Internet Engineering Task Force Internet Draft SIP WG G. Camarillo Ericsson

draft-ietf-sip-uri-parameter-reg-01.txt

November 18, 2003 Expires: May 2004

The Internet Assigned Number Authority Universal Resource Identifier Parameter Registry for the Session Initiation Protocol

STATUS OF THIS MEMO

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of <u>Section 10 of RFC2026</u>.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress".

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt

To view the list Internet-Draft Shadow Directories, see http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

Abstract

This document creates an IANA registry for SIP URI and SIPS URI parameters. It also lists the already existing parameters to be used as initial values for that registry.

G. Camarillo [Page 1]

Table of Contents

<u>1</u>	Introduction	3
<u>2</u>	Terminology Use of the Registry	3
<u>3</u>	Use of the Registry	3
<u>4</u>	IANA Considerations	3
<u>4.1</u>	SIP and SIPS URI Parameters Sub-Registry	4
<u>4.2</u>	Registration Policy for SIP Request-URI Parameters	
<u>5</u>	Security Considerations	4
<u>6</u>	Acknowledgements	4
<u>7</u>	Authors' Addresses	4
<u>8</u>	Normative References	5
9	Informative References	5

G. Camarillo [Page 2]

1 Introduction

<u>RFC3261</u> [1] allows new SIP URI and SIPS URI parameters to be defined. However, <u>RFC3261</u> omitted an IANA registry for them. This document creates such a registry.

2 Terminology

In this document, the key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [2] and indicate requirement levels for compliant SIP implementations.

3 Use of the Registry

SIP and SIPS URI parameters MUST be documented in an RFC in order to be registered by IANA. This documentation MUST fully explain the syntax, intended usage, and semantics of the parameter. The intent of this requirement is to assure interoperability between independent implementations, and to prevent accidental namespace collisions between implementations of dissimilar features.

RFCs defining SIP URI or SIPS URI parameters MUST register them with IANA as described below.

Registered SIP or SIPS URI parameters are to be considered "reserved words". In order to preserve interoperability, registered parameters MUST be used in a manner consistent with that described in their defining RFC. Implementations MUST NOT utilize "private" or "locally defined" URI parameters that conflict with registered parameters.

Note that although unregistered SIP and SIPS URI parameters may be used in implementations, developers are cautioned that usage of such parameters is risky. New SIP and SIPS URI parameters may be registered at any time, and there is no assurance that these new registered URI parameters will not conflict with unregistered parameters currently in use.

4 IANA Considerations

<u>Section 27 of RFC 3261</u> [1] creates an IANA registry for method names, header field names, warning codes, status codes, and option tags. This specification instructs the IANA to create a new sub-registry under http://www.iana.org/assignments/sip-parameters:

o SIP/SIPS URI Parameters

G. Camarillo [Page 3]

4.1 SIP and SIPS URI Parameters Sub-Registry

New SIP and SIPS URI parameters are registered by the IANA. When registering a new SIP or SIPS parameter, the following information MUST be provided.

- o Name of the parameter.
- o Reference to the RFC defining the parameter

Table 1 contains the initial values for this sub-registry.

Parameter Name	Reference
comp	RFC 3486
lr	RFC 3261
maddr	RFC 3261
method	RFC 3261
transport	RFC 3261
ttl	RFC 3261
user	RFC 3261

Table 1: IANA SIP and SIPS URI parameter sub-registry

4.2 Registration Policy for SIP Request-URI Parameters

As per the terminology in <u>RFC 2434</u> [3], the registration policy for SIP and SIPS URI parameters shall be "Specification Required".

For the purposes of this registry, the parameter for which IANA registration is requested MUST be defined by an RFC. There is no requirement that this RFC be standards-track.

5 Security Considerations

There are no security considerations associated to this document.

6 Acknowledgements

Jonathan Rosenberg, Henning Schulzrinne, Rohan Mahy, and Dean Willis provided useful comments.

7 Authors' Addresses

Gonzalo Camarillo Ericsson G. Camarillo [Page 4]

Advanced Signalling Research Lab.

FIN-02420 Jorvas

Finland

electronic mail: Gonzalo.Camarillo@ericsson.com

8 Normative References

- [1] J. Rosenberg, H. Schulzrinne, G. Camarillo, A. R. Johnston, J. Peterson, R. Sparks, M. Handley, and E. Schooler, "SIP: session initiation protocol," <u>RFC 3261</u>, Internet Engineering Task Force, June 2002.
- [2] S. Bradner, "Key words for use in RFCs to indicate requirement levels," RFC 2119, Internet Engineering Task Force, Mar. 1997.
- [3] T. Narten and H. Alvestrand, "Guidelines for writing an IANA considerations section in RFCs," <u>RFC 2434</u>, Internet Engineering Task Force, Oct. 1998.

9 Informative References

The IETF takes no position regarding the validity or scope of any intellectual property or other rights that might be claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology described in this document or the extent to which any license under such rights might or might not be available; neither does it represent that it has made any effort to identify any such rights. Information on the IETF's procedures with respect to rights in standards-track and standards-related documentation can be found in BCP-11. Copies of claims of rights made available for publication and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementors or users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF Secretariat.

The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary rights which may cover technology that may be required to practice this standard. Please address the information to the IETF Executive Director.

Full Copyright Statement

Copyright (c) The Internet Society (2003). All Rights Reserved.

This document and translations of it may be copied and furnished to

G. Camarillo [Page 5]

others, and derivative works that comment on or otherwise explain it or assist in its implementation may be prepared, copied, published and distributed, in whole or in part, without restriction of any kind, provided that the above copyright notice and this paragraph are included on all such copies and derivative works. However, this document itself may not be modified in any way, such as by removing the copyright notice or references to the Internet Society or other Internet organizations, except as needed for the purpose of developing Internet standards in which case the procedures for copyrights defined in the Internet Standards process must be followed, or as required to translate it into languages other than English.

The limited permissions granted above are perpetual and will not be revoked by the Internet Society or its successors or assigns.

This document and the information contained herein is provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE INTERNET SOCIETY AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIMS ALL WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION HEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

G. Camarillo [Page 6]