

SIPCORE Working Group  
Internet-Draft  
Updates: [4028](#) (if approved)  
Intended status: Standards Track  
Expires: September 6, 2018

C. Holmberg  
Ericsson  
March 5, 2018

**Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) Session Timer Glare Handling**  
**draft-ietf-sipcore-sessiontimer-race-01**

Abstract

This document updates [RFC 4028](#), by clarifying the procedures for negotiating usage of the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) session timer mechanism, in order to avoid a race condition where both endpoints trigger simultaneous negotiations.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of [BCP 78](#) and [BCP 79](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 6, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to [BCP 78](#) and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

## Table of Contents

<a href="#">1.</a>	<a href="#">Introduction</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">1.1.</a>	<a href="#">Problem Statement</a>	<a href="#">2</a>
<a href="#">1.2.</a>	<a href="#">Solution</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">2.</a>	<a href="#">Conventions</a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.</a>	<a href="#">Update to <a href="#">RFC 4028</a></a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.1.</a>	<a href="#">Update to <a href="#">Section 7.2</a></a>	<a href="#">3</a>
<a href="#">3.2.</a>	<a href="#">Update to <a href="#">Section 7.4</a></a>	<a href="#">4</a>
<a href="#">3.3.</a>	<a href="#">Update to <a href="#">Section 8.1</a></a>	<a href="#">5</a>
<a href="#">3.4.</a>	<a href="#">Update to <a href="#">Section 9</a></a>	<a href="#">6</a>
<a href="#">4.</a>	<a href="#">Security considerations</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">5.</a>	<a href="#">IANA considerations</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
<a href="#">6.</a>	<a href="#">Normative references</a>	<a href="#">7</a>
	<a href="#">Author's Address</a>	<a href="#">7</a>

## [1.](#) Introduction

[RFC4028] defines a mechanism, where periodic SIP requests are sent in order to allow SIP user agents and proxies to determine whether a SIP session is still active.

The usage of the mechanism is negotiated using two new SIP header fields (Session-Expires and Min-SE), a new option tag ('timer') and a new SIP response code (422).

### [1.1.](#) Problem Statement

1. [Section 7.4 of \[RFC4028\]](#) says that, in a session refresh request sent within a dialog with an active session timer, the Session-Expires header field should be included in the request. However, the text is unclear regarding including the Session-Expires header field in a session refresh request when a negotiation of session timer usage is still ongoing, e.g., if one user agent is sending a request that contains a Session-Expires header field and, before it receives the associated 2xx response, receives a request from the peer user agent that also contains a Session-Expires header field. Such scenario might cause a glare situation, with conflicting negotiation parameters.

2. The absence of the Session-Expires header field in a 2xx response to an (re-)INVITE request [[RFC3261](#)] or UPDATE request [[RFC3311](#)] means that the mechanism isn't used. This can be used to reject the usage of the mechanism when sending a response. However, the text is unclear that this only applies to cases where the associated SIP request contained a Session-Expires header field.



## **1.2. Solution**

This document updates [[RFC4028](#)], by clarifying the procedures for negotiating usage of the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) [[RFC3261](#)] session timer mechanism [[RFC4028](#)]. The following clarifications are provided:

- o A Session-Expires header field can only be included in a session refresh request if there is no ongoing negotiation of usage of the session timer mechanism, and if there is no ongoing INVITE transaction.
- o A user agent shall, if it receives a session refresh request with a Session-Expires header field during an ongoing negotiation of usage of the session timer mechanism, or when there is an ongoing INVITE transaction, send a 491 (Request Pending) response to the request.
- o The absence of a Session-Expires header field in a response will disable usage of the session timer mechanism only if the associated request contained a Session-Expires header field.

## **2. Conventions**

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [[RFC2119](#)].

## **3. Update to [RFC 4028](#)**

### **3.1. Update to [Section 7.2](#)**

This section updates the third paragraph in [section 7.2 of \[RFC4028\]](#), by clarifying that the absence of a Session-Expires header field in a response will disable usage of the session timer mechanism only if the associated request contained a Session-Expires header field.



## OLD TEXT:

If the 2xx response did not contain a Session-Expires header field, there is no session expiration. In this case, no refreshes need to be sent. A 2xx without a Session-Expires can come for both initial and subsequent session refresh requests. This means that the session timer can be 'turned-off' in mid dialog by receiving a response without a Session-Expires header field.

## NEW TEXT:

If the request contained a Session-Expires header field, and the associated 2xx response did not contain a Session-Expires header field, there is no session expiration. In this case, no refreshes need to be sent. A 2xx without a Session-Expires can come for both initial and subsequent session refresh requests. This means that the session timer can be 'turned-off' in mid dialog by receiving a response without a Session-Expires header field.

**3.2. Update to [Section 7.4](#)**

This section updates the fifth paragraph in [section 7.4 of \[RFC4028\]](#), by clarifying that the Session-Expires header field can only be included in a refresh request if there is no ongoing negotiation of usage of the session timer mechanism.



## OLD TEXT:

In a session refresh request sent within a dialog with an active session timer, the Session-Expires header field SHOULD be present. When present, it SHOULD be equal to the maximum of the Min-SE header field (recall that its default value when not present is 90 seconds) and the current session interval. Inclusion of the Session-Expires header field with this value avoids certain denial-of-service attacks, as documented in [Section 11](#). As such, a UA should only ignore the SHOULD in unusual and singular cases where it is desirable to change the session interval mid-dialog.

## NEW TEXT:

In a session refresh request sent within a dialog with an active session timer, if there is no ongoing negotiation of usage of the session timer mechanism and if there is no ongoing INVITE transaction (with or without session timer negotiation), the Session-Expires header field SHOULD be present. If there is an ongoing negotiation, or if there is an ongoing INVITE transaction, the Session-Expires header field MUST NOT be present. When present, it SHOULD be equal to the maximum of the Min-SE header field (recall that its default value when not present is 90 seconds) and the current session interval. Inclusion of the Session-Expires header field with this value avoids certain denial-of-service attacks, as documented in [Section 11](#). As such, a UA should only ignore the SHOULD in unusual and singular cases where it is desirable to change the session interval mid-dialog.

**3.3. Update to [Section 8.1](#)**

This section updates the second paragraph [section 8.1 of \[RFC4028\]](#), by clarifying that a proxy can insert a Session-Expires header field in a request only if there is no ongoing negotiation of usage of the session timer mechanism and if there is no ongoing INVITE transaction.





## OLD TEXT:

To request a session timer for a session, a proxy makes sure that a Session-Expires header field is present in a session refresh request for that session. A proxy MAY insert a Session-Expires header field in the request before forwarding it if none was present in the request. This Session-Expires header field may contain any desired expiration time the proxy would like, but not with a duration lower than the value in the Min-SE header field in the request, if it is present. The proxy MUST NOT include a refresher parameter in the header field value.

## NEW TEXT:

To request a session timer for a session, a proxy makes sure that a Session-Expires header field is present in a session refresh request for that session. A proxy MAY insert a Session-Expires header field in the request before forwarding it if none was present in the request, if there is no ongoing negotiation of usage of the session timer mechanism and if there is no ongoing INVITE transaction (with or without session timer negotiation). This Session-Expires header field may contain any desired expiration time the proxy would like, but not with a duration lower than the value in the Min-SE header field in the request, if it is present. The proxy MUST NOT include a refresher parameter in the header field value.

**3.4. Update to [Section 9](#)**

This section updates [section 8.1 of \[RFC4028\]](#), by clarifying that a session refresh request that is received while there is an ongoing negotiation of usage of the session timer mechanism shall be rejected by a 491 (Request Pending) response. The clarification is done by adding a new paragraph between the fourth and fifth paragraphs of the section.

## NEW TEXT:

If an incoming request contains a Session-Expires header field, and there is on ongoing negotiation of usage of the session timer mechanism, or if there is an ongoing INVITE transaction (with or without session timer negotiation), the UAS MUST reject the request with a 491 (Request Pending) response.



#### **4. Security considerations**

The security considerations associated with the SIP Session-Timer mechanism are described in [RFC4028]. This specification adds clarification text for avoiding session-timer negotiation race conditions, and does not introduce new security considerations.

#### **5. IANA considerations**

This specification makes no requests from IANA.

#### **6. Normative references**

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", [BCP 14](#), [RFC 2119](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3261] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and E. Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", [RFC 3261](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3261, June 2002, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3261>>.
- [RFC3311] Rosenberg, J., "The Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) UPDATE Method", [RFC 3311](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC3311, October 2002, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3311>>.
- [RFC4028] Donovan, S. and J. Rosenberg, "Session Timers in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", [RFC 4028](#), DOI 10.17487/RFC4028, April 2005, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4028>>.

#### **Author's Address**

Christer Holmberg  
Ericsson  
Hirsalantie 11  
Jorvas 02420  
Finland

Email: [christer.holmberg@ericsson.com](mailto:christer.holmberg@ericsson.com)

