00 01 00 00 01 00
00 00 FB F0 00 BF 01 47  F2 3B F1 AB 2F 8A 9D 26
86 4E BB D8 DF 27 11 C7  44 06 EC 00 48 30 46 02
21 00 EF D4 8B 2A AC B6  A8 FD 11 40 DD 9C D4 5E
81 D6 9D 2C 87 7B 56 AA  F9 91 C3 4D 0E A8 4E AF
37 16 02 21 00 90 F2 C1  29 AB B2 F3 9B 6A 07 96
3B D5 55 A8 7A B2 B7 33  3B 7B 91 F1 66 8F D8 61
8C 83 FA C3 F1 AB 4D 91  0F 55 CA E7 1A 21 5E F3
CA FE 3A CC 45 B5 EE C1  54 00 48 30 46 02 21 00
EF D4 8B 2A AC B6 A8 FD  11 40 DD 9C D4 5E 81 D6
9D 2C 87 7B 56 AA F9 91  C3 4D 0E A8 4E AF 37 16
02 21 00 8E 21 F6 0E 44  C6 06 6C 8B 8A 95 A3 C0
9D 3A D4 37 95 85 A2 D7  28 EE AD 07 A1 7E D7 AA
05 5E CA

Signature from AS(64496) to AS(65536):
--------------------------------------
Digest:    21 33 E5 CA A0 26 BE 07   3D 9C 1B 4E FE B9 B9 77
           9F 20 F8 F5 DE 29 FA 98   40 00 9F 60 47 D0 81 54
Signature: 30 46 02 21 00 EF D4 8B   2A AC B6 A8 FD 11 40 DD
           9C D4 5E 81 D6 9D 2C 87   7B 56 AA F9 91 C3 4D 0E
           A8 4E AF 37 16 02 21 00   8E 21 F6 0E 44 C6 06 6C
           8B 8A 95 A3 C0 9D 3A D4   37 95 85 A2 D7 28 EE AD
           07 A1 7E D7 AA 05 5E CA
```

```
Signature from AS(65536) to AS(65537):
--------------------------------------
Digest:    01 4F 24 DA E2 A5 21 90   B0 80 5C 60 5D B0 63 54
           22 3E 93 BA 41 1D 3D 82   A3 EC 26 36 52 0C 5F 84
Signature: 30 46 02 21 00 EF D4 8B   2A AC B6 A8 FD 11 40 DD
           9C D4 5E 81 D6 9D 2C 87   7B 56 AA F9 91 C3 4D 0E
           A8 4E AF 37 16 02 21 00   90 F2 C1 29 AB B2 F3 9B
           6A 07 96 3B D5 55 A8 7A   B2 B7 33 3B 7B 91 F1 66
           8F D8 61 8C 83 FA C3 F1
```

The human-readable output is produced using bgpsec-io, a BGPsec traffic generator that uses a Wireshark-like printout.

```
Send UPDATE Message
  +--marker: FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
  +--length: 259
  +--type: 2 (UPDATE)
  +--withdrawn_routes_length: 0
  +--total_path_attr_length: 236
     +--ORIGIN: INCOMPLETE (4 bytes)
     |  +--Flags: 0x40 (Well-Known, Transitive, Complete)
     |  +--Type Code: ORIGIN (1)
     |  +--Length: 1 byte
     |  +--Origin: INCOMPLETE (1)
     +--MULTI_EXIT_DISC (7 bytes)
     |  +--Flags: 0x80 (Optional, Non-transitive, Complete)
     |  +--Type Code: MULTI_EXIT_DISC (4)
     |  +--Length: 4 bytes
     |  +--data: 00 00 00 00
     +--MP_REACH_NLRI (16 bytes)
     |  +--Flags: 0x80 (Optional, Non-transitive, Complete)
     |  +--Type Code: MP_REACH_NLRI (14)
     |  +--Length: 13 bytes
     |  +--Address family: IPv4 (1)
     |  +--Subsequent address family identifier: Unicast (1)
     |  +--Next hop network address: (4 bytes)
     |  |  +--Next hop: 198.51.100.100
     |  +--Subnetwork points of attachment: 0
     |  +--Network layer reachability information: (4 bytes)
     |     +--192.0.2.0/24
     |     +--MP Reach NLRI prefix length: 24
     |     +--MP Reach NLRI IPv4 prefix: 192.0.2.0
```

```
+--BGPSEC Path Attribute (209 bytes)
   +--Flags: 0x90 (Optional, Complete, Extended Length)
   +--Type Code: BGPSEC Path Attribute (30)
   +--Length: 205 bytes
   +--Secure Path (14 bytes)
   |  +--Length: 14 bytes
   |  +--Secure Path Segment: (6 bytes)
   |  |  +--pCount: 1
   |  |  +--Flags: 0
   |  |  +--AS number: 65536 (1.0)
   |  +--Secure Path Segment: (6 bytes)
   |     +--pCount: 1
   |     +--Flags: 0
   |     +--AS number: 64496 (0.64496)
   +--Signature Block (191 bytes)
      +--Length: 191 bytes
      +--Algo ID: 1
      +--Signature Segment: (94 bytes)
      |  +--SKI: 47F23BF1AB2F8A9D26864EBBD8DF2711C74406EC
      |  +--Length: 72 bytes
      |  +--Signature: 3046022100EFD48B   2AACB6A8FD1140DD
      |                9CD45E81D69D2C87   7B56AAF991C34D0E
      |                A84EAF3716022100   90F2C129ABB2F39B
      |                6A07963BD555A87A   B2B7333B7B91F166
      |                8FD8618C83FAC3F1
      +--Signature Segment: (94 bytes)
         +--SKI: AB4D910F55CAE71A215EF3CAFE3ACC45B5EEC154
         +--Length: 72 bytes
         +--Signature: 3046022100EFD48B   2AACB6A8FD1140DD
                       9CD45E81D69D2C87   7B56AAF991C34D0E
                       A84EAF3716022100   8E21F60E44C6066C
                       8B8A95A3C09D3AD4   379585A2D728EEAD
                       07A17ED7AA055ECA
```

A.4. BGPsec IPv6

```
BGPsec IPv6 UPDATE from AS(65536) to AS(65537):
===============================================
Binary Form of BGP/BGPsec UPDATE (TCP-DUMP):

FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF  FF FF FF FF FF FF FF FF
01 10 02 00 00 00 F9 40  01 01 02 80 04 04 00 00
00 00 80 0E 1A 00 02 01  10 FD 00 00 00 00 00 00
00 00 00 00 00 C6 33 64  64 00 20 20 01 0D B8 90
1E 00 CD 00 0E 01 00 00  01 00 00 01 00 00 00 FB
F0 00 BF 01 47 F2 3B F1  AB 2F 8A 9D 26 86 4E BB
D8 DF 27 11 C7 44 06 EC  00 48 30 46 02 21 00 EF
D4 8B 2A AC B6 A8 FD 11  40 DD 9C D4 5E 81 D6 9D
2C 87 7B 56 AA F9 91 C3  4D 0E A8 4E AF 37 16 02
21 00 D1 B9 4F 62 51 04  6D 21 36 A1 05 B0 F4 72
7C C5 BC D6 74 D9 7D 28  E6 1B 8F 43 BD DE 91 C3
06 26 AB 4D 91 0F 55 CA  E7 1A 21 5E F3 CA FE 3A
CC 45 B5 EE C1 54 00 48  30 46 02 21 00 EF D4 8B
2A AC B6 A8 FD 11 40 DD  9C D4 5E 81 D6 9D 2C 87
7B 56 AA F9 91 C3 4D 0E  A8 4E AF 37 16 02 21 00
E2 A0 2C 68 FE 53 CB 96  93 4C 78 1F 5A 14 A2 97
19 79 20 0C 91 56 ED F8  55 05 8E 80 53 F4 AC D3


Signature from AS(64496) to AS(65536):
--------------------------------------
Digest:    8A 0C D3 E9 8E 55 10 45   82 1D 80 46 01 D6 55 FC
           52 11 89 DF 4D B0 28 7D   84 AC FC 77 55 6D 06 C7
Signature: 30 46 02 21 00 EF D4 8B   2A AC B6 A8 FD 11 40 DD
           9C D4 5E 81 D6 9D 2C 87   7B 56 AA F9 91 C3 4D 0E
           A8 4E AF 37 16 02 21 00   E2 A0 2C 68 FE 53 CB 96
           93 4C 78 1F 5A 14 A2 97   19 79 20 0C 91 56 ED F8
           55 05 8E 80 53 F4 AC D3

Signature from AS(65536) to AS(65537):
--------------------------------------
Digest:    44 49 EC 70 8D EC 5C 85   00 C2 17 8C 72 FE 4C 79
           FF A9 3C 95 31 61 01 2D   EE 7E EE 05 46 AF 5F D0
Signature: 30 46 02 21 00 EF D4 8B   2A AC B6 A8 FD 11 40 DD
           9C D4 5E 81 D6 9D 2C 87   7B 56 AA F9 91 C3 4D 0E
           A8 4E AF 37 16 02 21 00   D1 B9 4F 62 51 04 6D 21
           36 A1 05 B0 F4 72 7C C5   BC D6 74 D9 7D 28 E6 1B
           8F 43 BD DE 91 C3 06 26
```

The human-readable output is produced using bgpsec-io, a BGPsec traffic generator that uses a Wireshark-like printout.

```
Send UPDATE Message
  +--marker: FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
  +--length: 272
  +--type: 2 (UPDATE)
  +--withdrawn_routes_length: 0
  +--total_path_attr_length: 249
     +--ORIGIN: INCOMPLETE (4 bytes)
     |  +--Flags: 0x40 (Well-Known, Transitive, Complete)
     |  +--Type Code: ORIGIN (1)
     |  +--Length: 1 byte
     |  +--Origin: INCOMPLETE (1)
     +--MULTI_EXIT_DISC (7 bytes)
     |  +--Flags: 0x80 (Optional, Non-transitive, Complete)
     |  +--Type Code: MULTI_EXIT_DISC (4)
     |  +--Length: 4 bytes
     |  +--data: 00 00 00 00
     +--MP_REACH_NLRI (29 bytes)
     |  +--Flags: 0x80 (Optional, Non-transitive, Complete)
     |  +--Type Code: MP_REACH_NLRI (14)
     |  +--Length: 26 bytes
     |  +--Address family: IPv6 (2)
     |  +--Subsequent address family identifier: Unicast (1)
     |  +--Next hop network address: (16 bytes)
     |  |  +--Next hop: fd00:0000:0000:0000:0000:0000:c633:6464
     |  +--Subnetwork points of attachment: 0
     |  +--Network layer reachability information: (5 bytes)
     |     +--2001:db8::/32
     |     +--MP Reach NLRI prefix length: 32
     |     +--MP Reach NLRI IPv6 prefix: 2001:db8::
```

```
+--BGPSEC Path Attribute (209 bytes)
   +--Flags: 0x90 (Optional, Complete, Extended Length)
   +--Type Code: BGPSEC Path Attribute (30)
   +--Length: 205 bytes
   +--Secure Path (14 bytes)
   |  +--Length: 14 bytes
   |  +--Secure Path Segment: (6 bytes)
   |  |  +--pCount: 1
   |  |  +--Flags: 0
   |  |  +--AS number: 65536 (1.0)
   |  +--Secure Path Segment: (6 bytes)
   |     +--pCount: 1
   |     +--Flags: 0
   |     +--AS number: 64496 (0.64496)
   +--Signature Block (191 bytes)
      +--Length: 191 bytes
      +--Algo ID: 1
      +--Signature Segment: (94 bytes)
      |  +--SKI: 47F23BF1AB2F8A9D26864EBBD8DF2711C74406EC
      |  +--Length: 72 bytes
      |  +--Signature: 3046022100EFD48B   2AACB6A8FD1140DD
      |                9CD45E81D69D2C87   7B56AAF991C34D0E
      |                A84EAF3716022100   D1B94F6251046D21
      |                36A105B0F4727CC5   BCD674D97D28E61B
      |                8F43BDDE91C30626
      +--Signature Segment: (94 bytes)
         +--SKI: AB4D910F55CAE71A215EF3CAFE3ACC45B5EEC154
         +--Length: 72 bytes
         +--Signature: 3046022100EFD48B   2AACB6A8FD1140DD
                       9CD45E81D69D2C87   7B56AAF991C34D0E
                       A84EAF3716022100   E2A02C68FE53CB96
                       934C781F5A14A297   1979200C9156EDF8
                       55058E8053F4ACD3
```

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Geoff Huston and George Michaelson for producing [RFC7935], which this document is entirely based on. The authors would also like to thank Roque Gagliano, David Mandelberg, Tom Petch, Sam Weiler, and Stephen Kent for their reviews and comments. Mehmet Adalier, Kotikalapudi Sriram, and Doug Montgomery were instrumental in developing the test vectors found in Appendix A. Additionally we want to thank Geoff Huston, author of [RFC5398] from where we borrowed wording for Section 2.1 of this document.

Authors' Addresses

Sean Turner
sn3rd

Email: sean@sn3rd.com

Oliver Borchert
NIST
100 Bureau Drive
Gaithersburg, MD 20899
United States of America

Email: oliver.borchert@nist.gov