SIP Working Group

Internet-Draft

Evringer May 17th 200

Expires: May 17th, 2008

Intended Status: Standards Track (as PS)

James Polk Cisco Systems November 17th, 2007

IANA Registration of New Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)

Resource-Priority Header Namespaces

draft-ietf-sip-rph-new-namespaces-01.txt

Status of this Memo

By submitting this Internet-Draft, each author represents that any applicable patent or other IPR claims of which he or she is aware have been or will be disclosed, and any of which he or she becomes aware will be disclosed, in accordance with <u>Section 6 of BCP 79</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt">http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt</a>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html">http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html</a>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 17th, 2008.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).

Abstract

This document creates additional Session Initiation Protocol Resource-Priority header namespaces, to be IANA registered.

#### Table of Contents

<u>1</u> .	Introduction										2
1	.1 Conventions used in this docume	nt	t.								<u>3</u>
<u>2</u> .	New RPH Namespaces Created										<u>3</u>
<u>3</u> .	IANA Considerations										4
3	.1 IANA Resource-Priority Namespace	F	Regi	str	at	ii	on				<u>5</u>
3	.2 IANA Priority-Value Registration	S						 	 	 	<u>6</u>
<u>4</u> .	Security Considerations										<u>11</u>
<u>5</u> .	Acknowledgements										<u>11</u>
<u>6</u> .	References										<u>11</u>
6	<u>.1</u> Normative References										<u>11</u>
	Author's Address										<u>11</u>
	Intellectual Property and Copyrigh	t	Sta	ter	ner	nts	3				11

## 1. Introduction

The US Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) is rolling out their Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) based architecture at this time. This network will require more Resource-Priority header (RPH) namespaces than were defined, and IANA registered, in <a href="RFC 4412">RFC 4412</a> [RFC4412]. The purpose of this document is to define these additional namespaces. Each will be <a href="RFC 4412">RFC 4412</a> defined as preemption based in nature, and will have the same 9 priority-values.

DISA has a requirement to be able to assign different RPH namespaces to different units of differing sizes throughout their networks. Examples of this may be

- each branch of service (army, navy, air force, marines, coast guard)
- some departments within the government (Homeland Security, Commerce, Treasury)
- plus have temporary assignments to individual units of varying sizes

These temporary assignments might be combinations of smaller units involving several branches of service operating as one unit (say, one task force, which is separate than the branch of service), or a single commando unit requiring special treatment for a short period of time, making it appear separate from the branch of service they are from.

Providing DISA with a pool of namespaces for fine grained RPH assignment(s) allows them the flexibility they need for their mission requirements. One can imagine due to their sheer size and separation of purpose, they can easily utilize a significant number

of namespaces within their networks. This is the reason for the assignment of so many new namespaces, which seems to deviate from  $% \left( 1\right) =\left( 1\right) \left( 1\right) \left$ 

Polk

Expires May 17th, 2008 [Page 2]

guidance in <a href="RFC 4412">RFC 4412</a> to have a few namespaces as possible.

This document makes no changes to SIP, just adds IANA registered RPH namespaces for its use.

#### 1.1 Conventions used in this document

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

### 2. New RPH Namespaces Created

The following 50 SIP Resource Priority header namespaces are created by this document:

dsn-000000	dsn-000010	dsn-000020	dsn-000030
dsn-000001	dsn-000011	dsn-000021	dsn-000031
dsn-000002	dsn-000012	dsn-000022	
dsn-000003	dsn-000013	dsn-000023	
dsn-000004	dsn-000014	dsn-000024	
dsn-000005	dsn-000015	dsn-000025	
dsn-000006	dsn-000016	dsn-000026	
dsn-000007	dsn-000017	dsn-000027	
dsn-000008	dsn-000018	dsn-000028	
dsn-000009	dsn-000019	dsn-000029	
dsn-00000A	dsn-00001A	dsn-00002A	
dsn-00000B	dsn-00001B	dsn-00002B	
dsn-00000C	dsn-00001C	dsn-00002C	
dsn-00000D	dsn-00001D	dsn-00002D	
dsn-00000E	dsn-00001E	dsn-00002E	
dsn-00000F	dsn-00001F	dsn-00002F	

Each namespace listed above is wholly different, unless one or more sets of namespaces are aggregated according to the rules of  $\underline{\text{section}}$  8, within RFC 4412.

These aggregates of two or more namespaces, that are to be considered equivalent during treatment, can be a set of any IANA registered RPH namespaces, not just adjacent namespaces.

Each namespace listed above will have the same 9 priority-levels:

```
.0 (lowest priority)
.1
.2
.3
.4
.5
.6
.7
.8
.9 (highest priority)
```

According to the rules established in <a href="RFC 4412">RFC 4412</a> [RFC4412], priority-values have a relative order for preferential treatment, unless one or more consecutive groups of priority-values are to be considered equivalent (i.e., first-received, first treated).

Thus, a message (or a call) with the following Resource-Priority header value:

```
dsn-000001.8
```

for example, MUST NOT ever receive preferential treatment over a message, for example, with this Resource-Priority header value:

```
dsn-000010.0
```

because they are two difference namespaces, unless the namespaces

```
dsn-000001 and dsn-000010
```

are configured as equivalent namespaces (according to  $\frac{8 \text{ of }}{8 \text{ ection }}$  of  $\frac{8 \text{ of }}{8 \text{ ection }}$ ).

The dash '-' character is just like any other character, and is not to be considered a delimiter in any official way within any namespace here. This MAY change in future efforts.

As stated in <u>Section 9 of RFC 4412</u> [<u>RFC4412</u>], an IANA registered namespace SHOULD NOT change the number, and MUST NOT change the relative priority order, of its assigned priority-values.

### 3. IANA Considerations

Abiding by the rules established within <u>RFC 4412</u> [<u>RFC4412</u>], this is a Standards-Track document registering new SIP Resource-Priority header namespaces, and their associated priority-values and intended algorithms.

## **3.1** IANA Resource-Priority Namespace Registration

Within the "Resource-Priority Namespaces" registry in the sip-parameters section of IANA, the following table lists the new RPH namespaces registered by this document (NOTE: 'RFCXXXX' is to be replaced by this document's RFC number if this document is published by the RFC-Editor):

Namespace	Levels	Intended Algorithm	New warn- code	New resp.	Reference
dsn-000000	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000001	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000002	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000003	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000004	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000005	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000006	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000007	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000008	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000009	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00000A	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00000B	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00000C	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00000D	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00000E	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00000F	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000010	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000011	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000012	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000013	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000014	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000015	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000016	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000017	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000018	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000019	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00001A	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00001B	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00001C	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00001D	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00001E	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-00001F	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000020	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000021	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000022	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000023	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000024	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000025	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]

dsn-000026	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000027	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
dsn-000028	10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
Polk		Expires May	17th, 2008		[Page 5]

	New SIP RPH Name	spaces fo	or DISA	Nov 2007
10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
10	preemption	no	no	[RFCXXXX]
	10 10 10 10 10 10	preemption	10 preemption no	10 preemption no no

## **3.2** IANA Priority-Value Registrations

Within the "Resource-Priority Priority-values" registry in the sipparameters section of IANA, the list of priority-values for each of the newly created RPH namespaces from <u>section 4.1</u> of this document, prioritized least to greatest, is registered by the following:

```
Namespace: dsn-000000
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000001
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000002
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000003
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000004
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000005
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000006
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
```

```
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
```

Polk

Expires May 17th, 2008 [Page 6]

```
Namespace: dsn-000007
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000008
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000009
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00000A
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00000B
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00000C
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00000D
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00000E
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00000F
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000010
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
```

Namespace: dsn-000011

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Polk Expires May 17th, 2008 [Page 7]

Internet-Draft

```
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000012
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000013
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000014
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000015
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000016
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000017
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000018
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000019
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00001A
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00001B
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
```

```
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
```

Polk

Expires May 17th, 2008 [Page 8]

```
Namespace: dsn-00001C
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00001D
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00001E
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00001F
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000020
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000021
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000022
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000023
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000024
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000025
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
```

Namespace: dsn-000026

Polk Expires May 17th, 2008

[Page 9]

```
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000027
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000028
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000029
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00002A
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00002B
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00002C
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00002D
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00002E
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-00002F
Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",
"6", "7", "8", "9"
Namespace: dsn-000030
```

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)
Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5",

Polk Expires May 17th, 2008 [Page 10]

```
"6", "7", "8", "9"

Namespace: dsn-000031

Reference: RFCXXXX (this document)

Priority-Values (least to greatest): "0", "1", "2", "3", "4", "5", "6", "7", "8", "9"
```

### 4. Security Considerations

This document has the same Security Considerations as <a href="RFC 4412">RFC 4412</a>.

#### 5. Acknowledgements

To Jeff Hewett for his helpful guidance in this effort. Thanks to Janet Gunn, John Rosenberg, Joel Halpern and Henning Schulzrinne for their comments.

#### 6. References

#### **6.1** Normative References

```
[RFC4412] Schulzrinne, H., Polk, J., "Communications Resource
Priority for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC
4411, Feb 2006
```

[RFC2119] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", RFC 2119, March 1997

#### Author's Address

James Polk 3913 Treemont Circle Colleyville, Texas 76034 USA

Phone: +1-817-271-3552

Fax: none

Email: jmpolk@cisco.com

# Full Copyright Statement

```
Copyright (C) The IETF Trust (2007).
```

This document is subject to the rights, licenses and restrictions contained in  $\underline{\mathsf{BCP}}$  78, and except as set forth therein, the authors retain all their rights.

This document and the information contained herein are provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY, THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

## Intellectual Property

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any such rights. Information on the procedures with respect to rights in RFC documents can be found in  $\frac{BCP}{78}$  and  $\frac{BCP}{79}$ .

Copies of IPR disclosures made to the IETF Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line IPR repository at <a href="http://www.ietf.org/ipr">http://www.ietf.org/ipr</a>.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement this standard. Please address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

## Acknowledgment

Funding for the RFC Editor function is provided by the IETF Administrative Support Activity (IASA).