

SIEVE Email Filtering Working  
Group  
Internet-Draft  
Expires: September 4, 2007

T. Showalter  
  
N. Freed, Ed.  
Sun Microsystems  
March 3, 2007

**Sieve Email Filtering: Vacation Extension**  
**draft-ietf-sieve-vacation-07**

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with [Section 6 of BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 4, 2007.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

Abstract

This document describes an extension to the Sieve email filtering language for an autoresponder similar to that of the Unix "vacation" command for replying to messages. Various safety features are included to prevent problems such as message loops.

## Change History (to be removed prior to publication as an RFC)

Changes from [draft-showalter-sieve-vacation-06.txt](#):

1. Updated to XML source.
2. Added :from parameter.
3. Added :handle parameter.
4. Added more detailed description of :subject parameter
5. Clarified some discussion text.
6. Fixed various minor typos.
7. Refinement of duplicate response suppression semantics
8. Added a statement that vacation is incompatible with reject
9. Prohibited the use of 8bit material in MIME headers specified when :mime is in effect.
10. Use "Auto:" instead of "Re:" in automatically generated subject lines
11. Added an explicit list of registered "List-\*" header fields to check for
12. Switched Syntax: label to Usage:
13. Updated draft to refer to RFC 3028bis instead of [RFC 3028](#).
14. Removed reference to [section 2.4.2.4 of RFC 3028](#) since the section no longer exists in the revised version.
15. Updated reference for Sieve reject, added text about refuse.
16. Added reference to [RFC 2822 section 3.6.4](#) - explains how to construct references fields.
17. The minimum of 1000 remembered responses and the requirement that scripts fail when two or more vacation actions are executed are now normative.
18. Added text making it explicit that it is OK to have additional implementation-specific checks to see if a vacation response should be sent. (This just reiterates the advice in [RFC 3834](#).)



19. Added an implementation note about how to construct a hash of vacation action parameters.
20. Clarified what to do when :subject isn't used and the original message also doesn't contain a Subject field.
21. Corrected typos, added Internationalization Considerations section.
22. Updated IANA Considerations with new registrtion form

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	Introduction . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	Conventions used in this document . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	Capability Identifier . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	Vacation Action . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.1.</a>	Days Parameter . . . . .	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">4.2.</a>	Previous Response Tracking . . . . .	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">4.3.</a>	Subject and From Parameters . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">4.4.</a>	MIME Parameter . . . . .	<a href="#">7</a>
4.5.	Address Parameter and Limiting Replies to Personal Messages . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
4.6.	Restricting Replies to Automated Processes and Mailing Lists . . . . .	<a href="#">8</a>
<a href="#">4.7.</a>	Interaction with Other Sieve Actions . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">4.8.</a>	Examples . . . . .	<a href="#">9</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	Response Message Generation . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.1.</a>	SMTP MAIL FROM address . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.2.</a>	Date . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.3.</a>	Subject . . . . .	<a href="#">10</a>
<a href="#">5.4.</a>	From . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">5.5.</a>	To . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">5.6.</a>	Auto-submitted . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">5.7.</a>	Message Body . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">5.8.</a>	In-Reply-To and References . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
6.	Relationship to Recommendations for Automatic Responses to Electronic Mail . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">7.</a>	Internationalization Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">11</a>
<a href="#">8.</a>	Security Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">9.</a>	IANA Considerations . . . . .	<a href="#">13</a>
<a href="#">10.</a>	References . . . . .	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">10.1.</a>	Normative References . . . . .	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">10.2.</a>	Informative References . . . . .	<a href="#">14</a>
<a href="#">Appendix A.</a>	Acknowledgements . . . . .	<a href="#">15</a>
	Authors' Addresses . . . . .	<a href="#">15</a>
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements . . . . .	<a href="#">16</a>



## **1. Introduction**

This document defines an extension to the Sieve language defined in [[I-D.ietf-sieve-3028bis](#)] for notification that messages to a particular recipient will not be answered immediately.

## **2. Conventions used in this document**

Conventions for notations are as in [[I-D.ietf-sieve-3028bis](#)] [section 1.1](#).

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "REQUIRED" and "MAY" in this document are to be interpreted as defined in [[RFC2119](#)].

## **3. Capability Identifier**

Sieve implementations that implement vacation have an identifier of "vacation" for use with the capability mechanism.

## **4. Vacation Action**

```
Usage:  vacation [":days" number] [":subject" string]
         [":from" string] [":addresses" string-list]
         [":mime"] [":handle" string] <reason: string>
```

The "vacation" action implements a vacation autoresponder similar to the vacation command available under many versions of Unix. Its purpose is to provide correspondents with notification that the user is away for an extended period of time and that they should not expect quick responses.

"Vacation" is used to respond to a message with another message. Vacation's messages are always addressed to the Return-Path address (that is, the envelope from address) of the message being responded to.

### **4.1. Days Parameter**

The ":days" argument is used to specify the period in which addresses are kept and are not responded to, and is always specified in days. The minimum value used for this parameter is normally 1. Sites MAY define a different minimum value as long as the minimum is greater than 0. Sites MAY also define a maximum days value, which MUST be greater than 7, and SHOULD be greater than 30.



If `:days` is omitted, the default value is either 7 or the minimum value (as defined above), whichever is greater.

If the parameter given to `:days` is less than the minimum value, then the minimum value is used instead.

If `:days` exceeds the site-defined maximum, the site-defined maximum is used instead.

#### **[4.2.](#) Previous Response Tracking**

"Vacation" keeps track of all of the responses it has sent to each address in some period (as specified by the `:days` optional argument). If vacation has not previously sent the response to this address within the given time period, it sends the "reason" argument to the SMTP MAIL FROM address [[RFC2821](#)] of the message that is being responded to. (The SMTP MAIL FROM address should be available in the Return-path: header field if Sieve processing occurs after final delivery.)

Tracking is not just per address, but must also take the vacation response itself into account. A script writer might, for example, have a vacation action that will send a general notice only once in any two-week period. However, even if a sender has received this general notice, it may be important to send a specific notice when a message about something timely or something specific has been detected.

A particular vacation response can be identified in one of two ways. The first way is via an explicit `:handle` argument, which attaches a name to the response. All vacation statements that use the same handle will be considered to be the same response for tracking purposes.

The second way is via a synthesis of the `:subject`, `:from`, `:mime`, and reason vacation command arguments. All vacation actions that do not contain an explicit handle and which use an identical combination of these arguments are considered to be the same for tracking purposes.

For instance, If `coyote@desert.example.org` sends mail to `roadrunner@acme.example.com` twice, once with the subject "Cyrus bug" and once with the subject "come over for dinner", and `roadrunner@acme.example.com` has the script shown below, `coyote@desert.example.org` would receive two responses, once with the first message, once with the second.





```
require "vacation";
if header :contains "subject" "cyrus" {
    vacation "I'm out -- send mail to cyrus-bugs";
} else {
    vacation "I'm out -- call me at +1 304 555 0123";
}
```

In the above example, `coyote@desert.example.org` gets the second message despite having gotten the first one because separate vacation responses have been triggered. This behavior is REQUIRED.

There is one important exception to this rule, however. If the Sieve variables extension [[I-D.ietf-sieve-variables](#)] is used, the arguments MUST NOT have undergone variable expansion prior to their use in response tracking. This is so that examples like the following script will only generate a single response to each incoming message with a different subject line.

```
require ["vacation", "variables"];
if header :matches "subject" "*" {
    vacation :subject "Automatic response to: ${1}"
        "I'm away -- send mail to foo in my absence";
}
```

As noted above, the optional `:handle` parameter can be used to tell the Sieve interpreter to treat two vacation actions with different arguments as the same command for purposes of response tracking. The argument to `:handle` is a string that identifies the type of response being sent. For instance, if `tweety@cage.example.org` sends mail to `spike@doghouse.example.com` twice, one with the subject "lunch?" and once with the subject "dinner?", and `spike@doghouse.example.com` has the script shown below, `tweety@cage.example.org` will only receive a single response. (Which response is sent depends on the order in which the messages are processed.)

```
require "vacation";
if header :contains "subject" "lunch" {
    vacation :handle "ran-away" "I'm out and can't meet for lunch";
} else {
    vacation :handle "ran-away" "I'm out";
}
```

NOTE: One way to implement the necessary mechanism here is to store a hash of either the current handle and the recipient address or, if no handle is provided, a hash of the vacation action parameters specifying the message content and the recipient address. If a script is changed, implementations MAY reset the records of who has



been responded to and when they have been responded to.

IMPLEMENTATION NOTE: Care must be taken in constructing a hash of vacation action parameters. In particular, since most parameters are optional, it is important not to let the same string used as the value for different parameters produce the same hash value. One possible way to accomplish this apply the hash to a series of counted or null terminated strings, one for each possible parameter in particular order.

Implementations are free to limit the number of remembered responses, however, the limit MUST NOT be less than 1000. When limiting the number of tracked responses, implementations SHOULD discard the oldest ones first.

#### **4.3. Subject and From Parameters**

The ":subject" parameter specifies a subject line to attach to any vacation response that is generated. UTF-8 characters can be used in the string argument; implementations MUST convert the string to [\[RFC2047\]](#) encoded words if and only if non-ASCII characters are present. Implementations MUST generate an appropriate default subject line as specified below if no :subject parameter is specified.

A ":from" parameter may be used to specify an alternate address to use in the From field of vacation messages. The string must specify a valid [\[RFC2822\]](#) mailbox-list. Implementations SHOULD check the syntax and generate an error when a syntactically invalid ":from" parameter is specified. Implementations MAY also impose restrictions on what addresses can be specified in a ":from" parameter; it is suggested that values which fail such a validity check simply be ignored rather than causing the vacation action to fail.

#### **4.4. MIME Parameter**

The ":mime" parameter, if supplied, specifies that the reason string is, in fact, a MIME entity as defined in [\[RFC2045\] section 2.4](#), including both MIME headers and content.

If the optional :mime parameter is not supplied, the reason string is considered to be a UTF-8 string.



```
require "vacation";
vacation :mime text:
Content-Type: multipart/alternative; boundary=foo

--foo

I'm at the beach relaxing.  Mmmm, surf...

--foo
Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii

<!DOCTYPE HTML PUBLIC "-//W3C//DTD HTML 4.0//EN"
 "http://www.w3.org/TR/REC-html40/strict.dtd">
<HTML><HEAD><TITLE>How to relax</TITLE>
<BASE HREF="http://home.example.com/pictures/"></HEAD>
<BODY><P>I'm at the <A HREF="beach.gif">beach</A> relaxing.
Mmmm, <A HREF="ocean.gif">surf</A>...
</BODY></HTML>

--foo--
.
```

#### **4.5. Address Parameter and Limiting Replies to Personal Messages**

"Vacation" MUST NOT respond to a message unless the recipient user's email address is in a "To", "Cc", "Bcc", "Resent-To", "Resent-Cc", or "Resent-Bcc" line of the original message. An email address is considered to belong to the recipient if it is one of:

1. An email address known by the implementation to be associated with the recipient,
2. the final envelope recipient address if it's available to the implementation, or
3. an address specified by the script writer via the ":addresses" argument described in the next paragraph.

Users can supply additional mail addresses that are theirs with the ":addresses" argument, which takes a string-list listing additional addresses that a user might have. These addresses are considered to belong to the recipient user in addition to the addresses known to the implementation.

#### **4.6. Restricting Replies to Automated Processes and Mailing Lists**

Implementations MAY refuse to send a vacation response to a message which contains any header or content that makes it appear that a



response would not be appropriate.

Implementations MUST have a list of addresses which "vacation" MUST NOT send mail to. However, the contents of this list are implementation defined. The purpose of this list is to stop mail from going to addresses used by system daemons that would not care if the user is actually reading her mail.

Implementations are encouraged, however, to include well-known addresses like "MAILER-DAEMON", "LISTSERV", "majordomo", and other addresses typically used only by automated systems. Additionally, addresses ending in "-request" or beginning in "owner-", i.e., reserved for mailing list software, are also suggested.

Implementors may take guidance from [\[RFC2142\]](#), but should be careful. Some addresses, like "POSTMASTER", are generally actually managed by people, and people do care if the user is going to be unavailable.

Implementations SHOULD NOT respond to any message that contains a "List-Id" [\[RFC2919\]](#), "List-Help", "List-Subscribe", "List-Unsubscribe", "List-Post", "List-Owner" or "List-Archive" [\[RFC2369\]](#) header field.

Implementations SHOULD NOT respond to any message that has an "Auto-submitted" header field with a value other than "no". This header field is described in [\[RFC3834\]](#).

#### **[4.7.](#) Interaction with Other Sieve Actions**

Vacation does not affect Sieve's implicit keep action.

Vacation can only be executed once per script. A script MUST fail with an appropriate error if it attempts to execute two or more vacation actions.

Implementations MUST NOT consider vacation used with discard, keep, fileinto, or redirect an error. The vacation action is incompatible with the Sieve reject and refuse actions [\[I-D.ietf-sieve-refuse-reject\]](#).

#### **[4.8.](#) Examples**

Here is a simple use of vacation.

```
require "vacation";
vacation :days 23 :addresses ["tjs@example.edu",
                              "ts4z@landru.example.edu"]
"I'm away until October 19.
```





If it's an emergency, call 911, I guess." ;

By mingling vacation with other rules, users can do something more selective.

```
require "vacation";
if header :contains "from" "boss@example.edu" {
    redirect "pleeb@isp.example.org";
} else {
    vacation "Sorry, I'm away, I'll read your
message when I get around to it.";
}
```

## 5. Response Message Generation

This section details the requirements for the generated response message.

It is worth noting that the input message and arguments may be in UTF-8, and that implementations **MUST** deal with UTF-8 input, although implementations **MAY** transcode to other character sets as regional taste dictates. When `:mime` is used the reason argument also contains MIME header information. The headers must conform to MIME conventions; in particular, 8bit text is not allowed. Implementations **SHOULD** reject vacation `:mime` actions containing 8bit header material.

### 5.1. SMTP MAIL FROM address

The SMTP MAIL FROM address of the message envelope **SHOULD** be set to `<>`. `NOTIFY=NEVER` **SHOULD** also be set in the RCPT TO line during the SMTP transaction if the NOTARY SMTP extension [[RFC3461](#)] is available.

### 5.2. Date

The Date field **SHOULD** be set to the date and time when the vacation response was generated. Note that this may not be the same as the time the message was delivered to the user.

### 5.3. Subject

Users can specify the Subject of the reply with the `:subject` parameter. If the `:subject` parameter is not supplied, then the subject is generated as follows: The subject is set to the characters "Auto: " followed by the original subject. An appropriate fixed Subject such as "Automated reply" **SHOULD** be used in the event that `:subject` isn't specified and the original message doesn't contain a



Subject field.

#### **[5.4.](#) From**

Unless explicitly overridden with a `:from` parameter, the From field SHOULD be set to the address of the owner of the Sieve script.

#### **[5.5.](#) To**

The To field SHOULD be set to the address of the recipient of the response.

#### **[5.6.](#) Auto-submitted**

An Auto-Submitted field with a value of "auto-replied" SHOULD be included in the message header of any vacation message sent.

#### **[5.7.](#) Message Body**

The body of the message is taken from the reason string in the vacation command.

#### **[5.8.](#) In-Reply-To and References**

Replies MUST have the In-Reply-To field set to the Message-ID of the original message, and the References field SHOULD be updated with the Message-ID of the original message.

If the original message lacks a Message-ID, an In-Reply-To need not be generated, and References need not be changed.

[Section 3.6.4 of \[RFC2822\]](#) provides a complete description of how References fields should be generated.

### **[6.](#) Relationship to Recommendations for Automatic Responses to Electronic Mail**

The vacation extension implements a "Personal Responder" in the terminology defined in [\[RFC3834\]](#). Care has been taken in this specification to comply with the recommendations of [\[RFC3834\]](#) regarding how personal responders should behave.

### **[7.](#) Internationalization Considerations**

Internationalization capabilities provided by the base Sieve language are discussed in [\[I-D.ietf-sieve-3028bis\]](#). However, the vacation



extension is the first Sieve extension to be defined that is capable of creating entirely new messages. This section deals with internationalization issues raised by the use of the vacation extension.

Vacation messages are normally written using the UTF-8 charset, allowing text to be written in most of the world's languages. Additionally, the :mime parameter allows specification of arbitrary MIME content. In particular, this makes it possible to use multipart/alternative objects to specify vacation responses in multiple languages simultaneously.

The Sieve language itself allows a vacation response to be selected based on the content of the original message. For example, the Accept-Language or Content-Language header fields [[RFC3282](#)] could be checked and used to select appropriate text:

```
require "vacation";
if header :contains ["accept-language", "content-language"] "en"
{
    vacation "I am away this week.";
} else {
    vacation "Estoy ausente esta semana.";
}
```

Note that this rather simplistic test of the field values fails to take the structure of the fields into account and hence could be fooled by some more complex field values. A more elaborate test could be used to deal with this problem.

The approach of explicitly coding language selection criteria in scripts is preferred because in many cases language selection issues are conflated with other selection issues. For example, it may be appropriate to use informal text in one language for vacation responses sent to a fellow employee while using more formal text in a different language in a response sent to a total stranger outside the company:

```
require "vacation";
if address :matches "from" "*@ourdivision.example.com"
{
    vacation :subject "Gone fishing"
               "Having lots of fun! Back in a day or two!";
} else {
    vacation :subject "Je suis parti cette semaine"
               "Je lirai votre message quand je retourne.";
}
```



IMPLEMENTATION NOTE: A graphical Sieve generation interface could in principle be used to hide the complexity of specifying response selection criteria from end users. Figuring out the right set of options to present in a graphical interface is likely a nontrivial proposition, but more because of the need to employ a variety of criteria to select different sorts of responses to send to different classes of people than because of the issues involved in selecting a response in an appropriate language.

## **8. Security Considerations**

It is critical that implementations correctly implement the behavior and restrictions described throughout this document. Replies **MUST NOT** be sent out in response to messages not sent directly to the user, and replies **MUST NOT** be sent out more often than the `:days` argument states unless the script changes.

If mail is forwarded from a site that uses subaddressing, it may be impossible to list all recipient addresses with `:addresses`.

Security issues associated with mail auto-responders are fully discussed in the security consideration section of [[RFC3834](#)]. This document is believed not to introduce any additional security considerations in this general area.

## **9. IANA Considerations**

The following template specifies the IANA registration of the vacation Sieve extension specified in this document:

To: `iana@iana.org`  
Subject: Registration of new Sieve extension

Capability name: `vacation`  
Description: This document describes a Sieve extension for an autoresponder similar to that of the Unix "vacation" command for replying to messages.  
RFC number: RFC XXXX  
Contact address: Ned Freed <[ned.freed@mrochek.com](mailto:ned.freed@mrochek.com)>

This information should be added to the list of Sieve extensions given on <http://www.iana.org/assignments/sieve-extensions>.

## **10. References**





### **10.1. Normative References**

- [I-D.ietf-sieve-3028bis]  
Guenther, P. and T. Showalter, "Sieve: An Email Filtering Language", [draft-ietf-sieve-3028bis-12](#) (work in progress), February 2007, <<http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-sieve-3028bis-12.txt>>.
- [I-D.ietf-sieve-variables]  
Homme, K., "Sieve Mail Filtering Language: Variables Extension", [draft-ietf-sieve-variables-08](#) (work in progress), December 2005, <<http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-sieve-variables-08.txt>>.
- [RFC2045] Freed, N. and N. Borenstein, "Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions (MIME) Part One: Format of Internet Message Bodies", [RFC 2045](#), November 1996.
- [RFC2047] Moore, K., "MIME (Multipurpose Internet Mail Extensions) Part Three: Message Header Extensions for Non-ASCII Text", [RFC 2047](#), November 1996.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), March 1997.
- [RFC2822] Resnick, P., "Internet Message Format", [RFC 2822](#), April 2001.
- [RFC3461] Moore, K., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol (SMTP) Service Extension for Delivery Status Notifications (DSNs)", [RFC 3461](#), January 2003.
- [RFC3834] Moore, K., "Recommendations for Automatic Responses to Electronic Mail", [RFC 3834](#), August 2004.

### **10.2. Informative References**

- [I-D.ietf-sieve-refuse-reject]  
Elvey, M. and A. Melnikov, "The SIEVE mail filtering language - reject and refuse extensions", [draft-ietf-sieve-refuse-reject](#) (work in progress), May 2005, <<http://www.ietf.org/internet-drafts/draft-ietf-sieve-refuse-reject.txt>>.
- [RFC2142] Crocker, D., "MAILBOX NAMES FOR COMMON SERVICES, ROLES AND FUNCTIONS", [RFC 2142](#), May 1997.
- [RFC2369] Neufeld, G. and J. Baer, "The Use of URLs as Meta-Syntax



for Core Mail List Commands and their Transport through Message Header Fields", [RFC 2369](#), July 1998.

[RFC2821] Klensin, J., "Simple Mail Transfer Protocol", [RFC 2821](#), April 2001.

[RFC2919] Chandhok, R. and G. Wenger, "List-Id: A Structured Field and Namespace for the Identification of Mailing Lists", [RFC 2919](#), March 2001.

[RFC3282] Alvestrand, H., "Content Language Headers", [RFC 3282](#), May 2002.

## [Appendix A](#). Acknowledgements

This extension is obviously inspired by Eric Allman's vacation program under Unix. The authors owe a great deal to Carnegie Mellon University, Cyrus Daboo, Lawrence Greenfield, Michael Haardt, Kjetil Torgrim Homme, Arnt Gulbrandsen, Mark Mallett, Alexey Melnikov, Jeffrey Hutzelman, Philip Guenther and many others whose names have been lost during the inexcusably long gestation period of this document.

### Authors' Addresses

Tim Showalter

Email: [tjs@psaux.com](mailto:tjs@psaux.com)

Ned Freed (editor)  
Sun Microsystems  
3401 Centrelake Drive, Suite 410  
Ontario, CA 92761-1205  
USA

Phone: +1 909 457 4293  
Email: [ned.freed@mrochek.com](mailto:ned.freed@mrochek.com)



## Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in [BCP 78](#), and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <http://www.ietf.org/ipr>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at [ietf-ipr@ietf.org](mailto:ietf-ipr@ietf.org).

## Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).

