Sieve Working Group Internet-Draft

Intended status: Standards Track

Expires: June 3, 2012

A. Melnikov Isode Limited Q. Sun B. Leiba K. Li Huawei Technologies December 1, 2011

Sieve Extension for Converting Messages Before Delivery draft-ietf-sieve-convert-06

Abstract

This document describes how the "CONVERT" IMAP extension can be used within the Sieve mail filtering language to transform messages before final delivery.

Status of this Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 3, 2012.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2011 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents

(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as

described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction	3
<u>1.1</u> .	Conventions Used in this Document	3
<u>2</u> .	"convert" action	3
<u>2.1</u> .	Interaction with other tests and actions	4
<u>2.2</u> .	"convert" as a test	5
<u>3</u> .	Examples	5
<u>3.1</u> .	Example 1	5
<u>3.2</u> .	Example 2	6
<u>3.3</u> .	Example 3	6
<u>3.4</u> .	Example 4	7
<u>4</u> .	Security Considerations	8
<u>5</u> .	IANA Considerations	8
<u>6</u> .	Acknowledgements	8
<u>7</u> .	Normative References	8
	Authors' Addresses	9

1. Introduction

The IMAP "CONVERT" extension [RFC5259] adds an IMAP command for performing client-controlled conversions on whole messages or their body parts. This document defines a similar extension to the Sieve mail filtering language [RFC5228], which reuses the conversion parameters and framework established by IMAP CONVERT.

1.1. Conventions Used in this Document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

Conventions for notations are as in Sieve [RFC5228] section 1.1, including the use of ABNF [RFC5234].

2. "convert" action

The "convert" action specifies that body parts with "quoted-from-mime-type" MIME type be converted to "quoted-to-mime-type" MIME type using conversion parameters specified in "transcoding-params". Each conversion parameter value has the following syntax: "<transcoding-param-name>=<transcoding-param-value>", where <transcoding-param-name> and <transcoding-param-value> are defined in CONVERT [RFC5259]. Messages that don't have any body parts with the "quoted-from-mime-type" MIME type are not affected by the conversion.

The "convert" action can be used with Sieve MIME Part Tests [RFC5703], in the case that some, but not all of the body parts need to be converted, or where different body parts might require different conversions. When the "convert" action appears in a "foreverypart" loop, it applies only to the body part being processed, and not to any other body parts (see Section 3.2 for an example).

When the "convert" action appears outside a "foreverypart" loop, the conversion applies equally to all body parts -- that is, all body parts that have the "quoted-from-mime-type" are converted, using the same transcoding parameters.

A single "convert" action will only apply once to any body part. If, for example, << convert "image/jpeg" "image/jpeg" ["pix-x=100","pix-

y=120"] >> converts a larger JPEG image to the smaller 100 x 120 size, that will be the end of that "convert" action on that body part. The action will not see a "new" JPEG body part to process, resulting from the conversion.

If a "convert" action cannot be completed -- perhaps because the conversion failed, or because the requested conversion is not available -- that "convert" action MUST terminate and leave the message unchanged, rolling back any other conversions done by that action. The script processing continues, and prior or subsequent "convert" actions are not affected. No error condition is raised, and no partial conversions from a single "convert" action are allowed.

Implementations might defer any actual conversion until the the results of the conversion are needed for script processing, to avoid doing conversions unnecessarily. Consider the case wherein a "convert" action is processed, but a "discard" action results without the need to actually perform the conversion.

When conversions actually need to be done, they can put a significant load on the server. Computationally expensive conversions of a lot of body parts can constitute an attack vector, and even if done legitimately they can create an unacceptable load. Servers MAY refuse conversions, or do them at lower priority, effectively slowing the requesting process in order to avoid negative effects on service to other processes.

2.1. Interaction with other tests and actions

Whether the actual conversion has been done yet or not, a successful "convert" action effectively changes the message, and all subsequent actions, including any other "convert" actions, apply to the changed message. The "convert" action does not affect the applicability of other actions; any action that was applicable before the "convert" is equally applicable to the changed message afterward.

When a disposition-type action, such as "fileinto" or "redirect", is encountered, the state of the message with respect to conversions is "locked in" for that disposition-type action. Whether the implementation performs the action at that point or batches it for later, it MUST perform the action on the message as it stood at the time, and MUST NOT include subsequent conversions encountered later in the script processing. Therefore, the sequence "convert, fileinto, convert, fileinto" will store two different versions of the message: the first "fileinto" uses only the first conversion, while the second uses both. See Section 3.4 for an example of how this can be used.

In addition, any tests done on the message and its parts will test the message after prior conversions have been done. The fourth block of <u>Section 3.4</u> shows an example of this situation.

Convert actions are cumulative, and each conversion operates on the message as it stands after all prior conversions. See the fourth block of Section 3.4 for an example of how this might be tricky.

Because the implicit keep (see <u>Section 2.10.2 of [RFC5228]</u>), if it is in effect, acts on the final state of the message, all conversions are performed before any implicit keep.

2.2. "convert" as a test

To simplify testing for supported and successful conversions, the "convert" action can also be used as a test. As such, it will attempt to perform the requested conversion(s), and will evaluate to "false" if and only if at least one conversion failed. The failure can be because a conversion was unsupported, or because the data could not be converted (perhaps it had been corrupted in transit, or mislabeled at its origin).

This creates a new type of Sieve action, a "testable action". The usage as a test is exactly the same as for an action, and it doubles as an action and a test of the action's result at the same time. See Section 3.2 for an example of how this test can be used.

Note that defining this new testable action does not change the definitions of any other actions -- it does not imply that other actions can be used as tests. Future extensions might define other testable actions, but those specifications would be responsible for clearly specifying that.

Examples

3.1. Example 1

In the following example, all "image/tiff" body parts of the message are converted to "image/jpeg" with image resolution of 320x240 pixels. The converted message is then subject to the implicit keep.

```
require ["convert"];
convert "image/tiff" "image/jpeg" ["pix-x=320","pix-y=240"];
```

3.2. Example 2

In the following example, all "image/tiff" body parts of the message are converted to "image/jpeg", as in Example 1. If the conversions were successful, those messages are then filed into a mailbox called "INBOX.pics". Other messages (those with no image/tiff body parts) are subject to the implicit keep, and have not been converted.

3.3. Example 3

In the following example, only "image/tiff" body parts with a Content-Disposition of "inline" are converted. Matching parts that are larger than 500 kilobytes are converted using an image resolution of 640x480 pixels, and those smaller are converted to 320x240 pixels. The message disposition is not changed, so the implicit keep will be in effect unless something else in the script changes that.

3.4. Example 4

The following example shows some tricky interactions between multiple "convert" actions and other disposition-type actions.

```
require ["mime", "foreverypart",
         "fileinto", "redirect" "convert"];
# The first "if" block will convert all image/tiff body parts
# to 640x480 jpegs, and will file the message
# into the "INBOX.pics" mailbox as converted at this point.
if header :mime :anychild :contenttype
          "Content-Type" "image/tiff"
  convert "image/tiff" "image/jpeg" ["pix-x=640","pix-y=480"];
 fileinto "INBOX.pics";
# The second block, the "foreverypart" loop, will convert all
# inline jpegs to 320x240 resolution... including any tiff body
# parts that had been converted in the first block, above.
# Therefore, any tiff that had been converted to a 640x480 jpeg
# will be re-converted to a 320x240 jpeg here if its
# Content-Disposition is specified as "inline".
foreverypart
{
  if header :mime :param "filename" :contains
            "Content-Disposition" "inline"
  {
   convert "image/jpeg" "image/jpeg" ["pix-x=320","pix-y=240"];
  }
}
# The third block will take any message that contains a header
# field called "Mobile-Link" and redirect it to the user's
# mobile address. The redirected message will include both
# conversions above, from block one and block two.
if exists "Mobile-Link"
 redirect "joe@mobile.example.com";
# The fourth block will file the message into "Tiff" if it
# contains any tiff body parts. But because of the earlier
# conversion (in the first block), there will never be any
# tiff body parts, so this "fileinto" will never happen.
if header :mime :anychild :contenttype
          "Content-Type" "image/tiff"
```

```
{
  fileinto "Tiff";
}

# Now, at the end of the script processing, the Sieve
# processor will perform an implicit keep if none of
# the "fileinto" and "redirect" actions were taken.
# The kept message will include any conversions that
# were done (that is, any from the second block).
```

4. Security Considerations

Security considerations given in IMAP CONVERT [RFC5259] and Sieve [RFC5228] are relevant to this document. There are no additional security considerations resulting from combining the two.

5. IANA Considerations

IANA is requested to add the following registration to the Sieve Extensions registry, as defined in RFC 5228:

Capability name: convert

Description: adds a new Sieve test and action that enable Sieve scripts to perform data conversions on the message being delivered.

RFC number: this RFC

Contact address: The Sieve discussion list <sieve@ietf.org>

6. Acknowledgements

The authors also want to thank all who have contributed key insight and extensively reviewed and discussed the concepts of CONVERT.

7. Normative References

```
[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", <u>BCP 14</u>, <u>RFC 2119</u>, March 1997.
```

[RFC5228] Guenther, P. and T. Showalter, "Sieve: An Email Filtering Language", <u>RFC 5228</u>, January 2008.

[RFC5234] Crocker, D. and P. Overell, "Augmented BNF for Syntax Specifications: ABNF", STD 68, RFC 5234, January 2008.

[RFC5259] Melnikov, A. and P. Coates, "Internet Message Access Protocol - CONVERT Extension", <u>RFC 5259</u>, July 2008.

[RFC5703] Hansen, T. and C. Daboo, "Sieve Email Filtering: MIME Part Tests, Iteration, Extraction, Replacement, and Enclosure", RFC 5703, October 2009.

Authors' Addresses

Alexey Melnikov Isode Limited 5 Castle Business Village 36 Station Road Hampton, Middlesex TW12 2BX UK

Email: Alexey.Melnikov@isode.com
URI: http://www.melnikov.ca/

Qian Sun Huawei Technologies Bantian Longgang Shenzhen, Guandong 518129 P.R China

Phone: +86 755 28780808 Email: sunqian@huawei.com

Barry Leiba Huawei Technologies

Phone: +1 646 827 0648

Email: barryleiba@computer.org

URI: http://internetmessagingtechnology.org/

Kepeng Li Huawei Technologies Huawei Base, Bantian, Longgang District Shenzhen, Guangdong 518129 P. R. China

Phone: +86-755-28974289 Email: likepeng@huawei.com